



American International Group, Inc.
2018 Annual Report

Financial Highlights¹

American International Group, Inc. (AIG) is a leading global insurance organization. Building on 100 years of experience, today AIG member companies provide a wide range of property casualty insurance, life insurance, retirement solutions, and other financial services to customers in more than 80 countries and jurisdictions. These diverse offerings include products and services that help businesses and individuals protect their assets, manage risks and provide for retirement security. AIG common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

Throughout 2018 we executed on our strategy to deliver long-term, profitable growth by improving underwriting capabilities, mitigating risk and volatility by repositioning reinsurance structures and risk limits, adding world-class talent and utilizing capital opportunistically to re-invest in the business. Looking ahead, we continue to take decisive actions to enhance AIG's positioning for the future.

Years ended December 31,

(dollars in millions, except per share data)

Operating results:

	2018	2017	2016
Total revenues	\$ 47,389	\$ 49,520	\$ 52,367
Net loss attributable to AIG	\$ (6)	\$ (6,084)	\$ (849)
Adjusted after-tax income attributable to AIG ²	\$ 1,064	\$ 2,231	\$ 406
Net loss per common share attributable to AIG (diluted)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (6.54)	\$ (0.78)
Adjusted after-tax income per common share attributable to AIG (diluted) ²	\$ 1.17	\$ 2.34	\$ 0.36

Balance sheet (year-end):

Total assets	\$ 491,984	\$ 498,301	\$ 498,264
Total AIG shareholders' equity	\$ 56,361	\$ 65,171	\$ 76,300

Key metrics:

Book value per common share	\$ 65.04	\$ 72.49	\$ 76.66
Adjusted book value per common share ³	\$ 54.95	\$ 54.74	\$ 58.57
Return on equity (ROE)	0.0 %	(8.4) %	(1.0) %
Adjusted ROE ³	2.1 %	4.1 %	0.6 %
Core Adjusted ROE ⁴	2.3 %	3.2 %	0.8 %

General Insurance:

Net premiums written	\$ 26,407	\$ 25,438	\$ 28,393
Adjusted pre-tax loss ⁵	\$ (469)	\$ (813)	\$ (2,051)
Combined ratio ⁶	111.4	117.3	118.9
Accident year combined ratio, as adjusted ⁷	99.7	97.1	96.0

Life and Retirement:

Premiums and deposits ⁸	\$ 31,206	\$ 27,458	\$ 29,304
Adjusted pre-tax income ⁵	\$ 3,190	\$ 3,831	\$ 3,428
Adjusted ROE ⁴	12.6 %	12.4 %	10.8 %

¹ The non-GAAP financial measures presented herein may not be comparable to similarly-named measures reported by other companies. The reconciliations of such measures to the most comparable GAAP measures in accordance with Regulation G are included within this Annual Report, AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 (included herein) or in the Fourth Quarter 2018 Financial Supplement available in the Investors section of AIG's website, www.aig.com. ² Adjusted after-tax income attributable to AIG and Adjusted after-tax income per common share attributable to AIG (diluted) are reconciled on page 66 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K (included herein). ³ Adjusted book value per common share and Return on equity — adjusted after-tax income excluding AOCI and DTA are reconciled on page 37 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K (included herein). ⁴ Adjusted ROE for Core and Life and Retirement are defined and reconciled on pages 352 and 354 of this Annual Report. ⁵ Adjusted pre-tax income is a GAAP measure for General Insurance and Life and Retirement, and is defined on page 41 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K (included herein). ⁶ Consistent with our definition of adjusted pre-tax income, excludes net loss reserve discount and the portion of favorable or unfavorable prior year reserve development for which we have ceded the risk under retroactive reinsurance agreements and related changes in amortization of the deferred gain. ⁷ Accident year combined ratio, as adjusted, is reconciled on page 73 in the Annual Report on Form 10-K (included herein). ⁸ Premiums and deposits is defined and reconciled on pages 352 and 354 of this Annual Report.

Chairman Letter to Shareholders



Douglas M. Steenland
Independent Chairman of the Board

Dear AIG Shareholder,

2018 marked Brian Duperreault's first full year as President and Chief Executive Officer of AIG. During his tenure, Brian and his leadership team have worked quickly to implement a strategy that is repositioning AIG to be an industry leader delivering sustainable, profitable growth.

To date, they have undertaken a number of actions, including:

- Continuing to add world-class talent across the organization
- Reducing risk and volatility in AIG's portfolio
- Recommitting to underwriting excellence
- Leveraging the benefits of AIG's diversified global footprint
- Demonstrating a commitment to expense discipline while prudently deploying capital to invest in AIG's businesses

We are also in the midst of a significant refreshment of the Board of Directors, adding substantial additional insurance and investment expertise.

In January, we announced the election of Thomas Motamed to the Board. Tom is an insurance industry veteran who previously served as Chairman and CEO of CNA Financial Corporation and before that spent 31 years with The Chubb Corporation.

We are pleased to introduce three new director candidates for election at this year's annual meeting:

- Peter Porrino, formerly Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of XL Group Ltd;
- Amy Schioldager, formerly Senior Managing Director and Global Head of Beta Strategies at BlackRock, Inc.; and
- Therese Vaughan, former CEO of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners and the Robb B. Kelley Visiting Distinguished Professor and Former Dean of the College of Business and Public Administration at Drake University.

Theresa Stone will be retiring as a Director at this year's Annual Meeting, as she has reached our general retirement age under

our corporate governance guidelines, and Ronald Rittenmeyer has advised us that he will not stand for election, given other professional commitments. I want to thank them for their service and many contributions.

The Board is also grateful for the continued dedication of AIG's employees around the world who serve the needs of the company's clients, brokers and customers.

Thank you, our shareholders, for continuing to entrust us with your capital. I want to assure you that your Board is highly focused on ensuring that AIG is best positioned to deliver sustainable value for you over the long term.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Douglas M. Steenland".

Douglas M. Steenland
Independent Chairman of the Board





CEO Letter to Shareholders

Dear AIG Shareholder,

2018 was a year of significant foundational work as we continued repositioning AIG to deliver long-term and sustainable value for you, our shareholders.

When I joined AIG in mid-2017, the company was emerging from more than a decade of significant changes in leadership and shifting strategies. Over the course of 2018, we undertook a thorough review of our businesses in order to return AIG to profitability by putting the right people, structure and strategies in place.

During this process we uncovered many issues and challenges that were deeper and more pervasive than we anticipated, and we worked aggressively across multiple fronts to address what we found. We added world-class talent in key senior roles, significantly reduced volatility in our portfolio, fostered a culture of accountability, instilled a focus on expense discipline balanced with critical investments for growth, and added strategic, complementary capabilities to help us better serve our clients.

Having spent 45 years in the insurance industry building and reshaping companies, I am confident we have the right strategy in place to return AIG to a position of industry leadership. We are making intelligent decisions that will allow us to continue to make progress, and we are not taking shortcuts that could jeopardize a strong long-term recovery.

Overview of 2018 Performance

Our focus in 2018 was on establishing a foundation for sustainable profitability, amidst significant volatility in the equity and credit markets and another season of notable catastrophe losses.

The numerous actions we took in 2018 are not yet fully reflected in our General Insurance financial results, but we have begun to show incremental progress. Most notably, the business began to demonstrate underlying profitability in the fourth quarter, excluding catastrophes, driven largely by an improvement in our loss ratio along with expense reductions.

Life and Retirement delivered solid earnings and returns for the year, despite a challenging fourth quarter due to sharply declining equity markets and widening credit spreads.

Looking ahead, we continue to expect to deliver an underwriting profit for General Insurance as we enter 2019. To be clear: this is only a starting point. Our intention remains to continue improving profitability and to reach double-digit returns for consolidated AIG in three years.

General Insurance: Repositioned for Profitability

In General Insurance, Peter Zaffino and his leadership team made significant progress in 2018 transforming our business. They outlined a new underwriting risk appetite, improved underwriting capabilities, created business units that positively distinguish themselves in the market and built a world-class leadership team. I am very pleased with what this new leadership team has accomplished in a relatively short period of time.

Brian Duperreault
President and Chief Executive Officer

CEO Letter to Shareholders

continued

Transforming our portfolio

Throughout 2018, Peter and his team rigorously reviewed the entire General Insurance portfolio. This revealed significant exposures from AIG's strategy prior to 2017 of deploying large limits, which made us an outlier in the industry. As a result, we have substantially reduced gross and net limits, particularly in Property and Casualty.

Peter and his team also enhanced our organizational structure and control framework. This included instituting revised underwriting guidelines for all our underwriters worldwide and implementing new assessment tools to better measure underwriting performance. The General Insurance team has also worked to enhance the strategic positioning of each business in the portfolio and empowered leaders with end-to-end accountability for results.

Additionally, General Insurance completed the acquisitions of Validus and Glatfelter during the year. Each of these businesses further deepened our talent bench while helping improve core underwriting fundamentals and strengthening our offerings in key areas.

Strategically utilizing reinsurance

Our notable progress on risk management and underwriting was critical to the execution of a revised reinsurance strategy. We recognized in late 2017 that our legacy reinsurance strategy had substantial shortcomings with respect to managing volatility across return periods and protecting against tail-risk events. In January 2018, we made initial decisions to reduce the net risk in the portfolio, which provided meaningful recoveries in the second half of last year. During the January 2019 renewal season, we made material enhancements to our reinsurance program that have significantly reduced the risk and volatility across our portfolio. Looking ahead, we will continue to evolve our use of reinsurance to balance our portfolio, manage volatility and protect against extreme risk events.

Developing world-class talent

While we will continue to see the results of these changes into 2019, clients, brokers and reinsurance partners are taking notice of the team we have built and the work we are doing. Peter has now filled critical positions, including Chief Underwriting Officer, Head of International, Head of Claims and CEO of Lexington, which were part of more than a dozen new senior additions. The caliber of those who have joined AIG since I arrived is truly world-class, and we continue to be energized as we add these industry veterans to our existing talent base.

I want to thank colleagues across General Insurance for their diligence and dedication serving clients through another year of high-impact catastrophe events — whether in Japan, where many employees, regardless of their role, fielded claims while recovering from Typhoons Jebi and Trami themselves, or in response to Hurricanes Florence and Michael and mudslides and wildfires in California. We have proven once again that we are there for our clients at some of their most difficult moments.

Looking ahead, the significant progress in General Insurance throughout 2018 has positioned us well for the future.

Life and Retirement: Stable Earnings and Attractive Returns

Under the leadership of Kevin Hogan and his highly experienced executive team, our Life and Retirement 2018 results reflected solid growth from our ongoing strategy to leverage our broad product portfolio and diversified distribution network to satisfy customer needs.

Continuing to deliver solid performance

Premiums and deposits increased 14 percent year-over-year, including increases in each key segment: Individual Retirement, Group Retirement and Life Insurance.

Additionally, Institutional Markets closed a number of pension risk transfer deals, reflecting the continued growth of this market. While adjusted pre-tax income declined year-over-year, this was primarily driven by changes to actuarial assumptions and volatility in the performance of credit and equity markets.

Overall, our Life and Retirement business continued to deliver double-digit adjusted return on equity in 2018, despite this volatility, and we expect it to continue doing so in the coming year.

Positioning for industry leadership

Life and Retirement has made a number of investments over the last several years to promote a customer-centric culture by modernizing operating platforms and enhancing digital capabilities. These platforms have received industry-leading awards year after year and strengthened the efficiency and quality of our customer experience.

Life and Retirement also continues to enhance our reach internationally. As an example, in December 2018 AIG Life UK completed its acquisition of Ellipse, expanding its individual benefit offering to include group protection.

Looking to the future, the need for protected retirement income continues to increase, and our ability to offer solutions in various product structures remains a competitive advantage. In addition, Life and Retirement is playing a founding role in the Alliance for Lifetime Income. This group has brought together professionals from across the industry to advocate for new policies that protect and secure the retirement dreams of millions of Americans.

A key driver of our ongoing strength and unique position is the incredible colleagues across our Life and Retirement businesses. In the face of changing economic environments and shifting market demands, they remain focused every day on helping people achieve financial and retirement security.

Thanks to their hard work and focus, Life and Retirement enters 2019 with a sustainable business model that is well-positioned to leverage our product expertise and distribution footprint to deploy capital to the most attractive opportunities.

Blackboard Insurance: Reimagining the Middle Market

Under the leadership of Seraina Macia, Blackboard Insurance continues to reimagine the commercial insurance experience — from underwriting to claims and finance to operations, they are using data and technology to turn the business inside out. By erasing inefficiencies and old technologies, Blackboard is positioned to help companies move faster, do more business and reinvest for growth. 2018 was a pivotal year as the team worked behind the scenes to operationalize their business.

Capital: Prudent Management, Strong Balance Sheet, Future Investment

Our strong balance sheet and careful capital management played a critical supporting role throughout 2018 as we worked to build AIG into a more valuable company.

As I have said many times before, I believe AIG's future is contingent on re-investing excess capital in our businesses, so we can do better for our clients, brokers, employees and investors. I am proud of the choices we have made on this front to date, which include:

- Investing in top industry talent who have brought significant expertise and experience to AIG;
- Focusing on high-quality acquisitions that are complementary to our products, markets and geographies, and investing in our potential for sustainable, profitable growth; and

- Releasing capital tied up in lower return-on-equity investments and product lines through the management of our Legacy portfolio. One example is the establishment of Fortitude Re to hold most of our run-off portfolios. In late 2018, we sold a minority stake in Fortitude Re to The Carlyle Group as we focus on standing up this business independently while meeting commitments to policyholders and regulators.

At the same time, we continued to opportunistically repurchase AIG common stock. Our share and warrant repurchases for 2018 totaled \$1.8 billion, and our Board of Directors has approved an increase in our share repurchase authorization to \$2.0 billion, including approximately \$512 million that remained under the previous authorization.

Leadership and Talent: Leveraging Our Greatest Strength

Our talent remains our greatest resource, and throughout 2018 we continued to work with great success to position the right leaders in the right roles. On my leadership team, 2018 brought the appointment of proven leaders, including in Finance and Information Technology. What I most appreciate about our new Chief Information Officer, John Repko, is his track record in balancing results-driven business unit support with the ability to fortify corporate systems for efficiency and security. Additionally, our new Chief Financial Officer, Mark Lyons, has already demonstrated his deep expertise in insurance, building on his prior operating, finance and actuarial experience.

I also want to express how thankful I am for the signature determination of AIG employees across the company — in both business units and corporate functions. In the face of significant change, they have continued to serve clients and each other with focus and dedication.

Collectively we are making AIG a more attractive and rewarding place for a more diverse and inclusive workforce.

100 Years: Taking the Long View

On the back of all that took place in 2018, we have now entered 2019, AIG's Centennial year. I am extremely proud of the pioneering role AIG has played in this industry throughout our history. Delivering deep specialist expertise for our clients, an entrepreneurial spirit in how we address evolving risks, and a commitment to communities in which we operate have been core to who we are since AIG's roots were planted in Shanghai in December 1919. Importantly, I believe these qualities are key to the history that lies ahead: our next 100 years.

In Conclusion

As we look to the future, our destination remains clear. We will restore AIG as the leading insurance company in the world.

Thank you for your continued support.

Sincerely,



Brian Duperreault
President and Chief Executive Officer

Making a Better World

The best hope for building a brighter tomorrow can be found in our actions today.

Sustainability: Taking a Strategic Approach

A commitment to sustainability is integral to AIG's success as an insurer, investor, employer and corporate citizen. As one of the first U.S. insurance companies to recognize the importance of climate change, we have employed our expertise in underwriting and investing to help address its impact on our stakeholders.

Sustainability Task Force

This cross-functional, CEO-endorsed group continues to develop a holistic, strategic approach to sustainability at AIG. Current initiatives are segmented into areas including:

- Products
- Operations
- Investments
- Resilience
- External Partners

Reporting

AIG has been engaged in The Climate-Related Financial Disclosure Project (TCFD) throughout its development, including in the consultation phase.

As part of the work of the Sustainability Task Force, AIG has committed in 2019 to explore the issuance of a TCFD disclosure and to undertake a review of a climate change scenario analysis.

AIG has also joined a Sustainable Finance Working Group at the Institute for International Finance (IIF) focused on assisting members across the financial services sector by sharing insights and fostering a consistent approach towards TCFD disclosure.

Additionally, we continue to report our climate change activities through the CDP Climate Change questionnaire.

Board-Level Governance

AIG's Board of Directors has amended the charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to actively oversee matters relating to sustainability and citizenship.

Strategic Partnerships

AIG remains engaged in strategic partnerships that support our commitment to sustainability. Examples include:

- Working with Enactus, AIG employees mentor student entrepreneurs and innovators focused on creating resilient communities around the world.
- As part of the founding consortium of Blue Marble Microinsurance, we support insurance solutions for low-income populations.

Supporting Sustainable Investing

AIG has been a leading investor in renewable energy projects for over 30 years, with \$2.9 billion invested as of December 31, 2018 in private placement wind, solar, geothermal and hydroelectric projects worldwide.

As of December 31, 2018, AIG held approximately \$16 billion in municipal bonds that help improve infrastructure and extend vital services in communities across the U.S.

We proudly include the AIG Environmental Social and Governance (ESG) Dividend Fund in our product offering to seek capital appreciation and income with a positive social impact for customers.

For more information on AIG's sustainability and citizenship initiatives, visit www.aig.com/citizenship.

Community: Operating as a Good Corporate Citizen

At AIG, both our client service and philanthropic activities help others prepare for the future and overcome the most difficult challenges. As a steadfast advocate for our employees, we primarily support our communities by advancing causes important to AIG colleagues in two ways: providing two days of Volunteer Time Off per employee and through the AIG Matching Grants Program. We are also proud to support partner organizations that reflect the diversity of our people.

In 2018:

62,000+ hours volunteered

by AIG colleagues



\$6.5 million in total matching funds

to amplify employee donations through the AIG Matching Grants Program



451,000+ meals packed

at team-building events to feed the world's most vulnerable with Rise Against Hunger



18,200+ students mentored

in financial literacy across 12 countries with Junior Achievement

17 artistic, cultural and educational institutions in 6 countries

supported by AIG's Museum Membership Program

3,000+ colleagues

from 33 countries donated 13,000+ hours of community service time during Global Volunteer Month in April

Culture: Promoting Diversity, Development and Well-Being

AIG's employees are known for their resilience and unwavering commitment to achieving what seems impossible — again and again. We aim to foster a culture of inclusion that attracts, develops and retains the best talent with diverse points of view to help us better understand our clients, increase innovation and manage risk.

Making Changes

Our 127 Employee Resource Groups (ERGs) represent 13 dimensions of diversity and provide a forum to share, support career development and incite positive change in the workplace and in the communities we serve.

- Membership grew by 21% in 2018.
- Employees formed 29 new groups in 2018, a 30% increase from 2017.

Accelerating Diversity

AIG entered DiversityInc's 2018 Top 50 Companies for Diversity list, after four consecutive years of recognition as a Noteworthy Company, thanks to our management of talent pipeline and development, leadership accountability and supplier diversity.

Evolving Benefits

We introduced enhanced adoption and new surrogacy reimbursement programs for U.S. employees to further support a greater variety of families as we seek to offer benefits that matter to our ever-diversifying workforce.

Building Industry Talent

We are an active supporter of the Insurance Careers Movement, which helps to promote the benefits of working in the industry and attract talent — from early-career to leadership levels — to AIG.

Celebrating 100 Years

AIG traces its roots from a humble, two-room insurance agency in Shanghai, China, to the leading global insurance organization it is today. Time and time again, the deep expertise of AIG's people has allowed the company to pioneer new markets, products and services to help clients address their most challenging risks.

Looking ahead, the most important part of AIG's history is yet to be written. We remain committed to the principles that have guided us: excellence at understanding risk, commitment to keeping our promises and dedication to exemplary corporate citizenship.

A History of Innovation

At the heart of AIG's success has been its vision, ingenuity and willingness to seek out new markets and develop new ways to help our clients manage their risk.

1919

American Asiatic Underwriters opened for business by Cornelius Vander Starr in Shanghai, China — one of the first Western insurers to sell directly to local Chinese clients




1937

Operations established in Havana, Cuba — later to become regional headquarters during World War II



1946

First foreign insurance company to enter Japan after World War II



1919

1925

National Life and Accident Insurance Company, later to become part of AIG, recognized the marketing potential of radio and in an unorthodox move, launched radio station WSM along with what has become the longest-running radio program in U.S. history, "The Grand Ole Opry"



1926

First U.S. office opened in New York



1948

American International Reinsurance Company formed in Bermuda, leading to the development of captive insurance for a broader market



1984

Listed on the New York Stock Exchange



1964

VALIC, later to join AIG and become AIG Retirement Services, enrolls the first public school district in a 403(b) retirement program — an American first




1999

Acquired SunAmerica, Inc., a leading retirement and financial services company



1999

Provided one of the first cyber security insurance protection programs



2001

Acquired American General Corporation, a leading life insurer



2001

Led the insurance effort to protect the aviation industry following the 9/11 terror attacks



2017

Debuted fixed annuity product with a guaranteed living benefit nationwide, including the first-ever of its kind in the state of New York: the Assured Edge Income Builder®



2018

Blackboard Insurance began its technology- and analytics-driven business serving commercial customers in the middle market



1967

American International Group, Inc. incorporated in Delaware



1992

First foreign insurer licensed to operate in the People's Republic of China



2006

Acquired Travel Guard®, a provider of travel insurance programs and emergency travel assistance




2015

Participated in the formation of the consortium Blue Marble Microinsurance




2018

Acquired Validus Holdings, Ltd., a leading provider of reinsurance, primary insurance and asset management services




1969

Celebrated 50th anniversary and stock began publicly trading



1993

SunAmerica, later to become part of AIG, introduced Polaris® — one of the nation's first multi-managed variable annuities



The official Centennial website — 100.aig — tells more of AIG's story, celebrating the value we deliver to our clients, to our stakeholders and to the world.



Executive Leadership Team

Brian Duperreault

President and Chief Executive Officer

Doug Dachille

Executive Vice President
Chief Investment Officer

Lucy Fato

Executive Vice President
General Counsel
Interim Head of Human Resources

Kevin Hogan

Executive Vice President
Chief Executive Officer,
Life and Retirement

Tom Leonardi

Executive Vice President
Government Affairs,
Public Policy and Communications

Mark Lyons

Executive Vice President
Chief Financial Officer

Seraina Macia

Executive Vice President
Chief Executive Officer,
Blackboard Insurance

Naohiro Mouri

Executive Vice President
Chief Auditor

Alessa Quane

Executive Vice President
Chief Risk Officer

John Repko

Executive Vice President
Chief Information Officer

Peter Zaffino

Executive Vice President
Global Chief Operating Officer, AIG
Chief Executive Officer,
General Insurance

Board of Directors

W. Don Cornwell

Former Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer
Granite Broadcasting Corporation

Brian Duperreault

President and Chief Executive Officer
American International Group, Inc.

John H. Fitzpatrick

Former Secretary General
The Geneva Association

Former Chief Financial Officer,
Head of the Life and Health Reinsurance
Business Group and
Head of Financial Services
Swiss Re

William G. Jurgensen

Former Chief Executive Officer
Nationwide Insurance

Christopher S. Lynch

Independent Consultant
and Former National Partner
in Charge of Financial Services
KPMG LLP

Henry S. Miller

Chairman
Marblegate Asset Management, LLC

Former Chairman and Managing Director
Miller Buckfire & Co., LLC

Linda A. Mills

Former Corporate Vice President
of Operations
Northrop Grumman Corporation

Thomas F. Motamed

Former Chairman and
Chief Executive Officer
CNA Financial Corporation

Suzanne Nora Johnson

Former Vice Chairman
The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.

Ronald A. Rittenmeyer

Executive Chairman and
Chief Executive Officer
Tenet Healthcare Corporation

Former Chairman,
Chief Executive Officer and President
Electronic Data Systems Corporation

Douglas M. Steenland

Independent Chairman of the Board
American International Group, Inc.

Former President and
Chief Executive Officer
Northwest Airlines Corporation

Theresa M. Stone

Former Executive Vice President
and Treasurer
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Former Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer
Jefferson-Pilot Corporation

Former President
Chubb Life Insurance Company

Intentionally left blank



American International Group, Inc.
Form 10-K

Intentionally left blank

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 **Commission file number 1-8787**



American International Group, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

13-2592361

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

175 Water Street, New York, New York

(Address of principal executive offices)

10038

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (212) 770-7000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: See Exhibit 99.02

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐ Emerging growth company ☐

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of the voting and nonvoting common equity held by nonaffiliates of the registrant (based on the closing price of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter) was approximately \$47,252,000,000.

As of February 6, 2019, there were outstanding 869,486,334 shares of Common Stock, \$2.50 par value per share, of the registrant.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document of the Registrant

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Form 10-K Reference Locations

Part II, Item 5 and Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14


AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Form 10-K

Item Number	Description	Page
Part I		
ITEM 1.	Business	3
	• Our Global Business Overview	3
	• AIG's Operating Structure	5
	• Diversified Mix of Businesses	6
	• Our Employees	7
	• Regulation	8
	• Available Information about AIG	16
ITEM 1A.	Risk Factors	17
ITEM 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	32
ITEM 2.	Properties	32
ITEM 3.	Legal Proceedings	32
ITEM 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	32
Part II		
ITEM 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	33
ITEM 6.	Selected Financial Data	35
ITEM 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	38
	• Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information	38
	• Use of Non-GAAP Measures	40
	• Critical Accounting Estimates	42
	• Executive Summary	57
	• Consolidated Results of Operations	65
	• Business Segment Operations	70
	• Investments	106
	• Insurance Reserves	119
	• Liquidity and Capital Resources	131
	• Enterprise Risk Management	144
	• Glossary	165
	• Acronyms	168
ITEM 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	169
ITEM 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	170
	Reference to Financial Statements and Schedules	170
ITEM 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	326
ITEM 9A.	Controls and Procedures	326
Part III		
ITEM 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	327
ITEM 11.	Executive Compensation	327
ITEM 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	327
ITEM 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	327
ITEM 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	327
Part IV		
ITEM 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	327
ITEM 16.	Form 10-K Summary	327
Signatures		333

Part I

ITEM 1 | Business



American International Group, Inc. (AIG)

is a leading global insurance organization. Building on 100 years of experience, today we provide a wide range of property casualty insurance, life insurance, retirement products, and other financial services to customers in more than 80 countries and jurisdictions. These diverse offerings include products and services that help businesses and individuals protect their assets, manage risks and provide for retirement security. AIG common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

Throughout 2018 we executed on our strategy to deliver long-term, profitable growth by improving underwriting capabilities, mitigating risk and volatility by repositioning reinsurance structures and risk limits, adding world-class talent and utilizing capital opportunistically to re-invest in the business. Looking ahead, we continue to take decisive actions to enhance AIG's positioning for the future.

In this Annual Report, unless otherwise mentioned or unless the context indicates otherwise, we use the terms "AIG," the "Company," "we," "us" and "our" to refer to American International Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries. We use the term "AIG Parent" to refer solely to American International Group, Inc., and not to any of its consolidated subsidiaries.



Maximizing Industry Leadership and Global Footprint

About AIG

World Class Insurance Franchises

that are among the leaders in their categories, providing differentiated service and expertise.

Balance Sheet Quality and Strength

as demonstrated by over \$56 billion in shareholders' equity and AIG Parent liquidity sources of \$8.3 billion as of December 31, 2018.

Effective Capital Management

of one of the largest insurance companies in the world by shareholders' equity^(a).

Breadth of Customers

which include over 87 percent of companies in the Fortune Global 500^(b) and 81 percent of the Forbes 2000^(b).

A Diverse Mix of Businesses

supported through a presence in most international markets.

(a) At September 30, 2018, the latest date for which information was available for certain foreign insurance companies.

(b) At November 1, 2018.



Creating Value Through Profitable Growth

2019 Priorities

- **Balance and Diversification of Products** – Shifting our business mix to grow the best-performing lines of business and optimizing our global footprint
- **Technology** – Improving operations that help employees evaluate business and serve customers while strengthening essential corporate system security and efficiency
- **Leadership, Culture and Talent** – Continuing to structure and cultivate teams to deliver world-class performance
- **Capital and Growth** – Managing capital efficiently and making selective investments in complementary growth opportunities that advance profitability improvement efforts
- **Underwriting Excellence** – Using newly implemented framework and guidelines – while further integrating underwriting, claims and actuarial – to enhance the portfolio
- **Reinsurance Optimization** – Partnering strategically with reinsurers on portfolio positioning and programs designed to reduce exposures and severity from individual risk losses

2018 Highlights

Underwriting Approach

Addressed volatility and severity by reducing gross and net limits in property and casualty, and by repositioning reinsurance structures

Provided underwriters across the globe with a supportive underwriting framework, and reissued underwriting authorities aligned with revised risk appetite

Leadership Changes

Recruited some of the industry's leading talent for senior roles. Appointed 3 members of the AIG Executive Leadership Team and more than 12 General Insurance senior leaders in 2018

Growth & Balance

Complemented product and service offerings with strategic acquisitions including:

- **Validus**: reinsurance platform, insurance-linked securities, Lloyd's syndicate, excess & surplus specialty, crop risk
- **Glatfelter Insurance**: specialty programs
- **Ellipse**: group life, critical illness and income protection

AIG'S OPERATING STRUCTURE

Our Core businesses include General Insurance, Life and Retirement and Other Operations. General Insurance consists of two operating segments – North America and International. Life and Retirement consists of four operating segments – Individual Retirement, Group Retirement, Life Insurance and Institutional Markets. Blackboard U.S. Holdings, Inc. (Blackboard), AIG's technology-driven subsidiary, is reported within Other Operations. We also report a Legacy Portfolio consisting of our run-off insurance lines and legacy investments that we consider non-core. Effective February 2018, our Bermuda-domiciled composite reinsurer, Fortitude Reinsurance Company Ltd (Fortitude Re.) is included in our Legacy Portfolio.

Consistent with how we manage our business, our General Insurance North America operating segment primarily includes insurance businesses in the United States, Canada and Bermuda. Our General Insurance International operating segment includes insurance businesses in Japan, the United Kingdom, Europe, the Asia Pacific region, Latin America, Puerto Rico, Australia, the Middle East and Africa. General Insurance results are presented before consideration of internal reinsurance agreements.

For further discussion on our business segments see Item 7. MD&A and Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Business Segments

General Insurance


General Insurance is a leading provider of insurance products and services for commercial and personal insurance customers. It includes one of the world's most far-reaching property casualty networks. General Insurance offers a broad range of products to customers through a diversified, multichannel distribution network. Customers value General Insurance's strong capital position, extensive risk management and claims experience and its ability to be a market leader in critical lines of the insurance business.



General Insurance includes the following major operating companies: National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa. (National Union); American Home Assurance Company (American Home); Lexington Insurance Company (Lexington); AIG General Insurance Company, Ltd. (AIG Sonpo); AIG Asia Pacific Insurance, Pte. Ltd.; AIG Europe S.A.; American International Group UK Ltd.; Validus Reinsurance, Ltd.; Talbot Holdings Ltd.; Western World Insurance Group, Inc. and Glatfelter Insurance Group.

Life and Retirement

Life and Retirement is a unique franchise that brings together a broad portfolio of life insurance, retirement and institutional products offered through an extensive, multichannel distribution network. It holds long-standing, leading market positions in many of the markets it serves in the U.S. With its strong capital position, customer-focused service, breadth of product expertise and deep distribution relationships across multiple channels, Life and Retirement is well positioned to serve growing market needs.



Life and Retirement includes the following major operating companies: American General Life Insurance Company (American General Life); The Variable Annuity Life Insurance Company (VALIC); The United States Life Insurance Company in the City of New York (U.S. Life); Laya Healthcare Limited and AIG Life Limited.

Other Operations


Other Operations consists of businesses and items not attributed to our General Insurance and Life and Retirement segments or our Legacy Portfolio. It includes AIG Parent; Blackboard; deferred tax assets related to tax attributes; corporate expenses and intercompany eliminations.

Legacy Portfolio

Legacy Portfolio includes Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines, Legacy General Insurance Run-Off Lines, and Legacy Investments. Effective February 2018, Fortitude Re, our Bermuda-domiciled composite reinsurer, is included in our Legacy Portfolio.

Diversified Mix of Businesses

(dollars in millions)



* Our Total revenues were \$47.4 billion in 2018. The graph above represents Adjusted revenues excluding revenues from our Legacy Portfolio operations of \$3.0 billion. For reconciliation of Adjusted revenues to Total revenues see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Geographic Concentration

In 2018, 5.7 percent of our property casualty direct premiums were written in the state of California, and 16.4 percent and 7.1 percent were written in Japan and the United Kingdom, respectively. No other state or foreign jurisdiction accounted for more than five percent of our property casualty direct premiums.

For further information on our business segments see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

How We Generate Revenues and Profitability

We earn revenues primarily from insurance premiums, policy fees and income from investments.

Our expenses consist of policyholder benefits and losses incurred, interest credited to policyholders, commissions and other costs of selling and servicing our products, interest expense and general operating expenses.

Our profitability is dependent on our ability to properly price and manage risk on insurance and annuity products, to manage our portfolio of investments effectively and to control costs through expense discipline.

Investment Activities of Our Insurance Operations

Our insurance companies generally receive premiums and deposits well in advance of paying covered claims or benefits. In the intervening periods, we invest these premiums and deposits to generate net investment income that, along with the invested funds, is available to pay claims or benefits. As a result, we generate significant revenues from insurance investment activities.

The practice for managing the investments of the insurance companies places primary emphasis in corporate bonds, government or government-related bonds and mortgage backed securities and loans. Our fundamental strategy across all of our investment portfolios is to optimize the duration characteristics of the assets within a target range based on comparable liability characteristics, to the extent practicable.

For additional discussion of investment strategies see Item 7. MD&A — Investments.

Loss Reserve Development Process

The liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses (loss reserves) represents the accumulation of estimates for unpaid claims, including estimates for claims incurred but not reported (IBNR) for our General Insurance companies, including the related expenses of settling those losses.

The process of establishing loss reserves is complex and imprecise because it must take into consideration many variables that are subject to the outcome of future events. As a result, informed subjective estimates and judgments about our ultimate exposure to losses are an integral component of our loss reserving process. Because reserve estimates are subject to the outcome of future events, changes in prior year estimates are unavoidable in the insurance industry. These changes are sometimes referred to as “prior year loss development” or “reserve development.”

For further discussion on loss reserves and of prior year loss development see Item 7. MD&A — Critical Accounting Estimates — Insurance Liabilities — Loss Reserves, Item 7. MD&A — Insurance Reserves — Loss Reserves, and Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our Employees

At AIG, we believe that a major strength of ours is the quality and dedication of our people. At December 31, 2018 and 2017, we had approximately 49,600 and 49,800 employees, respectively. We believe that our relations with our employees are satisfactory.

Regulation

OVERVIEW

Our operations around the world are subject to regulation by many different types of regulatory authorities, including insurance, securities, derivatives, investment advisory and thrift regulators in the United States and abroad. The insurance and financial services industries generally have been subject to heightened regulatory scrutiny and supervision since the financial crisis.

Our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries are subject to regulation and supervision by the states and other jurisdictions in which they do business. We expect that the domestic and international regulations applicable to us and our regulated entities will continue to evolve for the foreseeable future.

U.S. REGULATION

Dodd-Frank

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank), which brought about the most extensive changes to financial regulation in the United States in many years, was signed into law. On July 8, 2013, the Financial Stability Oversight Council (Council) made a determination that material financial distress at AIG could pose a threat to U.S. financial stability. On September 29, 2017, the Council rescinded its determination that material financial distress at AIG could pose a threat to U.S. financial stability and as a result, AIG is no longer designated as a nonbank systemically important financial institution (nonbank SIFI). With the rescission of its designation as a nonbank SIFI, AIG is no longer subject to the consolidated supervision of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB) or subject to the enhanced prudential standards set forth in Dodd-Frank and its implementing regulations. Although the Council has rescinded its designation of AIG as a nonbank SIFI, certain provisions of Dodd-Frank remain relevant to insurance groups generally.

- The Council has authority to determine, subject to certain statutory and regulatory standards, that any nonbank financial company be designated as a nonbank SIFI subject to supervision by the FRB and enhanced prudential standards. The Council may also recommend that state insurance regulators or other regulators apply new or heightened standards and safeguards for activities or practices that nonbank financial services companies, including insurers, engage in.
- Title II of Dodd-Frank (Orderly Liquidation Authority) provides that a financial company whose largest United States subsidiary is an insurer may be subject to a special orderly liquidation process outside the Bankruptcy Code. That process is to be administered by the FDIC upon a determination that the company is: (i) in default or in danger of default, (ii) would have serious adverse effects on U.S. financial stability were it to fail and be resolved, (iii) is not likely to attract private sector alternatives to default and (iv) is not suitable for resolution under the Bankruptcy Code. Dodd-Frank authorizes possible assessments to cover the costs of any special resolution of a financial company conducted under Title II. U.S. insurance subsidiaries of any such financial company, however, would be subject to rehabilitation and liquidation proceedings under state insurance law.
- Title VII of Dodd-Frank provides for significantly increased regulation of and restrictions on derivatives markets and transactions that have affected and, as additional regulations come into effect, could affect various activities of insurance and other financial services companies, including (i) regulatory reporting for swaps and security-based swaps, (ii) mandated clearing through central counterparties and execution through regulated swap execution facilities for certain swaps and security-based swaps and (iii) margin and collateral requirements. Although the Commodities Futures Trading Commission (CFTC), which oversees and regulates the U.S. swap, commodities and futures markets, has finalized most of its requirements, the SEC has yet to finalize the majority of rules comprising its security-based swap regulatory regime. Increased regulation of and restrictions on derivatives markets and transactions could increase the cost of our trading and hedging activities, reduce liquidity and reduce the availability of customized hedging solutions and derivatives.
- Dodd-Frank mandated a study to determine whether stable value contracts should be included in the definition of "swap." If that study concludes that stable value contracts are swaps, Dodd-Frank authorizes certain federal regulators to determine whether an exemption from the definition of a swap for stable value contracts is appropriate and in the public interest. Certain of our affiliates participate in the stable value contract business. We cannot predict what regulations might emanate from the aforementioned study or be promulgated applicable to this business in the future.
- Title V of Dodd-Frank authorizes the United States to enter into covered agreements with foreign governments or regulatory entities regarding the business of insurance and reinsurance. On September 22, 2017, the U.S. and the European Union (EU) entered into such an agreement, and on December 18, 2018, the U.S. signed a covered agreement with the United Kingdom (UK)

in anticipation of the UK's withdrawal of its membership in the EU, commonly referred to as Brexit. *For additional information, see — International Regulation.*

- Dodd-Frank established the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (BCFP), an independent agency within the FRB, to regulate certain non-insurance consumer financial products and services offered primarily for personal, family or household purposes. Insurance products and services are not within the BCFP's general jurisdiction. Broker-dealers and investment advisers are not subject to the BCFP's jurisdiction when acting in their registered capacity.
- Dodd-Frank established the Federal Insurance Office (FIO) to serve as the central insurance authority in the federal government. While not serving a regulatory function, FIO performs certain duties related to the business of insurance. FIO serves as a non-voting member of the Council, has authority to collect information on the insurance industry and recommend prudential standards, monitors market access issues, represents the United States in international insurance forums, has authority to determine, after consulting with the relevant State and the United States Trade Representative, if certain regulations are preempted by covered agreements, and assists the Secretary of the Treasury in administering the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program under the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002.

On February 3, 2017, the President of the United States signed an Executive Order that directed the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with federal financial regulators, to assess all laws, rules and policies that regulate the U.S. financial system, including requirements put into place under Dodd-Frank since 2010, and to recommend necessary changes to make sure they conform to certain core principles. Treasury divided its review into four parts and published four reports: Banks and Credit Unions (June 12, 2017), Capital Markets (October 6, 2017), Asset Management and Insurance (October 26, 2017) and Nonbank Financials, Fintech and Innovation (July 31, 2018). In its report on insurance regulation, Treasury identified several areas for improvement at the federal and state levels and defined the role it intends for federal agencies. Among the points made in the report:

- Treasury expressed support for an activities-based approach to regulating systemic risk in the insurance industry rather than designating individual entities;
- Treasury recommended continued U.S. engagement in international standard-setting forums and charged FIO with coordinating the efforts of the federal government, state regulators, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC), and other stakeholders on the issues within its scope, such as covered agreements, matters related to the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program, and standard-setting at the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS), including discussions regarding capital and liquidity requirements;
- Treasury expressed support for robust liquidity risk management programs for insurers and encouraged regulators to continue work on addressing potential liquidity risk in the insurance sector; and
- Treasury supported the Department of Labor (the DOL) in delaying full implementation of the final fiduciary rule issued by the DOL in April 2016 (the DOL Fiduciary Rule) until relevant issues are further evaluated and addressed by the DOL, SEC, and state insurance regulators working together. The DOL Fiduciary Rule was subsequently vacated by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. *For additional information regarding legislative and regulatory developments surrounding a standard of care for the sale of investment products and services, see — U.S. Regulation — ERISA and Standard of Care Developments below and Item 7. MD&A — Executive Summary — AIG's Outlook — Industry and Economic Factors — Standard of Care Developments.*

In addition, on April 21, 2017 the President of the United States directed the Secretary of the Treasury to evaluate and provide recommendations regarding the Council's processes for designating nonbank SIFIs. The Treasury published a report pursuant to this directive on November 17, 2017, recommending that the Council prioritize an activities-based approach to regulating systemic risk rather than designating individual entities, and recommending that the Council increase the analytical rigor of its designation analyses, enhance engagement with relevant regulators and transparency to the public, and provide a clear off-ramp to designated nonbank SIFIs. The Council has begun discussions regarding potential amendments to its guidance on nonbank financial company designations related to an activities-based approach to monitoring and addressing potential systemic risk. We will monitor developments resulting from these recommendations and discussions closely.

Insurance Regulation

Certain states and other jurisdictions require registration and periodic reporting by (re)insurance companies that are licensed in such jurisdictions and are controlled by other entities. Applicable legislation typically requires periodic disclosure concerning the entity that controls the registered insurer and the other companies in the holding company system and prior approval of intercompany transactions and transfers of assets, including in some instances payment of dividends by the (re)insurance subsidiary, within the holding company system. This legislation also requires any person or entity desiring to purchase more than a specified percentage (commonly 10 percent) of our outstanding voting securities to obtain regulatory approval prior to such purchase. Our subsidiaries are registered under such legislation in those jurisdictions that have such requirements.

Our U.S. (re)insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulation and supervision by the states and other jurisdictions in which they do business. The method of such regulation varies but generally has its source in statutes that delegate regulatory and supervisory

powers to a state insurance official. The regulation and supervision relate primarily to the financial condition of the insurers and their corporate conduct and market conduct activities. This includes approval of policy forms and rates, the standards of solvency that must be met and maintained, including with respect to risk-based capital, the standards on transactions between (re)insurance company subsidiaries and their affiliates, including restrictions and limitations on the amount of dividends or other distributions payable by (re)insurance company subsidiaries to their parent companies, the licensing of insurers and their agents, restrictions on the size of risks that may be insured under a single policy, deposits of securities for the benefit of policyholders, requirements for acceptability of reinsurers, periodic examinations of the affairs of (re)insurance companies, the form and content of reports of financial condition required to be filed, reserves for unearned premiums, losses and other purposes and enterprise risk management and corporate governance requirements. Our (re)insurance subsidiaries are also subject to requirements on investments, which prescribe the kind, quality and concentration of investments they can make. In general, such regulation is for the protection of policyholders rather than the creditors or equity owners of these companies.

U.S. states have state insurance guaranty associations in which insurers doing business in the state are required by law to be members. Member insurers may be assessed by the associations for certain obligations of insolvent insurance companies to policyholders and claimants. Typically, states assess member insurers in amounts related to the member's proportionate share of the relevant type of business written by all members in the state. The protection afforded by a state's guaranty association to policyholders of insolvent insurers varies from state to state.

In the U.S., the NAIC is a standard-setting and regulatory support organization created and governed by the chief insurance regulators from the 50 states, the District of Columbia and five U.S. territories. The NAIC itself is not a regulator, but, with assistance from the NAIC, state insurance regulators establish standards and best practices, conduct peer review and coordinate regulatory oversight. Every state has adopted, in substantial part, the Risk-Based Capital (RBC) Model Law promulgated by the NAIC or a substantially similar law, which allows states to act upon the results of RBC calculations, and provides four incremental levels of regulatory action regarding insurers whose RBC calculations fall below specific thresholds. Those levels of action range from the requirement to submit a plan describing how an insurer would regain a specified RBC ratio to a mandatory regulatory takeover of the company. The RBC formula is designed to measure the adequacy of an insurer's statutory surplus in relation to the risks inherent in its business and computes a risk-adjusted surplus level by applying discrete factors to various asset, premium, reserve and other financial statement items. These factors are developed to be risk-sensitive so that higher factors are applied to items exposed to greater risk. The statutory surplus of each of our U.S. based (re)insurance companies exceeded RBC minimum required levels as of December 31, 2018.

If any of our (re)insurance entities fell below prescribed levels of statutory surplus, it would be our intention to provide appropriate capital or other types of support to that entity. *For additional information, see Item 7. MD&A – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Liquidity and Capital Resources of AIG Parent and Subsidiaries – Insurance Companies.*

The NAIC's Model Regulation "Valuation of Life Insurance Policies" (Regulation XXX) requires insurers to establish additional statutory reserves for term life insurance policies with long-term premium guarantees and universal life policies with secondary guarantees (ULSGs). NAIC Actuarial Guideline 38 (Guideline AXXX) clarifies the application of Regulation XXX as to these guarantees, including certain ULSGs. *See Item 1A. Risk Factors and Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for risks and additional information related to these statutory reserving requirements.* In December 2012, the NAIC approved a new valuation manual containing a principle-based approach to life insurance company reserves. Principle-based reserving (PBR) is designed to tailor the reserving process to specific products in an effort to create a principle-based modeling approach to reserving rather than the factor-based approach historically employed. PBR became effective on January 1, 2017, after the NAIC's model Standard Valuation Law was enacted by the requisite number of states representing the required premium volume, replacing Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX with respect to new life insurance business issued after that date. Two of our domiciliary states (Missouri and Texas) have adopted the regulations necessary to implement PBR. On December 10, 2018, a third domiciliary state (New York) adopted an emergency regulation to begin the implementation of PBR for regulated life insurers. We have up to three years after January 1, 2017 to implement PBR, and have currently elected to defer implementation.

The NAIC's Insurance Holding Company System Regulatory Act (the Model Holding Company Act) and the Insurance Holding Company System Model Regulation include (i) provisions authorizing NAIC commissioners to act as global group-wide supervisors for internationally active insurance groups and participate in international supervisory colleges, and (ii) the requirement that the ultimate controlling person of a U.S. insurer file an annual enterprise risk report with its lead state regulator identifying risks likely to have a material adverse effect upon the financial condition or liquidity of its licensed insurers or the insurance holding company system as a whole. All of the states where AIG has domestic insurers have enacted a version of the revised Model Holding Company Act, including the enterprise risk reporting requirement.

The NAIC's Risk Management and Own Risk and Solvency Assessment Model Act (ORSA) requires that insurers maintain a risk management framework and conduct an internal own risk and solvency assessment of the insurer's material risks in normal and stressed environments. All of the states where AIG has domestic insurers have enacted a version of ORSA.

ERISA and Standard of Care Developments

We provide products and services that are subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA), or the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Internal Revenue Code). Plans subject to ERISA include certain pension and profit sharing plans and welfare plans, including health, life and disability plans. As a result, our activities are subject to the restrictions imposed by ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code, including the requirement under ERISA that fiduciaries must perform their duties solely in the interests of ERISA plan participants and beneficiaries, and that fiduciaries may not cause a covered plan to engage in certain prohibited transactions.

Certain of our retirement products and services were also subject to the DOL Fiduciary Rule before the final rule was formally vacated by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (the Fifth Circuit) on March 15, 2018 with the Fifth Circuit ruling that the DOL exceeded its authority in promulgating the DOL Fiduciary Rule, specifically in its broadening of the scope of fiduciary “investment advice” under ERISA and in the terms of the best interest contract exemption. As the Fifth Circuit’s final judgment was not further appealed, the ruling has the effect of invalidating the DOL Fiduciary Rule in its entirety. While the DOL has indicated that it plans to issue in September 2019 a revised final fiduciary rule package to replace the DOL Fiduciary Rule vacated by the Fifth Circuit, we cannot predict at this time the scope or substance of the new regulation that may be ultimately promulgated by the DOL or the impact such regulation may have on our businesses and operations.

In addition to the DOL, the SEC, federal and state lawmakers and state insurance regulators continue their efforts to evaluate what is an appropriate regulatory framework around a standard of care for the sale of investment products and services. On April 18, 2018, the SEC proposed a package of proposed rules and interpretations designed to address standard of care issues and the transparency of retail investors’ relationships with investment advisors and broker-dealers. On July 18, 2018, the New York State Department of Financial Services (NYDFS) adopted a best interest standard of care regulation applicable to annuity and life transactions through issuance of the First Amendment to Insurance Regulation 187 – Suitability and Best Interests in Life Insurance and Annuity Transactions (Regulation 187).

For additional information regarding these developments, see Item 7. MD&A – Executive Summary – AIG’s Outlook – Industry and Economic Factors – Standard of Care Developments.

Investment Adviser, Broker-Dealer and Investment Company Regulation

Our investment products and services are subject to federal and state securities, fiduciary, including ERISA, and other laws and regulations. The SEC, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), CFTC, state securities commissions, state insurance departments and the DOL are the principal U.S. regulators of these operations.

The subsidiaries that manage the operations of our investment products and services are registered as investment advisers with the SEC under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the Investment Advisers Act) and are required to supervise the activities of their personnel. Our affiliates that offer interests in insurance company separate accounts, mutual funds and other pooled investment products, and that provide other financial services to customers, are registered as broker-dealers and/or investment advisors with the SEC under the Exchange Act or the Investment Advisers Act, with certain states, and/or are also members of FINRA, as applicable. Our broker-dealer subsidiaries and their personnel are subject to examination by the SEC, FINRA, and the states for compliance with law, and certain personnel of these broker-dealers are also required to pass qualification examinations. The investment products that are offered by our affiliates may be registered under the Securities Act, which regulates disclosure regarding the products, and/or the Investment Company of 1940, which imposes substantive regulation on the structure and governance of the products, as well as being subject to insurance regulation in the case of separate accounts. Some products may also be qualified for sale in various states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

Our subsidiary, AlphaCat Managers Ltd., is a licensed insurance manager and is registered as an investment adviser with the SEC under the Investment Advisers Act. AlphaCat Managers Ltd. is also registered as a “commodity pool operator” with the CFTC and is a member of the National Futures Association.

For additional information regarding legislative and regulatory developments surrounding a standard of care for the sale of investment products and services, see Item 7. MD&A – Executive Summary – AIG’s Outlook – Industry and Economic Factors – Standard of Care Developments.

Privacy, Data Protection and Cybersecurity

We are subject to U.S. laws and regulations that require financial institutions and other businesses to protect the security and confidentiality of personal and other sensitive information and provide notice of their practices relating to the collection and disclosure of personal information. We also are subject to laws and regulations requiring notification to affected individuals and regulators of security breaches.

In October 2017, the NAIC adopted the Insurance Data Security Model Law (NAIC Model Law), which would require insurers, insurance producers and other entities required to be licensed under state insurance laws to develop and maintain a written information security program, conduct risk assessments, oversee the data security practices of third-party service providers and other related requirements. Legislation based on the NAIC Model Law has been enacted in South Carolina, Ohio and Michigan, and may be enacted in other states.

Effective March 1, 2017, the NYDFS promulgated a cybersecurity regulation requiring covered financial services institutions to implement a cybersecurity program designed to protect information systems. The regulation imposes specific technical safeguards as well as governance, risk assessment, monitoring and testing, third party service provider incident response and reporting and other requirements. The regulation sets forth transitional periods for compliance with different sections of the regulation through early 2019. AIG companies covered by the regulation periodically file certifications of compliance with the requirements in force at the time of each such filing. Requirements under the NYDFS' cybersecurity regulation are similar to those under the NAIC Model Law, with some differences.

In 2018, California enacted the California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018 (CCPA), which will go into effect in 2020. The CCPA contains a number of new requirements regarding the personal information of California consumers as defined by the statute, including new individual rights and mandatory disclosures regarding consumers' personal information. The statute also establishes a private right of action in some cases if consumers' personal information is subject to a data breach as a result of a business' failure to implement and maintain reasonable security practices.

For information on privacy, data protection and cybersecurity regulation in the EU and other international jurisdictions, see International Regulation – Privacy, Data Protection and Cybersecurity.

Thrift Regulator

AIG Federal Savings Bank, our trust-only federal thrift subsidiary, is supervised and regulated by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency.

INTERNATIONAL REGULATION

Insurance Regulation

A substantial portion of our business is conducted in foreign countries. The degree of regulation and supervision in foreign jurisdictions varies. Generally, our subsidiaries operating in foreign jurisdictions must satisfy local regulatory requirements; licenses issued by foreign authorities to our subsidiaries are subject to modification or revocation by such authorities, and therefore these subsidiaries could be prevented from conducting business in certain of the jurisdictions where they currently operate.

Certain jurisdictions require registration and periodic reporting by (re)insurance companies that are licensed in such jurisdictions and are controlled by other entities. Applicable legislation typically requires periodic disclosure concerning the entity that controls the registered insurer and the other companies in the holding company system and prior approval of intercompany transactions and transfers of assets, including in some instances payment of dividends by the (re)insurance subsidiary within the holding company system. Our subsidiaries are registered under such legislation in those jurisdictions that have such requirements.

In addition to these licensing and other requirements, our foreign operations are also regulated in various jurisdictions with respect to currency, policy language and terms, advertising, amount and type of security deposits, amount and type of reserves, amount and type of capital to be held, amount and type of local investment and the share of profits to be returned to policyholders on participating policies. Our foreign operations are subject to local tax laws and regulations as well. Some foreign countries regulate rates on various types of policies. Certain countries have established reinsurance institutions, wholly or partially owned by the local government, to which admitted insurers are obligated to cede a portion of their business on terms that may not always allow foreign insurers, including our subsidiaries, full compensation. In some countries, regulations governing constitution of technical reserves and remittance balances may hinder remittance of profits and repatriation of assets.

Legislation in the EU could also affect our international (re)insurance operations. The EU issues Directives and Regulations on a wide range of topics that impact financial services. Insurance companies operating in the EU are subject to the Solvency II framework. The Prudential Regulation Authority, the United Kingdom's (UK's) prudential regulator, is the lead prudential supervisor for our new UK entity, AIG UK. The UK's Financial Conduct Authority has oversight of AIG UK for consumer protection and competition matters. The Luxembourg insurance regulator, the Commissariat aux Assurances (the CAA) is the insurance regulator for AIG Europe SA, which serves our European Economic Area (EEA) and Swiss policyholders. *For information on the UK's pending withdrawal of its membership in the EU, see —Brexit.* In addition, financial companies that operate in the EU are subject to a range of regulations enforced by the national regulators in each member state in which that firm operates. The EU has also established a set of regulatory requirements under the European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR) that include, among other things, risk mitigation, risk

management, regulatory reporting and clearing requirements. Solvency II governs the insurance industry's solvency framework, including minimum capital and solvency requirements, governance requirements, risk management and public reporting standards. In accordance with Solvency II, the European Commission is required to make a determination as to whether a supervisory regime outside of the EU is "equivalent."

On September 22, 2017, the U.S. Treasury Department and the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, on behalf of the U.S., and the EU signed the bilateral Covered Agreement, which is intended to address issues regarding the application of Solvency II requirements to U.S.-based insurance groups as well as other (re)insurance regulatory issues. Certain aspects of the agreement remain subject to an implementation timetable in the U.S. and the EU, which may delay or even prevent the agreement from being fully implemented. In particular, the U.S. states have been given a period of five years to comply with the agreement's reinsurance collateral provisions. After 42 months, FIO must begin evaluating a potential preemption determination with respect to any state law not in compliance with the aim of assuring full compliance within the five-year timeframe. The agreement may be terminated (following mandatory consultation) by notice from one party to the other effective in 180 days, or at such time as the parties may agree.

Under the agreement, AIG will be supervised at the worldwide group level only by its relevant U.S. insurance supervisors, and will not have to satisfy EU Solvency II group capital, reporting and governance requirements for its worldwide group. The agreement, however, would permit the imposition of EU Solvency II group capital requirements if, after five years from the signing of the agreement, a U.S. insurer is not subject to a group capital assessment by its applicable state regulator. The NAIC is in the process of developing a group capital calculation that, once adopted by the states, is expected to satisfy this condition. The agreement further provides that if the summary risk reports submitted to the supervisory authority of a host jurisdiction expose any serious threat to policyholder protection or financial stability in such host state, the host supervisor may request further information from the insurance group and/or impose preventive or corrective measures with respect to the (re)insurer in its jurisdiction. The agreement also seeks to impose equal treatment of U.S. and EU-based reinsurers that meet certain qualifications. In the U.S., once fully implemented, the agreement requires U.S. states to lift reinsurance collateral requirements on qualifying EU-based reinsurers and provide them equal treatment with U.S. reinsurers or be subject to federal preemption. While this provision does not preclude AIG from continuing to request collateral from an EU reinsurer that is party to a bilateral reinsurance transaction, it is unclear how much collateral AIG will be able to obtain from EU reinsurers going forward.

On December 18, 2018, the U.S. Treasury Department and the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative signed the Bilateral Agreement between the U.S. and the UK on Prudential Measures Regarding Insurance and Reinsurance (the U.S.-UK Covered Agreement). The terms of the agreement are substantially similar to the U.S.-EU Covered Agreement. The agreement has been entered into in order to maintain regulatory certainty and market continuity as the UK prepares to leave the EU. The agreement is still subject to U.S. and UK internal requirements and procedures, including a 90 day Congressional notification period in the U.S. In addition, the agreement notes with respect to the date of entry into force that the UK must take into account its obligations arising in respect of any agreement between the EU and the UK pursuant to Article 50 of the Treaty on European Union, which sets out the process under which an EU member state may withdraw from the EU.

The Bermuda Monetary Authority (the BMA) regulates AIG's operating (re)insurance subsidiaries in Bermuda. The Insurance Act 1978 and its related regulations (as amended, the Insurance Act), as enforced by the BMA, impose a variety of requirements and restrictions on our Bermuda operating (re)insurance subsidiaries including: the filing of annual statutory financial returns; the filing of annual GAAP financial statements; compliance with minimum enhanced capital requirements; compliance with the BMA's Insurance Code of Conduct; compliance with minimum solvency margins and liquidity ratios; limitations on dividends and distributions; preparation of an annual Financial Condition Report providing details of measures governing the business operations, corporate governance framework, solvency and financial performance; and restrictions on certain changes in control of regulated (re)insurers.

Privacy, Data Protection and Cybersecurity

The EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) took effect in May 2018. The GDPR aims to introduce consistent data protection rules across the EU, and its scope extends to entities established within the EEA (i.e., EU member states plus Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway) and also extends to certain entities not established in the EEA (in certain instances, if they process personal data of or offer goods or services to EEA data subjects, or monitor the behavior of EEA data subjects (e.g., in an online context)).

We are addressing the new requirements regarding the processing of personal data about individuals, including mandatory security breach reporting, new and strengthened individual rights, evidenced data controller accountability for compliance with the GDPR principles (including fairness and transparency), maintenance of data processing activity records and the implementation of "privacy by design", including through the completion of mandatory Data Protection Impact Assessments in connection with higher risk data processing activities. Sanctions for non-compliance with the GDPR are more onerous than the previous regulatory regime with the potential for fines of up to 4 percent of global revenue for the most serious infringements.

We also are subject to other international laws and regulations that require financial institutions and other businesses to protect the security and confidentiality of personal and other sensitive information and provide notice of their practices relating to the collection

and disclosure of personal information, and to international laws and regulations requiring notification to affected individuals and regulators of security breaches. In addition, we must comply with laws and regulations regarding the cross-border transfer of information.

For additional information on U.S. privacy, data protection and cybersecurity regulation, see U.S. Regulation – Privacy, Data Protection and Cybersecurity.

FSB and IAIS

The Financial Stability Board (FSB) consists of representatives of national financial authorities of the G20 countries. The FSB itself is not a regulator but is focused primarily on promoting international financial stability. It does so by coordinating the work of national financial authorities and international standard-setting bodies as well as developing and promoting the implementation of regulatory, supervisory and other financial policies. The FSB has issued a series of frameworks and recommendations intended to produce significant changes in how financial companies, particularly global systemically important financial institutions, should be regulated. These frameworks and recommendations address such issues as systemic financial risk, financial group supervision, capital and solvency standards, corporate governance including compensation, and a number of related issues associated with responses to the financial crisis.

The IAIS represents insurance regulators and supervisors of more than 200 jurisdictions (including regions and states) in nearly 140 countries and seeks to promote globally consistent insurance industry supervision. The IAIS itself is not a regulator, but one of its activities is to develop insurance regulatory standards for use by local authorities across the globe. The FSB has charged the IAIS with developing a framework for measuring systemic risks posed by insurance groups and has directed the IAIS to create standards relative to many of the areas of focus of the FSB, which go beyond the IAIS' basic Insurance Core Principles. The IAIS is developing ComFrame, a Common Framework for the Supervision of Internationally Active Insurance Groups (IAIGs). ComFrame sets out qualitative and quantitative standards in order to assist supervisors in collectively addressing an IAIG's activities and risks, identifying and avoiding regulatory gaps and coordinating supervisory activities. ComFrame is expected to include standards for group supervision, governance and internal controls, enterprise risk management, and recovery and resolution planning. Also in connection with ComFrame, the IAIS is in the process of developing a risk-based global insurance capital standard (ICS) applicable to IAIGs. We currently meet the parameters set forth to define an IAIG. ComFrame standards are expected to be finalized in 2019. Following completion of field testing in 2019, the IAIS will put forward ICS version 2.0 for implementation in 2020. Implementation of ICS version 2.0 will consist of two phases: (1) a five year monitoring phase in which ICS version 2.0 will be used for confidential reporting to group-wide supervisors and discussion in supervisory colleges; and (2) an implementation phase whereby the ICS will be applied as a group-wide prescribed capital requirement at which point results will be used as the basis for supervisory action. Confidential reporting of ICS version 2.0 will include reporting by IAIGs of a standard formula based on market adjusted valuation and the option, at the discretion of the group-wide supervisor, of additional ICS reporting based on GAAP with adjustments and/or an internal model based-calculation. In recognition of U.S. Federal Reserve and NAIC plans to develop an "aggregation method" for group capital, the IAIS has agreed to aid in the development of - and collect data from jurisdictions that are party to - the aggregation method. Although the aggregation method will not be part of ICS version 2.0, the IAIS aims to be in a position at the end of the monitoring phase to determine whether the aggregated approach provides substantially the same outcome as the ICS, in which case it could be incorporated into the ICS as an outcome-equivalent approach.

In February 2017, the IAIS announced the adoption of a three-year systemic risk assessment and policy workplan due to be finalized by year-end 2019. This initiative is comprised of a new macroprudential activities-based approach (ABA) to regulating systemic risk which will be developed in conjunction with the IAIS' previously announced work in finalizing ComFrame, including the ICS, as well as any improvements to the methodology for identifying global systemically important insurers (G-SIIs). Based on the IAIS' G-SII assessment methodology, since July 2013 the FSB has published an annual list of G-SIIs, which has included us. However, on November 30, 2017 the FSB announced that it would not be proceeding with the publication of a G-SII list for 2017 in light of the IAIS' development of the ABA and its implications for the assessment of systemic risk in insurance and, by extension, the identification of G-SIIs and related policy measures for G-SIIs. On November 14, 2018, the FSB announced that, in light of IAIS progress in developing a proposed holistic framework for the assessment and mitigation of potential systemic risk in the insurance sector, inclusive of the ABA as a key component of the framework, it has decided not to engage in an identification of G-SIIs in 2018. In its public consultation on the holistic framework, issued on November 14, 2018, the IAIS noted that, in its view, the implementation of the holistic framework would obviate the need for the FSB's annual G-SII identification process. The FSB stated that it will (i) assess the IAIS's recommendation to suspend G-SII identification from 2020, once the holistic framework is finalized in November 2019; and (ii) in November 2022, based on the initial years of implementation of the holistic framework, review the need to either discontinue or re-establish an annual identification of G-SIIs.

The standards issued by the FSB and/or the IAIS are not binding on the United States or other jurisdictions around the world unless and until the appropriate local governmental bodies or regulators adopt laws and regulations implementing such standards. At this

time, it is not known how the IAIS' frameworks and/or standards might be implemented in the United States and other jurisdictions around the world, or how they might apply to us.

Brexit

On June 23, 2016, the UK held a referendum in which a majority voted for the UK to withdraw its membership in the EU, commonly referred to as Brexit. The terms of withdrawal remain uncertain, with the draft withdrawal agreement having to date been rejected by the UK Parliament. There can be no assurance that a withdrawal agreement will be reached prior to Brexit.

AIG has significant operations and employees in the UK and other EU member states. Prior to December 1, 2018, our General Insurance business operated through AIG Europe Limited (AEL), a UK-incorporated insurer with branches across the EEA. These branches operated through the EU concept of Freedom of Establishment, which allows an insurer in any member state to establish branch operations in any other member state but with a single capital pool and a single prudential regulator (which in this case was the UK's Prudential Regulation Authority as AEL was UK-authorized). In addition, the various establishments of AEL were able to sell insurance products across borders into other member states under the EU principle of Freedom of Services. In the event that the UK leaves the EU without a withdrawal agreement in place, or in the event that a withdrawal agreement does not preserve access to these EU freedoms for UK insurers, AEL would be severely constrained in its ability to utilize and benefit from such freedoms. UK government policy has not been to pursue continued UK membership of the EU single market and so even if the UK and EU are able to settle on a withdrawal agreement, it is unlikely that AEL's structure would have remained efficient beyond any proposed temporary transitional period.

As a result, in order to adapt to and be prepared ahead of Brexit, on December 1, 2018, we completed a reorganization of our operations and legal entity structure in the UK and the EU through the establishment of a new European subsidiary in Luxembourg, AIG Europe S.A. (AESA), which has branches across the EEA and Switzerland, and a new UK subsidiary, American International Group UK Limited (AIG UK). Business written by AEL's branches in the remaining EEA countries was transferred to AESA, along with business previously written on a Freedom of Services basis from AEL's UK operations. The remaining business written by AEL's UK operations was transferred to AIG UK and AEL was merged into AESA, allowing AIG to operate in both the EEA and UK on a standalone basis.

This reorganization addresses the uncertainty for UK insurers generated by Brexit because it ensures that even in the event that no agreement is reached between the UK and EU in this sector, AIG will be able to continue to service and pay claims on existing policies, and write new and renewal business where the insured risk is located in the remaining EEA countries. AIG continues to monitor, adapt to and prepare for other risks relating to a "no deal" Brexit that could impact its business including, for example, the effect on the wider UK and EU economies and on investments, legislative changes, updates to policy wording that may become necessary and other specific areas such as the issuance of additional documentation to motorists it insures who travel cross border.

Derivatives

Regulation of and restrictions on derivatives markets and transactions have been proposed or adopted outside the United States. For instance, the EU has also established a set of new regulatory requirements for EU derivatives activities under EMIR. These requirements include, among other things, various risk mitigation, risk management, margin posting, regulatory reporting and, for certain categories of derivatives, clearing requirements. Aside from certain margin obligations, these requirements are now in force. There remains the possibility of increased administrative costs with respect to our EU derivatives activities and overlapping or inconsistent regulation depending on the ultimate application of cross-border regulatory requirements between and among U.S. and non-U.S. jurisdictions.

Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID) II

The Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID II) and Markets in Financial Instruments Regulation took effect in Europe on January 3, 2018. MiFID II and the related regulations are intended to create transparency in market trading by, for example, imposing trade and transaction reporting and other requirements. AIG Asset Management (Europe) Limited (AAMEL) has and continues to implement new policies, procedures and reporting protocols required to ensure compliance with this legislation and its related rules.

Available Information about AIG

Our corporate website is www.aig.com. We make available free of charge, through the Investor Information section of our corporate website, the following reports (and related amendments as filed with the SEC) as soon as reasonably practicable after such materials are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC:

- Annual Reports on Form 10-K
- Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q
- Current Reports on Form 8-K
- Proxy Statements on Schedule 14A, as well as other filings with the SEC

Also available on our corporate website:

- *Charters for Board Committees: Audit, Nominating and Corporate Governance, Compensation and Management Resources, Risk and Capital, and Technology Committees*
- *Corporate Governance Guidelines (which include Director Independence Standards)*
- *Director, Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officer Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (we will post on our website any amendment or waiver to this Code within the time period required by the SEC)*
- *Employee Code of Conduct*
- *Related-Party Transactions Approval Policy*

Except for the documents specifically incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K, information contained on our website or that can be accessed through our website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Reference to our website is made as an inactive textual reference.

ITEM 1A | Risk Factors

Investing in AIG involves risk. In deciding whether to invest in AIG, you should carefully consider the following risk factors. Any of these risk factors could have a significant or material adverse effect on our businesses, results of operations, financial condition or liquidity. They could also cause significant fluctuations and volatility in the trading price of our securities. Readers should not consider any descriptions of these factors to be a complete set of all potential risks that could affect AIG. These factors should be considered carefully together with the other information contained in this report and the other reports and materials filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Further, many of these risks are interrelated and could occur under similar business and economic conditions, and the occurrence of certain of them may in turn cause the emergence or exacerbate the effect of others. Such a combination could materially increase the severity of the impact of these risks on our businesses, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

MARKET CONDITIONS

Deterioration of economic conditions, geopolitical tensions or weakening in global capital markets may materially affect our businesses, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. Our businesses are highly dependent on global economic and market conditions. Weaknesses in economic conditions and the capital markets and market volatility have in the past led, and may in the future lead, to a poor operating environment, erosion of consumer and investor confidence, reduced business volumes, deteriorating liquidity and declines in asset valuations. Adverse economic conditions may result from global economic and political developments, including plateauing business activity and inflationary pressures in developed economies, uncertainty surrounding China's ability to successfully maintain growth, the effects of Brexit (as defined below) on business investment, hiring, migration and labor supply and intensifying trade protectionism. These and other market, economic, and political factors could have a material adverse effect on our businesses, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity in many ways, including (i) lower levels of consumer and commercial business activities that could decrease revenues and profitability and decrease value in goodwill, deferred tax assets and other long-term assets, (ii) increases in credit spreads and defaults that could reduce investment asset valuations, increase credit losses across numerous asset classes, and increase statutory capital requirements and (iii) increased market volatility and uncertainty that could decrease liquidity and increase borrowing costs. Other ways in which we could be negatively affected by economic conditions include, but are not limited to: increases in policy surrenders and cancellations; write-offs of deferred policy acquisition costs; increases in liability for future policy benefits due to loss recognition on certain long-duration insurance and reinsurance contracts; and increases in expenses associated with third-party reinsurance, or decreased ability to obtain reinsurance at acceptable terms.

Sustained low interest rates, or rapidly increasing interest rates, may materially and adversely affect our profitability.

Although interest rates have been rising recently, particularly in the United States, rates remain low relative to historical levels. Sustained low interest rates can negatively affect the performance of our investment securities and reduce the level of investment income earned on our investment portfolios. If a low interest rate environment persists, we may experience lower investment income. Due to practical and capital markets limitations, we may not be able to fully mitigate our interest rate risk by matching exposure of our assets relative to our liabilities. Continued low interest rates could also impair our ability to earn the returns assumed in the pricing and the reserving for our products at the time they were sold and issued. Changes in interest rates may be correlated with inflation trends, which would impact our loss trends.

On the other hand, in periods of rapidly increasing interest rates, we may not be able to replace, in a timely manner, the investments in our general account with higher yielding investments needed to fund the higher crediting rates necessary to keep interest rate sensitive products competitive. Therefore, we may have to accept a lower investment spread and, thus, lower profitability or face a decline in sales and greater loss of existing contracts and related assets. In addition, policy loans, surrenders and withdrawals tend to increase as policyholders seek investments with higher perceived returns as interest rates rise. This process may result in cash outflows requiring that we sell investments at a time when the prices of those investments are adversely affected by the increase in interest rates. This may result in realized investment losses. An increase in interest rates could also have a material adverse effect on the value of our investment portfolio, for example, by decreasing the estimated fair values of the fixed income securities that comprise a substantial portion of our investment portfolio. This in turn could adversely affect our ability to realize our deferred tax assets.

RESERVES AND EXPOSURES

Insurance and reinsurance liabilities are difficult to predict and may exceed the related reserves for losses and loss expenses. We regularly review the adequacy of the established loss reserves and conduct extensive analyses of our reserves during the year. Our loss reserves, however, may develop adversely and materially impact our businesses, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

For General Insurance, estimation of ultimate net losses, loss expenses and loss reserves is a complex process, particularly for long-tail liability lines of business. These lines include, but are not limited to, general liability, commercial automobile liability, environmental, workers' compensation, excess casualty and crisis management coverages, insurance and risk management programs for large corporate customers and other customized structured insurance products, as well as excess and umbrella liability, errors and omissions, products liability, programs and specialty. There is also greater uncertainty in establishing reserves with respect to new business, particularly new business that is generated with respect to more recently introduced product lines. In these cases, there is less historical experience or knowledge and less data upon which the actuaries can rely. Estimating reserves is further complicated by unexpected claims or unintended coverages that emerge due to changing conditions. These emerging issues may increase the size or number of claims beyond our underwriting intent and may not become apparent for many years after a policy is issued.

While we use a number of analytical reserve development techniques to project future loss development, reserves have been and may be significantly affected by changes in loss cost trends or loss development factors that were relied upon in setting the reserves. For example, in 2018, 2017 and 2016, we recorded pre-tax net charges of \$1.4 billion, \$1.6 billion and \$5.8 billion, respectively, to strengthen our General Insurance loss reserves, reflecting adverse development in classes of business with long reporting tails, primarily in Casualty and Financial Lines. These changes in loss cost trends or loss development factors could be due to changes in actual versus expected claims and losses, difficulties in predicting changes, such as changes in inflation, unemployment duration, or other social or economic factors affecting claims, including judicial and legislative approaches. Any deviation in loss cost trends or in loss development factors might not be identified for an extended period of time after we record the initial loss reserve estimates for any accident year or number of years.

For Life and Retirement, experience may develop adversely such that additional reserves must be established. Adverse experience could arise out of a severe short term event such as a pandemic, or due to misestimation of long-term assumptions such as mortality improvement and interest rate assumptions. While mortality experience is relatively stable due to the large amount of historical data available, assumptions in respect of other variables, such as policyholder behavior can be more difficult to estimate and may have a significant impact on reserves. Life and Retirement reserves and assumptions are reviewed regularly and loss recognition testing and cash flow testing is carried out annually.

For a further discussion of our loss reserves see Item 7. MD&A — Critical Accounting Estimates — Insurance Liabilities — Loss Reserves and Insurance Reserves — Loss Reserves and Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our consolidated results of operations, liquidity, financial condition and ratings are subject to the effects of natural and man-made catastrophic events. Events such as hurricanes, windstorms, flooding, earthquakes, wildfires, solar storms, war or other military action, acts of terrorism, explosions and fires, cyber-crimes, product defects, pandemic and other highly contagious diseases, mass torts and other catastrophes have adversely affected our business in the past and could do so in the future. For example, we had pre-tax catastrophe losses of \$2.9 billion in 2018, which included losses from Hurricanes Florence and Michael, typhoons and earthquakes in Japan and mudslides and wildfires in California.

In addition, we recognize the scientific consensus that climate change is a reality of increasing concern, indicated by higher concentrations of greenhouse gases, a warming atmosphere and ocean, diminished snow and ice, and sea level rise. We understand that climate change potentially poses a serious financial threat to society as a whole, with implications for the insurance industry in areas such as catastrophe risk perception, pricing and modeling assumptions, particularly if the frequency and severity of natural catastrophic events continue to increase. Because there is significant variability associated with the impacts of climate change, we cannot predict how physical, legal, regulatory and social responses may impact our business.

Catastrophic events, and any relevant regulations, could expose us to:

- widespread claim costs associated with property, workers' compensation, A&H, business interruption and mortality and morbidity claims;
- loss resulting from a decline in the value of our invested assets;
- limitations on our ability to recover deferred tax assets;
- loss resulting from actual policy experience that is adverse compared to the assumptions made in product pricing;
- declines in value and/or losses with respect to companies and other entities whose securities we hold and counterparties we transact business with and have credit exposure to, including reinsurers, and declines in the value of investments; and
- significant disruptions to our physical infrastructure, systems and operations.

Natural and man-made catastrophic events are generally unpredictable. Our exposure to catastrophic-related loss depends on various factors, including the frequency and severity of the catastrophes, the rate of inflation and the value and geographic or other concentrations of insured companies and individuals. Vendor models and proprietary assumptions and processes that we use to manage catastrophe exposure may prove to be ineffective due to incorrect assumptions or estimates.

In addition, legislative and regulatory initiatives and court decisions following major catastrophes could require us to pay the insured beyond the provisions of the original insurance policy and may prohibit the application of a deductible, resulting in inflated catastrophe claims.

For further details on potential catastrophic events, including a sensitivity analysis of our exposure to certain catastrophes, see Item 7. MD&A — Enterprise Risk Management — Insurance Risks.

Reinsurance may not be available or affordable and may not be adequate to protect us against losses. Our subsidiaries are major purchasers of third-party reinsurance and we use reinsurance as part of our overall risk management strategy. Our reinsurance business also purchases retrocessional reinsurance, which allows a reinsurer to cede to another company all or part of the reinsurance obligations originally assumed by the reinsurer. While reinsurance does not discharge our subsidiaries from their obligation to pay claims for losses insured or reinsured under our policies, it does make the reinsurer liable to the subsidiaries for the reinsured portion of the risk. For this reason, reinsurance is an important tool to manage transaction and insurance line risk retention and to mitigate losses from catastrophes. Market conditions beyond our control may impact the availability and cost of reinsurance or retrocessional reinsurance and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. For example, reinsurance may be more difficult or costly to obtain after a year with a large number of major catastrophes. We may, at certain times, be forced to incur additional costs for reinsurance or may be unable to obtain sufficient reinsurance on acceptable terms. In the latter case, we would have to accept an increase in exposure to risk, reduce the amount of business written by our subsidiaries or seek alternatives in line with our risk limits.

Additionally, we are exposed to credit risk with respect to our subsidiaries' reinsurers to the extent the reinsurance receivable is not secured by collateral or does not benefit from other credit enhancements. We also bear the risk that a reinsurer may be unwilling to pay amounts we have recorded as reinsurance recoverables for any reason, including that (i) the terms of the reinsurance contract do not reflect the intent of the parties to the contract or there is a disagreement between the parties as to their intent, (ii) the terms of the contract cannot be legally enforced, (iii) the terms of the contract are interpreted by a court or arbitration panel differently than expected, (iv) the reinsurance transaction performs differently than we anticipated due to a flawed design of the reinsurance structure, terms or conditions, or (v) a change in laws and regulations, or in the interpretation of the laws and regulations, materially impacts a reinsurance transaction. The insolvency of one or more of our reinsurers, or inability or unwillingness to make timely payments under the terms of our contracts, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and liquidity.

Additionally, the use of reinsurance placed in the capital markets may not provide the same levels of protection as traditional reinsurance transactions. Any disruption, volatility and uncertainty in these markets, such as following a major catastrophic event, may limit our ability to access such markets on terms favorable to us or at all. Also, to the extent that we intend to use structures based on an industry loss index or other non-indemnity trigger rather than on actual losses incurred by us, we could be subject to residual risk.

We currently have limited reinsurance coverage for terrorist attacks. Further, the availability of private sector reinsurance for terrorism is limited. We rely heavily on the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program (TRIP), which provides U.S. government risk assistance to the insurance industry to manage the exposure to terrorism incidents in the U.S. TRIP was reauthorized in January 2015 and is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2020. Under TRIP, once our losses for certain acts of terrorism exceed a deductible equal to 20 percent of our commercial property and casualty insurance premiums for covered lines for the prior calendar year, the federal government will reimburse us for losses in excess of our deductible, starting at 85 percent of losses in 2015 (81 percent in 2019), and reducing by one percentage point each year, ending at 80 percent in 2020, up to a total industry program limit of \$100 billion. TRIP does not cover losses in certain lines of business such as personal property and personal casualty. We also rely on the government sponsored and government arranged terrorism reinsurance programs, including pools, in force in applicable non-U.S. jurisdictions. There can be no assurance that TRIP will be reauthorized and extended past December 31, 2020.

For additional information on our reinsurance recoverable, see Item 7. MD&A — Enterprise Risk Management — Insurance Risks — Reinsurance Activities — Reinsurance Recoverable.

Concentration of our insurance, reinsurance and other risk exposures may have adverse effects. We may be exposed to risks as a result of concentrations in our insurance and reinsurance policies, derivatives and other obligations that we undertake for customers and counterparties. We manage these concentration risks by monitoring the accumulation of our exposures to factors such as exposure type and size, industry, geographic region, counterparty and other factors. We also seek to use third-party reinsurance, hedging and other arrangements to limit or offset exposures that exceed the limits we wish to retain. In certain circumstances, however, these risk management arrangements may not be available on acceptable terms or may prove to be ineffective for certain exposures. Also, our exposure for certain single risk coverages and other coverages may be so large that adverse experience compared to our expectations may have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations or result in additional statutory capital requirements for our subsidiaries.

Also see Item 7. MD&A – Business Segment Operations – General Insurance – Business Strategy and – Outlook – Industry and Economic Factors.

Interest rate fluctuations, increased lapses and surrenders, declining investment returns and other events may require our subsidiaries to accelerate the amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) and record additional liabilities for future policy benefits. We incur significant costs in connection with acquiring new and renewal insurance business. DAC represents deferred costs that are incremental and directly related to the successful acquisition of new business or renewal of existing business. The recovery of these costs is generally dependent upon the future profitability of the related business, but DAC amortization varies based on the type of contract. For long-duration traditional business, DAC is generally amortized in proportion to premium revenue and varies with lapse experience. Actual lapses in excess of expectations can result in an acceleration of DAC amortization.

DAC for investment-oriented products is generally amortized in proportion to estimated gross profits. Estimated gross profits are affected by a number of assumptions, including current and expected interest rates, net investment income and spreads, net realized capital gains and losses, fees, surrender rates, mortality experience and equity market returns and volatility. If actual and/or future estimated gross profits are less than originally expected, then the amortization of these costs would be accelerated in the period the actual experience is known and would result in a charge to income. For example, if interest rates rise rapidly and significantly, customers with policies that have interest crediting rates below the current market may seek competing products with higher returns and we may experience an increase in surrenders and withdrawals of life and annuity contracts, resulting in a decrease in future profitability and an acceleration of the amortization of DAC.

We also periodically review products for potential loss recognition events, principally insurance-oriented products. This review involves estimating the future profitability of in-force business and requires significant management judgment about assumptions including mortality, morbidity, persistency, maintenance expenses, and investment returns, including net realized capital gains (losses). If actual experience or revised future expectations result in projected future losses, we may be required to amortize any remaining DAC and record additional liabilities through a charge to policyholder benefit expense, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

For further discussion of DAC and future policy benefits, see Item 7. MD&A — Critical Accounting Estimates and Notes 9 and 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Losses due to nonperformance or defaults by counterparties can materially and adversely affect the value of our investments, our profitability and sources of liquidity. We incur credit risk with regard to counterparties related to investments, derivatives, premiums receivable, certain General Insurance businesses and reinsurance recoverables. These counterparties include issuers of fixed maturity and equity securities we hold, borrowers of loans we hold, customers, trading counterparties, counterparties under swaps and other derivative contracts, reinsurers, corporate and governmental entities whose payments or performance we insure, clearing agents, exchanges, clearing houses and other financial intermediaries and guarantors. These counterparties may default on their obligations to us due to bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership, lack of liquidity, adverse economic conditions, operational failure, fraud, government intervention and other reasons. In addition, for exchange-traded derivatives, such as futures, options and "cleared" over-the-counter derivatives, we are generally exposed to the credit risk of the relevant central counterparty clearing house. Defaults by these counterparties on their obligations to us could have a material adverse effect on the value of our investments, business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. Additionally, if the underlying assets supporting the structured securities we invest in default on their payment obligations, our securities may incur losses.

INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO AND CONCENTRATION OF INVESTMENTS

The performance and value of our investment portfolio are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including changes in interest rates. Our investment securities are subject to market risks and uncertainties. In particular, interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including monetary and fiscal policy, domestic and international economic and political issues and other factors beyond our control. Changes in monetary policy or other factors may cause interest rate volatility, which could adversely affect the value of the fixed income securities that we hold and could adversely affect our ability to sell these securities. In addition, the evaluation of available-for-sale securities for other-than-temporary impairments, which may occur if interest rates rise, is a quantitative and qualitative process that is subject to significant management judgment.

For a sensitivity analysis of our exposure to certain market risk factors see Item 7. MD&A – Enterprise Risk Management – Market Risk Management.

For a discussion regarding changes to LIBOR rates, see "Changes in the method for determining LIBOR and the potential replacement of LIBOR may affect our cost of capital and net investment income" below.

Furthermore, our alternative investment portfolio includes investments for which changes in fair value are reported through operating income and are therefore subject to significant volatility. In an economic downturn or declining market, the reduction in our investment income due to decreases in the fair value of alternative investments could have a material adverse effect on operating income.

Our investment portfolio is concentrated in certain segments of the economy. Our results of operations and financial condition have in the past been, and may in the future be, adversely affected by the degree of concentration in our investment portfolio. We have significant exposure in real estate and real estate-related securities, including residential mortgage-backed, commercial mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities and commercial mortgage loans. We also have significant exposures to financial institutions and, in particular, to money center and global banks; certain industries, such as energy and utilities; U.S. state and local government issuers and authorities; and Euro-Zone financial institutions, governments and corporations. Events or developments that have a negative effect on any particular industry, asset class, group of related industries or geographic region may adversely affect our investments to the extent they are concentrated in such segments. Our ability to sell assets concentrated in such segments may be limited.

Our valuation of investment securities may include methodologies, estimations and assumptions that are subject to differing interpretations and could result in changes to investment valuations that may materially adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. During periods of market disruption, it may be difficult to value certain of our investment securities if trading becomes less frequent and/or market data becomes less observable. There may be cases where certain assets in normally active markets with significant observable data become inactive with insufficient observable data due to the financial environment or market conditions in effect at that time. As a result, valuations may include inputs and assumptions that are less observable or require greater estimation and judgment as well as valuation methods that are more complex. These values may not be realized in a market transaction, may not reflect the value of the asset and may change very rapidly as market conditions change and valuation assumptions are modified. Decreases in value and/or an inability to realize that value in a market transaction or secured lending transaction may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

LIQUIDITY, CAPITAL AND CREDIT

AIG Parent's ability to access funds from our subsidiaries is limited. As a holding company, AIG Parent depends on dividends, distributions and other payments from its subsidiaries to fund dividends on AIG Common Stock, to fund repurchases of AIG Common Stock, warrants and debt obligations and to make payments due on its obligations, including its outstanding debt. The majority of our investments are held by our regulated subsidiaries. Our subsidiaries may be limited in their ability to make dividend payments or other distributions to AIG Parent in the future because of the need to support their own capital levels or because of regulatory limits or rating agency requirements. The inability of our subsidiaries to make payments, dividends or other distributions in an amount sufficient to enable AIG Parent to meet its cash requirements could have an adverse effect on our operations, and on our ability to pay dividends, repurchase AIG Common Stock, warrants and debt obligations or to meet our debt service obligations.

Our internal sources of liquidity may be insufficient to meet our needs, including providing capital that may be required by our subsidiaries. We need liquidity to pay our operating expenses, interest on our debt, maturing debt obligations and to meet capital needs of our subsidiaries. If our liquidity is insufficient to meet our needs, we may at the time need to have recourse to third-party financing, external capital markets or other sources of liquidity, which may not be available or could be prohibitively expensive. The availability and cost of any additional financing at any given time depends on a variety of factors, including general market conditions, the volume of trading activities, the overall availability of credit, regulatory actions and our credit ratings and credit capacity. It is also possible that, as a result of such recourse to external financing, customers, lenders or investors could develop a negative perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects. Disruptions, volatility and uncertainty in the financial markets, and downgrades in our credit ratings, may limit our ability to access external capital markets at times and on terms favorable to us to meet our capital and liquidity needs or prevent our accessing the external capital markets or other financing sources.

For a further discussion of our liquidity, see Item 7. MD&A — Liquidity and Capital Resources.

AIG Parent's ability to support our subsidiaries is limited. AIG Parent has in the past and expects to continue to provide capital to our subsidiaries as necessary to maintain regulatory capital ratios, comply with rating agency requirements and meet unexpected cash flow obligations. If AIG Parent is unable to satisfy a capital need of a subsidiary, the credit rating agencies could downgrade the subsidiary's financial strength ratings or the subsidiary could become insolvent or, in certain cases, could be seized by its regulator.

For further discussion of rating agency requirements, see "A downgrade in the Insurer Financial Strength ratings of our insurance or reinsurance companies could limit their ability to write or prevent them from writing new business and retaining customers and business" below.

Our subsidiaries may not be able to generate cash to meet their needs due to the illiquidity of some of their investments. Our subsidiaries have investments in certain securities that may be illiquid, including certain fixed income securities and certain structured securities, private company securities, investments in private equity funds and hedge funds, mortgage loans, finance receivables and real estate. Collectively, investments in these assets had a fair value of \$62 billion at December 31, 2018. Adverse real estate and capital markets, and wider credit spreads, have in the past, and may in the future, materially adversely affect the liquidity of our other securities portfolios, including our residential and commercial mortgage-related securities portfolios. In the event

additional liquidity is required by one or more of our subsidiaries and AIG Parent is unable to provide it, it may be difficult for these subsidiaries to generate additional liquidity by selling, pledging or otherwise monetizing these less liquid investments.

A downgrade in the Insurer Financial Strength ratings of our insurance or reinsurance companies could limit their ability to write or prevent them from writing new business and retaining customers and business. Insurer Financial Strength (IFS) ratings are an important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance or reinsurance companies. IFS ratings measure an insurance or reinsurance company's ability to meet its obligations to contract holders and policyholders. High ratings help maintain public confidence in a company's products, facilitate marketing of products and enhance its competitive position. Downgrades of the IFS ratings of our insurance or reinsurance companies could prevent these companies from selling, or make it more difficult for them to succeed in selling, products and services, or result in increased policy cancellations, lapses and surrenders, termination of assumed reinsurance contracts, or return of premiums. Under credit rating agency policies concerning the relationship between parent and subsidiary ratings, a downgrade in AIG Parent's credit ratings could result in a downgrade of the IFS ratings of our insurance or reinsurance subsidiaries. Certain rating agencies negatively revised the outlook for our IFS ratings in early 2017, primarily as a result of our reserve strengthening in the fourth quarter of 2016 and related concerns regarding our profitability outlook. These same rating agencies maintained negative outlooks on our ratings throughout 2018 and 2019 to date. We cannot predict what actions rating agencies may take, or what actions we may take in response to the actions of rating agencies, which could adversely affect our business.

A downgrade in our credit ratings could adversely affect our business, our results of operations or our liquidity. Credit ratings estimate a company's ability to meet its obligations. A downgrade of our long-term debt ratings by the major rating agencies could potentially increase our financing costs and limit the availability of financing. A downgrade would also require us to post additional collateral payments related to derivative transactions to which we are a party, and could permit the termination of these derivative transactions. This could adversely affect our business, our consolidated results of operations in a reporting period and/or our liquidity. Certain rating agencies negatively revised our credit ratings and ratings outlooks in early 2017, primarily as a result of our reserve strengthening in the fourth quarter of 2016 and related concerns regarding our profitability outlook. These same rating agencies maintained negative outlooks on our ratings throughout 2018 and 2019 to date. We cannot predict what actions rating agencies may take, or what actions we may take in response to the actions of rating agencies, which could adversely affect our business.

We may be required to post additional collateral because of changes in our reinsurance liabilities to regulated insurance companies, or because of regulatory changes that affect our businesses. If our reinsurance liabilities increase, we may be required to post additional collateral for insurance company clients that we reinsure. In addition, regulatory changes could sometimes require us to post additional collateral. The need to post this additional collateral, if significant enough, may require us to sell investments at a loss in order to provide securities of suitable credit quality or otherwise secure adequate capital at an unattractive cost. This could adversely impact our consolidated results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Changes in the method for determining LIBOR and the potential replacement of LIBOR may affect our cost of capital and net investment income. As a result of concerns about the accuracy of the calculation of LIBOR, a number of British Bankers' Association (BBA) member banks entered into settlements with certain regulators and law enforcement agencies with respect to the alleged manipulation of LIBOR. Actions by the BBA, regulators or law enforcement agencies as a result of these or future events may result in changes to the manner in which LIBOR is determined.

For example, on July 27, 2017, the UK Financial Conduct Authority announced that it intends to stop persuading or compelling banks to submit LIBOR rates after 2021, which is expected to result in these widely used reference rates no longer being available. Potential changes to LIBOR, as well as uncertainty related to such potential changes and the establishment of any alternative reference rates, may adversely affect the market for LIBOR-based securities and could adversely impact the substantial amount of derivatives contracts used to hedge our insurance liabilities. In addition, the discontinuance of LIBOR or changes or reforms to the determination or supervision of LIBOR may result in a sudden or prolonged increase or decrease in reported LIBOR, which could have an adverse impact on the market for LIBOR-based securities or the value of our investment portfolio and the derivatives contracts used to hedge our insurance liabilities.

BUSINESS AND OPERATIONS

Our restructuring initiatives may not yield our expected reductions in expenses and improvements in operational and organizational efficiency. We may not be able to fully realize the anticipated expense reductions and operational and organizational efficiency improvements we expect to result from our restructuring initiatives, including the reorganization of AIG into General Insurance and Life and Retirement segments. Actual costs to implement these initiatives may exceed our estimates or we may be unable to fully implement and execute these initiatives as planned. The implementation of these initiatives may harm our relationships with customers or employees or our competitive position. Our businesses and results of operations may be negatively impacted if we are unable to realize these anticipated expense reductions and efficiency improvements or if implementing these initiatives harms our

relationships with customers or employees or our competitive position. The successful implementation of these initiatives may continue to require us to effect workforce reductions, business rationalizations, systems enhancements, business process outsourcing, business and asset dispositions and acquisitions and other actions, which depend on a number of factors, some of which are beyond our control.

Pricing for our products is subject to our ability to adequately assess risks and estimate losses. We seek to price our insurance and reinsurance products such that premiums, policy fees and charges, and future net investment income earned on revenues received will result in an acceptable profit in excess of expenses and the cost of paying claims. Our business is dependent on our ability to price our products effectively and charge appropriate premiums. Pricing adequacy depends on a number of factors and assumptions, including proper evaluation of insurance risks, our expense levels, net investment income realized, our response to rate actions taken by competitors, legal and regulatory developments and the ability to obtain regulatory approval for rate changes. Some life insurance business has the ability to adjust certain nonguaranteed charges or benefits if necessary; however, this right is limited and may be subject to guaranteed minimums and/or maximums and may result in reputational and/or litigation risk. Inadequate pricing could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Guarantees within certain of our products may increase the volatility of our results. Certain of our annuity and life insurance products include features that guarantee a certain level of benefits, including guaranteed minimum death benefits (GMDB), guaranteed living benefits (GLB), and products with guaranteed interest crediting rates tied to an index.

For a discussion of market risk management related to these product features see Item 7. MD&A – Enterprise Risk Management – Insurance Risks – Life and Retirement Companies Key Risks – Variable Annuity Risk Management and Hedging Programs.

Differences between the change in fair value of the embedded derivatives associated with some of these guarantees and the related hedging portfolio can be caused by extreme and unanticipated movements in the equity markets, interest rates and market volatility, policyholder behavior that differs from our assumptions and our inability to purchase hedging instruments at prices consistent with the desired risk and return trade-off. The occurrence of one or more of these events could result in an increase in the liabilities associated with the guaranteed benefits, reducing our net income and shareholders' equity. While we believe that our actions have reduced the risks related to guaranteed benefits and guaranteed interest crediting, our exposure may not be fully or correctly hedged.

For more information regarding these products see Notes 5 and 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Item 1. Business – Regulation, and Item 7. MD&A – Critical Accounting Estimates – Insurance Liabilities – Guaranteed Benefit Features of Variable Annuity Products.

Our foreign operations expose us to risks that may affect our operations. We provide insurance, reinsurance, investment and other financial products and services to both businesses and individuals in more than 80 countries and jurisdictions. A substantial portion of our business is conducted outside the U.S., and we intend to continue to grow business in strategic markets. Operations outside the U.S. may be affected by regional economic downturns, changes in foreign currency exchange rates, political events or upheaval, nationalization and other restrictive government actions, which could also affect our other operations.

The degree of regulation and supervision in foreign jurisdictions varies. AIG subsidiaries operating in foreign jurisdictions must satisfy local regulatory requirements and it is possible that local licenses may require AIG Parent to meet certain conditions. Licenses issued by foreign authorities to our subsidiaries are subject to modification and revocation. Consequently, our insurance subsidiaries could be prevented from conducting future business in some of the jurisdictions where they currently operate. Adverse actions from any single country could adversely affect our results of operations, depending on the magnitude of the event and our financial exposure at that time in that country.

On June 23, 2016, the United Kingdom (UK) held a referendum in which a majority voted for the UK to withdraw its membership in the European Union (EU), commonly referred to as Brexit. The terms of withdrawal remain uncertain, with the draft withdrawal agreement having to date been rejected by the UK Parliament. There can be no assurance that a withdrawal agreement will be reached prior to Brexit. We have significant operations and employees in the UK and other EU member states, and, as a result of Brexit, we have completed a reorganization of our operations and legal entity structure in the UK and the EU through the establishment of a new European subsidiary in Luxembourg with branches across the EEA and Switzerland, and a new UK subsidiary. For additional information regarding the reorganization of our European operations in light of Brexit, see Item 1. Business – Regulation – International Regulation – Brexit. However, there remains uncertainty around the post-Brexit regulatory environment. Brexit has also affected the U.S. dollar/British pound exchange rate, increased the volatility of exchange rates among the euro, British pound and the Japanese yen, and created volatility in the financial markets. It is possible that the uncertainty around the outcome of the negotiations between the UK and the EU will lead to further turbulence in the financial markets, which may affect the value of our investments.

We may experience difficulty in marketing and distributing products through our current and future distribution channels.

Although we distribute our products through a wide variety of distribution channels, we maintain relationships with certain key distributors. Distributors have in the past, and may in the future, elect to renegotiate the terms of existing relationships, or reduce or

terminate their distribution relationships with us, including for such reasons as industry consolidation of distributors or other industry changes that increase the competition for access to distributors, developments in legislation or regulation that affect our business, adverse developments in our business, adverse rating agency actions or concerns about market-related risks. An interruption in certain key relationships could materially affect our ability to market our products and could have a material adverse effect on our businesses, operating results and financial condition.

In addition, when our products are distributed through unaffiliated firms, we may not be able to monitor or control the manner of their distribution, despite our training and compliance programs. If our products are distributed to customers for whom they are unsuitable or distributed in any other inappropriate manner, we may suffer reputational and other harm to our business.

We are exposed to certain risks if we are unable to maintain the availability of our electronic data systems and safeguard the security of our data, which could compromise our ability to conduct business and adversely affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. We use computer systems to store, retrieve, evaluate and use customer, employee, and company data and information. Some of these systems, in turn, rely upon third-party systems. Additionally, some of our systems are older, legacy-type systems that are less efficient and require an ongoing commitment of significant resources to maintain or upgrade. Our business is highly dependent on our ability to access these systems to perform necessary business functions. These functions include providing insurance or reinsurance quotes, processing premium payments, making changes to existing policies, filing and paying claims, administering life and annuity products and mutual funds, providing customer support, executing transactions and managing our investment portfolios. Systems failures or outages could compromise our ability to perform these functions in a timely manner, which could harm our ability to conduct business, hurt our relationships with our business partners and customers and expose us to legal claims as well as regulatory investigations and sanctions. In the event of a natural disaster, a computer virus, unauthorized access, a terrorist attack, cyberattack or other disruption inside or outside the U.S., our systems may be inaccessible to our employees, customers or business partners for an extended period of time, and our employees may be unable to perform their duties for an extended period of time if our data or systems are disabled, manipulated, destroyed or otherwise compromised.

Like other global companies, our systems have in the past been, and will likely in the future be, subject to or targets of unauthorized or fraudulent access, including physical or electronic break-ins or unauthorized tampering, as well as attempted cyber and other security threats and other computer-related penetrations. The frequency and sophistication of such threats continue to increase. We must continuously monitor and develop our information technology networks and infrastructure to prevent, detect, address and mitigate the risk of threats to our data and systems, including malware and computer virus attacks, ransomware, unauthorized access, misuse, denial-of-service attacks, system failures and disruptions. There is no assurance that our security measures, including information security policies, administrative, technical and physical controls and other preventative actions, will provide fully effective protection from such events. AIG maintains cyber risk insurance, but this insurance may not cover all costs associated with the consequences of personal, confidential or proprietary information being compromised. In some cases, such unauthorized access may not be immediately detected. This may impede or interrupt our business operations and could adversely affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, we routinely transmit, receive and store personal, confidential and proprietary information by email and other electronic means. Although we attempt to keep such information confidential, we may be unable to do so in all events, especially with clients, vendors, service providers, counterparties and other third parties who may not have or use appropriate controls to protect personal, confidential or proprietary information. Any problems caused by these third parties, including those resulting from breakdowns or other disruptions in communication services provided by a vendor, failure of a vendor to handle current or higher volumes, cyber-attacks and security breaches at a vendor could adversely affect our ability to deliver products and services to our customers and otherwise conduct our business.

Furthermore, certain of our businesses are subject to compliance with laws and regulations enacted by U.S. federal and state governments, the European Union or other jurisdictions or enacted by various regulatory organizations or exchanges relating to the privacy and security of the information of clients, employees or others. The variety of applicable privacy and information security laws and regulations could expose us to heightened regulatory scrutiny and may require us to incur significant technical, legal and other expenses to ensure and maintain compliance. If we are found not to be in compliance with these laws and regulations, we could be subjected to significant civil and criminal liability and exposed to reputational harm. For additional information on data protection and cybersecurity regulations, see Item 1. Business – Regulation – U.S. Regulation – Privacy, Data Protection and Cybersecurity and – International Regulation – Privacy, Data Protection and Cybersecurity. Additionally, the compromise of personal, confidential or proprietary information could cause a loss of data, give rise to remediation or other expenses, expose us to liability under U.S. and international laws and regulations, and subject us to litigation, investigations, sanctions and regulatory and law enforcement action, and result in reputational harm and loss of business, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

We are continuously evaluating and enhancing systems and creating new systems and processes as our business depends on our ability to maintain and improve our technology systems for interacting with customers, brokers and employees. Due to the complexity

and interconnectedness of our systems and processes, these changes, as well as changes designed to update and enhance our protective measures to address new threats, may increase the risk of a system or process failure or the creation of a gap in our security measures. Any such failure or gap could adversely affect our business operations and the advancement of our business or strategic initiatives.

Business or asset acquisitions and dispositions may expose us to certain risks. The completion of any business or asset acquisition or disposition is subject to certain risks, including those relating to the receipt of required regulatory approvals, the terms and conditions of regulatory approvals, the occurrence of any event, change or other circumstances that could give rise to the termination of a transaction and the risk that parties may not be willing or able to satisfy the conditions to a transaction. As a result, there can be no assurance that any business or asset acquisition or disposition will be completed as contemplated, or at all, or regarding the expected timing of the completion of the acquisition or disposition. Once we complete acquisitions or dispositions, there can be no assurance that we will realize the anticipated economic, strategic or other benefits of any transaction. For example, the integration of businesses we acquire may not be as successful as we anticipate or there may be undisclosed risks present in such businesses. Acquisitions involve a number of risks, including operational, strategic, financial, accounting, legal, compliance and tax risks. Difficulties integrating an acquired business may result in the acquired business performing differently than we expected (including through the loss of customers) or in our failure to realize anticipated expense-related efficiencies. Our existing businesses could also be negatively impacted by acquisitions. Risks resulting from future acquisitions may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. In connection with a business or asset disposition, we may also hold a concentrated position in securities of the acquirer as part of the consideration, which subjects us to risks related to the price of equity securities and our ability to monetize such securities.

Indemnity claims could be made against us in connection with divested businesses. We have provided financial guarantees and indemnities in connection with the businesses we have sold, as described in greater detail in Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. While we do not currently believe that claims under these indemnities will be material, it is possible that significant indemnity claims could be made against us. If such a claim or claims were successful, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity.

For additional information on these financial guarantees and indemnities see Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Significant legal proceedings may adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition. Like others in the insurance and financial services industries in general, in the ordinary course of operating our businesses we face significant risk from regulatory and governmental investigations and civil actions, litigation and other forms of dispute resolution in various domestic and foreign jurisdictions. In our insurance and reinsurance operations, we frequently engage in litigation and arbitration concerning the scope of coverage under insurance and reinsurance contracts, and face litigation and arbitration in which our subsidiaries defend or indemnify their insureds under insurance contracts. AIG, our subsidiaries and their respective officers and directors are also subject to a variety of additional types of legal disputes brought by holders of AIG securities, customers, employees and others, alleging, among other things, breach of contractual or fiduciary duties, bad faith and violations of federal and state statutes and regulations. Certain of these matters involve potentially significant risk of loss due to the possibility of significant jury awards and settlements, punitive damages or other penalties. Many of these matters are also highly complex and seek recovery on behalf of a class or similarly large number of plaintiffs. It is therefore inherently difficult to predict the size or scope of potential future losses arising from them, and developments in these matters could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or consolidated results of operations for an individual reporting period.

For a discussion of certain legal proceedings, including certain tax controversies, see Notes 16 and 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our risk management policies and procedures may prove to be ineffective and leave us exposed to unidentified or unanticipated risk, which could adversely affect our businesses or result in losses. We have developed and continue to develop enterprise-wide risk management policies and procedures to mitigate risk and loss to which we are exposed.

There are, however, inherent limitations to risk management strategies because there may exist, or develop in the future, risks that we have not appropriately anticipated or identified. If our risk management policies and procedures are ineffective, we may suffer unexpected losses and could be materially adversely affected. As our businesses change and the markets in which we operate evolve, our risk management framework may not evolve at the same pace as those changes. As a result, there is a risk that new products or new business strategies may present risks that are not appropriately identified, monitored or managed. In times of market stress, unanticipated market movements or unanticipated claims experience resulting from adverse mortality, morbidity or policyholder behavior, the effectiveness of our risk management strategies may be limited, resulting in losses to us. In addition, there can be no assurance that we can effectively review and monitor all risks or that all of our employees will follow our risk management policies and procedures.

REGULATION

Our businesses are heavily regulated and changes in regulation may affect our operations, increase our insurance subsidiary capital requirements or reduce our profitability. Our operations generally, and our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries, in particular, are subject to extensive and potentially conflicting supervision and regulation by national authorities and by the various jurisdictions in which we do business. Supervision and regulation relate to numerous aspects of our business and financial condition. Federal, state and foreign regulators also periodically review and investigate our insurance and reinsurance businesses, including AIG-specific and industry-wide practices. The primary purpose of insurance regulation is the protection of our insurance and reinsurance contract holders, and not our investors. The extent of domestic regulation varies, but generally is governed by state statutes which delegate regulatory, supervisory and administrative authority to state insurance departments. In addition, federal and state securities laws and regulations apply to certain of our insurance products that are considered 'securities' under such laws, including our variable annuity contracts, variable life insurance policies and the separate accounts that issue them, as well as our broker-dealer, investment advisor and mutual funds operations. These laws and regulations generally grant regulatory agencies and self-regulatory organizations broad rulemaking and enforcement powers, including the power to regulate the issuance, sale and distribution of our products and limit or restrict the conduct of business for failure to comply with applicable securities laws and regulations.

We strive to maintain all required licenses and approvals and to comply with applicable laws and regulations. The application of and compliance with laws and regulations applicable to our businesses, operations and legal entities are subject to interpretation. The relevant authority may not agree with our interpretation of these laws and regulations, capital and reserving requirements, and such authority's interpretation may also change from time to time. Regulatory authorities also have relatively broad discretion to grant, renew or revoke licenses and approvals. If we do not have the required licenses and approvals or do not comply with applicable regulatory requirements, these authorities could preclude or temporarily suspend us from carrying on some or all of our activities or impose substantial fines. Further, insurance regulatory authorities have relatively broad discretion to issue orders of supervision, which permit them to supervise the business and operations of an insurance or reinsurance company.

In the U.S., the RBC formula is designed to measure the adequacy of an insurer's statutory surplus in relation to the risks inherent in its business. Every state has adopted, in substantial part, the RBC Model Law promulgated by the NAIC or a substantially similar law, which specifies the regulatory actions the insurance regulator may take if an insurer's RBC calculations fall below specific thresholds. Those actions range from requiring an insurer to submit a plan describing how it would regain a specified RBC ratio to a mandatory regulatory takeover of the company. The NAIC and certain international standard-setting bodies are also considering methodologies for assessing group-wide regulatory capital, which might evolve into more formal group-wide capital requirements on certain insurance companies that may augment state-law RBC standards that apply at the legal entity level, and such capital calculations may be made, in whole or in part, on bases other than the statutory statements of our U.S. insurance subsidiaries. We cannot predict the effect these initiatives may have on our business, consolidated results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

See "Actions by foreign governments, regulators and international standard setters could result in substantial additional regulation to which we may be subject" below for additional information on increased capital and other requirements that may be imposed on us.

The degree of regulation and supervision in foreign jurisdictions varies. AIG subsidiaries operating in foreign jurisdictions must satisfy local regulatory requirements and it is possible that local licenses may require AIG Parent to meet certain conditions. Licenses issued by foreign authorities to our subsidiaries are subject to modification and revocation. Accordingly, our insurance subsidiaries could be prevented from conducting future business in certain of the jurisdictions where they currently operate. Adverse actions from any single country could adversely affect our business, consolidated results of operations, liquidity and financial condition, depending on the magnitude of the event and our financial exposure at that time in that country.

For further discussion of our regulatory environment see Item 1. Business – Regulation.

Certain provisions of Dodd-Frank remain relevant to insurance groups generally, including AIG. The Financial Stability Oversight Council (Council) rescinded our designation as a nonbank systemically important financial institution (nonbank SIFI) on September 29, 2017, but the Council remains authorized under Dodd-Frank to determine, subject to certain statutory and regulatory standards, that certain nonbank financial companies be designated as nonbank SIFIs subject to supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and enhanced prudential standards. The Council may also recommend that state insurance regulators or other regulators apply new or heightened standards and safeguards for activities or practices that we and other insurers or other nonbank financial services companies, including insurers, engage in. Additionally, Dodd-Frank directs existing and newly created government agencies and bodies to promulgate regulations implementing the law, which is an ongoing process. There remains considerable uncertainty as to the potential adoption and timing of regulatory changes related to Dodd-Frank. We cannot predict the requirements of the regulations that may be ultimately adopted or the impact they may have on our businesses, consolidated results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

See Item 1. Business – Regulation – U.S. Regulation – Dodd-Frank for further discussion of provisions of Dodd-Frank that remain relevant to insurance groups generally.

Actions by foreign governments, regulators and international standard setters could result in substantial additional regulation to which we may be subject. We cannot predict the impact laws and regulations adopted in foreign jurisdictions may have on the financial markets generally or our businesses, results of operations or cash flows. It is possible such laws and regulations, our status as an Internationally Active Insurance Group (IAIG) and certain standard-setting initiatives by the FSB and the IAIS, including, but not limited to, the ongoing development of a holistic framework for the assessment and mitigation of systemic risk and a risk-based global insurance capital standard (ICS), and implementation of Solvency II in the European Union, may significantly alter our business practices. They may also limit our ability to engage in capital or liability management, require us to raise additional capital, and impose burdensome requirements and additional costs. It is possible that the laws and regulations adopted in foreign jurisdictions will differ from one another, and that they could be inconsistent with the laws and regulations of other jurisdictions including the U.S.

For further details on these international regulations and their potential impact on AIG and its businesses, see Item 1. Business – Regulation – International Regulation.

The USA PATRIOT Act, the Office of Foreign Assets Control regulations and similar laws and regulations that apply to us may expose us to significant penalties. The operations of our subsidiaries are subject to laws and regulations, including, in some cases, the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, which require companies to know certain information about their clients and to monitor their transactions for suspicious activities. Also, the Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control administers regulations requiring U.S. persons to refrain from doing business, or allowing their clients to do business through them, with certain organizations or individuals on a prohibited list maintained by the U.S. government or with certain countries. The UK, the EU and other jurisdictions maintain similar laws and regulations. The laws and regulations of other jurisdictions may sometimes conflict with those of the U.S. Although we have instituted compliance programs to address these requirements as well as potential conflicts of law, there are inherent risks in global transactions.

Attempts to efficiently manage the impact of Regulation XXX and Actuarial Guideline AXXX may fail in whole or in part resulting in an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. The NAIC Model Regulation "Valuation of Life Insurance Policies" (Regulation XXX) requires insurers to establish additional statutory reserves for term life insurance policies with long-term premium guarantees and universal life policies with secondary guarantees. In addition, NAIC Actuarial Guideline 38 (AG 38, also referred to as Guideline AXXX) clarifies the application of Regulation XXX as to certain universal life insurance policies with secondary guarantees.

Our domestic Life and Retirement companies manage the capital impact of statutory reserve requirements under Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX through reinsurance transactions, to maintain their ability to offer competitive pricing and successfully market such products. The application of Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX involve numerous interpretations. If state insurance departments do not agree with our interpretations or if regulations change with respect to our ability to manage the capital impact of certain statutory reserve requirements, our statutory reserve requirements could increase, or our ability to take reserve credit for reinsurance transactions could be reduced or eliminated. As a result, we could be required to increase prices on our products, raise capital to replace the reserve credit provided by the reinsurance transactions or incur higher costs to obtain reinsurance, each of which could adversely affect our competitive position, financial condition or results of operations. If our actions to efficiently manage the impact of Regulation XXX or Guideline AXXX on future sales of term and universal life insurance products are not successful, we may incur higher operating costs or our sales of these products may be affected.

For additional information on statutory reserving requirements under Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX and our use of reinsurance see Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Third parties we rely upon to provide certain business and administrative services on our behalf may not perform as anticipated, which could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations. We rely on the use of third-party providers to deliver contracted services in a broad range of areas, including the administration or servicing of certain policies and contracts and investment accounting and operation functions. Some of these providers are located outside the U.S., which exposes us to business disruptions and political risks inherent when conducting business outside of the U.S. We periodically negotiate provisions and renewals of these relationships, and there can be no assurance that such terms will remain acceptable to us or such third parties. If such third-party providers experience disruptions or do not perform as anticipated or in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, or we experience problems with a transition to a third-party provider, we may experience operational difficulties, an inability to meet obligations (including, but not limited to, legal, regulatory or policyholder obligations), a loss of business and increased costs, reputational harm, or suffer other negative consequences, all of which may have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

For a discussion regarding cyber risk arising from third-party providers, see “We are exposed to certain risks if we are unable to maintain the availability of our electronic data systems and safeguard the security of our data, which could compromise our ability to conduct business and adversely affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations” above.

New laws and regulations may affect our businesses, results of operations, financial condition and ability to compete effectively. Legislators, regulators and self-regulatory organizations may periodically consider various proposals that may affect our business practices and product designs, how we sell or service certain products we offer, or the profitability of certain of our businesses. New laws and regulations may even affect our ability to conduct certain businesses at all, including proposals relating to restrictions on the type of activities in which financial institutions are permitted to engage. These proposals could also impose additional taxes on a limited subset of financial institutions and insurance companies (either based on size, activities, geography or other criteria). It is uncertain whether and how these and other such proposals would apply to us, those who sell or service our products, or our competitors or how they could impact our ability to compete effectively, as well as our business, consolidated results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

An “ownership change” could limit our ability to utilize tax loss and credit carryforwards to offset future taxable income. As of December 31, 2018, on a tax basis, we had U.S. federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$36.3 billion, \$82 million in capital loss carryforwards, \$3.5 billion in foreign tax credits and \$814 million in other tax credits (tax loss and credit carryforwards). Our ability to use these tax attributes to offset future taxable income may be significantly limited if we experience an “ownership change” as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). In general, an ownership change will occur when the percentage of AIG Parent's ownership (by value) of one or more “5-percent shareholders” (as defined in the Code) has increased by more than 50 percent over the lowest percentage owned by such shareholders at any time during the prior three years (calculated on a rolling basis). An entity that experiences an ownership change generally will be subject to an annual limitation on its pre-ownership change tax loss and credit carryforwards equal to the equity value of the corporation immediately before the ownership change, multiplied by the long-term, tax-exempt rate posted monthly by the IRS (subject to certain adjustments). The annual limitation would be increased each year to the extent that there is an unused limitation in a prior year. The limitation on our ability to utilize tax loss and credit carryforwards arising from an ownership change under Section 382 would depend on the value of our equity at the time of any ownership change. If we were to experience an “ownership change”, it is possible that a significant portion of our tax loss and credit carryforwards could expire before we would be able to use them to offset future taxable income.

On March 9, 2011, our Board adopted our Tax Asset Protection Plan (the Plan) to help protect these tax loss and credit carryforwards, and on December 14, 2016, the Board adopted an amendment to the Plan, extending its expiration date to December 14, 2019. Our shareholders ratified the amendment of the Plan at our 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. At our 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, shareholders adopted a protective amendment to our Restated Certificate of Incorporation (Protective Amendment), which is designed to prevent certain transfers of AIG Common Stock that could result in an “ownership change”. At our 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, our shareholders approved the amendment to our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to adopt a successor to the Protective Amendment that contains substantially the same terms as the Protective Amendment but would expire on June 28, 2020.

The Plan is designed to reduce the likelihood of an “ownership change” by (i) discouraging any person or group from becoming a 4.99 percent shareholder and (ii) discouraging any existing 4.99 percent shareholder from acquiring additional shares of AIG Common Stock. The Protective Amendment generally restricts any transfer of AIG Common Stock that would (i) increase the ownership by any person to 4.99 percent or more of AIG stock then outstanding or (ii) increase the percentage of AIG stock owned by a Five Percent Stockholder (as defined in the Plan). Despite the intentions of the Plan and the Protective Amendment to deter and prevent an “ownership change”, such an event may still occur. In addition, the Plan and the Protective Amendment may make it more difficult and more expensive to acquire us, and may discourage open market purchases of AIG Common Stock or a non-negotiated tender or exchange offer for AIG Common Stock. Accordingly, the Plan and the Protective Amendment may limit a shareholder's ability to realize a premium over the market price of AIG Common Stock in connection with any stock transaction.

Changes to tax laws, including U.S. legislation enacted in late 2017, could increase our corporate taxes or make some of our products less attractive to consumers.

On December 22, 2017 President Trump signed major tax legislation into law (Public Law 115-97) (the Tax Act). The Tax Act, known informally as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, reduced the statutory rate of U.S. federal corporate income tax to 21 percent and enacted numerous other changes impacting AIG and the insurance industry.

The reduction in the statutory U.S. federal corporate income tax rate is expected to positively impact AIG's future U.S. after-tax earnings. Other changes in the Tax Act that broaden the tax base by reducing or eliminating deductions for certain items (e.g., reductions to separate account dividends received deductions, disallowance of entertainment expenses, and limitations on the deduction of certain executive compensation costs) will offset a portion of the benefits from the lower statutory rate. Other specific

changes, including the calculation of insurance tax reserves and the amortization of deferred acquisition costs, will impact the timing of our tax expense items and could impact the pricing of certain insurance products.

In addition to changing the taxation of corporations in general and insurance companies in particular, the Tax Act temporarily reduced certain tax rates for individuals and increased the exemption for the federal estate tax. These changes could reduce demand in the U.S. for life insurance and annuity contracts, which would reduce our income due to lower sales of these products or potential increased surrenders of in-force business.

Furthermore, the overall impact of the Tax Act is subject to the effect of other complex provisions in the Tax Act (including the base erosion and anti-abuse tax (BEAT) and global intangible low-taxed income (GILTI)), which reduce a portion of the benefit from the lower statutory U.S. federal rate. While the U.S. tax authorities issued formal guidance and proposed regulations for BEAT and other provisions of the Tax Act, there are still certain aspects of the Tax Act that remain unclear. AIG will continue to review the impact of both BEAT and GILTI as further guidance is issued. Any further guidance may result in changes to the interpretations and assumptions we made and actions we may take, which as a result may impact the amounts recorded with respect to international provisions of the Tax Act, possibly materially. In addition, if BEAT induces other countries to enact similar legislation that could impact cross-border reinsurance transactions, AIG could be negatively impacted by increased tax costs in those countries.

Finally, it is possible that tax laws will be further changed either in a technical corrections bill or entirely new legislation. It remains difficult to predict whether or when there will be any tax law changes or further guidance by the authorities in the U.S. or elsewhere in the world having a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated results of operations, liquidity and financial condition, as the impact of broad proposals on our business can vary substantially depending upon the specific changes or further guidance made and how the changes or guidance are implemented by the authorities.

For additional information see Item 7. MD&A – Consolidated Results of Operations – U.S. Tax Reform Overview.

COMPETITION AND EMPLOYEES

We face intense competition in each of our businesses. Our businesses operate in highly competitive environments, both domestically and overseas. Our principal competitors are other large multinational insurance organizations, as well as banks, investment banks and other nonbank financial institutions. The insurance industry in particular is highly competitive. Within the U.S., our General Insurance companies compete with other stock companies, specialty insurance organizations, mutual insurance companies and other underwriting organizations. Our Life and Retirement companies compete in the U.S. with life insurance companies and other participants in related financial services fields. Overseas, our subsidiaries compete for business with the foreign insurance operations of large U.S. insurers and with global insurance groups and local companies. Technological advancements and innovation in the insurance industry may present competitive risks; technological advancements and innovation are occurring in distribution, underwriting, claims and operations and at a pace that may increase, particularly as companies increasingly use data analytics and technology as part of their business strategy. Our business and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected if technological advancements or innovation limit our ability to retain existing business, write new business at adequate rates or on appropriate terms, render our insurance products less suitable or impact our ability to adapt or deploy current products as quickly and effectively as our competitors.

Reductions of our credit ratings or negative publicity may make it more difficult to compete to retain existing customers and to maintain our historical levels of business with existing customers and counterparties. General Insurance companies and Life and Retirement companies compete through a combination of risk acceptance criteria, product pricing, and terms and conditions. Retirement services companies compete through crediting rates and the issuance of guaranteed benefits. A decline in our position as to any one or more of these factors could adversely affect our profitability.

Competition for employees in our industry is intense, and we may not be able to attract and retain the highly skilled people we need to support our business. Our success depends, in large part, on our ability to attract and retain key people. Due to the intense competition in our industry for key employees with demonstrated ability, we may be unable to hire or retain such employees. In addition, we may experience higher than expected employee turnover and difficulty attracting new employees as a result of uncertainty from strategic actions and organizational and operational changes. Losing any of our key people also could have a material adverse effect on our operations given their skills, knowledge of our business, years of industry experience and the potential difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement employees. Our business and consolidated results of operations could be materially adversely affected if we are unsuccessful in attracting and retaining key employees.

Managing key employee succession and retention is critical to our success. We would be adversely affected if we fail to adequately plan for the succession of our senior management and other key employees. While we have succession plans and long-term compensation plans designed to retain our employees, our succession plans may not operate effectively and our compensation plans cannot guarantee that the services of these employees will continue to be available to us.

Employee error and misconduct may be difficult to detect and prevent and may result in significant losses. There have been a number of cases involving fraud or other misconduct by employees in the financial services industry in recent years and we run the risk that employee misconduct could occur. Instances of fraud, illegal acts, errors, failure to document transactions properly or to obtain proper internal authorization, misuse of customer or proprietary information, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements or our internal policies may result in losses and/or reputational damage. It is not always possible to deter or prevent employee misconduct, and the controls that we have in place to prevent and detect this activity may not be effective in all cases.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property and may be subject to infringement claims. We rely on a combination of contractual rights and copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws to establish and protect our intellectual property. Although we use a broad range of measures to protect our intellectual property rights, third parties may infringe or misappropriate our intellectual property. We may have to litigate to enforce and protect our intellectual property and to determine its scope, validity or enforceability, which could divert significant resources and may not prove successful. Litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights may not be successful and cost a significant amount of money. The inability to secure or enforce the protection of our intellectual property assets could harm our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our business and our ability to compete. We also may be subject to costly litigation in the event that another party alleges our operations or activities infringe upon their intellectual property rights, including patent rights, or violate license usage rights. Any such intellectual property claims and any resulting litigation could result in significant expense and liability for damages, and in some circumstances we could be enjoined from providing certain products or services to our customers, or utilizing and benefiting from certain patent, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets or licenses, or alternatively could be required to enter into costly licensing arrangements with third parties, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS

Estimates used in the preparation of financial statements and modeled results used in various areas of our business may differ materially from actual experience. Our financial statements are prepared in conformity with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (U.S. GAAP), which requires the application of accounting policies that often involve a significant degree of judgment. *The accounting policies that we consider most dependent on the application of estimates and assumptions, and therefore may be viewed as critical accounting estimates, are described in Item 7. MD&A — Critical Accounting Estimates.* These accounting estimates require the use of assumptions, some of which are highly uncertain at the time of estimation. These estimates are based on judgment, current facts and circumstances, and, when applicable, internally developed models. Therefore, actual results could differ from these estimates, possibly in the near term, and could have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In addition, we employ models to price products, calculate reserves and value assets, as well as evaluate risk and determine capital requirements, among other uses. These models rely on estimates and projections that are inherently uncertain, may use incomplete, outdated or incorrect data or assumptions and may not operate properly. As our businesses continue to expand and evolve, the number and complexity of models we employ has grown, increasing our exposure to error in the design, implementation or use of models, including the associated input data, controls and assumptions and the controls we have in place to mitigate their risk may not be effective in all cases.

Changes in accounting principles and financial reporting requirements could impact our consolidated results of operations and financial condition. Our financial statements are subject to the application of U.S. GAAP, which is periodically revised. Accordingly, from time to time, we are required to adopt new or revised accounting standards issued by recognized authoritative bodies, including the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB).

The International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) has issued International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) 17, Insurance Contracts, with an effective date of January 1, 2021. This new standard will require significant changes to accounting measurements for long-duration insurance contracts for many of our international operations.

The FASB has revised the accounting standards for insurance contracts. The FASB adopted standards as of December 31, 2017 focused on disclosures for short-duration insurance contracts, which primarily relate to our property casualty products. In addition, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2018-12 – Targeted Improvements to the Accounting for Long-Duration Contracts, which has an effective date of January 1, 2021 and is intended to improve, simplify and enhance the accounting measurements and disclosures for long-duration insurance contracts, which primarily relates to our life and annuity products. Changes to the manner in which we account for long-duration products could impact our consolidated results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

The FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13 – Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, which has an effective date of January 1, 2020. This standard will change how we account for credit losses for most financial assets, trade receivables and reinsurance receivables. The standard will replace the existing incurred loss impairment model with a new “current expected credit loss model” that generally will result in earlier recognition of credit losses. The standard will apply to financial assets subject to credit

losses, including loans measured at amortized cost, reinsurance receivables and certain off-balance sheet credit exposures. Additionally, the impairment of available-for-sale debt securities, including purchased credit deteriorated securities, are subject to the new guidance and will be measured in a similar manner, except that losses will be recognized as allowances rather than reductions in the amortized cost of the securities. The standard will impact our consolidated results of operations, liquidity and financial condition and will require additional information to be disclosed in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The adoption of the newly issued standards as well as other future accounting standards could impact our reported consolidated results of operations, liquidity and reported financial condition.

For a discussion of the impact of accounting pronouncements that have been issued but are not yet required to be implemented see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Changes in our assumptions regarding the discount rate and expected rate of return for our pension and other postretirement benefit plans may result in increased expenses and reduce our profitability. We determine our pension and other postretirement benefit plan costs based on assumed discount rates, expected rates of return on plan assets and trends in health care costs. Changes in these assumptions, including from the impact of a sustained low interest rate environment or rapidly rising interest rates, may result in increased expenses which could impact our consolidated results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

For further details on our pension and postretirement benefit plans see Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

If our businesses do not perform well and/or their estimated fair values decline or the price of our common stock does not increase, we may be required to recognize an impairment of our goodwill or to establish a valuation allowance against the deferred income tax assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Goodwill represents the excess of the amounts we paid to acquire subsidiaries and other businesses over the fair value of their net assets at the date of acquisition. We test goodwill at least annually for impairment. Impairment testing is performed based upon estimates of the fair value of the “reporting unit” to which the goodwill relates. The fair value of the reporting unit is impacted by the performance of the business and could be adversely impacted if new business, customer retention, profitability or other drivers of performance differ from expectations, or upon the occurrence of certain events, including a significant and adverse change in regulations, legal factors, accounting standards or business climate, or an adverse action or assessment by a regulator. If it is determined that goodwill has been impaired, we must write down goodwill by the amount of the impairment, with a corresponding charge to net income (loss). These write downs could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, liquidity and financial condition. *For further discussion regarding goodwill impairment, see Item 7. MD&A – Critical Accounting Estimates – Impairment Charges – Goodwill Impairment and Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*

Deferred income tax represents the tax effect of the differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are assessed periodically by management to determine if they are realizable. The performance of the business, including the ability to generate future taxable income from a variety of sources and planning strategies, is factored into management’s determination. If, based on available evidence, it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will not be realized, then a valuation allowance must be established with a corresponding charge to net income. Such charges could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, liquidity and financial condition. *For further discussion regarding deferred tax assets, see Item 7. MD&A – Critical Accounting Estimates – Income Taxes and Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*

ITEM 1B | Unresolved Staff Comments

There are no material unresolved written comments that were received from the SEC staff 180 days or more before the end of our fiscal year relating to periodic or current reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

ITEM 2 | Properties

We operate from approximately 166 offices in the United States and approximately 351 offices in approximately 56 foreign countries. The following offices are located in buildings in the United States owned by us:

General Insurance Companies:

- Stevens Point, Wisconsin

Life and Retirement Companies:

- Amarillo and Houston, Texas

Other Operations:

- 175 Water Street in New York, New York (Corporate Headquarters; also includes General Insurance companies)
- Livingston, New Jersey
- Ft. Worth, Texas

In addition, our General Insurance companies own offices in 13 foreign countries and jurisdictions including Bermuda, Ecuador, Japan, Mexico, the UK and Venezuela. The remainder of the office space we use is leased. We believe that our leases and properties are sufficient for our current purposes.

LOCATIONS OF CERTAIN ASSETS

As of December 31, 2018, approximately 15 percent of our consolidated assets were located outside the U.S. and Canada, including \$413 million of cash and securities on deposit with regulatory authorities in those locations.

For additional geographic information see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

For total carrying values of cash and securities deposited by our insurance subsidiaries under requirements of regulatory authorities see Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Operations outside the U.S. and Canada and assets held abroad may be adversely affected by political developments in foreign countries, including tax changes, nationalization and changes in regulatory policy, as well as by consequence of hostilities and unrest. The risks of such occurrences and their overall effect upon us vary from country to country and cannot be predicted. If expropriation or nationalization does occur, our policy is to take all appropriate measures to seek recovery of any affected assets. Certain of the countries in which our business is conducted have currency restrictions that generally cause a delay in a company's ability to repatriate assets and profits.

For additional information see Item 1A. Risk Factors — Business and Operations.

ITEM 3 | Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of legal proceedings see Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 4 | Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Part II

ITEM 5 | Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

AIG's common stock, par value \$2.50 per share (AIG Common Stock), is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE: AIG). On December 3, 2018, AIG's Common Stock was voluntarily delisted from the Tokyo Stock Exchange. There were approximately 24,334 stockholders of record of AIG Common Stock as of February 11, 2019.

Equity Compensation Plans

Our table of equity compensation plans will be included in the definitive proxy statement for AIG's 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The definitive proxy statement will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of AIG's fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table provides information about purchases made by or on behalf of AIG or any "affiliated purchaser" (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)) of AIG Common Stock during the three months ended December 31, 2018:

Period	Total Number of Shares Repurchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (in millions)
October 1 – 31	-	\$ -	-	\$ 1,262
November 1 – 30 ^(a)	11,563,973	42.99	11,563,973	762 ^(b)
December 1 – 31 ^(a)	6,510,320	38.07	6,510,320	512 ^(b)
Total	18,074,293	\$ 41.22	18,074,293	\$ 512

(a) During the November 1-30 period, we also repurchased 343,293 warrants to purchase shares of AIG Common Stock, at an average purchase price per warrant of \$8.21, for an aggregate purchase price of \$3 million. During the December 1-31 period, we also repurchased 406,162 warrants to purchase shares of AIG Common Stock, at an average purchase price per warrant of \$5.22, for an aggregate purchase price of \$2 million.

(b) Reflects the purchase of 343,293 and 406,162 warrants to purchase shares of AIG Common Stock in the November 1-30 and December 1-31 periods, respectively, which reduced the dollar value of the remaining repurchase authorization.

Our Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of shares of AIG Common Stock and warrants to purchase shares of AIG Common Stock through a series of actions. On May 3, 2017, our Board of Directors approved an increase of \$2.5 billion to the share repurchase authorization.

During the three-month period ended December 31, 2018 we purchased approximately 18 million shares of AIG Common Stock under this authorization for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$745 million. We also repurchased 749,455 warrants to purchase shares of AIG Common Stock during the three-month period ended December 31, 2018 for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$5 million.

On February 13, 2019, our Board of Directors authorized an additional increase to its previous repurchase authorization of AIG Common Stock of \$1.5 billion, resulting in an aggregate remaining authorization on such date of approximately \$2.0 billion. We did not repurchase any shares of AIG Common Stock from January 1, 2019 to February 13, 2019. Shares may be repurchased from time to time in the open market, private purchases, through forward, derivative, accelerated repurchase or automatic repurchase transactions or otherwise (including through the purchase of warrants). Certain of our share repurchases have been and may from time to time be effected through Exchange Act Rule 10b5-1 repurchase plans. The timing of any future share repurchases will depend on market conditions, our business and strategic plans, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and other factors.


For additional information on our share purchases see Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Common Stock Performance Graph

The following Performance Graph compares the cumulative total shareholder return on AIG Common Stock for a five-year period (December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2018) with the cumulative total return of the S&P's 500 stock index (which includes AIG), the S&P Property and Casualty Insurance Index (S&P P&C Index) and the S&P Life and Health Insurance Index (S&P L&H Index).

Value of \$100 Invested on December 31, 2013

(All \$ as of December 31st)



Dividend reinvestment has been assumed and returns have been weighted to reflect relative stock market capitalization.

	As of December 31,					
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
AIG	\$ 100.00	\$ 110.74	\$ 124.22	\$ 133.86	\$ 124.67	\$ 84.64
S&P 500	100.00	113.69	115.26	129.05	157.22	150.33
S&P 500 Property & Casualty Insurance Index	100.00	115.74	126.77	146.68	179.52	171.10
S&P 500 Life & Health Insurance	100.00	101.95	95.51	119.26	138.85	110.01

ITEM 6 | Selected Financial Data

The Selected Consolidated Financial Data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere herein.

(in millions, except per share data)	Years Ended December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Revenues:					
Premiums	\$ 30,614	\$ 31,374	\$ 34,393	\$ 36,655	\$ 37,254
Policy fees	2,791	2,935	2,732	2,755	2,615
Net investment income	12,476	14,179	14,065	14,053	16,079
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(130)	(1,380)	(1,944)	776	739
Aircraft leasing revenue	-	-	-	-	1,602
Other income	1,638	2,412	3,121	4,088	6,117
Total revenues	47,389	49,520	52,367	58,327	64,406
Benefits, losses and expenses:					
Policyholder benefits and losses incurred	27,412	29,972	32,437	31,345	28,281
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	3,754	3,592	3,705	3,731	3,768
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	5,386	4,288	4,521	5,236	5,330
General operating and other expenses	9,302	9,107	10,989	12,686	13,138
Interest expense	1,309	1,168	1,260	1,281	1,718
Aircraft leasing expenses	-	-	-	-	1,585
Net (gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	7	(5)	74	756	2,282
Net (gain) loss on sale of divested businesses	(38)	(68)	(545)	11	(2,197)
Total benefits, losses and expenses	47,132	48,054	52,441	55,046	53,905
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	257	1,466	(74)	3,281	10,501
Income tax expense	154	7,526	185	1,059	2,927
Income (loss) from continuing operations	103	(6,060)	(259)	2,222	7,574
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	(42)	4	(90)	-	(50)
Net income (loss)	61	(6,056)	(349)	2,222	7,524
Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	67	28	500	26	(5)
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$ (6)	\$ (6,084)	\$ (849)	\$ 2,196	\$ 7,529
Income (loss) per common share attributable to AIG common shareholders					
Basic					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.04	\$ (6.54)	\$ (0.70)	\$ 1.69	\$ 5.31
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.05)	-	(0.08)	-	(0.04)
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	(0.01)	(6.54)	(0.78)	1.69	5.27
Diluted					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	0.04	(6.54)	(0.70)	1.65	5.24
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.05)	-	(0.08)	-	(0.04)
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	(0.01)	(6.54)	(0.78)	1.65	5.20
Dividends declared per common share	1.28	1.28	1.28	0.81	0.50

Year-end balance sheet data:

Total investments	\$ 314,209	\$ 322,292	\$ 328,175	\$ 338,354	\$ 355,766
Total assets	491,984	498,301	498,264	496,842	515,500
Long-term debt	34,540	31,640	30,912	29,249	31,136
Total liabilities	434,675	432,593	421,406	406,632	408,228
Total AIG shareholders' equity	56,361	65,171	76,300	89,658	106,898
Total equity	57,309	65,708	76,858	90,210	107,272
Book value per common share	65.04	72.49	76.66	75.10	77.69
Book value per common share, excluding Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ^(a)	66.67	66.41	73.41	72.97	69.98
Adjusted book value per common share ^(a)	54.95	54.74	58.57	58.94	58.23
ROE	0.0 %	(8.4)%	(1.0)%	2.2 %	7.1 %
Adjusted ROE ^(a)	2.1	4.1	0.6	3.7	8.8

(in millions, except per share data)	Years Ended December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Other data:					
Catastrophe-related losses ^(b)	\$ 2,885	\$ 4,167	\$ 1,331	\$ 731	\$ 728
Prior year unfavorable development	362	978	5,788	4,119	703
Other-than-temporary impairments	251	260	559	671	247
Adjustment to federal deferred tax valuation allowance	21	43	83	110	(181)
Impact of Tax Act	62	6,687	-	-	-
Net positive (negative) adjustment from update of Life and Retirement actuarial assumptions	\$ (228)	\$ 68	\$ (427)	\$ 3	\$ 168

(a) Book value per common share excluding Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (AOCI), Book value per common share excluding AOCI and DTA (Adjusted book value per common share), and return on equity – adjusted after-tax income excluding AOCI and DTA (Adjusted return on equity) are non-GAAP financial measures and the reconciliations to the relevant GAAP financial measures are below. For additional information see Item 7. MD&A — Use of Non-GAAP Measures.

(b) Natural and man-made catastrophe losses are generally weather or seismic events having a net impact on AIG in excess of \$10 million each and also include certain man-made events, such as terrorism and civil disorders that exceed the \$10 million threshold.

Items Affecting Comparability Between Periods

The following are significant developments that affected multiple periods and financial statement captions.

BUSINESS ACQUISITION

On July 18, 2018, we completed the purchase of Validus.

ASSET DISPOSITIONS IN 2015, 2016 AND 2017

In 2015, we sold all of our ordinary shares of AerCap Holdings N.V. (AerCap) received as part of the consideration for the sale of International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC). In 2016, we sold United Guaranty to Arch Capital Group Ltd. (Arch). In 2017, we sold Fuji Life to FWD Group and certain international insurance operations to Fairfax Financial Holdings Limited (Fairfax).

For further discussion on the 2016 and 2017 asset dispositions and the 2018 purchase of Validus, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures Included in Selected Financial Data

The following table presents a reconciliation of Book value per common share to Book value per common share, excluding AOCI and Book value per common share, excluding AOCI and DTA (Adjusted book value per common share), which are non-GAAP measures. For additional information see Item 7. MD&A — Use of Non-GAAP Measures.

(in millions, except per share data)	At December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Total AIG shareholders' equity	\$ 56,361	\$ 65,171	\$ 76,300	\$ 89,658	\$ 106,898
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(1,413)	5,465	3,230	2,537	10,617
Total AIG shareholders' equity, excluding AOCI	57,774	59,706	73,070	87,121	96,281
Deferred tax assets	10,153	10,492	14,770	16,751	16,158
Adjusted shareholders' equity	47,621	49,214	58,300	70,370	80,123
Total common shares outstanding	866,609,429	899,044,657	995,335,841	1,193,916,617	1,375,926,971
Book value per common share	\$ 65.04	\$ 72.49	\$ 76.66	\$ 75.10	\$ 77.69
Book value per common share, excluding AOCI	66.67	66.41	73.41	72.97	69.98
Adjusted book value per common share	54.95	54.74	58.57	58.94	58.23

The following table presents a reconciliation of Return on equity to Adjusted return on equity, which is a non-GAAP measure. For additional information see Item 7. MD&A — Use of Non-GAAP Measures.

Years Ended December 31,					
(dollars in millions)	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$ (6)	\$ (6,084)	\$ (849)	\$ 2,196	\$ 7,529
Adjusted after-tax income attributable to AIG	1,064	2,231	406	2,872	6,941
Average AIG Shareholders' equity	\$ 60,819	\$ 72,348	\$ 86,617	\$ 101,558	\$ 105,589
Average AOCI	1,193	4,675	5,722	7,598	9,781
Average AIG Shareholders' equity, excluding average AOCI	59,626	67,673	80,895	93,960	95,808
Average DTA	10,133	13,806	15,905	15,803	16,611
Average adjusted Shareholders' equity	\$ 49,493	\$ 53,867	\$ 64,990	\$ 78,157	\$ 79,197
ROE	0.0 %	(8.4) %	(1.0) %	2.2 %	7.1 %
Adjusted Return on Equity	2.1	4.1	0.6	3.7	8.8

ITEM 7 | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and other publicly available documents may include, and officers and representatives of AIG may from time to time make and discuss, projections, goals, assumptions and statements that may constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These projections, goals, assumptions and statements are not historical facts but instead represent only a belief regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside our control. These projections, goals, assumptions and statements include statements preceded by, followed by or including words such as "will," "believe," "anticipate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "focused on achieving," "view," "target," "goal" or "estimate." These projections, goals, assumptions and statements may relate to future actions, prospective services or products, future performance or results of current and anticipated services or products, sales efforts, expenses, the outcome of contingencies such as legal proceedings, anticipated organizational, business or regulatory changes, anticipated sales, monetization and/or acquisitions of businesses or assets, or successful integration of acquired businesses, management succession and retention plans, exposure to risk, trends in operations and financial results.

It is possible that our actual results and financial condition will differ, possibly materially, from the results and financial condition indicated in these projections, goals, assumptions and statements. Factors that could cause our actual results to differ, possibly materially, from those in the specific projections, goals, assumptions and statements include:

- changes in market and industry conditions;
- the occurrence of catastrophic events, both natural and man-made;
- our ability to successfully reorganize our businesses and execute on our initiatives to improve our underwriting capabilities and reinsurance programs, as well as improve profitability, without negatively impacting client relationships or our competitive position;
- our ability to successfully dispose of, monetize and/or acquire businesses or assets or successfully integrate acquired businesses;
- actions by credit rating agencies;
- changes in judgments concerning insurance underwriting and insurance liabilities;
- changes in judgments concerning potential cost saving opportunities;
- the impact of potential information technology, cybersecurity or data security breaches, including as a result of cyber-attacks or security vulnerabilities;
- disruptions in the availability of our electronic data systems or those of third parties;
- the effectiveness of our strategies to recruit and retain key personnel and our ability to implement effective succession plans;
- negative impacts on customers, business partners and other stakeholders;
- our ability to successfully manage Legacy portfolios;
- concentrations in our investment portfolios;
- the requirements, which may change from time to time, of the global regulatory framework to which we are subject;
- significant legal, regulatory or governmental proceedings;
- changes in judgments concerning the recognition of deferred tax assets and goodwill impairment; and
- such other factors discussed in:
 - Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors of this Annual Report; and
 - this Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) of this Annual Report.

We are not under any obligation (and expressly disclaim any obligation) to update or alter any projections, goals, assumptions or other statements, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

INDEX TO ITEM 7

	Page
Use of Non-GAAP Measures	40
Critical Accounting Estimates	42
Executive Summary	57
Overview	57
Financial Performance Summary	58
AIG's Outlook – Industry and Economic Factors	61
Consolidated Results of Operations	65
Business Segment Operations	70
General Insurance	71
Life and Retirement	83
Other Operations	102
Legacy Portfolio	103
Investments	106
Overview	106
Investment Highlights	106
Investment Strategies	106
Credit Ratings	108
Impairments	115
Insurance Reserves	119
Loss Reserves	119
Life and Annuity Reserves and DAC	123
Liquidity and Capital Resources	131
Overview	131
Analysis of Sources and Uses of Cash	134
Liquidity and Capital Resources of AIG Parent and Subsidiaries	135
Credit Facilities	137
Contractual Obligations	138
Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Commercial Commitments	139
Debt	140
Credit Ratings	142
Financial Strength Ratings	142
Regulation and Supervision	143
Dividends and Repurchases of AIG Common Stock	143
Dividend Restrictions	143
Enterprise Risk Management	144
Overview	144
Risk Governance Structure	144
Risk Appetite, Limits, Identification, and Measurement	145
Credit Risk Management	147
Market Risk Management	148
Liquidity Risk Management	153
Operational Risk Management	154
Insurance Risks	156
Other Business Risks	164
Glossary	165
Acronyms	168

Throughout the MD&A, we use certain terms and abbreviations, which are summarized in the Glossary and Acronyms.

We have incorporated into this discussion a number of cross-references to additional information included throughout this Annual Report to assist readers seeking additional information related to a particular subject.

Use of Non-GAAP Measures

In Item 1. Business, Item 6. Selected Financial Data and throughout this MD&A, we present our financial condition and results of operations in the way we believe will be most meaningful and representative of our business results. Some of the measurements we use are “non-GAAP financial measures” under Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations. GAAP is the acronym for “generally accepted accounting principles” in the United States. The non-GAAP financial measures we present may not be comparable to similarly-named measures reported by other companies.

Book value per common share, excluding accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) and Book value per common share, excluding AOCI and deferred tax assets (DTA) (Adjusted book value per common share) are used to show the amount of our net worth on a per-share basis. We believe these measures are useful to investors because they eliminate items that can fluctuate significantly from period to period, including changes in fair value of our available for sale securities portfolio, foreign currency translation adjustments and U.S. tax attribute deferred tax assets. These measures also eliminate the asymmetrical impact resulting from changes in fair value of our available for sale securities portfolio wherein there is largely no offsetting impact for certain related insurance liabilities. We exclude deferred tax assets representing U.S. tax attributes related to net operating loss carryforwards and foreign tax credits as they have not yet been utilized. Amounts for interim periods are estimates based on projections of full-year attribute utilization. As net operating loss carryforwards and foreign tax credits are utilized, the portion of the DTA utilized is included in these book value per common share metrics. Book value per common share excluding AOCI, is derived by dividing total AIG shareholders’ equity, excluding AOCI, by total common shares outstanding. Adjusted book value per common share is derived by dividing total AIG shareholders’ equity, excluding AOCI and DTA (Adjusted Shareholders’ Equity), by total common shares outstanding. The reconciliation to book value per common share, the most comparable GAAP measure, is presented in Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

Return on equity – Adjusted after-tax income excluding AOCI and DTA (Adjusted return on equity) is used to show the rate of return on shareholders’ equity. We believe this measure is useful to investors because it eliminates items that can fluctuate significantly from period to period, including changes in fair value of our available for sale securities portfolio, foreign currency translation adjustments and U.S. tax attribute deferred tax assets. This measure also eliminates the asymmetrical impact resulting from changes in fair value of our available for sale securities portfolio wherein there is largely no offsetting impact for certain related insurance liabilities. We exclude deferred tax assets representing U.S. tax attributes related to net operating loss carryforwards and foreign tax credits as they have not yet been utilized. Amounts for interim periods are estimates based on projections of full-year attribute utilization. As net operating loss carryforwards and foreign tax credits are utilized, the portion of the DTA utilized is included in Adjusted return on equity. Adjusted return on equity is derived by dividing actual or annualized adjusted after-tax income attributable to AIG by average Adjusted Shareholders’ Equity. The reconciliation to return on equity, the most comparable GAAP measure, is presented in Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

Adjusted after-tax income attributable to AIG is derived by excluding the tax effected adjusted pre-tax income (APTI) adjustments described below and the following tax items from net income attributable to AIG:

- deferred income tax valuation allowance releases and charges;
- changes in uncertain tax positions and other tax items related to legacy matters having no relevance to our current businesses or operating performance; and
- net tax charge related to the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (Tax Act).

We use the following operating performance measures because we believe they enhance the understanding of the underlying profitability of continuing operations and trends of our business segments. We believe they also allow for more meaningful comparisons with our insurance competitors. When we use these measures, reconciliations to the most comparable GAAP measure are provided on a consolidated basis in the Consolidated Results of Operations section of this MD&A.

Adjusted revenues exclude Net realized capital gains (losses), income from non-operating litigation settlements (included in Other income for GAAP purposes) and changes in fair value of securities used to hedge guaranteed living benefits (included in Net investment income for GAAP purposes). Adjusted revenues is a GAAP measure for our operating segments.

Adjusted pre-tax income is derived by excluding the items set forth below from income from continuing operations before income tax. This definition is consistent across our segments. These items generally fall into one or more of the following broad categories: legacy matters having no relevance to our current businesses or operating performance; adjustments to enhance transparency to the underlying economics of transactions; and measures that we believe to be common to the industry. APTI is a GAAP measure for our segments. Excluded items include the following:

- changes in fair value of securities used to hedge guaranteed living benefits;
- changes in benefit reserves and deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC), value of business acquired (VOBA), and sales inducement assets (SIA) related to net realized capital gains and losses;
- loss (gain) on extinguishment of debt;
- all net realized capital gains and losses except earned income (periodic settlements and changes in settlement accruals) on derivative instruments used for non-qualifying (economic) hedging or for asset replication. Earned income on such economic hedges is reclassified from net realized capital gains and losses to specific APTI line items based on the economic risk being hedged (e.g. net investment income and interest credited to policyholder account balances);
- income or loss from discontinued operations;
- net loss reserve discount benefit (charge);
- pension expense related to a one-time lump sum payment to former employees;
- income and loss from divested businesses;
- non-operating litigation reserves and settlements;
- restructuring and other costs related to initiatives designed to reduce operating expenses, improve efficiency and simplify our organization;
- the portion of favorable or unfavorable prior year reserve development for which we have ceded the risk under retroactive reinsurance agreements and related changes in amortization of the deferred gain; and
- integration and transaction costs associated with acquired businesses.

• **General Insurance**

- **Ratios:** We, along with most property and casualty insurance companies, use the loss ratio, the expense ratio and the combined ratio as measures of underwriting performance. These ratios are relative measurements that describe, for every \$100 of net premiums earned, the amount of losses and loss adjustment expenses (which for General Insurance excludes net loss reserve discount), and the amount of other underwriting expenses that would be incurred. A combined ratio of less than 100 indicates underwriting income and a combined ratio of over 100 indicates an underwriting loss. Our ratios are calculated using the relevant segment information calculated under GAAP, and thus may not be comparable to similar ratios calculated for regulatory reporting purposes. The underwriting environment varies across countries and products, as does the degree of litigation activity, all of which affect such ratios. In addition, investment returns, local taxes, cost of capital, regulation, product type and competition can have an effect on pricing and consequently on profitability as reflected in underwriting income and associated ratios.
- **Accident year loss and combined ratios, as adjusted:** both the accident year loss and combined ratios, as adjusted, exclude catastrophe losses and related reinstatement premiums, prior year development, net of premium adjustments, and the impact of reserve discounting. Natural and man-made catastrophe losses are generally weather or seismic events having a net impact on AIG in excess of \$10 million each and also include certain man-made events, such as terrorism and civil disorders that exceed the \$10 million threshold. We believe that as adjusted ratios are meaningful measures of our underwriting results on an ongoing basis as they exclude catastrophes and the impact of reserve discounting which are outside of management's control. We also exclude prior year development to provide transparency related to current accident year results.

• **Life and Retirement**

- **Premiums and deposits:** includes direct and assumed amounts received and earned on traditional life insurance policies, group benefit policies and life-contingent payout annuities, as well as deposits received on universal life, investment-type annuity contracts, Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) funding agreements and mutual funds.

Results from discontinued operations are excluded from all of these measures.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires the application of accounting policies that often involve a significant degree of judgment.

The accounting policies that we believe are most dependent on the application of estimates and assumptions, which are critical accounting estimates, are related to the determination of:

- loss reserves;
- reinsurance assets;
- valuation of future policy benefit liabilities and timing and extent of loss recognition;
- valuation of liabilities for guaranteed benefit features of variable annuity products;
- valuation of embedded derivatives for fixed index annuity and life products;
- estimated gross profits to value deferred acquisition costs for investment-oriented products;
- impairment charges, including other-than-temporary impairments on available for sale securities, impairments on other invested assets, including investments in life settlements, and goodwill impairment;
- allowances for loan losses;
- liability for legal contingencies;
- fair value measurements of certain financial assets and liabilities; and
- income tax assets and liabilities, including recoverability of our net deferred tax asset and the predictability of future tax operating profitability of the character necessary to realize the net deferred tax asset and estimates associated with the Tax Act.

These accounting estimates require the use of assumptions about matters, some of which are highly uncertain at the time of estimation. To the extent actual experience differs from the assumptions used, our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially affected.

INSURANCE LIABILITIES

Loss Reserves

The estimate of the loss reserves relies on several key judgments:

- the determination of the actuarial models used as the basis for these estimates;
- the relative weights given to these models by product line;
- the underlying assumptions used in these models; and
- the determination of the appropriate groupings of similar product lines and, in some cases, the disaggregation of dissimilar losses within a product line.

We use numerous assumptions in determining the best estimate of reserves for each line of business. The importance of any specific assumption can vary by both line of business and accident year. Because actual experience can differ from key assumptions used in establishing reserves, there is potential for significant variation in the development of loss reserves. This is particularly true for long-tail classes of business.

All of our methods to calculate net reserves include assumptions about estimated reinsurance recoveries and their collectability. Reinsurance collectability is evaluated independently of the reserving process and appropriate allowances for uncollectible reinsurance are established.

OVERVIEW OF LOSS RESERVING PROCESS AND METHODS

Our loss reserves can generally be categorized into two distinct groups. Short-tail reserves consists principally of U.S. Property and Special Risks, Europe Property and Special Risks, U.S. Personal Insurance, and Europe and Japan Personal Insurance. Long-tail reserves include U.S. Workers' Compensation, U.S. Excess Casualty, U.S. Other Casualty, U.S. Financial Lines, Europe Casualty and Financial Lines, and U.S. Run-off Long Tail Insurance Lines.

Short-Tail Reserves

For our short-tail coverages, such as property, where the nature of claims is generally high frequency with short reporting periods, with volatility arising from occasional severe events, the process for recording non-catastrophe quarterly loss reserves is geared toward maintaining IBNR based on percentages of net earned premiums for that business, rather than projecting ultimate loss ratios based on reported losses. For example, the IBNR reserve required for the latest accident quarter for a product line such as homeowners might be approximately 20 percent of the quarter's earned premiums. This level of reserve would generally be recorded regardless of the actual losses reported in the current quarter, thus recognizing severe events as they occur. The percent of premium factor reflects both our expectation of the ultimate loss costs associated with the line of business and the expectation of the percentage of ultimate loss costs that have not yet been reported. The expected ultimate loss costs generally reflect the average loss costs from a period of preceding accident quarters that have been adjusted for changes in rate and loss cost levels, mix of business, known exposure to unreported losses, or other factors affecting the particular line of business. The expected percentage of ultimate loss costs that have not yet been reported would be derived from historical loss emergence patterns. For more mature quarters, specific loss development methods would be used to determine the IBNR. For other product lines where the nature of claims is high frequency but low severity, methods including loss development, frequency/severity or a multiple of average monthly losses may be used to determine IBNR reserves. IBNR for claims arising from catastrophic events or events of unusual severity would be determined in close collaboration with the claims department's knowledge of known information, using alternative techniques or expected percentages of ultimate loss cost emergence based on historical loss emergence of similar claim types.

Long-Tail Reserves

Estimation of ultimate net losses and loss adjustment expenses (net losses) for our long-tail casualty lines of business is a complex process and depends on a number of factors, including the product line and volume of business, as well as estimates of reinsurance recoveries. Experience in the more recent accident years generally provides limited statistical credibility of reported net losses on long-tail casualty lines of business. That is because in the more recent accident years, a relatively low proportion of estimated ultimate net incurred losses are reported or paid. Therefore, IBNR reserves constitute a relatively high proportion of net losses.

For our longer-tail lines, we generally make actuarial and other assumptions with respect to the following:

- **Loss cost trend factors** are used to establish expected loss ratios for subsequent accident years based on the projected loss ratios for prior accident years.
- **Expected loss ratios** are used for the latest accident year (i.e., accident year 2018 for the year-end 2018 loss reserve analysis) and, in some cases for accident years prior to the latest accident year. The expected loss ratio generally reflects the projected loss ratio from prior accident years, adjusted for the loss cost trend and the effect of rate changes and other quantifiable factors on the loss ratio. For low-frequency, high-severity lines of business such as excess casualty, expected loss ratios generally are used for at least the three most recent accident years.
- **Loss development factors** are used to project the reported losses for each accident year to an ultimate basis. Generally, the actual loss development factors observed from prior accident years would be used as a basis to determine the loss development factors for the subsequent accident years.
- **Tail factors are development factors** used for certain longer tailed lines of business (for example, excess casualty, workers' compensation and general liability), to project future loss development for periods that extend beyond the available development data. The development of losses to the ultimate loss for a given accident year for these lines may take decades and the projection of ultimate losses for an accident year is very sensitive to the tail factors selected beyond a certain age.

We record quarterly changes in loss reserves for each product line of business. The overall change in our loss reserves is based on the sum of the changes for all product lines of business. For most long-tail product lines of business, the quarterly loss reserve changes are based on the estimated current loss ratio for each subset of coverage less any amounts paid. Also, any change in estimated ultimate losses from prior accident years deemed to be necessary based on the results of our latest detailed valuation reviews, large loss analyses, or other analytical techniques, either positive or negative, is reflected in the loss reserve and incurred losses for the current quarter. Differences between actual loss emergence in a given period and our expectations based on prior loss reserve estimates are used to monitor reserve adequacy between detailed valuation reviews and may also influence our judgment with respect to adjusting reserve estimates.

Details of the Loss Reserving Process

The process of determining the current loss ratio for each product line of business is based on a variety of factors. These include considerations such as: prior accident year and policy year loss ratios; rate changes; and changes in coverage, reinsurance, or mix of business. Other considerations include actual and anticipated changes in external factors such as trends in loss costs, inflation, employment rates or unemployment duration or in the legal and claims environment. The current loss ratio for each product line of business is intended to represent our best estimate after reflecting all of the relevant factors. At the close of each quarter, the assumptions and data underlying the loss ratios are reviewed to determine whether the loss ratios remain appropriate. This process includes a review of the actual loss experience in the quarter, actual rate changes achieved, actual changes in reinsurance, quantifiable changes in coverage or mix of business, and changes in other factors that may affect the loss ratio. When this review suggests that the previously determined loss ratio is no longer appropriate, the loss ratio is changed to reflect the revised estimates.

We conduct a comprehensive loss detailed valuation review at least annually for each product line of business in accordance with Actuarial Standards of Practice. These standards provide that the unpaid loss estimate may be presented in a variety of ways, such as a point estimate, a range of estimates, a point estimate based on the expected value of several reasonable estimates, or a probability distribution of the unpaid loss amount. Our actuarial best estimate for each product line of business represents an expected value generally considering a range of reasonably possible outcomes.

The reserve analysis for each product line of business is performed by a credentialed actuarial team in collaboration with claims, underwriting, business unit management, risk management and senior management. Our actuaries consider the ongoing applicability of prior data groupings and update numerous assumptions, including the analysis and selection of loss development and loss trend factors. They also determine and select the appropriate actuarial or other methods used to estimate reserve adequacy for each business product line, and may employ multiple methods and assumptions for each product line. These data groupings, accident year weights, method selections and assumptions necessarily change over time as business mix changes, development factors mature and become more credible and loss characteristics evolve. In the course of these detailed valuation reviews an actuarial best estimate of the loss reserve is determined. The sum of these estimates for each product line of business yields an overall actuarial best estimate for that line of business.

For certain product lines, we measure sensitivities and determine explicit ranges around the actuarial best estimate using multiple methodologies and varying assumptions. Where we have ranges, we use them to inform our selection of best estimates of loss reserves by major product line of business. Our range of reasonable estimates is not intended to cover all possibilities or extreme values and is based on known data and facts at the time of estimation.

We consult with third party environmental litigation and engineering specialists, third party toxic tort claims professionals, third party clinical and public health specialists, third party workers' compensation claims adjusters and third party actuarial advisors to help inform our judgments, as needed.

A critical component of our detailed valuation reviews is an internal peer review of our reserving analyses and conclusions, where actuaries independent of the initial review evaluate the reasonableness of assumptions used, methods selected and weightings given to different methods. In addition, each detailed valuation review is subjected to a review and challenge process by specialists in our Enterprise Risk Management group.

We consider key factors in performing detailed actuarial reviews, including:

- an assessment of economic conditions including inflation, employment rates or unemployment duration;
- changes in the legal, regulatory, judicial and social environment including changes in road safety, public health and cleanup standards;
- changes in medical cost trends (inflation, intensity and utilization of medical services) and wage inflation trends;
- underlying policy pricing, terms and conditions including attachment points and policy limits;
- changes in claims handling philosophy, operating model, processes and related ongoing enhancements;
- third-party claims reviews that are periodically performed for key product lines such as toxic tort, environmental and other complex casualty;
- third-party actuarial reviews that are periodically performed for key product lines of business;
- input from underwriters on pricing, terms, and conditions and market trends; and
- changes in our reinsurance program, pricing and commutations.

Actuarial and Other Methods for Major Lines of Business

Our actuaries determine the appropriate actuarial methods and segmentation. This determination is based on a variety of factors including the nature of the losses associated with the product line of business, such as the frequency or severity of the claims. In addition to determining the actuarial methods, the actuaries determine the appropriate loss reserve groupings of data. This determination is a judgmental, dynamic process and refinements to the groupings are made every year. The changes to groupings may be driven by and may change to reflect observed or emerging patterns within and across product lines, or to differentiate different risk characteristics (for example, size of deductibles and extent of third party claims specialists used by our insureds). As an example of reserve segmentation, we write many unique subsets of professional liability, which cover different products, industry segments, and coverage structures. While for pricing or other purposes, it may be appropriate to evaluate the profitability of each subset individually, we believe it is appropriate to combine the subsets into larger groups for reserving purposes to produce a greater degree of credibility in the loss experience. This determination of data segmentation and related actuarial methods is assessed, reviewed and updated at least annually.

The actuarial methods we use most commonly include paid and incurred loss development methods, expected loss ratio methods, including “Bornhuetter Ferguson” and “Cape Cod”, and frequency/severity models. Loss development methods utilize the actual loss development patterns from prior accident years updated through the current year to project the reported losses to an ultimate basis for all accident years. We also use this information to update our current accident year loss selections. Loss development methods are generally most appropriate for classes of business that exhibit a stable pattern of loss development from one accident year to the next, and for which the components of the product line have similar development characteristics. For example, property exposures would generally not be combined into the same product line as casualty exposures, and primary casualty exposures would generally not be combined into the same product line as excess casualty exposures. We continually refine our loss reserving techniques and adopt further segmentations based on our analysis of differing emerging loss patterns for certain product lines. We generally use expected loss ratio methods in cases where the reported loss data lacked sufficient credibility to utilize loss development methods, such as for new product lines of business or for long-tail product lines at early stages of loss development. Frequency/severity models may be used where sufficient frequency counts are available to apply such approaches.

Expected loss ratio methods rely on the application of an expected loss ratio to the earned premium for the product line of business to determine the liability for loss reserves and loss adjustment expenses. For example, an expected loss ratio of 70 percent applied to an earned premium base of \$10 million for a product line of business would generate an ultimate loss estimate of \$7 million. Subtracting any paid losses and loss adjustment expenses would result in the indicated loss reserve for this product line. Under the Bornhuetter Ferguson methods, the expected loss ratio is applied only to the expected unreported portion of the losses. For example, for a long-tail product line of business for which only 10 percent of the losses are expected to be reported at the end of the accident year, the expected loss ratio would be used to represent the 90 percent of losses still unreported. The actual reported losses at the end of the accident year would be added to determine the total ultimate loss estimate for the accident year. Subtracting the reported paid losses and loss adjustment expenses would result in the indicated loss reserve. In the example above, the expected loss ratio of 70 percent would be multiplied by 90 percent. The result of 63 percent would be applied to the earned premium of \$10 million resulting in an estimated unreported loss of \$6.3 million. Actual reported losses would be added to arrive at the total ultimate losses. If the reported losses were \$1 million, the ultimate loss estimate under the Bornhuetter Ferguson method would be \$7.3 million versus the \$7 million amount under the expected loss ratio method described above. Thus, the Bornhuetter Ferguson method gives partial credibility to the actual loss experience to date for the product line of business. Loss development methods generally give full credibility to the reported loss experience to date. In the example above, loss development methods would typically indicate an ultimate loss estimate of \$10 million, as the reported losses of \$1 million would be estimated to reflect only 10 percent of the ultimate losses.

A key advantage of loss development methods is that they respond more quickly to any actual changes in loss costs for the product line of business. Therefore, if loss experience is unexpectedly deteriorating or improving, the loss development method gives full credibility to the changing experience. Expected loss ratio methods would be slower to respond to the change, as they would continue to give more weight to a prior expected loss ratio, until enough evidence emerged to modify the expected loss ratio to reflect the changing loss experience. On the other hand, loss development methods have the disadvantage of overreacting to changes in reported losses if the loss experience is anomalous due to the various key factors described above and the inherent volatility in some of the classes. For example, the presence or absence of large losses at the early stages of loss development could cause the loss development method to overreact to the favorable or unfavorable experience by assuming it is a fundamental shift in the development pattern. In these instances, expected loss ratio methods such as Bornhuetter Ferguson have the advantage of recognizing large losses without extrapolating unusual large loss activity onto the unreported portion of the losses for the accident year.

The Cape Cod method is a hybrid between the loss development and Bornhuetter Ferguson methods, where the historic loss data and loss development factor assumptions are used to determine the expected loss ratio estimate in the Bornhuetter Ferguson method.

Frequency/severity methods generally rely on the determination of an ultimate number of claims and an average severity for each claim for each accident year. Multiplying the estimated ultimate number of claims for each accident year by the expected average severity of each claim produces the estimated ultimate loss for the accident year. Frequency/severity methods generally require a sufficient volume of claims in order for the average severity to be predictable. Average severity for subsequent accident years is generally determined by applying an estimated annual loss cost trend to the estimated average claim severity from prior accident years. In certain cases, a structural approach may also be used to predict the ultimate loss cost. Frequency/severity methods have the advantage that ultimate claim counts can generally be estimated more quickly and accurately than can ultimate losses. Thus, if the average claim severity can be accurately estimated, these methods can more quickly respond to changes in loss experience than other methods. However, for average severity to be predictable, the product line of business must consist of homogenous types of claims for which loss severity trends from one year to the next are reasonably consistent and where there are limited changes to deductible levels or limits. Generally these methods work best for high frequency, low severity product lines of business such as personal auto. However, frequency and severity metrics are also used to test the reasonability of results for other product lines of business and provide indications of underlying trends in the data. In addition, ultimate claim counts can be used as an alternative exposure measure to earned premiums in the Cape Cod method.

Structural driver analytics seek to explain the underlying drivers of frequency/severity. A structural driver analysis of frequency/severity is particularly useful for understanding the key drivers of uncertainty in the ultimate loss cost. For example, for the excess workers' compensation product line of business, we have attempted to corroborate our judgment by considering the impact on severity of the future potential for deterioration of an injured worker's medical condition, the impact of price inflation on the various categories of medical expense and cost of living adjustments on indemnity benefits, the impact of injured worker mortality and claim specific settlement and loss mitigation strategies, etc., using the following:

- Claim by claim reviews, often facilitated by third party specialists, to determine the stability and likelihood of settling an injured worker's indemnity and medical benefits;
- Analysis of the potential for future deterioration in medical condition unlikely to be picked up by a claim file review and associated with potentially costly medical procedures (i.e., increases in both utilization and intensity of medical care) over the course of the injured worker's lifetime;
- Analysis of the cost of medical price inflation for each category of medical spend (services and devices) and for cost of living adjustments in line with statutory requirements;
- Portfolio specific mortality level and mortality improvement assumptions based on a mortality study conducted for our primary and excess workers' compensation portfolios and our opinion of future longevity trends for the open reported cases;
- Ground-up consideration of the reinsurance recoveries expected for the product line of business for reported claims with extrapolation for unreported claims; and
- The effects of various run-off loss management strategies that have been developed by our run-off unit.

In recent years, we have expanded our analysis of structural drivers to additional product lines of business as a means of corroborating our judgments using traditional actuarial techniques. For example, we have explicitly used external estimates of future medical inflation and mortality in estimating the loss development tail for excess of deductible primary workers' compensation business. Using external forecasts for items such as these can improve the accuracy and stability of our estimates.

The estimation of liability for loss reserves and loss adjustment expenses relating to asbestos and environmental pollution losses on insurance policies written many years ago is typically subject to greater uncertainty than other types of losses. This is due to inconsistent court decisions, as well as judicial interpretations and legislative actions that in some cases have tended to broaden coverage beyond the original intent of such policies or have expanded theories of liability. In addition, reinsurance recoverable balances relating to asbestos and environmental loss reserves are subject to greater uncertainty due to the underlying age of the claim, underlying legal issues surrounding the nature of the coverage, and determination of proper policy period. For these reasons, these balances tend to be subject to increased levels of disputes and legal collection activity when actually billed. The insurance industry as a whole is engaged in extensive litigation over these coverage and liability issues and is thus confronted with a continuing uncertainty in its efforts to quantify these exposures.

We continue to receive claims asserting injuries and damages from toxic waste, hazardous substances, and other environmental pollutants and alleged claims to cover the cleanup costs of hazardous waste dump sites, referred to collectively as environmental claims, and indemnity claims asserting injuries from asbestos. The vast majority of these asbestos and environmental losses emanate from policies written in 1984 and prior years. Commencing in 1985, standard policies contained absolute exclusions for pollution-related damage and asbestos. The current environmental policies that we specifically price and underwrite for environmental risks on a claims-made basis have been excluded from the analysis.

The majority of our exposures for asbestos and environmental losses are related to excess casualty coverages, not primary coverages. The litigation costs are treated in the same manner as indemnity amounts, with litigation expenses included within the limits of the liability we incur. Individual significant loss reserves, where future litigation costs are reasonably determinable, are established on a case-by-case basis.

Discussion of Key Assumptions of our Actuarial Methods

Line of Business or Category	Key Assumptions
U.S. Workers' Compensation	We generally use a combination of loss development and expected loss ratio methods for U.S. Workers' Compensation as this line of business is long-tail. The loss cost trend assumption is not believed to be material with respect to our guaranteed cost loss reserves. This is primarily because our actuaries are generally able to use loss development projections for all but the most recent accident year's reserves, so there is limited need to rely on loss cost trend assumptions for primary workers' compensation business. The tail factor is typically the most critical assumption, and small changes in the selected tail factor can have a material effect on our carried reserves. For example, the tail factors beyond twenty years for guaranteed cost business could vary by one and one-half percent below to two percent above those actually indicated in the 2018 loss reserve review. For excess of deductible business, in our judgment, it is reasonably likely that tail factors beyond twenty years could vary by four percent below to six percent above those actually indicated in the 2018 loss reserve review.

Line of Business or Category	Key Assumptions
U.S. Excess Casualty	We utilize various loss cost trend assumptions for different segments of the portfolio. After evaluating the historical loss cost trends from prior accident years since the early 1990s, in our judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss cost trends applicable to the year-end 2018 loss reserve review for U.S. Excess Casualty may range five percent lower or higher than this estimated loss trend. The loss cost trend assumption is critical for the U.S. Excess Casualty class of business due to the long-tail nature of the losses, and is applied across many accident years. Thus, there is the potential for the loss reserves with respect to a number of accident years (the expected loss ratio years) to be significantly affected by changes in loss cost trends that were initially relied upon in setting the loss reserves. These changes in loss trends could be attributable to changes in inflation or in the judicial environment, or in other social or economic conditions affecting losses. U.S. Excess Casualty is a long-tail class of business and any deviation in loss development factors might not be discernible for an extended period of time subsequent to the recording of the initial loss reserve estimates for any accident year. Mass tort claims in particular may develop over a very extended period and impact multiple accident years, so we usually select a separate pattern for them. Thus, there is the potential for the loss reserves with respect to a number of accident years to be significantly affected by changes in loss development factors that were initially relied upon in setting the reserves. After evaluating the historical loss development factors from prior accident years since the early 1990s, in our judgment, it is reasonably likely that the actual loss development factors could vary by an amount equivalent to a six month shift from those actually utilized in the year-end 2018 reserve review. This would impact projections both for accident years where the selections were directly based on loss development methods as well as the a priori loss ratio assumptions for accident years with selections based on Bornhuetter-Ferguson or Cape Cod methods. Similar to loss cost trends, these changes in loss development factors could be attributable to changes in inflation or in the judicial environment, or in other social or economic conditions affecting losses.
U.S. Other Casualty	The key uncertainties for other casualty lines are similar to excess casualty, as the underlying business is long-tailed and can be subject to variability in loss cost trends and changes in loss development factors. These may differ significantly by line of business as coverages such as general liability, medical malpractice and environmental may be subject to different risk drivers.
U.S. Financial Lines	The loss cost trends for U.S. D&O business vary by year and subset, but for the most recent accident years, it is assumed to have been generally close to zero. After evaluating the historical loss cost levels from prior accident years since the early 1990s, including the potential effect of losses relating to the credit crisis, in our judgment, it is reasonably likely that the actual variation in loss cost levels for these subsets could vary by approximately 10 percent lower or higher on a year-over-year basis than the assumptions actually utilized in the year-end 2018 reserve review. Because U.S. D&O business has exhibited highly volatile loss trends from one accident year to the next, there is the possibility of an exceptionally high deviation. In our analysis, the effects of loss cost trend assumptions affect the results through the a priori loss ratio assumptions used for the Bornhuetter-Ferguson and Cape Cod methods, which impact the projections for the more recent accident years. The selected loss development factors are also an important assumption, but are less critical than for U.S. Excess Casualty. Because these classes are written on a claims made basis, the loss reporting and development tail is much shorter than for U.S. Excess Casualty. However, the high severity nature of the losses does create the potential for significant deviations in loss development patterns from one year to the next. Similar to U.S. Excess Casualty, after evaluating the historical loss development factors from prior accident years since the early 1990s, in our judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss development factors could change by an amount equivalent to a shift by six months from those actually utilized in the year-end 2018 reserve review.
Europe Casualty and Financial Lines	Similar to U.S. business, European Casualty and Financial Lines can be significantly impacted by loss cost trends and changes in loss development factors. The variation in such factors can differ significantly by product and region.
U.S. Property and Special Risks, and Europe Property and Special Risks	For short-tail lines such as Property and Special Risks, variance in outcomes for individual large claims or events can have a significant impact on results. These outcomes generally relate to unique characteristics of events such as catastrophes or losses with significant business interruption claims.
U.S. Personal Insurance, and Europe, and Japan Personal Insurance	Personal Insurance is short-tailed in nature similar to Property and Special Risks but less volatile. Variance in estimates can result from unique events such as catastrophes. In addition, some subsets of this business, such as auto liability, can be impacted by changes in loss development factors and loss cost trends.

Line of Business or Category	Key Assumptions
U.S. Run-off Long Tail Insurance lines	We historically have used a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for excess workers' compensation and other run-off segments. For environmental claims, we have utilized a variety of methods including traditional loss development approaches, claim department and other expert evaluations of the ultimate costs for certain claims and survival ratio metrics. U.S. Run-off Long Tail Insurance lines is an extremely long-tail class of business, with a much greater than normal uncertainty as to the appropriate loss development factors for the tail of the loss development. Specifically for excess workers' compensation, after evaluating the historical loss development factors for prior accident years since the 1980s as well as the development over the past several years of the ground up loss projections utilized to help select the loss development factors in the tail for this class of business, in our judgment, it is reasonably likely that the tail factor beyond 30 years could vary by 10 percent above or below that actually indicated in the 2018 loss reserve review.
Other Reserve Items	Loss adjustment expenses (LAE) are separated into two broad categories, allocated loss adjustment expenses (ALAE), also referred to as legal defense and cost containment or "legal" and unallocated loss adjustment expenses (ULAE), which includes certain claims adjuster fees and other internal claim management costs. We determine reserves for legal expenses for each class of business by one or more actuarial or structural driver methods. For the majority of segments, legal costs are analyzed in conjunction with losses. For segments where they are separately analyzed the methods used generally include development methods comparable to those described for loss development methods. The development could be based on either the paid loss adjustment expenses or the ratio of paid loss adjustment expenses to paid losses, or both. Other methods include the utilization of expected ultimate ratios of paid loss expense to paid losses, based on actual experience from prior accident years or from similar product lines of business. The bulk of adjuster expenses are allocated and charged to individual claim files. For these expenses, we generally determine reserves based on calendar year ratios of adjuster expenses paid to losses paid for the particular product line of business. For other internal claim costs, which generally relate to specific claim department expenses that are not allocated to individual claim files such as technology costs and other broad initiatives, we look at historic and expected expenditures for these items and project these into the future. The incidence of LAE is directly related to the frequency, complexity and level of underlying claims. As a result, a key driver of variability in LAE is the variability in the overall claims, particularly for long tail lines.

The following sensitivity analysis table summarizes the effect on the loss reserve position of using certain alternative loss cost trend (for accident years where we use expected loss ratio methods) or loss development factor assumptions rather than the assumptions actually used in determining our estimates in the year-end loss reserve analyses in 2018:

December 31, 2018 <i>(in millions)</i>	Increase (Decrease) to Loss Reserves	Increase (Decrease) to Loss Reserves
Loss cost trends:		Loss development factors:
U.S. Excess Casualty:		U.S. Excess Casualty:
5 percent increase	\$ 1,150	6-months slower \$ 1,200
5 percent decrease	(750)	6-months faster (900)
U.S. Financial Lines (D&O)		U.S. Financial Lines (D&O)
10 percent increase	650	6-months slower 950
10 percent decrease	(450)	6-months faster (650)
		U.S. Run-off P&C Lines (Excess Workers' Compensation):
		10% tail factor increase 460
		10% tail factor decrease (460)
		U.S. Workers' Compensation:
		Tail factor increase ^(a) 1,100
		Tail factor decrease ^(b) (750)

(a) Tail factor increase of 2 percent for guaranteed cost business and 6 percent for deductible business.

(b) Tail factor decrease of 1.5 percent for guaranteed cost business and 4 percent for deductible business.

FUTURE POLICY BENEFITS FOR LIFE AND ACCIDENT AND HEALTH INSURANCE CONTRACTS

Long-duration traditional products include whole life insurance, term life insurance, accident and health insurance, long-term care insurance, and certain payout annuities for which the payment period is life-contingent, which include certain of our single premium immediate annuities and structured settlements.

For long-duration traditional business, a “lock-in” principle applies. The assumptions used to calculate the benefit liabilities and DAC are set when a policy is issued and do not change with changes in actual experience, unless a loss recognition event occurs. The assumptions include mortality, morbidity, persistency, maintenance expenses, and investment returns. These assumptions are typically consistent with pricing inputs. The assumptions also include margins for adverse deviation, principally for key assumptions such as mortality and interest rates used to discount cash flows, to reflect uncertainty given that actual experience might deviate from these assumptions. Establishing margins at contract inception requires management judgment. The extent of the margin for adverse deviation may vary depending on the uncertainty of the cash flows, which is affected by the volatility of the business and the extent of our experience with the product.

Loss recognition occurs if observed changes in actual experience or estimates result in projected future losses under loss recognition testing. To determine whether loss recognition exists, we determine whether a future loss is expected based on updated current assumptions. If loss recognition exists, we recognize the loss by first reducing DAC through amortization expense, and, if DAC is depleted, record additional liabilities through a charge to policyholder benefit expense. Because of the long-term nature of many of our liabilities subject to the “lock-in” principle, small changes in certain assumptions may cause large changes in the degree of reserve adequacy. In particular, changes in estimates of future invested asset returns have a large effect on the degree of reserve deficiency.

For additional information on loss recognition see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Groupings for loss recognition testing are consistent with our manner of acquiring, servicing, and measuring the profitability of the business and are applied by product groupings, including traditional life, payout annuities and long-term care insurance. Once loss recognition has been recorded for a block of business, the old assumption set is replaced and the assumption set used for the loss recognition would then be subject to the lock-in principle. Key judgments made in loss recognition testing include the following:

- To determine investment returns used in loss recognition tests, we typically match liabilities with assets of comparable duration, to the extent practicable, and then project future cash flows on those assets. Assets supporting insurance liabilities are primarily comprised of a diversified portfolio of high to medium quality fixed maturity securities, and may also include, to a lesser extent, alternative investments. Our projections include a reasonable allowance for investment expenses and expected credit losses over the projection horizon. A critical assumption in the projection of expected investment income is the assumed net rate of investment return at which excess cash flows are to be reinvested. For products in which asset and liability durations are matched relatively well, this is less of a consideration since interest on excess cash flows are not a significant component of future cash flows. For the reinvestment rate assumption, anticipated future changes to the yield curves could have a large effect. Given the interest rate environment applicable at the date of our most recent loss recognition tests, we assumed a modest and gradual increase in long-term interest rates over time.
- For mortality assumptions, key judgments include the extent of industry versus own experience to base future assumptions as well as the extent of expected mortality improvements in the future. The latter judgment is based on a combination of historical mortality trends and advice from industry, public health and demography specialists that were consulted by AIG’s actuaries and published industry information.
- For surrender rates, a key judgment involves the correlation between expected increases/decreases in interest rates and increases/decreases in surrender rates. To support this judgment, we compare crediting rates on our products to expected rates on competing products under different interest rate scenarios.
- For in-force long-term care insurance, rate increases are allowed but must be approved by state insurance regulators. Consequently, the extent of rate increases that may be assumed requires judgment. In establishing our assumption for rate increases for long-term care insurance, we consider historical experience as to the frequency and level of rate increases approved by state regulators.

Significant unrealized appreciation on investments in a low interest rate environment may cause DAC to be adjusted and additional future policy benefit liabilities to be recorded through a charge directly to accumulated other comprehensive income (“shadow loss recognition”). These charges are included, net of tax, with the change in net unrealized appreciation of investments. In applying shadow loss recognition, the Company overlays unrealized gains onto loss recognition tests without revising the underlying test. Accordingly, there is limited additional judgment in this process.

For additional information on shadow loss recognition see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

GUARANTEED BENEFIT FEATURES OF VARIABLE ANNUITY PRODUCTS

Variable annuity products offered by our Individual Retirement and Group Retirement product lines offer guaranteed benefit features. These guaranteed features include guaranteed minimum death benefits (GMDB) that are payable in the event of death or other instances, and living benefits that are payable in the event of annuitization, or, in other instances, at specified dates during the accumulation period. Living benefits primarily include guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits (GMWB).

For additional information on these features see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The liability for GMDB, which is recorded in Future policyholder benefits, represents the expected value of benefits in excess of the projected account value, with the excess recognized ratably through Policyholder benefits and losses incurred over the accumulation period based on total expected fee assessments. The liabilities for GMWB, which are recorded in Policyholder contract deposits, are accounted for as embedded derivatives measured at fair value, with changes in the fair value of the liabilities recorded in Other realized capital gains (losses).

Our exposure to the guaranteed amounts is equal to the amount by which the contract holder’s account balance is below the amount provided by the guaranteed feature. A variable annuity contract may include more than one type of guaranteed benefit feature; for example, it may have both a GMDB and a GMWB. However, a policyholder can generally only receive payout from one guaranteed feature on a contract containing a death benefit and a living benefit, i.e., the features are generally mutually exclusive (except a surviving spouse who has a rider to potentially collect both a GMDB upon their spouse’s death and a GMWB during his or her lifetime). A policyholder cannot purchase more than one living benefit on one contract. Declines in the equity markets, increased volatility and a sustained low interest rate environment increase our exposure to potential benefits under the guaranteed features, leading to an increase in the liabilities for those benefits.

For sensitivity analysis which includes the sensitivity of reserves for guaranteed benefit features to changes in the assumptions for interest rates, equity market returns, volatility, and mortality see Estimated Gross Profits for Investment-Oriented Products below.

For additional discussion of market risk management related to these product features see Enterprise Risk Management – Insurance Risks – Life and Retirement Companies Key Risks – Variable Annuity Risk Management and Hedging Program.

The reserving methodology and assumptions used to measure the liabilities of our two largest guaranteed benefit features are presented in the following table:

Guaranteed Benefit Feature	Reserving Methodology & Assumptions and Accounting Judgments
GMDB	We determine the GMDB liability at each balance sheet date by estimating the expected value of death benefits in excess of the projected account balance and recognizing the excess ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected fee assessments. <i>For additional information on how we reserve for variable annuity products with guaranteed benefit features see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.</i> Key assumptions include: • Mortality rates, which are based upon actual experience modified to allow for variations in policy form • Lapse rates, which are based upon actual experience modified to allow for variations in policy form • Investment returns, using assumptions from a stochastic equity model In applying asset growth assumptions for the valuation of the GMDB liability, we use a reversion to the mean methodology, similar to that applied for DAC. <i>For a description of this methodology see Estimated Gross Profits for Investment-Oriented Products below.</i>
GMWB	GMWB living benefits are embedded derivatives that are required to be bifurcated from the host contract and carried at fair value. <i>For additional information on how we reserve for variable annuity products with guaranteed benefit features see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, and for information on fair value measurement of these embedded derivatives, including how we incorporate our own non-performance risk see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.</i> The fair value of the embedded derivatives is based on actuarial and capital market assumptions related to projected cash flows over the expected lives of the contracts. Key assumptions include: • Interest rates • Equity market returns • Market volatility • Credit spreads • Equity / interest rate correlation • Policyholder behavior, including mortality, lapses, withdrawals and benefit utilization. Estimates of future policyholder behavior are subjective and based primarily on our historical experience • In applying asset growth assumptions for the valuation of GMWBs, we use market-consistent assumptions calibrated to observable interest rate and equity option prices • Allocation of fees between the embedded derivative and host contract

VALUATION OF EMBEDDED DERIVATIVES FOR FIXED INDEX ANNUITY AND LIFE PRODUCTS

Fixed index annuity and life products provide growth potential based in part on the performance of a market index. Certain fixed index annuity products offer optional guaranteed benefit features similar to those offered on variable annuity products. The index crediting feature of these products results in the recognition of an embedded derivative that is required to be bifurcated from the host contract and carried at fair value. Option pricing models are used to estimate fair value, taking into account assumptions for future equity index growth rates, volatility of the equity index, future interest rates, and our ability to adjust the participation rate and the cap on equity indexed credited rates in light of market conditions and policyholder behavior assumptions.

For additional discussion of market risk management related to these product features see Enterprise Risk Management – Insurance Risks – Life and Retirement Companies Key Risks – Variable Annuity Risk Management and Hedging Program.

ESTIMATED GROSS PROFITS FOR INVESTMENT-ORIENTED PRODUCTS

Policy acquisition costs and policy issuance costs that are incremental and directly related to the successful acquisition of new or renewal of existing insurance contracts related to universal life and investment-type products (collectively, investment-oriented products) are generally deferred and amortized, with interest, in relation to the incidence of estimated gross profits to be realized over the expected lives of the contracts, except in instances where significant negative gross profits are expected in one or more periods. Estimated gross profits include current and expected interest rates, net investment income and spreads, net realized capital gains and losses, fees, surrender rates, mortality experience and equity market returns and volatility. In estimating future gross profits, lapse assumptions require judgment and can have a material impact on DAC amortization. For fixed deferred annuity contracts, the future spread between investment income and interest credited to policyholders is a significant judgment, particularly in a low interest rate environment.

If the assumptions used for estimated gross profits change, DAC and related reserves, including VOBA, SIA, guaranteed benefit reserves and unearned revenue reserve (URR), are recalculated using the new assumptions, and any resulting adjustment is included in income. Updating such assumptions may result in acceleration of amortization in some products and deceleration of amortization in other products.

In estimating future gross profits for variable annuity products as of December 31, 2018, a long-term annual asset growth assumption of 7.0 percent (before expenses that reduce the asset base from which future fees are projected) was applied to estimate the future growth in assets and related asset-based fees. In determining the asset growth rate, the effect of short-term fluctuations in the equity markets is partially mitigated through the use of a reversion to the mean methodology, whereby short-term asset growth above or below the long-term annual rate assumption impacts the growth assumption applied to the five-year period subsequent to the current balance sheet date. The reversion to the mean methodology allows us to maintain our long-term growth assumptions, while also giving consideration to the effect of actual investment performance. When actual performance significantly deviates from the annual long-term growth assumption, as evidenced by growth assumptions for the five-year reversion to the mean period falling below a certain rate (floor) or above a certain rate (cap) for a sustained period, judgment may be applied to revise or “unlock” the growth rate assumptions to be used for both the five-year reversion to the mean period as well as the long-term annual growth assumption applied to subsequent periods. The use of a reversion to the mean assumption is common within the industry; however, the parameters used in the methodology are subject to judgment and vary within the industry.

For additional discussion see *Insurance Reserves – Life and Annuity Reserves and DAC – DAC – Reversion to the Mean*.

The following table summarizes the sensitivity of changes in certain assumptions for DAC and SIA, embedded derivatives and other reserves related to guaranteed benefits and URR, measured as the related hypothetical impact on December 31, 2018 balances and the resulting hypothetical impact on pre-tax income, before hedging.

December 31, 2018 (in millions)	Increase (decrease) in				
	DAC/SIA Asset	Other Reserves Related to Guaranteed Benefits	Unearned Revenue Reserve	Embedded Derivatives Related to Guaranteed Benefits	Pre-Tax Income
Assumptions:					
Net Investment Spread					
Effect of an increase by 10 basis points	\$ 138	\$ (31)	\$ 20	\$ (136)	\$ 285
Effect of a decrease by 10 basis points	(151)	32	(27)	139	(295)
Equity Return^(a)					
Effect of an increase by 1%	71	(43)	-	(44)	158
Effect of a decrease by 1%	(67)	53	-	46	(166)
Volatility^(b)					
Effect of an increase by 1%	(1)	22	-	(43)	20
Effect of a decrease by 1%	-	(21)	-	41	(20)
Interest Rate^(c)					
Effect of an increase by 1%	-	-	-	(1,537)	1,537
Effect of a decrease by 1%	-	-	-	2,070	(2,070)
Mortality					
Effect of an increase by 1%	(14)	51	(3)	(29)	(33)
Effect of a decrease by 1%	12	(51)	1	29	33
Lapse					
Effect of an increase by 10%	(134)	(86)	(22)	(58)	32
Effect of a decrease by 10%	138	90	22	58	(32)

(a) Represents the net impact of a one percent increase or decrease in long-term equity returns for GMDB reserves and net impact of a one percent increase or decrease in the S&P 500 index on the value of the GMWB embedded derivative.

(b) Represents the net impact of a one percentage point increase or decrease in equity volatility.

(c) Represents the net impact of one percent parallel shift in the yield curve on the value of the GMWB embedded derivative. Does not represent interest rate spread compression on investment-oriented products.

The sensitivity ranges of 10 basis points, one percent and 10 percent are included for illustrative purposes only and do not reflect the changes in net investment spreads, equity return, volatility, interest rate, mortality or lapse used by AIG in its fair value analyses or estimates of future gross profits to value DAC and related reserves. Changes in excess of those illustrated may occur in any period.

The analysis of DAC, embedded derivatives and other reserves related to guaranteed benefits, and unearned revenue reserve is a dynamic process that considers all relevant factors and assumptions described above. We estimate each of the above factors individually, without the effect of any correlation among the key assumptions. An assessment of sensitivity associated with changes in any single assumption would not necessarily be an indicator of future results. The effects on pre-tax income in the sensitivity analysis table above do not reflect the related effects from our economic hedging program, which utilizes derivative and other financial instruments and is designed so that changes in value of those instruments move in the opposite direction of changes in the guaranteed benefit embedded derivative liabilities.

For a further discussion on guaranteed benefit features of our variable annuities and the related hedging program see Enterprise Risk Management Insurance Risks – Life and Retirement Companies Key Risks – Variable Annuity Risk Management and Hedging Program, Insurance Reserves – Life and Annuity Reserves and DAC – DAC – Variable Annuity Guaranteed Benefits and Hedging Results, and Notes 5 and 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

REINSURANCE ASSETS

The estimation of reinsurance recoverable involves a significant amount of judgment, particularly for latent exposures, such as asbestos, due to their long-tail nature. Reinsurance assets include reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses that are estimated as part of our loss reserving process and, consequently, are subject to similar judgments and uncertainties as the estimation of gross loss reserves.

We assess the collectability of reinsurance recoverable balances through either detailed reviews of the underlying nature of the reinsurance balance or comparisons with historical trends of disputes and credit events. We record adjustments to reflect the results of these assessments through an allowance for uncollectable reinsurance that reduces the carrying amount of reinsurance assets on the balance sheet. This estimate requires significant judgment for which key considerations include:

- paid and unpaid amounts recoverable;
- whether the balance is in dispute or subject to legal collection;
- whether the reinsurer is financially troubled (i.e., liquidated, insolvent, in receivership or otherwise subject to formal or informal regulatory restriction); and
- whether collateral and collateral arrangements exist.

At December 31, 2018, the allowance for estimated unrecoverable reinsurance was \$140 million, or less than one percent of the consolidated reinsurance recoverable.

For additional information on reinsurance see Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

IMPAIRMENT CHARGES

Impairments of Investments

At each balance sheet date, we evaluate our available for sale securities holdings with unrealized losses to determine if an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred. We also evaluate our other invested assets for impairment; these include equity method investments in private equity funds, hedge funds and other entities as well as investments in real estate.

For additional information on the methodology and significant inputs, by investment type, that we use to determine the amount of impairment see the discussion in Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Goodwill Impairment

For a discussion of goodwill impairment see Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors – Estimates and Assumptions and Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. In 2018, 2017 and 2016, for substantially all of the reporting units we elected to bypass the qualitative assessment of whether goodwill impairment may exist and, therefore, performed quantitative assessments that supported a conclusion that the fair value of all of the reporting units tested exceeded their book value. To determine fair value, we primarily use a discounted expected future cash flow analysis that estimates and discounts projected future distributable earnings. Such analysis is principally based on our business projections that inherently include judgments regarding business trends.

LIABILITY FOR LEGAL CONTINGENCIES

We estimate and record a liability for potential losses that may arise from regulatory and government investigations and actions and litigation and other forms of dispute resolution to the extent such losses are probable and can be estimated. Determining a reasonable estimate of the amount of such losses requires significant management judgment. In many cases, it is not possible to determine whether a liability has been incurred or to estimate the ultimate or minimum amount of that liability until the matter is close to resolution. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of such matters, particularly in cases that are in the early stages of litigation or in which claimants seek substantial or indeterminate damages, we often cannot predict the outcome or estimate the eventual loss or range of reasonably possible losses related to such matters. Given the inherent unpredictability of such matters, the outcome of certain matters could, from time to time, have a material adverse effect on the company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

For more information on legal, regulatory and litigation matters see Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS OF CERTAIN FINANCIAL ASSETS AND FINANCIAL LIABILITIES

For additional information about the measurement of fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities and our accounting policy regarding the incorporation of credit risk in fair value measurements see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table presents the fair value of fixed maturity and equity securities by source of value determination:

December 31, 2018 (in billions)	Fair Value	Percent of Total
Fair value based on external sources ^(a)	\$ 222	92 %
Fair value based on internal sources	20	8
Total fixed maturity and equity securities^(b)	\$ 242	100 %

(a) Includes \$15.6 billion for which the primary source is broker quotes.

(b) Includes available for sale and other securities.

Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets are measured and classified in a hierarchy for disclosure purposes consisting of three levels based on the observability of inputs available in the marketplace used to measure the fair value.

For additional information see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table presents the amount of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3:

(in billions)	December 31, 2018	Percentage of Total	December 31, 2017	Percentage of Total
Assets	\$ 33.7	6.9 %	\$ 35.9	7.2 %
Liabilities	4.4	1.0	4.4	1.0

Level 3 fair value measurements are based on valuation techniques that use at least one significant input that is unobservable. We consider unobservable inputs to be those for which market data is not available and that are developed using the best information available about the assumptions that market participants would use when valuing the asset or liability. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment.

We classify fair value measurements for certain assets and liabilities as Level 3 when they require significant unobservable inputs in their valuation, including contractual terms, prices and rates, yield curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates, default rates, mortality rates and correlations of such inputs.

For a discussion of the valuation methodologies for assets and liabilities measured at fair value, as well as a discussion of transfers of Level 3 assets and liabilities see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

INCOME TAXES

Recoverability of Net Deferred Tax Asset

The evaluation of the recoverability of our deferred tax asset and the need for a valuation allowance requires us to weigh all positive and negative evidence to reach a conclusion that it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The weight given to the evidence is commensurate with the extent to which it can be objectively verified. The more negative evidence that exists, the more positive evidence is necessary and the more difficult it is to support a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not needed.

We consider a number of factors to reliably estimate future taxable income so we can determine the extent of our ability to realize net operating losses (NOLs), foreign tax credits (FTCs), realized capital loss and other carryforwards. These factors include forecasts of future income for each of our businesses and actual and planned business and operational changes, both of which include assumptions about future macroeconomic and AIG specific conditions and events. We subject the forecasts to stresses of key assumptions and evaluate the effect on tax attribute utilization. We also apply stresses to our assumptions about the effectiveness of relevant prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. In 2018, we have also considered the impact of the Tax Act on our forecasts of taxable income, made certain assumptions related to interpretation of relevant new rules, and incorporated guidance issued by the U.S. tax authority. Our analysis also reflected the effect of slower utilization of our tax credits due to a reduction in the U.S. statutory tax rate as a result of the Tax Act. Our income forecasts, coupled with our tax planning strategies, all resulted in sufficient taxable income to achieve realization of the U.S. tax attributes prior to their expiration.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, recent changes in market conditions, including rising interest rates, impacted the unrealized tax gains and losses in the U.S. Non-Life Companies' available for sale securities portfolio, resulting in a decrease to the deferred tax liability related to net unrealized tax capital gains. As of December 31, 2018, we continue to be in an overall unrealized tax gain position with respect to the U.S. Non-Life Companies' available for sale securities portfolio and thus concluded no valuation allowance is necessary in the U.S. Non-Life Companies' available for sale securities portfolio.

We also assess the recoverability of deferred tax assets related to unrealized tax capital losses in the U.S. life insurance companies' available for sale portfolio. For the year ended December 31, 2018, recent changes in market conditions, including interest rate fluctuations, impacted the unrealized tax gains and losses in the U.S. life insurance companies' available for sale securities portfolio, resulting in a deferred tax asset related to net unrealized tax capital losses. The deferred tax asset relates to the unrealized losses for which the carryforward period has not yet begun, and as such, when assessing its recoverability, we consider our ability and intent to hold the underlying securities to recovery. As of December 31, 2018, based on all available evidence, we concluded that a valuation allowance should be established on a portion of the deferred tax asset related to unrealized losses that are not more-likely-than-not to be realized.

For a discussion of our framework for assessing the recoverability of our deferred tax asset see Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Uncertain Tax Positions

Our accounting for income taxes, including uncertain tax positions, represents management's best estimate of various events and transactions, and requires judgment. FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" (FIN 48) now incorporated into Accounting Standards Codification, 740, Income Taxes (ASC 740) prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of an income tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The standard also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties and additional disclosures. We determine whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained, based on technical merits, upon examination by the relevant taxing authorities before any part of the benefit can be recognized in the financial statements. A tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely to be realized upon settlement.

We classify interest expense and penalties recognized on income taxes as a component of income taxes.

U.S. Income Taxes on Earnings of Certain Foreign Subsidiaries

The U.S. federal income tax laws applicable to determining the amount of income taxes related to differences between the book carrying amounts and tax bases of subsidiaries are complex. Determining the amount also requires significant judgment and reliance on reasonable assumptions and estimates.

U.S. Tax Reform

On December 22, 2017, the U.S. enacted Public Law 115-97, known informally as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the Tax Act). The Tax Act reduced the statutory rate of U.S. federal corporate income tax to 21 percent and enacted numerous other changes impacting AIG and the insurance industry. Additionally, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin 118 (SAB 118), which provided guidance on accounting for the tax effects of the Tax Act. SAB 118 addressed situations where accounting for certain income tax effects of the Tax Act under ASC 740 may be incomplete upon issuance of an entity's financial statements and provided a one-year measurement period from the enactment date to complete the accounting under ASC 740. As of December 31, 2017, we had not fully completed our accounting for the tax effects of the Tax Act and our provision for income taxes was based in part on a reasonable estimate of the effects on existing deferred tax balances and of certain provisions of the Tax Act.

The Tax Act includes a provision for Global Intangible Low-Taxed Income (GILTI) under which taxes on foreign income are imposed on the excess of a deemed return on tangible assets of certain foreign subsidiaries and for Base Erosion and Anti-Abuse Tax (BEAT) under which taxes are imposed on certain base eroding payments to affiliated foreign companies. There are substantial uncertainties in the interpretation of BEAT and GILTI and while certain formal guidance was issued by the U.S. tax authority, there are still aspects of the Tax Act that remain unclear and additional guidance is expected in 2019. Such guidance may result in changes to the interpretations and assumptions we made and actions we may take, which may impact amounts recorded with respect to international provisions of the Tax Act. Consistent with accounting guidance, we treat BEAT as a period tax charge in the period the tax is incurred and have made an accounting policy election to treat GILTI taxes in a similar manner.

As of December 31, 2018, we have completed our accounting for the tax effects of the Tax Act. As further guidance is issued by the U.S. tax authority, any resulting changes in our estimates will be treated in accordance with the relevant accounting guidance.

For an additional discussion of the Tax Act see Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Executive Summary

OVERVIEW

This overview of the MD&A highlights selected information and may not contain all of the information that is important to current or potential investors in our securities. You should read this Annual Report in its entirety for a more detailed description of events, trends, uncertainties, risks and critical accounting estimates affecting us.

On July 18, 2018, we completed our acquisition of Validus Holdings, Ltd. (Validus), a leading provider of reinsurance, primary insurance, and asset management services, for approximately \$5.5 billion in cash. This transaction strengthens our global General Insurance business by expanding our current product portfolio through additional distribution channels and advancing the tools available to enhance underwriting. The results of Validus following the date of the acquisition are included in our General Insurance segment starting in the third quarter of 2018. Our North America results include the results of Validus Reinsurance, Ltd. and Western World Insurance Group, Inc., while our International results include the results of Talbot Holdings Ltd.

In February 2018, we closed a series of affiliated reinsurance transactions impacting the Legacy Portfolio. These transactions were designed to consolidate most of our Legacy Insurance Run-Off Lines into a single legal entity, Fortitude Re, formerly known as DSA Reinsurance Company, Ltd., a Bermuda domiciled composite reinsurer. The transactions include the cession of approximately \$31 billion of reserves from our Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines and approximately \$4 billion of reserves from our Legacy General Insurance Run-Off Lines relating to business written by multiple AIG legal entities, which represented over 83 percent of the insurance reserves in the Legacy Portfolio as of December 31, 2018. Fortitude Re has approximately \$40 billion of total assets, primarily managed by AIG Investments, and is AIG's main run-off reinsurer with its own dedicated management team.

We formed Fortitude Group Holdings, LLC (Fortitude Holdings) to act as a holding company for Fortitude Re. On November 13, 2018, we completed the sale of a 19.9 percent ownership interest in Fortitude Holdings to TC Group Cayman Investment Holdings, L.P. (TCG), an affiliate of The Carlyle Group L.P. (Carlyle) (the Fortitude Re Closing). Fortitude Holdings owns 100 percent of the outstanding common shares of Fortitude Re and AIG has an 80.1 percent ownership interest in Fortitude Holdings. In connection with the sale, we agreed to certain investment commitment targets into various Carlyle strategies and to certain minimum investment management fee payments within thirty-six months following the closing. We also will be required to pay a proportionate amount of an agreed make-whole fee to the extent we fail to satisfy such investment commitment targets.

On November 6, 2018 we completed our acquisition of Glatfelter Insurance Group, a full-service broker and insurance company providing services for specialty programs and retail operations.

On December 31, 2018 AIG Life Ltd., a U.K. AIG Life and Retirement company, completed its acquisition of Ellipse, a specialist provider of group life risk protection in the U.K.

See *Business Segment Operations – General Insurance and Legacy Portfolio*.

FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Net Loss Attributable To AIG

(\$ in millions)



2018 and 2017 Comparison

Decrease in Net loss attributable to AIG in 2018 compared to 2017. Excluding the \$6.7 billion tax charge related to the enactment of the Tax Act in 2017, we recorded a Net loss attributable to AIG in 2018 compared to Net income attributable to AIG in 2017 primarily due to:

- lower investment returns primarily driven by lower hedge fund performance, a decline in income from fixed maturity securities for which the fair value option was elected when compared to higher returns on this portfolio in 2017 as a result of significant spread tightening that occurred, losses on our fair value option equities portfolio, and lower invested assets resulting from the funding of the adverse development reinsurance agreement with National Indemnity Company (NICO), a subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway Inc. (Berkshire), late in the first quarter of 2017;
- a net unfavorable adjustment from the review and update of Life and Retirement actuarial assumptions compared to a net favorable adjustment in the prior year; and
- higher general operating and other expenses.

This decrease was partially offset by:

- lower losses incurred from General Insurance operations driven by significantly lower catastrophe losses and lower unfavorable prior year loss reserve development, partially offset by higher severe losses; and
- lower net realized capital losses.



2017 and 2016 Comparison

Decreased primarily due to a \$6.7 billion tax charge related to the enactment of the Tax Act. Excluding this tax charge, net income increased \$1.5 billion due to:

- lower losses from General Insurance operations, reflecting \$1.0 billion of pre-tax unfavorable prior year loss reserve development in 2017 driven by higher than expected loss emergence in General Insurance primarily related to accident year 2016 compared to \$5.4 billion in 2016, partially offset by higher catastrophe losses;
- a net favorable adjustment from the update of Life and Retirement actuarial assumptions in 2017 compared to a net unfavorable adjustment in the prior year;
- lower general operating and other expenses;
- lower net realized capital losses;
- increased adjusted pre-tax income from the Legacy Portfolio; and
- higher net investment income due to increased income from alternative investments and higher appreciation on assets for which the fair value option was elected.

This increase was partially offset by a loss on sale of divested businesses in 2017 compared to a gain on sale of divested businesses in 2016.

For further discussion see MD&A – Consolidated Results of Operations.

Adjusted Pre-Tax Income*

(\$ in millions)



2018 and 2017 Comparison

Adjusted pre-tax income decreased primarily due to:

- lower investment returns primarily driven by lower hedge fund performance, a decline in income from fixed maturity securities for which the fair value option was elected when compared to higher returns on this portfolio in 2017 as a result of significant spread tightening that occurred, losses on our fair value option equities portfolio, and lower invested assets resulting from the funding of the adverse development reinsurance agreement with NICO late in the first quarter of 2017;
- a net unfavorable adjustment from the review and update of Life and Retirement actuarial assumptions compared to a net favorable adjustment in the prior year; and
- higher general operating and other expenses.

This decrease was partially offset by lower losses incurred from General Insurance operations driven by significantly lower catastrophe losses and lower unfavorable prior year loss reserve development, partially offset by higher severe losses.

2017 and 2016 Comparison

Increased primarily due to:


- lower losses from General Insurance operations, reflecting \$1.0 billion of pre-tax unfavorable prior year loss reserve development in 2017 driven by higher than expected loss emergence in General Insurance primarily related to accident year 2016 compared to \$5.4 billion in 2016, partially offset by higher catastrophe losses;
- a net favorable adjustment from the update of Life and Retirement actuarial assumptions in 2017 compared to a net unfavorable adjustment in the prior year;
- lower general operating and other expenses;
- increased adjusted pre-tax income from the Legacy Portfolio; and
- higher net investment income due to increased income from alternative investments and higher appreciation on assets for which the fair value option was elected.

For further discussion see MD&A – Consolidated Results of Operations.

* Non-GAAP measure – for reconciliation of Non-GAAP to GAAP measures see Consolidated Results of Operations.

General Operating and Other Expenses



(\$ in millions)




General operating and other expenses increased in 2018 compared to 2017 due to the acquisition of Validus, business growth and continued investments in business platforms. General operating and other expenses declined in 2017 compared to 2016 due to lower employee-related expenses and professional fee reductions related to our ongoing efficiency program and divestitures of businesses, including United Guaranty, AIG Advisor Group, Fuji Life and NSM Insurance Group LLC (NSM).

In keeping with our broad and ongoing efforts to transform for long-term competitiveness, general operating and other expenses for 2018, 2017 and 2016 included approximately \$395 million, \$413 million and \$694 million of pre-tax restructuring and other costs, respectively, which were primarily comprised of employee severance charges related to efficiency initiatives.

We continue to execute initiatives focused on organizational simplification, operational efficiency, and business rationalization.

Return on Equity**Adjusted Return on Equity***

* Non-GAAP measure – for reconciliation of Non-GAAP to GAAP measures see Consolidated Results of Operations.

Book Value Per Share**Book Value Per Share, excluding AOCI***

* Non-GAAP measure – for reconciliation of Non-GAAP to GAAP measures see Consolidated Results of Operations.

AIG'S OUTLOOK – INDUSTRY AND ECONOMIC FACTORS

Our business is affected by industry and economic factors such as interest rates, currency exchange rates, credit and equity market conditions, catastrophic claims events, regulation, tax policy, competition, and general economic, market and political conditions. We continued to operate under difficult market conditions in 2018, characterized by factors such as the impact of historically low interest rates, uncertainties in the annuity marketplace resulting from legislative and regulatory initiatives aimed at re-evaluating the standard of care for sales of investment products and services, historically high levels of catastrophic events, slowing growth in China and Euro-Zone economies, global trade tensions and the UK's pending withdrawal from its membership in the European Union (the EU) (commonly referred to as Brexit). Brexit has also affected the U.S. dollar/British pound exchange rate and increased the volatility of exchange rates among the euro, British pound and the Japanese yen (the Major Currencies), which may continue for some time.

Impact of Changes in the Interest Rate Environment

While interest rates remain low by historical standards, interest rates during 2018, particularly in the United States, have risen, in some cases close to highs of the last five to ten years. In early 2019, the Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve System indicated that it expects the pace of rate increases to slow. The low interest rate environment negatively affects sales of interest rate sensitive products in our industry and may negatively impact the profitability of our existing business as we reinvest cash flows from investments, including increased calls and prepayments of fixed maturity securities and mortgage loans, at rates below the average yield of our existing portfolios. As rates rise, some of these impacts may abate while there may be different impacts, some of which are highlighted below. We actively manage our exposure to the interest rate environment through portfolio selection and asset-

liability management, including spread management strategies for our investment-oriented products and economic hedging of interest rate risk from guarantee features in our variable and fixed index annuities.

Additionally, sustained low interest rates on discounting of projected benefit cash flows for our pension plans may result in higher pension expense.

Annuity Sales and Surrenders

The sustained low interest rate environment has a significant impact on the annuity industry. Low long-term interest rates put pressure on investment returns, which may negatively affect sales of interest rate sensitive products and reduce future profits on certain existing fixed rate products. However, our disciplined rate setting has helped to mitigate some of the pressure on investment spreads. Rapidly rising interest rates could create the potential for increased sales, but may also drive higher surrenders. Customers are, however, currently buying fixed annuities with surrender charge periods of four to seven years in pursuit of higher returns, which may help mitigate increased early surrenders in a rising rate environment. In addition, older contracts that have higher minimum interest rates and continue to be attractive to the contract holders have driven better than expected persistency in Fixed Annuities, although the reserves for such contracts have continued to decrease over time in amount and as a percentage of the total annuity portfolio. We will closely monitor surrenders of Fixed Annuities as contracts with lower minimum interest rates come out of the surrender charge period in a more attractive rate environment. Low interest rates have also driven growth in our fixed index annuity products, which provide additional interest crediting, tied to favorable performance in certain equity market indices and the availability of guaranteed living benefits. Changes in interest rates significantly impact the valuation of our liabilities for annuities with guaranteed income features and the value of the related hedging portfolio.

Reinvestment and Spread Management

We actively monitor fixed income markets, including the level of interest rates, credit spreads and the shape of the yield curve. We also frequently review our interest rate assumptions and actively manage the crediting rates used for new and in-force business. Business strategies continue to evolve to maintain profitability of the overall business in light of the interest rate environment. A low interest rate environment puts margin pressure on pricing of new business and on existing products, due to the challenge of investing new money or recurring premiums and deposits, and reinvesting investment portfolio cash flows, in the low interest rate environment. In addition, there is investment risk associated with future premium receipts from certain in-force business. Specifically, the investment of these future premium receipts may be at a yield below that required to meet future policy liabilities.

The contractual provisions for renewal of crediting rates and guaranteed minimum crediting rates included in products may reduce spreads in a sustained low interest rate environment and thus reduce future profitability. Although this interest rate risk is partially mitigated through the asset-liability management process, product design elements and crediting rate strategies, a sustained low interest rate environment may negatively affect future profitability.

For additional information on our investment and asset-liability management strategies see Investments.

For investment-oriented products in our Individual Retirement, Group Retirement, Life Insurance and Institutional Markets businesses, our spread management strategies include disciplined pricing and product design for new business, modifying or limiting the sale of products that do not achieve targeted spreads, using asset-liability management to match assets to liabilities to the extent practicable, and actively managing crediting rates to help mitigate some of the pressure on investment spreads. Renewal crediting rate management is done under contractual provisions that were designed to allow crediting rates to be reset at pre-established intervals in accordance with state and federal laws and subject to minimum crediting rate guarantees. We will continue to adjust crediting rates on in-force business to mitigate the pressure on spreads from declining base yields, but our ability to lower crediting rates may be limited by the competitive environment, contractual minimum crediting rates, and provisions that allow rates to be reset only at pre-established intervals. As interest rates rise, we may need to raise crediting rates on in-force business for competitive and other reasons potentially reducing the impact of investing in a higher interest rate environment.

Of the aggregate fixed account values of our Individual Retirement and Group Retirement annuity products, 66 percent were crediting at the contractual minimum guaranteed interest rate at December 31, 2018. The percentage of fixed account values of our annuity products that are currently crediting at rates above one percent was 66 percent and 69 percent at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. These businesses continue to focus on pricing discipline and strategies to manage the minimum guaranteed interest crediting rates offered on new sales in the context of regulatory requirements and competitive positioning. In the core universal life business in our Life Insurance business, 65 percent of the account values were crediting at the contractual minimum guaranteed interest rate at December 31, 2018.

The following table presents fixed annuity and universal life account values of our Individual Retirement, Group Retirement and Life Insurance operating segments by contractual minimum guaranteed interest rate and current crediting rates:

December 31, 2018	Current Crediting Rates				
	At Contractual Minimum Guarantee	1-50 Basis Points Above Minimum Guarantee	More than 50 Basis Points Above Minimum Guarantee	Total	
Contractual Minimum Guaranteed Interest Rate (in millions)					
Individual Retirement*					
<=1%	\$ 1,432	\$ 4,464	\$ 18,933	\$ 24,829	
> 1% - 2%	6,845	153	1,408	8,406	
> 2% - 3%	12,540	273	77	12,890	
> 3% - 4%	9,646	45	7	9,698	
> 4% - 5%	536	-	4	540	
> 5% - 5.5%	34	-	5	39	
Total Individual Retirement	\$ 31,033	\$ 4,935	\$ 20,434	\$ 56,402	
Group Retirement*					
1%	\$ 1,659	\$ 3,002	\$ 2,451	\$ 7,112	
> 1% - 2%	6,058	848	451	7,357	
> 2% - 3%	15,178	3	-	15,181	
> 3% - 4%	836	-	-	836	
> 4% - 5%	7,064	-	-	7,064	
> 5% - 5.5%	174	-	-	174	
Total Group Retirement	\$ 30,969	\$ 3,853	\$ 2,902	\$ 37,724	
Universal life insurance					
1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
> 1% - 2%	87	24	367	478	
> 2% - 3%	279	578	1,075	1,932	
> 3% - 4%	1,575	497	7	2,079	
> 4% - 5%	3,024	224	-	3,248	
> 5% - 5.5%	265	-	-	265	
Total universal life insurance	\$ 5,230	\$ 1,323	\$ 1,449	\$ 8,002	
Total	\$ 67,232	\$ 10,111	\$ 24,785	\$ 102,128	
Percentage of total	66 %	10 %	24 %	100 %	

* Individual Retirement and Group Retirement amounts shown include fixed options within variable annuity products.

General Insurance

The impact of low interest rates on our General Insurance segment is primarily on our long-tail Casualty line of business. We expect limited impacts on our existing long-tail Casualty business as the duration of our assets is slightly longer than that of our liabilities. Sustained low interest rates would potentially impact new and renewal business for the long-tail Casualty line as we may not be able to adjust our future pricing consistent with our profitability objectives to fully offset the impact of investing at lower rates. However, we will continue to maintain pricing discipline and risk selection.

In addition, for our General Insurance segment and General Insurance Run-Off Lines reported within the Legacy Portfolio, sustained low interest rates may unfavorably affect the net loss reserve discount for workers' compensation, and to a lesser extent could favorably impact assumptions about future medical costs, the combined net effect of which could result in higher net loss reserves.

Standard of Care Developments

The SEC, federal and state lawmakers and state insurance regulators continue their efforts at evaluating what is an appropriate regulatory framework around a standard of care for the sale of investment products and services. For example, on April 18, 2018, the SEC proposed a package of rulemakings and interpretations designed to address the standard of care issues and the transparency of retail investors' relationships with investment advisors and broker-dealers. Additionally, on July 18, 2018, the New York State Department of Financial Services adopted a best interest standard of care regulation applicable to annuity and life transactions through issuance of the First Amendment to Insurance Regulation 187 – Suitability and Best Interests in Life Insurance and Annuity Transactions (Regulation 187). The compliance date for Regulation 187 is August 1, 2019 for annuity products and February 1, 2020 for life products. As amended, Regulation 187 requires producers to act in their client's best interest when making point-of-sale and in-force recommendations, and provide in writing the basis for the recommendation, as well as the facts and analysis to support the recommendation. The amended regulation also imposes additional duties on life insurance companies in relation to these transactions, such as requiring insurers to establish and maintain procedures designed to prevent financial exploitation and abuse. We will implement and enhance processes and procedures, where needed, to comply with this regulation. Other states, such as Nevada, Maryland and New Jersey, have also proposed similar standard of care regulations applicable to insurance producers and/or insurance companies. We continue to closely follow these proposals and other relevant federal and state-level regulatory and legislative developments in this area. While we cannot predict the long-term impact of these developments on our Life and Retirement businesses, we believe our diverse product offerings and distribution relationships position us to compete effectively in this evolving marketplace.

Impact of Currency Volatility

Currency volatility remains acute. Such volatility affected line item components of income for those businesses with substantial international operations. In particular, growth trends in net premiums written reported in U.S. dollars can differ significantly from those measured in original currencies. The net effect on underwriting results, however, is significantly mitigated, as both revenues and expenses are similarly affected.

These currencies may continue to fluctuate, in either direction, especially as a result of the UK's announced exit from the EU, and such fluctuations will affect net premiums written growth trends reported in U.S. dollars, as well as financial statement line item comparability.

General Insurance businesses are transacted in most major foreign currencies. The following table presents the average of the quarterly weighted average exchange rates of the Major Currencies, which have the most significant impact on our businesses:

Years Ended December 31, Rate for 1 USD	2018	2017	2016	Percentage Change	
				2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016
Currency:					
GBP	0.75	0.78	0.73	(4)%	7 %
EUR	0.84	0.90	0.90	(7)%	- %
JPY	110.50	112.44	109.19	(2)%	3 %

Unless otherwise noted, references to the effects of foreign exchange in the General Insurance discussion of results of operations are with respect to movements in the Major Currencies included in the preceding table.

Other Industry Developments

On September 7, 2017, the UK Ministry of Justice announced a proposal to increase the Ogden rate from negative 0.75 percent to between zero and one percent. Following this announcement, on December 20, 2018 the UK Parliament passed the Civil Liability Act 2018 which implements a new framework for determining the Ogden rate and requires the UK Ministry of Justice to start a review of the Ogden rate within 90 days of its commencement and review periodically thereafter. The Ministry of Justice concluded a public call for evidence on January 30, 2019 prior to beginning its first review. We will continue to monitor the progress of potential changes to the Ogden rate.

Consolidated Results of Operations

The following section provides a comparative discussion of our Consolidated Results of Operations on a reported basis for the three-year period ended December 31, 2018. Factors that relate primarily to a specific business are discussed in more detail within the business segment operations section.

For a discussion of the Critical Accounting Estimates that affect our results of operations see the Critical Accounting Estimates section of this MD&A.

The following table presents our consolidated results of operations and other key financial metrics:

Year Ended December 31, (in millions)					Percentage Change	
	2018	2017	2016	2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016	
Revenues:						
Premiums	\$ 30,614	\$ 31,374	\$ 34,393	(2)%	(9)%	
Policy fees	2,791	2,935	2,732	(5)	7	
Net investment income	12,476	14,179	14,065	(12)	1	
Net realized capital losses	(130)	(1,380)	(1,944)	91	29	
Other income	1,638	2,412	3,121	(32)	(23)	
Total revenues	47,389	49,520	52,367	(4)	(5)	
Benefits, losses and expenses:						
Policyholder benefits and losses incurred	27,412	29,972	32,437	(9)	(8)	
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	3,754	3,592	3,705	5	(3)	
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	5,386	4,288	4,521	26	(5)	
General operating and other expenses	9,302	9,107	10,989	2	(17)	
Interest expense	1,309	1,168	1,260	12	(7)	
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	7	(5)	74	NM	NM	
Net gain on sale of divested businesses	(38)	(68)	(545)	44	88	
Total benefits, losses and expenses	47,132	48,054	52,441	(2)	(8)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)						
	257	1,466	(74)	(82)	NM	
Current	336	636	576	(47)	10	
Deferred	(182)	6,890	(391)	NM	NM	
Income tax expense (benefit)	154	7,526	185	(98)	NM	
Income (loss) from continuing operations	103	(6,060)	(259)	NM	NM	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (benefit)						
	(42)	4	(90)	NM	NM	
Net income (loss)	61	(6,056)	(349)	NM	NM	
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests						
	67	28	500	139	(94)	
Net loss attributable to AIG	\$ (6)	\$ (6,084)	\$ (849)	100 %	NM%	

Years Ended December 31,	2018	2017	2016
Return on equity	0.0 %	(8.4)%	(1.0)%
Adjusted Return on equity	2.1	4.1	0.6

(in millions, except per share data)	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Balance sheet data:		
Total assets	\$ 491,984	\$ 498,301
Long-term debt	34,540	31,640
Total AIG shareholders' equity	56,361	65,171
Book value per common share	65.04	72.49
Book value per common share, excluding AOCI	66.67	66.41
Adjusted book value per common share	54.95	54.74

The following table presents a reconciliation of pre-tax income/net income (loss) attributable to AIG to adjusted pre-tax income/adjusted after-tax income attributable to AIG:

Year Ended December 31,	2018			2017			2016		
	Pre-tax	Total Tax (Benefit) Charge	After Tax	Pre-tax	Total Tax (Benefit) Charge	After Tax	Pre-tax	Total Tax (Benefit) Charge	After Tax
<i>(in millions, except per share data)</i>									
Pre-tax income/net income (loss), including noncontrolling interests	\$ 257	\$ 154	\$ 15	\$ 1,466	\$ 7,526	\$ (6,063)	\$ (74)	\$ 185	\$ (288)
Noncontrolling interest			(21)			(21)			(561)
Pre-tax income/net income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$ 257	\$ 154	\$ (6)	\$ 1,466	\$ 7,526	\$ (6,084)	\$ (74)	\$ 185	\$ (849)
Changes in uncertain tax positions and other tax adjustments		(48)	48		(488)	488		63	(63)
Deferred income tax valuation allowance charges		(21)	21		(43)	43		(83)	83
Impact of Tax Act		-	-		(6,687)	6,687		-	-
Changes in fair value of securities used to hedge guaranteed living benefits	154	32	122	(146)	(51)	(95)	(120)	(42)	(78)
Changes in benefit reserves and DAC, VOBA and SIA related to net realized capital gains (losses)	(6)	(3)	(3)	(303)	(106)	(197)	(195)	(68)	(127)
Unfavorable (favorable) prior year development and related amortization changes ceded under retroactive reinsurance agreements	675	142	533	303	106	197	(42)	(15)	(27)
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	7	1	6	(5)	(2)	(3)	74	26	48
Net realized capital losses ^(a)	193	41	152	1,380	506	874	1,944	561	1,383
Noncontrolling interest on net realized capital losses			46			7			(61)
(Income) loss from discontinued operations			42			(4)			90
Income from divested businesses	(38)	(8)	(30)	(68)	(41)	(27)	(545)	(309)	(236)
Non-operating litigation reserves and settlements	19	4	15	(129)	(45)	(84)	(41)	(14)	(27)
Net loss reserve discount (benefit) charge	(371)	(79)	(292)	187	65	122	(427)	(150)	(277)
Pension expense related to a one-time lump sum payment to former employees	-	-	-	60	21	39	147	51	96
Integration and transaction costs associated with acquired businesses	124	26	98	-	-	-	-	-	-
Restructuring and other costs	395	83	312	413	145	268	694	243	451
Adjusted pre-tax income/Adjusted after-tax income	\$ 1,409	\$ 324	\$ 1,064	\$ 3,158	\$ 906	\$ 2,231	\$ 1,415	\$ 448	\$ 406
Weighted average diluted shares outstanding			910.1			930.6			1,091.1
Loss per common share attributable to AIG (diluted)		\$ (0.01)			\$ (6.54)			\$ (0.78)	
Adjusted after-tax income per common share attributable to AIG (diluted)^(b)		\$ 1.17			\$ 2.34			\$ 0.36	

(a) Includes all net realized capital gains and losses except earned income (periodic settlements and changes in settlement accruals) on derivative instruments used for non-qualifying (economic) hedging or for asset replication.

(b) For 2017 and 2016, because we reported a net loss attributable to AIG common shareholders from continuing operations, all common stock equivalents are anti-dilutive and are therefore excluded from the calculation of diluted shares and diluted per share amounts. However, because we reported adjusted after-tax income, the calculation of adjusted after-tax income per diluted share includes 22,412,682 dilutive shares and 30,326,772 dilutive shares for 2017 and 2016, respectively.

PRE-TAX INCOME COMPARISON FOR 2018 AND 2017

Pre-tax income decreased in 2018 compared to 2017 primarily due to:

- lower investment returns primarily driven by lower hedge fund performance, a decline in income from fixed maturity securities for which the fair value option was elected compared to higher returns on this portfolio in 2017 as a result of significant spread tightening that occurred, losses on our fair value option equities portfolio, and lower invested assets resulting from the funding of the adverse development reinsurance agreement with NICO late in the first quarter of 2017;
- a net unfavorable adjustment from the review and update of Life and Retirement actuarial assumptions compared to a net favorable adjustment in the prior year; and
- higher general operating and other expenses due to the acquisition of Validus, business growth and continued investments in business platforms.

Partially offset by:

- lower losses incurred from General Insurance operations driven by significantly lower catastrophe losses and lower unfavorable prior year loss reserve development, partially offset by higher severe losses; and
- lower net realized capital losses due to:
 - Life and Retirement guaranteed living benefits, net of hedges, which reflected net realized capital gains in 2018 compared to net realized capital losses in 2017, primarily due to changes in the movement in the non-performance or “own credit” risk adjustment (NPA), which is not hedged as part of our economic hedging program (see *Insurance Reserves – Life and Annuity Reserves and DAC – Variable Annuity Guaranteed Benefits and Hedging Results*);
 - Partially offset by losses on the sale of securities in 2018 due to a decline in the credit and equity markets in the fourth quarter of 2018 compared to gains in the prior year.

PRE-TAX INCOME (LOSS) COMPARISON FOR 2017 AND 2016

Pre-tax results increased in 2017 compared to 2016 primarily due to:

- an increase in General Insurance Adjusted pre-tax income due to unfavorable prior year loss reserve development of \$1.0 billion in 2017 driven by higher than expected loss emergence in General Insurance primarily related to accident year 2016 compared to \$5.4 billion in 2016, partially offset by higher aggregate pre-tax catastrophe losses of \$4.2 billion, which included losses from Hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria, the earthquake in Mexico and the wildfires in California, compared to catastrophe losses of \$1.3 billion in the prior year;
- lower general operating and other expenses reflecting strategic actions to reduce expenses and divestitures of businesses, including United Guaranty, AIG Advisor Group, Inc. (AIG Advisor Group), Fuji Life and NSM;
- a net favorable adjustment from the update of Life and Retirement actuarial assumptions compared to a net unfavorable adjustment in the prior year;
- higher Adjusted pre-tax income from the Legacy Portfolio;
- an increase in net investment income due to higher income on alternative investments, primarily in our hedge fund portfolio and higher gains on assets for which we elected the fair value option, which more than offset lower invested assets and blended investment yields on new investments that were lower than the average yield of our existing portfolios; and
- a decrease in net realized capital losses reflecting:
 - foreign exchange gains in 2017 compared to foreign exchange losses in the prior year due to \$910 million of remeasurement losses for a short-term intercompany balance in 2016; and
 - lower other-than-temporary impairments.

Partially offset by:

- movement in the NPA, driven by tightening credit spreads and lower expected GMWB payments due to higher equity markets, and higher derivative losses from variable annuity GMWB, net of hedges, including losses from guaranteed living benefit embedded derivatives, net of hedging, primarily due to a higher net unfavorable adjustment from updates of actuarial assumptions; and
- gains in the prior year on the sale of a portion of our investment in People's Insurance Company (Group) of China Limited and PICC Property & Casualty Company Limited (collectively, our PICC Investment).

The increase in pre-tax results was partially offset by lower income from divested businesses in 2017 compared to the prior year due to gains on the sales of United Guaranty, AIG Advisor Group and NSM, partially offset by losses on the agreements to sell Fuji Life to FWD Group and certain insurance operations and assets to Fairfax.

U.S. TAX REFORM OVERVIEW

On December 22, 2017, the U.S. enacted Public Law 115-97, known informally as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the Tax Act). The Tax Act reduced the statutory rate of U.S. federal corporate income tax to 21 percent and enacted numerous other changes impacting AIG and the insurance industry. At December 31, 2017, we originally recorded a provisional estimate of income tax effects of the Tax Act of \$6.7 billion, including a tax charge of \$6.7 billion attributable to the reduction in the U.S. corporate income tax rate and tax benefit of \$38 million related to the deemed repatriation tax. Our provisional estimate of \$6.7 billion was based in part on a reasonable estimate of the effects of the statutory income tax rate reduction on existing deferred tax balances and of certain provisions of the Tax Act. We filed our 2017 consolidated U.S. income tax return and have completed our review of the primary impact of the Tax Act provisions on our deferred taxes. As a result, we consider the accounting for the effects of the rate change on deferred tax balances to be complete and no material measurement period changes were recorded for this item.

Changes specific to the insurance industry include the calculation of insurance tax reserves and related transition adjustments, amortization of specified policy acquisition expenses, treatment of separate account dividends received deductions and computation of pro-rata adjustments. Provisions of the Tax Act with broader application include reductions or elimination of deductions for certain items, e.g., reductions to corporate dividends received deductions, disallowance of entertainment expenses and limitations on the deduction of certain executive compensation costs. These provisions, generally, result in an increase in AIG's taxable income.

The Tax Act includes provisions for Global Intangible Low-Taxed Income (GILTI) under which taxes on foreign income are imposed on the excess of a deemed return on tangible assets of certain foreign subsidiaries and for Base Erosion and Anti-Abuse Tax (BEAT) under which taxes are imposed on certain base eroding payments to affiliated foreign companies. There are substantial uncertainties in the interpretation of BEAT and GILTI and while certain formal guidance was issued by the U.S. tax authority, there are still aspects of the Tax Act that remain unclear and additional guidance is either still pending or expected in 2019. Such guidance may result in changes to the interpretations and assumptions we made and actions we may take, which may impact amounts recorded with respect to international provisions of the Tax Act, possibly materially. Consistent with accounting guidance, we treat BEAT as a period tax charge in the period the tax is incurred and have made an accounting policy election to treat GILTI taxes in a similar manner.

During the period ended December 31, 2018, we have completed our review of the impact of the Tax Act on our forecasts of taxable income, made certain assumptions related to interpretation of relevant new rules, and incorporated guidance issued by the U.S. tax authority. While the prescribed SAB 118 measurement period has ended, there are still certain aspects of the Tax Act that remain unclear, including the complex interplay of the new tax rules with the rules governing the utilization of our tax attributes, and formal guidance from the U.S. tax authority is still pending. We will continue to review the impact of any additional guidance issued by the U.S. tax authority on our valuation allowance analysis in accordance with the relevant accounting guidance. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2018, we consider the determination of the need for a valuation allowance related to the Tax Act to be complete based on our analysis of existing tax law and relevant tax guidance, and no measurement period adjustment was recorded.

Repatriation Assumptions

As a result of the Tax Act, the majority of accumulated foreign earnings that were previously untaxed are subject to a one-time deemed repatriation tax. Going forward, foreign earnings not taxed as part of the one-time deemed repatriation (or otherwise taxed currently under the GILTI or subpart F regimes) will generally be exempt from U.S. tax upon repatriation. Notwithstanding the changes, U.S. tax on foreign exchange gain or loss and certain non-U.S. withholding taxes will continue to be applicable upon future repatriations of foreign earnings. For 2018, we consider our foreign earnings with respect to certain operations in Canada, South Africa, the Far East, Latin America, Bermuda as well as the European, Asia Pacific and Middle East regions to be indefinitely reinvested. These earnings relate to ongoing operations and have been reinvested in active business operations. While, following the enactment of the Tax Act, distributions from foreign affiliates are, generally, not subject to U.S. income tax, such distributions may be subject to local withholding taxes. A deferred tax liability of approximately \$100 million to \$150 million related to such withholding taxes has not been recorded for those foreign subsidiaries whose earnings are considered to be indefinitely reinvested. Deferred taxes, if necessary, have been provided on earnings of non-U.S. affiliates whose earnings are not indefinitely reinvested.

Deemed Repatriation Tax & Impact on Liquidity

The Tax Act requires companies to pay a one-time transition tax, net of tax credits, related to applicable foreign taxes paid, on previously untaxed current and accumulated earnings and profits of certain of our foreign subsidiaries. We were able to reasonably estimate the deemed repatriation tax and originally recorded a provisional estimated tax benefit of \$38 million at December 31, 2017.

We have completed our review of post-1986 earnings and profits of our foreign affiliates. Incorporating additional IRS guidance issued with respect to the deemed repatriation tax, as well as the relevant basis adjustments, we recognized a measurement period tax charge of \$62 million. The effect of deemed repatriation tax, which has now been determined to be complete, resulted in a liability of \$24 million.

INCOME TAX EXPENSE ANALYSIS

For the year ended December 31, 2018, the effective tax rate on income from continuing operations was 59.9 percent. The effective tax rate on income from continuing operations differs from the statutory tax rate of 21 percent primarily due to

- tax charges of:
 - \$62 million measurement period adjustment related to the deemed repatriation tax,
 - \$48 million net charge primarily related to the accrual of IRS interest (including interest related to uncertain tax positions),
 - \$44 million associated with the effect of foreign operations,
 - \$21 million of additional U.S. taxes imposed on income of our foreign subsidiaries under international provisions of the Tax Act,
 - \$21 million valuation allowance activity related to certain foreign subsidiaries and state jurisdictions, and
 - \$29 million of non-deductible transfer pricing charges;
- partially offset by tax benefits of
 - \$72 million of reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income to income from continuing operations related to the disposal of available for sale securities,
 - \$37 million of tax exempt income, and
 - \$13 million of excess tax deductions related to share based compensation payments recorded through the income statement.

The effect of foreign operations is primarily related to income and losses in our foreign operations taxed at statutory tax rates different than 21 percent and foreign income subject to U.S. taxation.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the effective tax rate on income from continuing operations was not meaningful. The effective tax rate differs from the 2017 statutory tax rate of 35 percent primarily due to

- tax charges of:
 - \$6.7 billion associated with the enactment of the Tax Act discussed above,
 - \$660 million of tax charges and related interest associated with increases in uncertain tax positions primarily related to cross border financing transactions and other open tax issues,
 - \$69 million associated with the effect of foreign operations, and
 - \$35 million of non-deductible transfer pricing charges;
- partially offset by tax benefits of:
 - \$201 million of tax exempt income,
 - \$184 million of reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income to income from continuing operations related to the disposal of available for sale securities, and
 - \$40 million of excess tax deductions related to share based compensation payments recorded through the income statement in accordance with relevant accounting literature.

The effect of foreign operations is primarily related to losses incurred in our European operations taxed at a statutory tax rate lower than 35 percent and other foreign taxes.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, the effective tax rate on loss from continuing operations was not meaningful. The effective tax rate on loss from continuing operations differs from the statutory tax rate of 35 percent primarily due to

- tax charges of:
 - \$234 million associated with the effect of foreign operations,
 - \$216 million of tax and related interest associated with increases in uncertain tax positions related to cross border financing transactions,
 - \$118 million related to disposition of subsidiaries,
 - \$102 million related to non-deductible transfer pricing charges, and
 - \$83 million related to increases in the deferred tax asset valuation allowances associated with U.S. federal and certain foreign jurisdictions;

- partially offset by tax benefits of:
 - \$253 million related to tax exempt income,
 - \$164 million associated with a portion of the U.S. Life Insurance companies capital loss carryforwards previously treated as expired that was restored and utilized,
 - \$116 million related to the impact of an agreement reached with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) related to certain tax issues under audit, and
 - \$132 million of reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income to income from continuing operations related to the disposal of available for sale securities.

The effect of foreign operations is primarily related to foreign exchange losses incurred by our foreign subsidiaries related to the weakening of the British pound following the Brexit vote taxed at a statutory tax rate lower than 35 percent.

For additional information see Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Business Segment Operations

Our business operations consist of General Insurance, Life and Retirement, Other Operations, and a Legacy Portfolio.

General Insurance consists of two operating segments: North America and International. Life and Retirement consists of four operating segments: Group Retirement, Individual Retirement, Life Insurance and Institutional Markets. Other Operations consists of businesses and items not allocated to our other businesses, which are primarily AIG Parent, Blackboard and Fuji Life, which was sold on April 30, 2017. Our Legacy Portfolio consists of our Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines, Legacy General Insurance Run-Off Lines, and Legacy Investments. Effective February 2018, Fortitude Re is included in our Legacy Portfolio.

The following table summarizes Adjusted pre-tax income (loss) from our business segment operations. See also Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Core business:			
General Insurance			
North America	\$ (8)	\$ (232)	\$ (2,399)
International	(461)	(581)	348
General Insurance	(469)	(813)	(2,051)
Life and Retirement			
Individual Retirement	1,681	2,289	2,269
Group Retirement	933	1,004	931
Life Insurance	330	274	(37)
Institutional Markets	246	264	265
Life and Retirement	3,190	3,831	3,428
Other Operations	(1,584)	(1,405)	(1,011)
Consolidations, eliminations and other adjustments	59	75	42
Total Core	1,196	1,688	408
Legacy Portfolio	213	1,470	1,007
Adjusted pre-tax income	\$ 1,409	\$ 3,158	\$ 1,415

General Insurance

General Insurance is managed by our geographic markets of North America and International. Our global presence is reflected in our multinational capabilities to provide our Commercial Lines and Personal Insurance products within these geographic markets.

PRODUCTS AND DISTRIBUTION



Liability: Products include general liability, environmental, commercial automobile liability, workers' compensation, excess casualty and crisis management insurance products. Casualty also includes risk-sharing and other customized structured programs for large corporate and multinational customers.

Financial Lines: Products include professional liability insurance for a range of businesses and risks, including directors and officers liability (D&O), mergers and acquisitions (M&A), fidelity, employment practices, fiduciary liability, cyber risk, kidnap and ransom, and errors and omissions insurance (E&O).

Property: Products include commercial and industrial property insurance products and services that cover exposures to man-made and natural disasters, including business interruption.

Special Risks: Products include aerospace, political risk, trade credit, portfolio solutions, energy-related property insurance products, surety, marine and crop insurance.

Personal Lines: Products include personal auto and property in selected markets and insurance for high net worth individuals offered through AIG Private Client Group (PCG) in the U.S. that covers auto, homeowners, umbrella, yacht, fine art and collections. In addition, we offer extended warranty insurance and services covering electronics, appliances, and HVAC.

Accident & Health: Products include voluntary and sponsor-paid personal accident and supplemental health products for individuals, employees, associations and other organizations, as well as a broad range of travel insurance products and services for leisure and business travelers.

General Insurance products in North America and International markets are distributed through various channels, including captive and independent agents, brokers, affinity partners, airlines and travel agents, and retailers. Our distribution network is aided by our competitive position to write multiple-national and cross-border risks in both Commercial Lines and Personal Insurance.

BUSINESS STRATEGY

Profitable Growth: Deploy capital efficiently to act opportunistically and optimize diversity within the portfolio to grow in profitable lines, geographies and customer segments. Look to inorganic growth opportunities in profitable markets and segments to expand our capabilities and footprint.

Reinsurance Optimization: Strategically partner with reinsurers to reduce exposure to losses arising from frequency of large catastrophic events and the severity from individual risk losses. We will optimize our reinsurance program to manage volatility and protect the balance sheet from tail events and unpredictable net losses in support of our profitable growth objectives.

Underwriting Excellence: Empower and increase accountability of the underwriter and continue to integrate underwriting, claims and actuarial to enable better decision making. Focus on enhancing risk selection, driving consistent underwriting best practices and building robust monitoring standards to improve underwriting results.

COMPETITION AND CHALLENGES

Operating in a highly competitive industry, General Insurance competes against several hundred companies, specialty insurance organizations, mutual companies and other underwriting organizations in the U.S. In international markets, we compete for business with the foreign insurance operations of large global insurance groups and local companies in specific market areas and product types. Insurance companies compete through a combination of risk acceptance criteria, product pricing, service and terms and conditions. General Insurance seeks to distinguish itself in the insurance industry primarily based on its well-established brand, global franchise, multinational capabilities, financial and capital strength, innovative products, claims expertise to handle complex claims, expertise in providing specialized coverages and customer service.

We serve our business and individual customers on a global basis — from the largest multinational corporations to local businesses and individuals. Our clients benefit from our substantial underwriting expertise.

Our challenges include:

- long-tail Commercial Lines exposures that create added challenges to pricing and risk management;
- over capacity in certain lines of business that creates downward market pressure on pricing;
- tort environment volatility in certain jurisdictions and lines of business; and
- volatility in claims arising from natural and man-made catastrophes.

OUTLOOK—INDUSTRY AND ECONOMIC FACTORS

Below is a discussion of the industry and economic factors impacting our operating segments:

General Insurance – North America

Commercial Lines over recent years has experienced challenging market conditions, with widespread surplus capacity increasing competition and suppressing rates across multiple classes of business. However, we are seeing growing market support for rate increases in certain U.S. liability segments (outside of workers' compensation), with increasing traction in excess casualty, D&O and commercial auto, where rates are supported by a trend of higher loss cost inflation. On the shorter tailed lines, the market is supporting higher rates for accounts which suffered losses from major catastrophe events in 2017 and 2018. We continue to achieve positive rate increases across a number of lines and classes of business as a result of our disciplined underwriting strategy and focus on risk selection. Further, we continue to achieve growth in several of our Commercial Lines high margin businesses, although these market segments remain highly competitive.

Personal Insurance growth prospects are supported by the need for full life cycle products and coverage, increases in personal wealth accumulation, and awareness of insurance protection and risk management. We compete in the high net worth market, accident and health insurance, travel insurance, and warranty services and will continue to expand our innovative products and services to distribution partners and clients.

General Insurance – International

We believe our global presence provides Commercial Lines and Personal Insurance a distinct competitive advantage, as the demand for multinational cross-border coverage and services increases due to the growing number of international customers, while giving us the ability to respond quickly to local market conditions and build client relationships.

The Commercial Lines market continues to be highly competitive, due to increased market capacity and ample availability of capital. Despite this, we continue to grow our most profitable segments and diversify our portfolio across all regions by expanding into new product lines (e.g. cyber), new client segments (e.g. middle market) and new distribution channels (e.g. digital and national brokers) while remaining a market leader in key developed and developing markets. We are maintaining our underwriting discipline and continuing our risk selection strategy to improve profitability.

Personal Insurance focuses on individual customers, as well as group and corporate clients. Although market competition within Personal Insurance has increased, we continue to benefit from the underwriting quality, portfolio diversity, and low volatility of the short-tailed risk in these business lines.

GENERAL INSURANCE RESULTS

Years Ended December 31,				Percentage Change	
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016	2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016
Underwriting results:					
Net premiums written	\$ 26,407	\$ 25,438	\$ 28,393	4 %	(10)%
Decrease in unearned premiums	1,098	588	1,193	87	(51)
Net premiums earned	27,505	26,026	29,586	6	(12)
Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred ^(a)	20,824	21,642	25,103	(4)	(14)
Acquisition expenses:					
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	4,596	3,765	4,121	22	(9)
Other acquisition expenses	1,385	1,388	1,732	-	(20)
Total acquisition expenses	5,981	5,153	5,853	16	(12)
General operating expenses	3,837	3,712	4,235	3	(12)
Underwriting loss	(3,137)	(4,481)	(5,605)	30	20
Net investment income	2,668	3,668	3,554	(27)	3
Adjusted pre-tax loss	\$ (469)	\$ (813)	\$ (2,051)	42 %	60 %
Loss ratio^(a)	75.7	83.2	84.8	(7.5)	(1.6)
Acquisition ratio	21.7	19.8	19.8	1.9	-
General operating expense ratio	14.0	14.3	14.3	(0.3)	-
Expense ratio	35.7	34.1	34.1	1.6	-
Combined ratio^(a)	111.4	117.3	118.9	(5.9)	(1.6)
Adjustments for accident year loss ratio, as adjusted and accident year combined ratio, as adjusted:					
Catastrophe losses and reinstatement premiums	(10.5)	(16.1)	(4.4)	5.6	(11.7)
Prior year development, net of (additional) return premium on loss sensitive business	(1.5)	(4.0)	(18.5)	2.5	14.5
Adjustment for ceded premiums under reinsurance contracts related to prior accident years and other	0.3	(0.1)	-	0.4	(0.1)
Accident year loss ratio, as adjusted	64.0	63.0	61.9	1.0	1.1
Accident year combined ratio, as adjusted	99.7	97.1	96.0	2.6	1.1

(a) Consistent with our definition of APTI, excludes net loss reserve discount and the portion of favorable or unfavorable prior year reserve development for which we have ceded the risk under retroactive reinsurance agreements and related changes in amortization of the deferred gain.

The following table presents General Insurance net premiums written by operating segment, showing change on both reported and constant dollar basis:

Years Ended December 31,				Percentage Change in U.S. dollars		Percentage Change in Original Currency	
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016	2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016	2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016
North America ^{(a)(b)}	\$ 11,383	\$ 10,973	\$ 13,026	4 %	(16)%	4 %	(16)%
International ^(c)	15,024	14,465	15,367	4	(6)	1	(4)
Total net premiums written	\$ 26,407	\$ 25,438	\$ 28,393	4 %	(10)%	3 %	(10)%

(a) As a result of the Validus acquisition, 2018 includes additional Net premiums written for North America and International of \$500 million and \$371 million, respectively.

(b) As a result of the Glatfelter acquisition, 2018 includes additional Net premiums written for North America of \$27 million.

(c) As a result of the merger of AIUI Japan and Fuji Fire and Marine Insurance Company (Fuji), Fuji's fiscal reporting period was conformed to that of AIUI Japan (Japan Merger Impact). Therefore, 2018 includes approximately \$300 million for two additional months of Net premiums written from Fuji. This also resulted in Fuji's annual policy renewal period being reported in the second quarter of 2018 compared to the third quarter of the prior year.

The following tables present General Insurance accident year catastrophes and severe losses by geography^(a) and number of events:

Catastrophes^(b)

(in millions)	# of Events		North America		International		Total
Year Ended December 31, 2018							
Flooding	3	\$	16	\$	154	\$	170
Windstorms and hailstorms	23		1,123		791		1,914
Wildfire	5		712		4		716
Earthquakes	3		19		82		101
Volcanic eruptions	1		16		2		18
Reinstatement premiums	-		(33)		(1)		(34)
Total catastrophe-related charges	35	\$	1,853	\$	1,032	\$	2,885
Year Ended December 31, 2017							
Flooding	- (c)	\$	962	\$	158	\$	1,120
Windstorms and hailstorms	20		1,771		616		2,387
Wildfire	2		562		10		572
Tropical cyclone	1		-		66		66
Earthquakes	1		-		41		41
Reinstatement premiums	-		(23)		-		(23)
Total catastrophe-related charges	24	\$	3,272	\$	891	\$	4,163
Year Ended December 31, 2016							
Flooding	3	\$	134	\$	27	\$	161
Windstorms and hailstorms	19		631		127		758
Wildfire	2		129		7		136
Earthquakes	3		25		205		230
Other	1		-		40		40
Reinstatement premiums	-		(2)		3		1
Total catastrophe-related charges	28	\$	917		409		1,326

(a) Geography: North America primarily includes insurance businesses in the United States, Canada and Bermuda. International includes insurance businesses in Japan, the United Kingdom, Europe, the Asia Pacific region, Latin America, Puerto Rico, Australia, the Middle East and Africa. Geography results are presented before consideration of internal reinsurance agreements.

(b) Natural and man-made catastrophe losses are generally weather or seismic events having a net impact on AIG in excess of \$10 million each and also include certain man-made events, such as terrorism and civil disorders that exceed the \$10 million threshold.

(c) Flooding events reported in 2017 are a subset of windstorm events.

Severe Losses^(d)

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	# of Events	North America	International	Total
2018 ^(e)	42 \$	300 \$	376 \$	676
2017 ^(e)	27 \$	203 \$	273 \$	476
2016	24 \$	110 \$	323 \$	433

(d) Severe losses are defined as non-catastrophe individual first party losses, surety losses and trade credit losses greater than \$10 million, net of related reinsurance and salvage and subrogation.

(e) The amounts presented for 2018, are net of \$207 million of recoveries, \$96 million in North America and \$111 million in International, under aggregate reinsurance contracts. Eligible incurred losses under these agreements exceeded the applicable aggregate attachment point in the third quarter of 2018. The amount presented for 2017 is net of \$121 million of recoveries, \$65 million in North America and \$56 million in International, under an aggregate reinsurance contract. Eligible incurred losses under this agreement exceeded the applicable aggregate attachment point in the fourth quarter of 2017. There were no aggregate recoveries included in the amounts presented or 2016.

NORTH AMERICA RESULTS

Years Ended December 31,			Percentage Change		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016	2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016
Underwriting results:					
Net premiums written	\$ 11,383	\$ 10,973	\$ 13,026	4 %	(16)%
(Increase) decrease in unearned premiums	931	482	938	93	(49)
Net premiums earned	12,314	11,455	13,964	7	(18)
Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred ^(a)	10,776	11,646	15,692	(7)	(26)
Acquisition expenses:					
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	1,859	1,305	1,444	42	(10)
Other acquisition expenses	515	485	718	6	(32)
Total acquisition expenses	2,374	1,790	2,162	33	(17)
General operating expenses	1,477	1,396	1,550	6	(10)
Underwriting loss	(2,313)	(3,377)	(5,440)	32	38
Net investment income	2,305	3,145	3,041	(27)	3
Adjusted pre-tax loss	\$ (8)	\$ (232)	\$ (2,399)	97 %	90 %
Loss ratio ^(a)	87.5	101.7	112.4	(14.2)	(10.7)
Acquisition ratio	19.3	15.6	15.5	3.7	0.1
General operating expense ratio	12.0	12.2	11.1	(0.2)	1.1
Expense ratio	31.3	27.8	26.6	3.5	1.2
Combined ratio ^(a)	118.8	129.5	139.0	(10.7)	(9.5)
Adjustments for accident year loss ratio, as adjusted					
and accident year combined ratio, as adjusted:					
Catastrophe losses and reinstatement premiums	(15.1)	(28.7)	(6.6)	13.6	(22.1)
Prior year development, net of (additional) return premium on loss sensitive business	(3.1)	(3.6)	(37.9)	0.5	34.3
Adjustment for ceded premiums under reinsurance contracts related to prior accident years and other	0.8	(0.3)	-	1.1	(0.3)
Accident year loss ratio, as adjusted	70.1	69.1	67.9	1.0	1.2
Accident year combined ratio, as adjusted	101.4	96.9	94.5	4.5	2.4

(a) Consistent with our definition of APTI, excludes net loss reserve discount and the portion of favorable or unfavorable prior year reserve development for which we have ceded the risk under retroactive reinsurance agreements and related changes in amortization of the deferred gain.

Business and Financial Highlights


The North America General Insurance business is focused on making progress towards improved underwriting results and efficiencies. This includes strengthening our talent base; ongoing investment in pricing and monitoring tools; continuous review of our risk appetite combined with enhanced focus on portfolio management and individual business strategy; reduction of our gross and net limits; and increased use of reinsurance.

Adjusted pre-tax loss decreased in the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to the prior year, primarily due to lower catastrophe losses and lower unfavorable prior year loss reserve development, partially offset by lower net investment income mainly due to lower investment returns on alternative investments and higher severe losses. Net premiums written increased primarily due to growth in Personal Insurance, lower ceded premiums driven by changes in the 2018 reinsurance programs and the inclusion of the Validus acquisition.

For further discussion on prior year loss reserve development see *Insurance Reserves*.

For a discussion of 2018 reinsurance programs see Part II, Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition Results of Operation - Enterprise Risk Management.

North America Adjusted Pre-Tax Loss (in millions)



2018 and 2017 Comparison

Adjusted pre-tax loss decreased primarily due to:

- significantly lower catastrophe losses; and
- lower unfavorable prior year loss reserve development.

These were partially offset by:

- lower investment returns on alternative investments, primarily driven by less robust private equity and hedge fund performance compared to 2017, and a decline in income from securities for which the fair value option was elected as well as lower interest and dividends due to lower invested assets resulting from the first quarter 2017 funding of the adverse development reinsurance agreement with NICO;
- higher severe losses;
- higher acquisition ratio primarily driven by changes in portfolio mix, higher insurance taxes, licenses and fees, and changes in the 2018 reinsurance programs; and
- higher general operating expenses due to the inclusion of the Validus and Glatfelter acquisition; however general operating expense ratio decreased slightly.

North America Adjusted Pre-Tax Loss (in millions)



2017 and 2016 Comparison

Adjusted pre-tax loss decreased primarily due to:

- lower unfavorable prior year loss reserve development (decrease by \$4.9 billion);
- lower acquisition expenses driven by lower production, the impact of the reinsurance agreement with Swiss Re Group, and lower insurance taxes, licenses and fees;
- lower general operating expenses driven by lower employee-related expenses and other expense reduction initiatives; and
- higher net investment income reflecting higher income on alternative investments and gains on securities where we elected the fair value option partially offset by lower interest and dividends due to lower invested assets resulting from the first quarter 2017 funding of the adverse development reinsurance agreement with NICO.

This decrease was partially offset by:

- higher severe losses; and
- higher catastrophe losses primarily driven by hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria and the California wildfires.

North America Net Premiums Written (in millions)



2018 and 2017 Comparison

Net premiums written increased primarily due to:

- growth in the Travel business within Personal Insurance;
- lower ceded premiums due to changes in the 2018 reinsurance programs; and
- the inclusion of the Validus and Glatfelter acquisitions.

This increase was partially offset by:

- lower production primarily in Property, Programs business, and D&O products within Financial Lines mainly due to underwriting actions taken to strengthen our portfolio and to maintain pricing discipline; and
- exiting of certain businesses in Accident & Health in 2017.

North America Net Premiums Written (in millions)



2017 and 2016 Comparison

Net premiums written decreased primarily due to:

- lower production primarily in Casualty, commercial property within Property, D&O products within Financial Lines and programs business due to continued underwriting actions to strengthen our portfolio and to maintain pricing discipline; and
- higher ceded premiums related to the additional layer of coverage added to the North American catastrophe reinsurance cover for 2017.

This decrease was partially offset by:

- the growth of PCG business within Personal Lines and travel insurance within Accident & Health;
- recognition of ceded return premiums on our excess of loss reinsurance covers; and
- lower ceded premiums related to the reinsurance arrangement with the Swiss Re Group partially offset by lower assumed premium from the quota share reinsurance agreement with United Guaranty.

North America Combined Ratios



2018 and 2017 Comparison

The decrease in the combined ratio reflected a decrease in the loss ratio partially offset by an increase in the expense ratio.

The decrease in the loss ratio reflected:

- significantly lower catastrophe losses; and
- lower unfavorable prior year loss reserve development.

These decreases in the loss ratio were partially offset by a higher current accident year loss ratio, as adjusted, driven primarily by higher severe losses.

The increase in the expense ratio reflected a higher acquisition ratio primarily due to changes in portfolio mix, higher insurance taxes, licenses and fees, and changes in the 2018 reinsurance programs.

North America Combined Ratios



2017 and 2016 Comparison

The decrease in the combined ratio reflected a decrease in the loss ratio slightly offset by an increase in the expense ratio.

The decrease in the loss ratio was primarily due to lower prior year unfavorable development. Prior year reserve development is net of the losses ceded under the NICO reinsurance agreement as well as the amortization of the related deferred gain.

This decrease in the loss ratio was partially offset by:

- higher catastrophe losses primarily driven by hurricanes Harvey, Irma and Maria, and the California wildfires; and
- a slightly elevated current accident year loss ratio, as adjusted, driven primarily by higher severe losses and an increase in loss estimates in Casualty and Financial Lines reflecting the result of 2017 detailed valuation reviews, partially offset by lower current accident year losses in Personal Insurance.

The increase in the expense ratio was primarily due to a higher general operating expense ratio primarily driven by a decrease in net premiums earned reflecting portfolio optimization, which more than offset expense reductions.

INTERNATIONAL RESULTS

Years Ended December 31,			Percentage Change	
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016	
				2018 vs. 2017 2017 vs. 2016
Underwriting results:				
Net premiums written	\$ 15,024	\$ 14,465	\$ 15,367	4 % (6)%
Decrease in unearned premiums	167	106	255	58 (58)
Net premiums earned	15,191	14,571	15,622	4 (7)
Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred	10,048	9,996	9,411	1 6
Acquisition expenses:				
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	2,737	2,460	2,677	11 (8)
Other acquisition expenses	870	903	1,014	(4) (11)
Total acquisition expenses	3,607	3,363	3,691	7 (9)
General operating expenses	2,360	2,316	2,685	2 (14)
Underwriting loss^(a)	(824)	(1,104)	(165)	25 NM
Net investment income	363	523	513	(31) 2
Adjusted pre-tax income (loss)	\$ (461)	\$ (581)	\$ 348	21 % NM%
Loss ratio	66.1	68.6	60.2	(2.5) 8.4
Acquisition ratio	23.7	23.1	23.6	0.6 (0.5)
General operating expense ratio	15.5	15.9	17.2	(0.4) (1.3)
Expense ratio	39.2	39.0	40.8	0.2 (1.8)
Combined ratio	105.3	107.6	101.0	(2.3) 6.6
Adjustments for accident year loss ratio, as adjusted and accident year combined ratio, as adjusted:				
Catastrophe losses and reinstatement premiums	(6.8)	(6.1)	(2.6)	(0.7) (3.5)
Prior year development, net of (additional) return premium on loss sensitive business	(0.2)	(4.3)	(1.0)	4.1 (3.3)
Adjustment for ceded premiums under reinsurance contracts related to prior accident years	-	-	-	NM NM
Accident year loss ratio, as adjusted	59.1	58.2	56.6	0.9 1.6
Accident year combined ratio, as adjusted	98.3	97.2	97.4	1.1 (0.2)

(a) As a result of the Japan Merger Impact, 2018 includes two additional months of operating earnings increasing Net premiums written, Net premiums earned, Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred, and Adjusted pre-tax income by approximately \$300 million, \$300 million, \$200 million and \$15 million, respectively.

Business and Financial Highlights

The International General Insurance business is focused on underwriting profits and improved efficiency, further improving underwriting margins, and growing profitably in segments and geographies that support our growth strategy. This includes creating operating leverage by improving the expense ratio, a focus on new business sales in Japan, a strategic review of the use of reinsurance and leveraging Talbot, International's newly acquired Lloyd's of London insurance syndicate.

Adjusted pre-tax loss decreased in 2018 compared to the same period in the prior year primarily due to significantly lower unfavorable prior year loss reserve development, partially offset by higher severe and catastrophe losses and lower net investment income.

For further discussion on prior year loss reserve development see Insurance Reserves.

Net premiums written, excluding the impact of foreign exchange, increased primarily due to the inclusion of the Validus acquisition and business growth, partially offset by the sale of certain insurance operations and assets to Fairfax.

International Adjusted Pre-Tax Income (Loss) (in millions)



2018 and 2017 Comparison

Adjusted pre-tax loss decreased primarily due to significantly lower unfavorable prior year loss reserve development.

This decrease was partially offset by:

- higher catastrophe losses;
- higher current accident year loss ratio, as adjusted, driven primarily by higher severe losses; and
- lower net investment income driven by weaker market performance of equity securities for which the fair value option was elected, a decrease in alternative investments portfolio holdings and lower income from equity method investments.

International Adjusted Pre-Tax Income (Loss) (in millions)




2017 and 2016 Comparison

Adjusted pre-tax loss in 2017 compared to adjusted pre-tax income in 2016 was primarily due to:

- higher catastrophe losses primarily driven by hurricanes Maria, Harvey and Irma;
- higher prior year unfavorable loss reserve development impacted by unfavorable loss emergence in Europe Casualty and Property; and
- higher current accident year loss ratio, as adjusted, in Europe Casualty, partially offset by improvements in our Europe and Japan Personal Insurance businesses.

These were partially offset by lower general operating expenses driven by lower employee-related expenses and other expense reduction initiatives.

International Net Premiums Written (in millions)



2018 and 2017 Comparison

Net premiums written, excluding the impact of foreign exchange, increased due to:

- growth in Accident & Health and Personal Lines business in Asia Pacific and in the Financial Lines business in Europe;
- inclusion of the Validus acquisition; and
- the Japan Merger Impact.

This increase was partially offset by:

- sale of certain insurance operations and assets to Fairfax;
- lower business production in Japan because of delayed product introduction related to the Japan Merger Impact and exit from unprofitable distribution channels;
- higher ceded premiums due to changes in 2018 reinsurance programs; and
- lower production primarily driven by portfolio remediation efforts.

International Net Premiums Written (in millions)



2017 and 2016 Comparison

Net premiums written decreased, excluding the impact of foreign exchange, primarily due to:

- the sale of our interest in the Ascot business and certain of our insurance operations to Fairfax; and
- lower production in our Japan business reflecting our focus on profitability combined with a competitive market environment.

International Combined Ratios



2018 and 2017 Comparison

The decrease in the combined ratio reflected a lower loss ratio partially offset by a slightly higher expense ratio.

This decrease in the loss ratio was primarily driven by significantly lower unfavorable prior year loss reserve development partially offset by:

- a higher current accident year loss ratio, as adjusted, driven primarily by higher severe losses; and
- higher catastrophe losses.

The slight increase in the expense ratio was primarily driven by a higher acquisition ratio mainly due to changes in business mix combined with changes in 2018 reinsurance programs.

International Combined Ratios



2017 and 2016 Comparison

The increase in the combined ratio reflected a higher loss ratio partially offset by a decrease in the expense ratio.

The higher loss ratio reflected:

- higher catastrophe losses primarily driven by hurricanes Maria, Harvey and Irma;
- higher prior year unfavorable loss reserve development impacted by unfavorable loss emergence in Europe Casualty and Property; and
- a higher current accident year loss ratio, as adjusted, in Europe Casualty driven by an increase in loss estimates as a result of 2017 year-end detailed reserve valuation reviews slightly offset by lower severe losses and improved current accident year performance in Europe and Japan Personal Insurance.

The decrease in the expense ratio was primarily due to:

- a lower general operating expense ratio driven by lower employee-related expenses and other expense reduction initiatives; and
- a lower acquisition ratio driven by the sale of our interest in the Ascot business.

Life and Retirement

PRODUCTS AND DISTRIBUTION

Individual Retirement

Variable Annuities: Products include variable annuities that offer a combination of growth potential, death benefit features and income protection features. Variable annuities are distributed primarily through banks, wirehouses, and regional and independent broker-dealers.

Index Annuities: Products include fixed index annuities that provide growth potential based in part on the performance of a market index. Certain fixed index annuity products offer optional income protection features. Fixed index annuities are distributed primarily through banks, broker dealers, independent marketing organizations and independent insurance agents.

Fixed Annuities: Products include single premium fixed annuities, immediate annuities and deferred income annuities. The Fixed Annuities product line maintains its industry-leading position in the U.S. bank distribution channel by designing products collaboratively with banks and offering an efficient and flexible administration platform.

Retail Mutual Funds: Includes our mutual fund sales and related administration and servicing operations. Retail Mutual Funds are distributed primarily through broker-dealers.

Group Retirement

Group Retirement: Products and services include group mutual funds, group fixed annuities, group variable annuities, individual annuity and investment products, and financial planning and advisory services.

Products and services are marketed by The Variable Annuity Life Insurance Company (VALIC) under the VALIC brand and include investment offerings and plan administrative and compliance services. VALIC career financial advisors and independent financial advisors provide retirement plan participants with enrollment support and comprehensive financial planning services.

Life Insurance

Life Insurance: In the U.S., products primarily include term life and universal life insurance distributed through independent marketing organizations, independent insurance agents, financial advisors and direct marketing. International operations include the distribution of life and health products in the U.K. and Ireland.

Institutional Markets

Institutional Markets: Products primarily include stable value wrap products, structured settlement and pension risk transfer annuities, corporate- and bank-owned life insurance and guaranteed investment contracts (GICs). Institutional Markets products are primarily distributed through specialized marketing and consulting firms and structured settlement brokers.

Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) Funding Agreements are issued through our Individual Retirement, Group Retirement and Institutional Markets operating segments. Funding agreements are issued by our U.S. Life and Retirement companies to FHLBs in their respective districts at floating rates over specified periods, which can be prepaid at our discretion. Proceeds are generally invested in fixed income securities and other suitable investments to generate spreads. These investment contracts do not have mortality or morbidity risk and are similar to GICs.

BUSINESS STRATEGY

Deliver client-centric solutions through our unique franchise by bringing together a broad portfolio of life insurance, retirement and institutional products offered through an extensive, multichannel distribution network. Life and Retirement focuses on ease of doing business, offering valuable solutions, and expanding and deepening its distribution relationships across multiple channels.

Position market leading businesses to serve growing needs by continually enhancing product solutions, service delivery and digital capabilities while using data and analytics in an innovative manner to improve customer experience.

Individual Retirement will continue to capitalize on the opportunity to meet consumer demand for guaranteed income by maintaining innovative variable and index annuity products, while also managing risk from guarantee features through risk-mitigating product design and well-developed economic hedging capabilities.

Our fixed annuity products provide diversity in our annuity product suite by offering stable returns for retirement savings.

Group Retirement continues to enhance its technology platform to improve the customer experience for plan sponsors and individual participants. VALIC's self-service tools paired with its career financial advisors provide a compelling service platform. Group Retirement's strategy also involves providing financial planning services for its clients and meeting their need for income in retirement.

Life Insurance in the U.S. will continue to position itself for growth and changing market dynamics while continuing to execute strategies to enhance returns. Our focus is on materializing success from a multi-year effort of building state-of-the-art platforms and underwriting innovations, which are expected to bring process improvements and cost efficiencies.

In the U.K., AIG Life Insurance will continue to focus on growing the business organically and through potential acquisition opportunities.

Institutional Markets continues to grow its assets under management across multiple product lines, including stable value wrap, GICs and pension risk transfer annuities. Our growth strategy is opportunistic and allows us to pursue select transactions that meet our risk-adjusted return requirements.

Enhance Operational Effectiveness by simplifying processes and operating environments to increase competitiveness, improve service and product capabilities and facilitate delivery of our target customer experience. We continue to invest in technology to improve operating efficiency and ease of doing business for our distribution partners and customers. We believe that simplifying our operating models will enhance productivity and support further profitable growth.

Manage our Balance Sheet through a rigorous approach to our products and portfolio. We match our product design and high quality investments with our asset and liability exposures to maximize our ability to meet cash and liquidity needs under various operating scenarios.

Deliver Value Creation and Manage Capital by striving to deliver solid earnings through disciplined pricing, sustainable underwriting improvements, expense reductions, and diversification of risk, while optimizing capital allocation and efficiency within insurance entities to enhance return on equity.

COMPETITION AND CHALLENGES

Life and Retirement operates in the highly competitive insurance and financial services industry in the U.S. and select international markets, competing against various financial services companies, including banks and other life insurance and mutual fund companies. Competition is primarily based on product pricing and design, distribution, financial strength, customer service and ease of doing business.

Our business remains competitive due to its long-standing market leading positions, innovative products, distribution relationships across multiple channels, customer-focused service and strong financial ratings.

Our primary challenges include:

- a sustained low interest rate environment, which makes it difficult to profitably price new products and puts margin pressure on existing business due to lower reinvestment yields;
- increased competition in our primary markets, including aggressive pricing of annuities by private equity-backed annuity writers, increased competition and consolidation of employer groups in the group retirement planning market, and peers with different profitability targets in the pension risk transfer space;
- increasingly complex new and proposed regulatory requirements, which have affected industry growth; and
- upgrading our technology and underwriting processes while managing general operating expenses.

OUTLOOK—INDUSTRY AND ECONOMIC FACTORS

Below is a discussion of the industry and economic factors impacting our specific operating segments:

Individual Retirement

Increasing life expectancy and reduced expectations for traditional retirement income from defined benefit programs and fixed income securities are leading Americans to seek additional financial security as they approach retirement. The strong demand for individual variable and fixed index annuities with guaranteed income features has attracted increased competition in this product space. In response to the continued low interest rate environment, which has added pressure to profit margins, we have developed guaranteed income benefits for both variable and fixed index annuities with margins that are less sensitive to the level of interest rates.

Changes in the interest rate environment can have a significant impact on sales, surrender rates, investment returns, guaranteed income features, and spreads in the annuity industry.

Group Retirement

Group Retirement competes in the defined contribution market under the VALIC brand. VALIC is a leading retirement plan provider in the U.S. for K-12 schools and school districts, higher education, healthcare, government and other not-for-profit institutions. The defined contribution market is a highly efficient and competitive market that requires support for both plan sponsors and individual participants. To meet this challenge, VALIC is investing in a client-focused technology platform to support improved compliance and self-service functionality. VALIC's service model pairs self-service tools with its career financial advisors who provide individual plan participants with enrollment support and comprehensive financial planning services.

Changes in the interest rate environment can have a significant impact on investment returns, guaranteed income features, and spreads, and a moderate impact on sales and surrender rates.

Life Insurance

Consumers have a significant need for life insurance, whether it is used for income replacement for their surviving family, estate planning or wealth transfer. Additionally, consumers use life insurance to provide living benefits in case of chronic, critical or terminal illnesses, and to supplement retirement income.

In response to consumer needs and a sustained low interest rate environment, our Life Insurance product portfolio will continue to promote products with lower long-duration interest rate risk and mitigate exposure to products that have long-duration interest rate risk through sales levels and hedging strategies.

As life insurance ownership remains at historical lows in the U.S. and the U.K., efforts to expand the reach and increase the affordability of life insurance are critical. The industry is investing in consumer-centric efforts to reduce traditional barriers to securing life protection by simplifying the sales and service experience. Digitally enabled processes and tools provide a fast, friendly and simple path to life insurance protection.

Institutional Markets

Institutional Markets serves a variety of needs for corporate clients. Demand is driven by a number of factors including the macroeconomic and regulatory environment. We expect to see continued growth in the pension risk transfer market as corporate plan sponsors look to transfer asset or liability, longevity, administrative and operational risks associated with their defined benefit plans.

Changes in the interest rate environment can have a significant impact on investment returns and net investment spreads, as well as reduce the tax efficiency associated with institutional life insurance products, dampening organic growth opportunities.

For additional discussion of the impact of market interest rate movement on our Life and Retirement business see Executive Summary – AIG's Outlook – Industry and Economic Factors – Impact of Changes in the Interest Rate Environment.

LIFE AND RETIREMENT RESULTS

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016	Percentage Change	
				2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016
Revenues:					
Premiums	\$ 2,592	\$ 4,046	\$ 2,288	(36)%	77 %
Policy fees	2,669	2,798	2,590	(5)	8
Net investment income	7,922	7,816	7,622	1	3
Other income	953	926	1,278	3	(28)
Total adjusted revenues	14,136	15,586	13,778	(9)	13
Benefits and expenses:					
Policyholder benefits and losses incurred	4,179	5,247	3,496	(20)	50
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	3,513	3,360	3,449	5	(3)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	680	743	613	(8)	21
General operating and other expenses*	2,412	2,296	2,700	5	(15)
Interest expense	162	109	92	49	18
Total operating expenses	10,946	11,755	10,350	(7)	14
Adjusted pre-tax income	\$ 3,190	\$ 3,831	\$ 3,428	(17)%	12 %

* Includes general operating expenses, non-deferrable commissions, other acquisition expenses, advisory fee expenses and other expenses.

Our insurance companies generate significant revenues from investment activities. As a result, the operating segments in Life and Retirement are subject to variances in net investment income on the asset portfolios that support insurance liabilities and surplus.

For additional information on our investment strategy, asset-liability management process and invested asset composition see Investments.

INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT RESULTS

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)				Percentage Change	
	2018	2017	2016	2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016
Revenues:					
Premiums	\$ 52	\$ 91	\$ 163	(43)%	(44)%
Policy fees	804	767	709	5	8
Net investment income	3,827	4,013	3,878	(5)	3
Advisory fee and other income	655	643	1,008	2	(36)
Benefits and expenses:					
Policyholder benefits and losses incurred	261	161	173	62	(7)
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	1,679	1,616	1,684	4	(4)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	630	415	298	52	39
Non deferrable insurance commissions	324	308	226	5	36
Advisory fee expenses	238	241	570	(1)	(58)
General operating expenses	443	426	488	4	(13)
Interest expense	82	58	50	41	16
Adjusted pre-tax income	\$ 1,681	\$ 2,289	\$ 2,269	(27)%	1 %
Fixed Annuities base net investment spread:					
Base yield	4.60 %	4.80 %	4.90 %	(20)bps	(10)bps
Cost of funds	2.65	2.65	2.74	-	(9)
Fixed Annuities base net investment spread	1.95 %	2.15 %	2.16 %	(20)bps	(1)bps

Business and Financial Highlights

The market environment continues to reflect uncertainties in the annuity business resulting from a sustained low interest rate environment. While interest rates increased during 2018, rates remain low relative to historical levels. Premiums and deposits improved in 2018 compared to 2017 but were lower than 2016. Premiums and deposits in 2018 included deposits from FHLB funding agreements. Net flows in 2018 deteriorated compared to 2017 and 2016 and continued to be negative primarily due to higher surrenders and withdrawals, mainly in Retail Mutual Funds.

Excluding the impact of the review and update of actuarial assumptions, adjusted pre-tax income decreased in 2018 compared to 2017 and 2016. In 2018 compared to 2017, results reflect decreased Fixed Annuity base spread income primarily due to lower reinvestment yields and decreased gains on securities for which the fair value option was elected, and higher Variable Annuity DAC amortization and reserves due to lower equity market performance. Partially offsetting these decreases were higher policy and advisory fees, and increased base spread income for Index Annuities. In 2017 compared to 2016, results reflected higher gains on securities for which the fair value option was elected, higher net investment spread for Indexed Annuities, higher policy fees, and higher returns from alternative investments. Offsetting these increases were decreased net investment income due to reduction of the size of the hedge fund portfolio as well as impact of the sale of the Advisor Group in May 2016 resulting in decreased advisory fee income, advisory fee expenses, and general operating expenses. In addition, Fixed Annuities base net investment spread in 2017 declined compared to 2016 primarily due to lower reinvestment yields.

Individual Retirement Adjusted Pre-Tax Income (in millions)



2018 and 2017 Comparison


Adjusted pre-tax income decreased primarily due to:

- a net unfavorable adjustment from the review and update of actuarial assumptions of \$52 million in 2018, compared to a net favorable adjustment of \$242 million in the prior year;
- a decline in net investment income, primarily from lower gains on fixed maturity securities for which the fair value option was elected when compared to 2017 where returns were higher as a result of significant spread tightening that occurred and lower bond call and tender income;
- a decline in Fixed Annuity base spread income primarily driven by lower reinvestment yields and volumes; and
- higher Variable Annuity DAC amortization and reserves due to lower equity market performance.

Partially offsetting these decreases were:

- higher Index Annuity base portfolio income reflecting growth in assets from increased sales; and
- higher policy fees primarily driven by asset growth in Index and Variable Annuities.

Individual Retirement Adjusted Pre-Tax Income (in millions)



2017 and 2016 Comparison

Adjusted pre-tax income increased primarily due to:

- higher net investment income, which included higher gains on securities for which the fair value option was elected and higher returns on alternative investments, partially offset by a reduction in the overall size of the hedge fund portfolio;
- higher base net investment spread primarily in Variable and Index Annuities driven by growth in invested assets, and disciplined pricing and active crediting rate management; and
- higher policy fees due to growth in annuity account values driven by improved equity market performance.

Partially offsetting these increases were:

- lower net favorable adjustment from the review and update of actuarial assumptions which was \$242 million in 2017 compared to \$369 million in 2016;
- increases in reserves primarily due to additional reserves for guaranteed benefits in 2017 compared to a reduction in 2016;
- excluding the impact of actuarial assumption updates, higher DAC amortization due to system conversions and model refinements, partially offset by a decrease driven by improved equity market performance;
- higher commission expense primarily due to growth in account values driven by improved equity market performance and the allocation of reinsurance risk charges, as all U.S. Life and Retirement segments benefited from the reduction in the required statutory capital resulting from a reinsurance agreement entered into in 2016 involving certain whole life, term life and universal life businesses (life insurance reinsurance transactions); and
- the sale of the Advisor Group in May 2016, which drove the decreases in advisory fee income, advisory expenses and general operating expenses, and resulted in a net \$13 million decrease in adjusted pre-tax income.

INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT GAAP PREMIUMS, PREMIUMS AND DEPOSITS, SURRENDERS AND NET FLOWS

For Individual Retirement, premiums primarily represent amounts received on life-contingent payout annuities. Premiums decreased in 2018 compared to 2017, primarily due to competitive market rates. Premiums decreased in 2017 compared to 2016, primarily due to strong annuity sales in 2016 driven by higher equity market volatility, which made immediate annuities more attractive to customers seeking less volatile returns.

Premiums and deposits is a non-GAAP financial measure that includes, in addition to direct and assumed premiums, deposits received on investment-type annuity contracts, FHLB funding agreements and mutual funds under administration.

Net flows for annuity products in Individual Retirement represent premiums and deposits less death, surrender and other withdrawal benefits. Net flows for mutual funds represent deposits less withdrawals. Deposits from FHLB funding agreements were excluded from net flows of Individual Retirement, as net flows from these funding agreements are not considered part of the metric to measure Individual Retirement's core recurring performance.

The following table presents a reconciliation of Individual Retirement GAAP premiums to premiums and deposits:

Years Ended December 31,		2018		2017		2016	
(in millions)							
Premiums	\$	52	\$	91	\$	163	
Deposits		15,577		11,819		15,898	
Other		(8)		(4)		1	
Premiums and deposits	\$	15,621	\$	11,906	\$	16,062	

The following table presents surrenders as a percentage of average reserves:

Years Ended December 31,		2018		2017		2016	
Surrenders as a percentage of average reserves							
Fixed Annuities		8.2 %		6.7 %		7.6 %	
Variable and Index Annuities		6.5		6.0		5.2	

The following table presents reserves for Fixed Annuities and Variable and Index Annuities by surrender charge category:


At December 31,		2018		2017	
(in millions)		Fixed Annuities	Variable and Index Annuities	Fixed Annuities	Variable and Index Annuities
No surrender charge	\$	30,036	\$ 19,036	\$ 32,299	\$ 18,896
Greater than 0% - 2%		1,037	6,229	1,704	6,045
Greater than 2% - 4%		2,429	9,781	1,560	9,470
Greater than 4%		15,217	33,244	13,329	34,677
Non-surrenderable		1,608	474	1,665	429
Total reserves	\$	50,327	\$ 68,764	\$ 50,557	\$ 69,517

Individual Retirement annuities are typically subject to a four- to seven-year surrender charge period, depending on the product. For Fixed Annuities, the proportion of reserves subject to surrender charge at December 31, 2018 has increased compared to December 31, 2017 due to improved net flows driven by higher Fixed Annuity sales, combined with fewer policyholders reaching the end of the surrender charge period in 2018 compared to 2017. The increase in reserves with no surrender charge contributed to the increase in the surrender rate for Variable and Index Annuities in 2018 compared to the prior year. Increases in market interest rates in 2018 contributed to the increase in the surrender rate for Fixed Annuities in 2018 compared to the prior year.

A discussion of the significant variances in premiums and deposits and net flows for each product line follows:

Individual Retirement Premiums and Deposits (P&D) and Net Flows

(in millions)




2018 and 2017 Comparison

- **Fixed Annuities** premiums and deposits increased primarily due to higher broker dealer and bank distribution sales driven by favorable market conditions. Net flows continued to be negative but improved primarily due to higher premiums and deposits, partially offset by increased surrenders.
- **Variable and Index Annuities** premiums and deposits increased primarily due to higher index annuity sales driven by expanded distribution and market growth, partially offset by lower variable annuity sales driven by lower bank and broker dealer distribution sales. Sales were also positively impacted by easing industry uncertainty caused by the DOL Fiduciary Rule, which was vacated in June 2018. Index annuity net flows increased primarily due to higher sales but were partially offset by increased surrenders. Variable annuity net flows remained negative and deteriorated primarily due to lower sales and higher surrenders.
- **Funding Agreements** premiums and deposits in 2018 reflected deposits from FHLB funding agreements, which were excluded from reported net flows.
- **Retail Mutual Funds** net flows remained negative and deteriorated reflecting lower deposits and higher withdrawals due to continued negative industry trends in U.S. equity actively managed funds and the impact of underperformance within our largest fund.

Individual Retirement Premiums and Deposits and Net Flows

(in millions)



2017 and 2016 Comparison

- **Fixed Annuities** premiums and deposits decreased, primarily due to disciplined pricing in the continued low interest rate environment and strong sales in 2016 driven by higher equity market volatility, which made fixed annuities more attractive to customers seeking less volatile returns. Although premiums and deposits were lower compared to 2016, annuity sales in the second half of 2017 improved compared to the same period in 2016. Net flows declined and continued to be negative reflecting lower premiums and deposits, partially offset by lower surrenders.
- **Variable and Index Annuities** premiums and deposits and net flows declined, reflecting a continued decrease in variable annuity industry sales due in part to uncertainty around the implementation of the DOL Fiduciary Rule at the time, partially offset by slightly higher index annuity sales. Lower premiums and deposits combined with higher surrenders resulted in a decrease in net flows.
- **Retail Mutual Funds** had negative net flows compared to positive net flows in 2016, reflecting lower deposits and higher withdrawals due to negative industry trends in U.S. equity actively managed funds and uncertainty surrounding the DOL Fiduciary Rule at the time.

GROUP RETIREMENT RESULTS

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016	Percentage Change	
				2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016
Revenues:					
Premiums	\$ 34	\$ 27	\$ 27	26 %	- %
Policy fees	446	427	383	4	11
Net investment income	2,172	2,164	2,146	-	1
Advisory fee and other income	239	230	213	4	8
Benefits and expenses:					
Policyholder benefits and losses incurred	85	74	28	15	164
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	1,122	1,115	1,135	1	(2)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	95	84	129	13	(35)
Non deferrable insurance commissions	117	108	85	8	27
Advisory fee expenses	91	83	75	10	11
General operating expenses	406	348	360	17	(3)
Interest expense	42	32	26	31	23
Adjusted pre-tax income	\$ 933	\$ 1,004	\$ 931	(7)%	8 %
Base net investment spread:					
Base yield	4.50 %	4.53 %	4.71 %	(3)bps	(18)bps
Cost of funds	2.73	2.76	2.89	(3)	(13)
Base net investment spread	1.77 %	1.77 %	1.82 %	- bps	(5)bps

Business and Financial Highlights

Group Retirement is focused on implementing initiatives to grow its business. However, external factors, including increased competition and the consolidation of healthcare providers and other employers in target markets, continue to impact Group Retirement's customer retention. Premiums and deposits increased in 2018 compared to 2017 and decreased slightly in 2017 compared to 2016. Premiums and deposits in 2018 included deposits from FHLB funding agreement. Net flows deteriorated in 2018 compared to 2017 and 2016 and continued to be negative primarily due to higher surrenders, partially offset by increased premiums and deposits in 2018 and slightly decreased premiums and deposits in 2017 compared to 2016.

Excluding the impact of the review and update of actuarial assumptions, adjusted pre-tax income decreased in 2018 compared to 2017 due to increases in general operating expenses and higher variable annuity DAC amortization and reserves due to lower equity market performance partially offset by higher policy fees and net investment income. Excluding the impact of the review and update of actuarial assumptions, adjusted pre-tax income increased in 2017 compared to 2016. In 2017, results reflected higher gains on securities for which the fair value option was elected, higher returns from alternative investments, increased policy and advisory fees, and lower general operating expenses. Partially offsetting these increases were lower base net investment yields partially mitigated by crediting rate management and a reduction in the overall size of the hedge fund portfolio.

Group Retirement Adjusted Pre-Tax Income (in millions)



2018 and 2017 Comparison

Adjusted pre-tax income decreased primarily due to:

- higher general operating expenses, which reflected continued investments in people and technology, and higher legal expenses; and
- higher Variable Annuity DAC amortization and reserves due to lower equity market performance.

Partially offsetting these decreases were:

- higher policy and advisory fees, net of expenses, primarily driven by growth in assets; and
- higher net investment income, primarily from the receipt of non-recurring payments on structured securities and higher commercial mortgage loan prepayments, partially offset by lower gains on fixed maturity securities for which the fair value option was elected when compared to 2017 where returns were higher as a result of significant spread tightening that occurred.

Group Retirement Adjusted Pre-Tax Income (in millions)



2017 and 2016 Comparison

Adjusted pre-tax income increased primarily due to:

- a net favorable adjustment from the review and update of actuarial assumptions of \$13 million in 2017 compared to a \$47 million net unfavorable adjustment in 2016;
- higher net investment income, which included higher gains on securities for which the fair value option was elected and higher returns on alternative investments, partially offset by a reduction in the overall size of the hedge fund portfolio;
- higher policy and advisory fees, net of expenses, due to growth in account values driven by improved equity market performance; and
- lower general operating expenses primarily due to reduced legal expenses, partially offset by higher spending for implementation of the DOL Fiduciary Rule.

Partially offsetting these increases were:

- higher policyholder benefits primarily due to increases in reserves for guaranteed benefits;
- lower base net investment spread primarily due to lower reinvestment yields, partially mitigated by effective crediting rate management; and
- higher commission expense primarily due to the allocation of reinsurance risk charges from life insurance reinsurance transactions.

GROUP RETIREMENT GAAP PREMIUMS, PREMIUMS AND DEPOSITS, SURRENDERS AND NET FLOWS

For Group Retirement, premiums primarily represent amounts received on life-contingent payout annuities. Premiums in 2018, which primarily represent immediate annuities, increased compared to 2017 and 2016. Overall, premiums is not a significant driver of the Group Retirement results.

Premiums and deposits is a non-GAAP financial measure that includes, in addition to direct and assumed premiums, deposits received on investment-type annuity contracts, FHLB funding agreement and mutual funds under administration.

Net flows for annuity products included in Group Retirement represent premiums and deposits less death, surrender and other withdrawal benefits. Net flows for mutual funds represent deposits less withdrawals. Deposits from FHLB funding agreement was excluded from net flows of Group Retirement, as net flows from this funding agreement is not considered part of the metric to measure Group Retirement's core recurring performance.

The following table presents a reconciliation of Group Retirement GAAP premiums to premiums and deposits:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Premiums	\$ 34	\$ 27	\$ 27
Deposits	8,605	7,523	7,543
Premiums and deposits	\$ 8,639	\$ 7,550	\$ 7,570

The following table presents Group Retirement surrenders as a percentage of average reserves and mutual funds under administration:

Years Ended December 31,	2018	2017	2016
Surrenders as a percentage of average reserves and mutual funds	11.3 %	8.6 %	8.8 %

The following table presents reserves for Group Retirement annuities by surrender charge category:

At December 31, (in millions)	2018 ^(a)	2017 ^(a)
No surrender charge ^(b)	\$ 65,500	\$ 69,006
Greater than 0% - 2%	650	1,087
Greater than 2% - 4%	1,115	1,344
Greater than 4%	5,868	5,270
Non-surrenderable	612	439
Total reserves	\$ 73,745	\$ 77,146

(a) Excludes mutual fund assets under administration of \$17.9 billion and \$20.2 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.


(b) Group Retirement amounts in this category include General Account reserves of approximately \$6.3 billion, at December 31, 2018 and 2017, which are subject to 20 percent annual withdrawal limitations at the participant level and General Account reserves of \$4.7 billion and \$4.5 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017 respectively, which are subject to 20 percent annual withdrawal limitations at the plan level.

Group Retirement annuities are typically subject to a five- to seven-year surrender charge period, depending on the product. At December 31, 2018, Group Retirement annuity reserves decreased compared to December 31, 2017 primarily due to a decrease in separate account assets. The surrender rate in 2018 increased compared to 2017 primarily due to higher surrenders, including approximately \$1.6 billion of large plan surrenders.

A discussion of the significant variances in premiums and deposits and net flows follows:

Group Retirement Premiums and Deposits and Net Flows

(in millions)




2018 and 2017 Comparison

Net flows deteriorated and continued to be negative primarily due to higher surrenders, including approximately \$1.6 billion of large plan surrenders, partially offset by increased deposits. External factors including consolidation of healthcare providers and other employers in target markets, continue to impact Group Retirement customer retention. Premiums and deposits in 2018 reflected deposits from FHLB funding agreement, which were excluded from reported net flows.

Group Retirement Premiums and Deposits and Net Flows

(in millions)



2017 and 2016 Comparison

Net flows declined and continued to be negative primarily due to surrenders, including plan surrenders of approximately \$460 million. In addition, premiums and deposits decreased slightly primarily due to lower index annuity sales, partially offset by significantly higher deposits from plan acquisitions.

LIFE INSURANCE RESULTS

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016	Percentage Change	
				2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016
Revenues:					
Premiums	\$ 1,554	\$ 1,530	\$ 1,407	2 %	9 %
Policy fees	1,258	1,430	1,319	(12)	8
Net investment income	1,137	1,044	1,035	9	1
Other income	58	52	57	12	(9)
Benefits and expenses:					
Policyholder benefits and losses incurred	2,619	2,444	2,452	7	-
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	374	376	386	(1)	(3)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	(50)	239	182	NM	31
Non deferrable insurance commissions	89	109	155	(18)	(30)
General operating expenses	620	601	668	3	(10)
Interest expense	25	13	12	92	8
Adjusted pre-tax income	\$ 330	\$ 274	\$ (37)	20 %	NM%

Business and Financial Highlights

Life Insurance is focused on selling profitable new products through strategic channels to enhance future returns. Results for 2018 reflect growth in universal life deposits, and growth in term and international life and health premiums, offset by lower group benefits premiums. Adjusted pre-tax income increased in 2018 compared to 2017 primarily due to higher net investment income driven by growth in invested assets, favorable mortality, and actuarial reserve and reinsurance refinements, offset by higher general operating expenses. Adjusted pre-tax income increased in 2017 compared to 2016 reflecting the impact of favorable actuarial assumption updates in 2017 compared to net unfavorable adjustments in 2016, improved group benefit results, decreased general operating expenses and favorable individual life mortality.

Life Insurance Adjusted Pre-Tax Income

(in millions)



2018 and 2017 Comparison

Adjusted pre-tax income increased primarily due to:

- favorable reserve and reinsurance refinements;
- higher net investment income primarily due to increases in base portfolio income driven by growth in invested assets and higher alternative returns; and
- favorable mortality.

Partially offsetting these increases were:

- a net unfavorable adjustment from the annual review and update of actuarial assumptions of \$63 million compared to a net favorable adjustment in the prior year for \$29 million; and
- higher general operating expenses primarily due to growth in international life offset by a reduction in group benefits expenses. In addition, prior-year general operating expenses were reduced by the impact of new business reinsurance.

Life Insurance Adjusted Pre-Tax Income (Loss)*(in millions)***2017 and 2016 Comparison**

Adjusted pre-tax income increased in 2017 compared to a loss in 2016 primarily due to:

- a net favorable adjustment from the review and update of actuarial assumptions of \$29 million in 2017 compared to a \$92 million net unfavorable adjustment in 2016;
- lower commissions and general operating expenses primarily due to the strategic decision to refocus the group benefits business, partially offset by the allocation of reinsurance risk charges from life insurance reinsurance transactions. In addition, lower general operating expenses in 2017 reflected the impact of new business reinsurance;
- favorable loss experience and a reserve reduction in group benefits business;
- favorable mortality experience in individual life business; and
- excluding the impact of the actuarial assumption updates, lower DAC amortization primarily due to lapse assumptions on international life.

LIFE INSURANCE GAAP PREMIUMS AND PREMIUMS AND DEPOSITS

Premiums for Life Insurance represent amounts received on traditional life insurance policies, primarily term life, international life and health and group benefits. Premiums, excluding the effect of foreign exchange, increased in 2018 compared to 2017 and 2016. Premiums for 2018 included favorable ceded premium reinsurance refinements in domestic life business.

Premiums and deposits for Life Insurance is a non-GAAP financial measure that includes direct and assumed premiums as well as deposits received on universal life insurance.


The following table presents a reconciliation of Life Insurance GAAP premiums to premiums and deposits:

Years Ended December 31,		2018	2017	2016
<i>(in millions)</i>				
Premiums	\$	1,554	\$ 1,530	\$ 1,407
Deposits		1,649	1,518	1,419
Other		711	707	693
Premiums and deposits	\$	3,914	\$ 3,755	\$ 3,519

A discussion of the significant variances in premiums and deposits follows:

Life Insurance Premiums and Deposits

(in millions)



Premiums and deposits, excluding the effect of foreign exchange, increased in 2018 compared to 2017 and in 2017 compared to 2016, primarily due to growth in universal life, term life and international life and health, including assumed premiums on business distributed by Laya Healthcare. This increase was partially offset by lower group benefits premiums.

INSTITUTIONAL MARKETS RESULTS

Years Ended December 31,

(in millions)

	2018	2017	2016	Percentage Change	
				2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016
Revenues:					
Premiums	\$ 952	\$ 2,398	\$ 691	(60)%	247 %
Policy fees	161	174	179	(7)	(3)
Net investment income	786	595	563	32	6
Other income	1	1	-	-	NM
Benefits and expenses:					
Policyholder benefits and losses incurred	1,214	2,568	843	(53)	205
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	338	253	244	34	4
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	5	5	4	-	25
Non deferrable insurance commissions	28	28	32	-	(13)
General operating expenses	56	44	41	27	7
Interest expense	13	6	4	117	50
Adjusted pre-tax income	\$ 246	\$ 264	\$ 265	(7)%	- %

Business and Financial Highlights


Institutional Markets continued to opportunistically grow its assets under management, which drove the increase in net investment spread over recent years. Product distribution continues to be strong and the business is focused on maintaining pricing discipline to achieve attractive risk adjusted return.

Institutional Markets Adjusted Pre-Tax Income*(in millions)***2018 and 2017 Comparison**

Decreases in premiums and policyholder benefits were primarily due to pension risk transfer business written in 2017. Growth in reserves and assets under management drove the increase in net investment income with similar impact to policyholder benefits and interest credited.

Adjusted pre-tax income decreased primarily due to:

- a decrease in policy fees due to lower stable value wrap notional amounts; and
- higher general operating expenses due to investment in business growth.

Institutional Markets Adjusted Pre-Tax Income*(in millions)***2017 and 2016 Comparison**

Increases in premiums and policyholder benefits were primarily due to pension risk transfer business written in 2017. Growth in reserves and assets under management drove the increase in net investment income with similar impact to policyholder benefits.

Adjusted pre-tax income was comparable to 2016.

INSTITUTIONAL MARKETS GAAP PREMIUMS AND PREMIUMS AND DEPOSITS

Premiums for Institutional Markets primarily represent amounts received on pension risk transfer or structured settlement annuities with life contingencies. Premiums decreased in 2018 compared to 2017 and increased in 2017 compared to 2016 primarily driven by the pension risk transfer business written in 2017.

Premiums and deposits for Institutional Markets is a non-GAAP financial measure that includes direct premiums as well as deposits received on investment-type annuity contracts, including GICs and FHLB funding agreements. Deposits increased in 2018 compared to 2017 due to FHLB funding agreements.


The following table presents a reconciliation of Institutional Markets GAAP premiums to premiums and deposits:

Years Ended December 31,		2018	2017	2016
<i>(in millions)</i>				
Premiums	\$	952	\$ 2,398	\$ 691
Deposits		2,015	1,821	1,434
Other		65	28	28
Premiums and deposits	\$	3,032	\$ 4,247	\$ 2,153

A discussion of the significant variances in premiums and deposits follows:

Institutional Markets Premiums and Deposits

(\$ in millions)



Premiums and deposits decreased in 2018 compared to 2017 due to lower sales in pension risk transfer and structured settlements, partially offset by \$1.4 billion in FHLB funding agreements. Premiums and deposits increased in 2017 compared to 2016 primarily driven by higher pension risk transfer business, partially offset by lower structured settlement sales.

Other Operations

The following table presents Other Operations results:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016	Percentage Change	
				2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016
Adjusted pre-tax income (loss) by activities:					
United Guaranty	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 522	NM%	NM%
Fuji Life	-	43	14	NM	207
Parent and Other:					
Corporate general operating expenses	(726)	(769)	(666)	6	(15)
Interest expense	(1,066)	(968)	(983)	(10)	2
Other income, net	208	289	102	(28)	183
Total Parent and Other	(1,584)	(1,448)	(1,547)	(9)	6
Adjusted pre-tax loss before eliminations	(1,584)	(1,405)	(1,011)	(13)	(39)
Consolidation, eliminations and other adjustments	59	75	42	(21)	79
Adjusted pre-tax loss	\$ (1,525)	\$ (1,330)	\$ (969)	(15)%	(37)%

2018 AND 2017 COMPARISON

Parent and Other adjusted pre-tax loss increased compared to the prior year as a result of higher interest expense due to debt issuances totaling \$2.5 billion at the end of the first quarter of 2018 and decreases in other income as a result of lower income on securities for which we elected the fair value option and available for sale investments. The pre-tax loss increase was partially offset by declines in general operating expenses related to one time payments for executive leadership in 2017.

Fuji Life was sold on April 30, 2017.

2017 AND 2016 COMPARISON

Adjusted pre-tax loss increased primarily due to the sale of United Guaranty during the fourth quarter of 2016.

Parent and Other adjusted pre-tax loss decreased as a result of gains on securities for which we elected the fair value option, partially offset by higher general operating expenses related to one time payments for executive leadership changes in 2017.

Fuji Life adjusted pre-tax results increased primarily as a result of increases in underwriting income as a result of new products launched during 2016 as well as growth within existing product lines. Fuji Life was sold on April 30, 2017.

Legacy Portfolio

Legacy Portfolio represents exited or discontinued product lines, policy forms or distribution channels. Effective February 2018, our Bermuda-domiciled composite reinsurer, Fortitude Re, formerly known as DSA Reinsurance Company, Ltd. is included in our Legacy Portfolio.

- **Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines** - Reserves consist of certain structured settlements, pension risk transfer annuities and single premium immediate annuities written prior to April 2012. Also includes exposures to whole life, long-term care and exited accident & health product lines.
- **Legacy General Insurance Run-Off Lines** - Reserves consist of excess workers' compensation, environmental exposures and exposures to other products within General Insurance that are no longer actively marketed. Also includes the remaining reserves in Eaglestone Reinsurance Company (Eaglestone).
- **Legacy Investments** – Includes investment classes that we have placed into run-off including holdings in direct investments as well as investments in global capital markets and global real estate.

BUSINESS STRATEGY

For Legacy insurance lines, securing the interests of our policyholders and insureds is paramount. We have considered and continue to evaluate the following strategies for these lines:

- Third-party and affiliated reinsurance and retrocessions to improve capital efficiency
- Commutations of assumed reinsurance and direct policy buy-backs
- Enhance insured policyholder options and claims resolution strategies
- Enhanced asset liability management and expense management

For Legacy investments, our business strategy is to maximize liquidity to AIG Parent and minimize book value impairments while sourcing for our insurance companies attractive assets for their portfolios.

SALE OF NON-CONTROLLING INTEREST IN FORTITUDE

Fortitude Re was established during the first quarter of 2018 in connection with a series of affiliated reinsurance transactions related to our Legacy Portfolio. Those reinsurance transactions were designed to consolidate most of our Legacy Insurance Run-Off Lines into a single legal entity. As of December 31, 2018, the affiliated transactions included the cession of approximately \$31 billion of reserves from our Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines and approximately \$4 billion of reserves from our Legacy General Insurance Run-Off Lines related to business written by multiple wholly-owned AIG subsidiaries. In the second quarter of 2018, we formed Fortitude Holdings, a wholly-owned subsidiary of AIG, to act as a holding company for Fortitude Re.

On November 13, 2018, we completed the sale of a 19.9 percent ownership interest in Fortitude Holdings to TCG, an affiliate of The Carlyle Group L.P. (Carlyle) (the Fortitude Re Closing). Fortitude Holdings owns 100 percent of the outstanding common shares of Fortitude Re and AIG has an 80.1 percent ownership interest in Fortitude Holdings.

Subject to certain adjustments specified in the Purchase Agreement, we will receive total consideration of approximately \$476 million, which is based on Fortitude Re's total shareholder's equity of \$2.9 billion as of March 31, 2018 excluding planned distributions that the parties will seek to cause to be paid to us on a non-pro rata basis prior to the end of the 18th month following the Fortitude Re Closing, subject to regulatory approvals (the Target Distribution). \$381 million of the purchase price was paid at the Fortitude Re Closing and up to \$95 million will be paid following December 31, 2023 (the Deferred Payment), subject to the purchase price adjustment described below. To the extent we do not receive all or a portion of the Target Distribution within 18 months of the Fortitude Re Closing, TCG will pay us up to an additional \$100 million.

As part of the establishment of Fortitude Re, we implemented a capital maintenance agreement (CMA) with Fortitude Re that remains in effect so long as we own at least 50 percent of Fortitude Re. If there are any CMA funding obligations that occur within 18 months of the Fortitude Re Closing, we will fund those obligations on a non-dilutive basis to TCG, but only if, and to the extent, we actually receive the Target Distribution prior to the expiration of such period.

The affiliated reinsurance transactions executed in the first quarter of 2018 with Fortitude Re resulted in prepaid insurance assets on the ceding subsidiaries' balance sheets of approximately \$2.5 billion (after-tax). These assets have been eliminated in AIG's consolidated financial statements since the counterparties were wholly owned. In the event of a sale of a controlling interest in

Fortitude Holdings, our Legacy Portfolio may recognize a loss for the portion of the unamortized balance of these assets and related deferred acquisition costs of \$0.5 billion (after-tax) that are not recoverable, if any, in the period in which our interest in Fortitude Holdings becomes non-controlling. This loss would be incremental to any gain or loss recognized on the sale of our controlling interest in Fortitude Holdings.

We have also agreed to a post-closing purchase price adjustment wherein we will reimburse TCG for certain changes in property casualty related reserves, based on an agreed methodology, that occurs on or prior to December 31, 2023, up to the value of TCG's investment in Fortitude Holdings. Any amount due to TCG in respect of this will be offset by the amount of the Deferred Payment otherwise due from TCG to us.

In connection with the sale, we agreed to certain investment commitment targets into various Carlyle strategies and to certain minimum investment management fee payments within thirty-six months following the closing. We also will be required to pay a proportionate amount of an agreed make-whole fee to the extent we fail to satisfy such investment commitment targets.

As contemplated by the Purchase Agreement, we, Fortitude Holdings and TCG entered into an Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of Fortitude Holdings, governing the rights of the parties thereto. In addition, Fortitude Re and certain of our U.S. based insurance subsidiaries entered into (1) Investment Management Agreements with an affiliate of TCG (the Investment Manager), pursuant to which the Investment Manager will provide certain investment management and advisory services with respect to certain asset classes and (2) Fortitude Re entered into an Exclusivity Agreement with TCG pursuant to which the Investment Manager will be the exclusive provider of investment management and advisory services with respect to certain new business acquired by Fortitude Re following the Fortitude Re Closing with respect to certain asset classes. *For additional information relating to the sale of a 19.9 percent ownership interest in Fortitude Holdings, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*

LEGACY PORTFOLIO RESULTS


Years Ended December 31,	Percentage Change				
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016	2018 vs. 2017	2017 vs. 2016
Revenues:					
Premiums	\$ 480	\$ 590	\$ 674	(19)%	(12)%
Policy fees	120	137	142	(12)	(4)
Net investment income	2,325	2,776	2,913	(16)	(5)
Other income (loss)	114	888	1,521	(87)	(42)
Total adjusted revenues	3,039	4,391	5,250	(31)	(16)
Benefits and expenses:					
Policyholder benefits and losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred	2,057	1,998	3,084	3	(35)
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	236	241	267	(2)	(10)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	105	76	108	38	(30)
General operating and other expenses	398	484	502	(18)	(4)
Interest expense	30	122	282	(75)	(57)
Total benefits and expenses	2,826	2,921	4,243	(3)	(31)
Adjusted pre-tax income	\$ 213	\$ 1,470	\$ 1,007	(86)%	46 %
Adjusted pre-tax income by type:					
General Insurance Run-Off Lines	\$ 76	\$ 221	\$ (237)	(66)%	NM%
Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines	17	406	(224)	(96)	NM
Legacy Investments	120	843	1,468	(86)	(43)
Adjusted pre-tax income	\$ 213	\$ 1,470	\$ 1,007	(86)%	46 %

Business and Financial Highlights

In February 2018, we used \$2.6 billion of existing Legacy Portfolio cash and investment assets to capitalize Fortitude Re in order to enable it to assume insurance risk and other economic risk from U.S. and Bermudian insurance companies. These assets included approximately \$1.6 billion of capital released by Eaglestone, an affiliated entity, to AIG Parent as a result of the commutation of certain property and casualty risks from other AIG subsidiaries, which were subsequently ceded to Fortitude Re. Fortitude Re also has additional eligible regulatory capital under the Bermuda Monetary Authority capital framework in the form of \$550 million in letter of credit agreements with guarantees from AIG Parent. In 2018, Fortitude Re disbursed \$444 million of tax sharing payments to AIG Parent.

Legacy Portfolio Adjusted Pre-Tax Income

(in millions)



2018 and 2017 Comparison

Adjusted pre-tax income decreased due to:

- lower Legacy Life and Retirement earnings compared to 2017 due to lower net investment income and loss recognition from the update to actuarial assumptions in 2018 of \$105 million mainly attributable to higher claims costs on the cancer products portfolio;
- lower Legacy General Insurance earnings compared to 2017 due to lower net investment income, Japanese catastrophe losses in 2018 and a change in premium earning patterns on certain environmental business in 2018; and
- Legacy Investment earnings compared to 2017 due to continued dispositions of non- insurance investment assets, primarily driven by the sale of the life settlements portfolio in 2017 and lower gain on fair value option portfolios in 2018

Legacy Portfolio Adjusted Pre-Tax Income

(in millions)



2017 and 2016 Comparison

Adjusted pre-tax income increased due to:

- increased Legacy Life and Retirement adjusted pre-tax income due to the absence of any significant loss recognition on certain payout annuities from the update of actuarial assumptions in 2017 compared to 2016. Loss recognition from the update to actuarial assumptions in 2017 was \$14 million mainly attributable to the Long-Term Care portfolio;
- increased Legacy General Insurance adjusted pre-tax income due to the absence of any significant prior year development in 2017 compared to 2016.

This increase was partially offset by decreased Legacy Investment adjusted pre-tax income in 2017 compared to 2016 driven by the decreased value of the remaining Legacy Investment portfolio post-sales.

Investments

OVERVIEW

Our investment strategies are tailored to the specific business needs of each operating unit. The investment objectives are driven by the respective operating segments and AIG Parent. The primary objectives are generation of investment income, preservation of capital, liquidity management and growth of surplus to support the insurance products. The majority of assets backing our insurance liabilities consist of fixed maturity securities.

Investment Highlights in 2018

- A rise in interest rates and widening credit spreads, as well as the adoption of the Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Standard (Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement Standard) on January 1, 2018, which resulted in the reclassification of unrealized gains in our equity securities to retained earnings, and in a net unrealized loss in our investment portfolio. Net unrealized gains in our available for sale portfolio decreased to approximately \$3.6 billion as of December 31, 2018 from approximately \$13.9 billion as of December 31, 2017.
- We continued to make investments in structured securities and other fixed maturity securities and increased lending activities in mortgage loans with favorable risk compared to return characteristics to improve yields and increase net investment income.
- Lower investment returns in our hedge fund portfolio and a decline in income from fixed maturity securities for which the fair value option was elected when compared to 2017 where returns were higher as a result of significant spread tightening that occurred, as well as negative performance of our fair value option equity securities portfolio.
- During 2018, we reduced our hedge fund portfolio by approximately \$1.8 billion as a result of redemptions consistent with our planned reduction of exposure.
- Blended investment yields on new investments were lower than blended rates on investments that were sold, matured or called.
- In the first quarter of 2018, we sold our remaining interest in Arch, which we received as part of the consideration for selling United Guaranty to Arch in 2016.
- Our acquisition of Validus closed in the third quarter of 2018 and our acquisition of Glatfelter closed during the fourth quarter of 2018, increasing our investment portfolio by approximately \$6.6 billion and \$0.4 billion, respectively.
- We agreed to sell certain private equity funds in our portfolio during the second half of 2018.

Investment Strategies

Investment strategies are based on considerations that include the local and general market conditions, liability duration and cash flow characteristics, rating agency and regulatory capital considerations, legal investment limitations, tax optimization and diversification.

Some of our key investment strategies are as follows:

- Fixed maturity securities held by the U.S. insurance companies included in General Insurance consist of a mix of instruments that meet our current risk-return, tax, liquidity, credit quality and diversification objectives.
- Outside of the U.S., fixed maturity securities held by General Insurance companies consist primarily of high-grade securities generally denominated in the currencies of the countries in which we operate.
- Our fundamental strategy across all of our investment portfolios is to optimize the duration characteristics of the assets within a target range based on comparable liability characteristics, to the extent practicable.
- AIG Parent, included in Other Operations, actively manages its assets and liabilities in terms of products, counterparties and duration. AIG Parent's liquidity sources are held primarily in the form of cash, short-term investments and publicly traded, investment-grade rated fixed maturity securities. Based upon an assessment of its immediate and longer-term funding needs, AIG Parent purchases publicly traded, investment-grade rated fixed maturity securities that can be readily monetized through sales or repurchase agreements. These securities allow us to diversify sources of liquidity while reducing the cost of maintaining sufficient liquidity.

Attribution of Net Investment Income to Operating Segments

Net investment income is attributed to our businesses based on internal models consistent with the nature of the underlying businesses.

For General Insurance — North America and International and Legacy General Insurance Run-Off Lines, we estimate investable funds based primarily on loss reserves and unearned premiums. The allocation of net investment income of the General Insurance companies to segments is calculated based on these estimated investable funds, consistent with the approximate duration of the liabilities and the required economic capital allocation for each segment.

For Life and Retirement — Individual Retirement, Group Retirement, Life Insurance, and Institutional Markets and Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines, net investment income is attributed based on invested assets from segregated product line portfolios held in our Life and Retirement companies. All invested assets of the Life and Retirement companies in excess of liabilities are allocated based on estimates of required economic capital allocation for each segment.

Asset Liability Measurement

For the General Insurance companies, the duration of liabilities for long-tail casualty lines is greater than that of other lines. As a result, the investment strategy within the General Insurance companies focuses on growth of surplus and preservation of capital, subject to liability and other business considerations.

The General Insurance companies invest primarily in fixed maturity securities issued by corporations, municipalities and other governmental agencies and also invest in structured securities collateralized by, among other assets, residential and commercial real estate and commercial mortgage loans. While invested assets backing reserves of the General Insurance companies are primarily invested in conventional fixed maturity securities, we have continued to allocate a portion of our investment activity into asset classes that offer higher yields, particularly in the domestic operations. In addition, we continue to invest in both fixed rate and floating rate asset-backed investments for their risk-return attributes, as well as to manage our exposure to potential changes in interest rates. This asset diversification has maintained stable average yields while the overall credit ratings of our fixed maturity securities were largely unchanged. We expect to continue to pursue this investment strategy to meet the General Insurance companies' liquidity, duration and credit quality objectives as well as current risk-return and tax objectives.

In addition, a portion of the surplus of General Insurance is invested in a diversified portfolio of alternative investments which seeks to balance liquidity, volatility and growth. Although these alternative investments are subject to periodic earnings fluctuations, they have historically achieved yields in excess of the fixed maturity portfolio yields and have provided added diversification to the broader portfolio.

Fixed maturity securities of the General Insurance companies' domestic operations, with an average duration of 3.8 years, are currently comprised of corporate bonds, structured securities, taxable municipal bonds and government and agency bonds as well as tax-exempt securities, which provide attractive risk-adjusted after-tax returns. The majority of these high quality investments are rated A or higher based on composite ratings.

Fixed maturity securities held in the General Insurance companies' foreign operations are of high quality, primarily rated A or higher based on composite ratings, with an average duration of 3.3 years.

The investment strategy of the Life and Retirement companies is to maximize net investment income and portfolio value, subject to liquidity requirements, capital constraints, diversification requirements, asset-liability management and available investment opportunities.

The Life and Retirement companies use asset-liability management as a primary tool to monitor and manage risk in their businesses. The Life and Retirement companies' fundamental investment strategy is to maintain a diversified, high to medium quality portfolio of fixed maturity securities that, to the extent practicable, complements the characteristics of liabilities, including duration, which is a measure of sensitivity to changes in interest rates. The investment portfolio of each product line is tailored to the specific characteristics of its insurance liabilities, and as a result, certain portfolios are shorter in duration and others are longer in duration. An extended low interest rate environment may result in a lengthening of liability durations from initial estimates, primarily due to lower lapses, which may require us to further extend the duration of the investment portfolio.

The Life and Retirement companies invest primarily in fixed maturity securities issued by corporations, municipalities and other governmental agencies; structured securities collateralized by, among other assets, residential and commercial real estate; and commercial mortgage loans.

In addition, the Life and Retirement companies seek to enhance returns through investments in a diversified portfolio of alternative investments. Although these alternative investments are subject to periodic earnings fluctuations, they have historically achieved

yields in excess of the fixed maturity portfolio yields. While a diversified portfolio of alternative investments remains a fundamental component of the investment strategy of the Life and Retirement companies, we have reduced the overall size of the hedge fund portfolio, in light of changing market conditions and perceived market opportunities, and to continue reducing the size of the private equity portfolio.

Fixed maturity securities of the Life and Retirement companies domestic operations, with an average duration of 7.0 years, are comprised primarily of taxable corporate bonds, as well as taxable municipal and government bonds, and agency and non-agency structured securities. The majority of these investments are held in the available for sale portfolio and are rated investment grade based on its composite ratings.

Fixed maturity securities held in the Life and Retirement companies foreign operations are of high quality, primarily rated A or higher based on composite ratings, with an average duration of 17.0 years.

NAIC Designations of Fixed Maturity Securities

The Securities Valuation Office (SVO) of the National Association of Insurance Companies (NAIC) evaluates the investments of U.S. insurers for statutory reporting purposes and assigns fixed maturity securities to one of six categories called 'NAIC Designations.' In general, NAIC Designations of '1' highest quality, or '2' high quality, include fixed maturity securities considered investment grade, while NAIC Designations of '3' through '6' generally include fixed maturity securities referred to as below investment grade. The NAIC has adopted revised rating methodologies for certain structured securities, including non-agency RMBS and CMBS, which are intended to enable a more precise assessment of the value of such structured securities and increase the accuracy in assessing expected losses to better determine the appropriate capital requirement for such structured securities. These methodologies result in an improved NAIC Designation for such securities compared to the rating typically assigned by the three major rating agencies. The following tables summarize the ratings distribution of U.S. Insurance Companies fixed maturity security portfolio by NAIC Designation, and the distribution by composite AIG credit rating, which is generally based on ratings of the three major rating agencies.

For a full description of the composite AIG credit ratings see Credit Ratings.

The following table presents the fixed maturity security portfolio categorized by NAIC Designation, at fair value:

December 31, 2018

(in millions)

NAIC Designation	1	2	Total Investment Grade	3	4	5	6	Total Below Investment Grade	Total
Other fixed maturity securities	\$ 83,266	\$ 68,652	\$ 151,918	\$ 6,523	\$ 5,958	\$ 1,895	\$ 119	\$ 14,495	\$ 166,413
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	63,402	3,110	66,512	706	412	38	4,095	5,251	71,763
Total	\$ 146,668	\$ 71,762	\$ 218,430	\$ 7,229	\$ 6,370	\$ 1,933	\$ 4,214	\$ 19,746	\$ 238,176

* Excludes \$2.6 billion of fixed maturity securities for which no NAIC Designation is available because they are held in legal entities within U.S. Insurance Companies that do not require a statutory filing.

The following table presents the fixed maturity security portfolio categorized by composite AIG credit rating, at fair value:

December 31, 2018

(in millions)

Composite AIG Credit Rating	AAA/AA/A	BBB	Total Investment Grade	BB	B	CCC and Lower	Total Below Investment Grade	Total
Other fixed maturity securities	\$ 82,798	\$ 69,609	\$ 152,407	\$ 6,174	\$ 5,960	\$ 1,872	\$ 14,006	\$ 166,413
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	49,521	4,210	53,731	1,220	661	16,151	18,032	71,763
Total	\$ 132,319	\$ 73,819	\$ 206,138	\$ 7,394	\$ 6,621	\$ 18,023	\$ 32,038	\$ 238,176

* Excludes \$2.6 billion of fixed maturity securities for which no NAIC Designation is available because they are held in legal entities within U.S. Insurance Companies that do not require a statutory filing.

Credit Ratings

At December 31, 2018, approximately 88 percent of our fixed maturity securities were held by our domestic entities. Approximately 17 percent of these securities were rated AAA by one or more of the principal rating agencies, and approximately 15 percent were rated below investment grade or not rated. Our investment decision process relies primarily on internally generated fundamental analysis and internal risk ratings. Third-party rating services' ratings and opinions provide one source of independent perspective for consideration in the internal analysis.

Moody's Investors Service Inc. (Moody's), Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a subsidiary of S&P Global Inc. (S&P), or similar foreign rating services rate a significant portion of our foreign entities' fixed maturity securities portfolio. Rating services are not available for some foreign-issued securities. Our Credit Risk Management department closely reviews the credit quality of the foreign portfolio's non-rated fixed maturity securities. At December 31, 2018, approximately 24 percent of such investments were either rated AAA or, on the basis of our internal analysis, were equivalent from a credit standpoint to securities rated AAA, and approximately 7 percent were below investment grade or not rated. Approximately 28 percent of the foreign entities' fixed maturity securities portfolio is comprised of sovereign fixed maturity securities supporting policy liabilities in the country of issuance.

Composite AIG Credit Ratings

With respect to our fixed maturity securities, the credit ratings in the table below and in subsequent tables reflect: (a) a composite of the ratings of the three major rating agencies, or when agency ratings are not available, the rating assigned by the NAIC SVO (over 99 percent of total fixed maturity securities), or (b) our equivalent internal ratings when these investments have not been rated by any of the major rating agencies or the NAIC. The "Non-rated" category in those tables consists of fixed maturity securities that have not been rated by any of the major rating agencies, the NAIC or us.

For a discussion of credit risks associated with Investments see Enterprise Risk Management.

The following table presents the composite AIG credit ratings of our fixed maturity securities calculated on the basis of their fair value:

	Available for Sale		Other		Total	
	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
<i>(in millions)</i>						
Rating:						
Other fixed maturity securities						
AAA	\$ 11,170	\$ 11,644	\$ 2,619	\$ 2,656	\$ 13,789	\$ 14,300
AA	27,766	29,560	106	212	27,872	29,772
A	40,142	45,049	1,356	1,745	41,498	46,794
BBB	69,564	70,636	300	138	69,864	70,774
Below investment grade	14,511	13,173	-	17	14,511	13,190
Non-rated	1,333	1,073	-	-	1,333	1,073
Total	\$ 164,486	\$ 171,135	\$ 4,381	\$ 4,768	\$ 168,867	\$ 175,903
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized						
AAA	\$ 28,859	\$ 30,306	\$ 481	\$ 818	\$ 29,340	\$ 31,124
AA	12,019	8,158	911	610	12,930	8,768
A	6,964	7,760	290	382	7,254	8,142
BBB	4,058	4,414	152	163	4,210	4,577
Below investment grade	12,923	17,194	5,096	6,004	18,019	23,198
Non-rated	82	25	104	27	186	52
Total	\$ 64,905	\$ 67,857	\$ 7,034	\$ 8,004	\$ 71,939	\$ 75,861
Total						
AAA	\$ 40,029	\$ 41,950	\$ 3,100	\$ 3,474	\$ 43,129	\$ 45,424
AA	39,785	37,718	1,017	822	40,802	38,540
A	47,106	52,809	1,646	2,127	48,752	54,936
BBB	73,622	75,050	452	301	74,074	75,351
Below investment grade	27,434	30,367	5,096	6,021	32,530	36,388
Non-rated	1,415	1,098	104	27	1,519	1,125
Total	\$ 229,391	\$ 238,992	\$ 11,415	\$ 12,772	\$ 240,806	\$ 251,764

Available-for-Sale Investments

The following table presents the fair value of our available-for-sale securities:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Fair Value at December 31, 2018	Fair Value at December 31, 2017
Bonds available for sale:		
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$ 3,260	\$ 2,656
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	16,001	18,644
Non-U.S. governments	14,525	15,659
Corporate debt	130,700	134,176
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized:		
RMBS	34,377	37,234
CMBS	12,701	13,841
CDO/ABS	17,827	16,782
Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	64,905	67,857
Total bonds available for sale^(a)	229,391	238,992
Equity securities available for sale:		
Common stock	-	1,061
Preferred stock	-	533
Mutual funds	-	114
Total equity securities available for sale^(b)	-	1,708
Total	\$ 229,391	\$ 240,700

(a) At December 31, 2018 and 2017, the fair value of bonds available for sale held by us that were below investment grade or not rated totaled \$28.8 billion and \$31.5 billion, respectively.

(b) As a result of the adoption of the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement Standard on January 1, 2018, equity securities are no longer classified and accounted for as available for sale securities.

The following table presents the fair value of our aggregate credit exposures to non-U.S. governments for our fixed maturity securities:

<i>(in millions)</i>	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Japan	\$ 1,645	\$ 1,791
Canada	1,038	1,051
France	905	923
United Kingdom	794	1,214
Germany	783	1,623
Netherlands	518	608
United Arab Emirates	454	432
Indonesia	453	493
Mexico	409	513
Norway	380	409
Other	7,191	6,659
Total	\$ 14,570	\$ 15,716

The following table presents the fair value of our aggregate European credit exposures by major sector for our fixed maturity securities:

(in millions)	December 31, 2018					December 31, 2017
	Sovereign	Financial Institution	Non-Financial Corporates	Structured Products	Total	Total
Euro-Zone countries:						
France	\$ 905	\$ 1,649	\$ 1,888	\$ -	\$ 4,442	\$ 4,169
Germany	783	158	2,305	-	3,246	3,803
Netherlands	518	894	1,056	103	2,571	2,868
Ireland	65	-	478	951	1,494	1,071
Belgium	258	132	785	-	1,175	1,216
Spain	42	216	810	-	1,068	1,009
Italy	1	120	386	-	507	694
Luxembourg	-	35	341	1	377	436
Finland	107	49	72	-	228	163
Austria	142	9	5	-	156	37
Other - EuroZone	621	81	227	-	929	1,013
Total Euro-Zone	\$ 3,442	\$ 3,343	\$ 8,353	\$ 1,055	\$ 16,193	\$ 16,479
Remainder of Europe:						
United Kingdom	\$ 794	\$ 3,497	\$ 8,247	\$ 3,601	\$ 16,139	\$ 16,975
Switzerland	30	1,110	870	-	2,010	2,299
Sweden	132	371	136	-	639	658
Norway	380	41	145	-	566	618
Russian Federation	101	17	122	-	240	284
Other - Remainder of Europe	130	37	104	-	271	287
Total - Remainder of Europe	\$ 1,567	\$ 5,073	\$ 9,624	\$ 3,601	\$ 19,865	\$ 21,121
Total	\$ 5,009	\$ 8,416	\$ 17,977	\$ 4,656	\$ 36,058	\$ 37,600

Investments in Municipal Bonds

At December 31, 2018, the U.S. municipal bond portfolio was composed primarily of essential service revenue bonds and high-quality tax-exempt bonds with 91 percent of the portfolio rated A or higher.

The following table presents the fair values of our available for sale U.S. municipal bond portfolio by state and municipal bond type:

	December 31, 2018				December 31, 2017
	State General Obligation	Local General Obligation	Revenue	Total Fair Value	Total Fair Value
<i>(in millions)</i>					
State:					
New York	\$ 18	\$ 439	\$ 2,677	\$ 3,134	\$ 3,562
California	610	368	1,835	2,813	3,275
Texas	156	579	957	1,692	1,992
Illinois	79	143	757	979	908
Massachusetts	403	-	408	811	966
Florida	50	-	492	542	666
Virginia	8	2	531	541	639
Ohio	63	5	417	485	575
Washington	205	-	268	473	650
Georgia	102	87	256	445	566
Pennsylvania	126	14	213	353	418
Washington D.C.	20	-	320	340	497
Maryland	156	92	84	332	380
All other states ^(a)	377	282	2,402	3,061	3,550
Total^{(b)(c)}	\$ 2,373	\$ 2,011	\$ 11,617	\$ 16,001	\$ 18,644

(a) We did not have material credit exposure to the government of Puerto Rico.

(b) Excludes certain university and not-for-profit entities that issue their bonds in the corporate debt market. Includes industrial revenue bonds.

(c) Includes \$367 million of pre-refunded municipal bonds.

Investments in Corporate Debt Securities

The following table presents the industry categories of our available for sale corporate debt securities:

Industry Category (in millions)	Fair Value at December 31, 2018	Fair Value at December 31, 2017
Financial institutions:		
Money Center/Global Bank Groups	\$ 9,602	\$ 9,295
Regional banks — other	630	562
Life insurance	3,201	3,603
Securities firms and other finance companies	389	386
Insurance non-life	4,648	4,893
Regional banks — North America	6,263	6,320
Other financial institutions	9,966	9,906
Utilities	17,542	18,655
Communications	9,249	9,756
Consumer noncyclical	16,410	15,873
Capital goods	7,237	7,797
Energy	12,350	13,171
Consumer cyclical	9,498	9,166
Basic	5,271	6,123
Other	18,444	18,670
Total	\$ 130,700	\$ 134,176

* At December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, approximately 89 and 91 percent of these investments were rated investment grade.

Our investments in the energy category, as a percentage of total investments in available-for-sale fixed maturities, was 5.4 percent and 5.5 percent at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. While the energy investments are primarily investment grade and are actively managed, the category continues to experience volatility that could adversely affect credit quality and fair value.

Investments in RMBS

The following table presents AIG's RMBS available for sale securities:

(in millions)	Fair Value at December 31, 2018	Fair Value at December 31, 2017
Agency RMBS	\$ 14,695	\$ 15,002
Alt-A RMBS	9,780	11,624
Subprime RMBS	2,982	2,947
Prime non-agency	6,211	6,891
Other housing related	709	770
Total RMBS^{(a)(b)}	\$ 34,377	\$ 37,234

(a) Includes approximately \$10.3 billion and \$12.3 billion at December 31, 2018, and December 31, 2017, respectively, of certain RMBS that had experienced deterioration in credit quality since their origination. For additional discussion on Purchased Credit Impaired (PCI) Securities see Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(b) The weighted average expected life was seven years at December 31, 2018 and six years at December 31, 2017.

Our underwriting practices for investing in RMBS, other asset-backed securities (ABS) and CDOs take into consideration the quality of the originator, the manager, the servicer, security credit ratings, underlying characteristics of the mortgages, borrower characteristics, and the level of credit enhancement in the transaction.

Investments in CMBS

The following table presents our CMBS available for sale securities:

	Fair Value at December 31, 2018		Fair Value at December 31, 2017	
<i>(in millions)</i>				
CMBS (traditional)	\$	9,975	\$	11,092
Agency		2,047		2,093
Other		679		656
Total	\$	12,701	\$	13,841

The fair value of CMBS holdings remained stable throughout 2018. The majority of our investments in CMBS are in tranches that contain substantial protection features through collateral subordination. The majority of CMBS holdings are traditional conduit transactions, broadly diversified across property types and geographical areas.

Investments in CDOs

The following table presents our CDO available for sale securities by collateral type:

	Fair value at December 31, 2018		Fair value at December 31, 2017	
<i>(in millions)</i>				
Collateral Type:				
Bank loans (CLO)	\$	8,164	\$	8,112
Other		56		94
Total	\$	8,220	\$	8,206

Commercial Mortgage Loans

At December 31, 2018, we had direct commercial mortgage loan exposure of \$32.9 billion. All commercial mortgage loans were current or performing according to their restructured terms.

The following table presents the commercial mortgage loan exposure by location and class of loan based on amortized cost:

	Number of Loans	Class						Total	Percent of Total
<i>(dollars in millions)</i>		Apartments	Offices	Retail	Industrial	Hotel	Others		
December 31, 2018									
State:									
New York	98	\$ 2,009	\$ 4,082	\$ 512	\$ 393	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ 7,096	22 %
California	78	490	1,308	283	535	831	48	3,495	11
Texas	53	344	1,256	185	102	125	5	2,017	6
New Jersey	45	1,049	45	422	41	28	33	1,618	5
Florida	87	358	159	589	224	218	35	1,583	5
Massachusetts	14	635	243	549	26	-	-	1,453	4
Illinois	18	456	444	11	19	-	22	952	3
Pennsylvania	25	80	21	567	47	25	-	740	2
Washington D.C.	12	401	311	-	-	19	-	731	2
Ohio	27	179	10	199	235	-	5	628	2
Other states	228	1,869	790	1,326	773	460	73	5,291	16
Foreign	79	3,320	1,201	1,002	679	717	359	7,278	22
Total	764	\$ 11,190	\$ 9,870	\$ 5,645	\$ 3,074	\$ 2,523	\$ 580	\$ 32,882	100 %

December 31, 2017

State:

New York	97	\$	1,673	\$	3,716	\$	556	\$	265	\$	105	\$	177	\$	6,492	23 %
California	86		438		1,055		301		313		845		360		3,312	12
Texas	55		327		934		160		83		154		38		1,696	6
Massachusetts	21		701		384		410		-		-		27		1,522	5
New Jersey	42		667		46		486		41		28		32		1,300	4
Florida	81		319		84		435		227		19		69		1,153	4
Pennsylvania	25		74		22		577		47		26		-		746	3
Illinois	15		315		304		11		25		-		23		678	2
Ohio	26		163		11		205		240		-		5		624	2
Washington D.C.	11		232		359		-		-		19		-		610	2
Other states	253		1,790		964		1,466		696		564		160		5,640	20
Foreign	71		1,464		821		754		86		629		1,069		4,823	17
Total*	783	\$	8,163	\$	8,700	\$	5,361	\$	2,023	\$	2,389	\$	1,960	\$	28,596	100 %

* Does not reflect allowance for credit losses.

For additional discussion on commercial mortgage loans see Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Impairments

The following table presents impairments by investment type:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Other-than-temporary impairments:			
Fixed maturity securities, available for sale	\$ 251	\$ 216	\$ 480
Equity securities, available for sale ^(a)	-	11	7
Private equity funds and hedge funds	-	33	72
Subtotal	251	260	559
Other impairments:			
Investments in life settlements ^(b)	-	360	397
Other investments	-	20	66
Real estate	79	61	10
Total	\$ 330	\$ 701	\$ 1,032

(a) Upon the adoption of the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement Standard on January 1, 2018, equity securities are no longer required to be evaluated for other-than-temporary impairments.

(b) Impairments include \$360 million related to investments in our life settlements portfolio that were sold in 2017.

Our investments in life settlements are monitored for impairment on a contract-by-contract basis quarterly. An investment in life settlements is considered impaired if the undiscounted cash flows resulting from the expected proceeds would not be sufficient to recover our estimated future carrying amount. This amount is defined as the current carrying amount for the investment in life settlements plus anticipated undiscounted future premiums and other capitalizable future costs, if any. Impaired investments in life settlements are written down to their estimated fair value. This is determined on a discounted cash flow basis, incorporating current market mortality assumptions and market yields or by repricing to the anticipated sale price as appropriate.

Impairments on life settlements in 2016 were partially attributable to an increase in policy premiums required to keep policies in force which resulted in lower future expected net cash flows that were insufficient to recover our net investment on certain policies. Impairments on life settlements in 2017 were mainly attributable to write-downs of the policies to the purchase price as agreed in the sale of the life settlements portfolio. We sold the remaining portion of our life settlements portfolio in 2017.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

To determine other-than-temporary impairments, we use fundamental credit analyses of individual securities without regard to rating agency ratings. Based on this analysis, we expect to receive cash flows sufficient to cover the amortized cost of all below investment grade securities for which credit impairments were not recognized.

The following tables present other-than-temporary impairment charges recorded in earnings on fixed maturity securities, equity securities, private equity funds and hedge funds.

Other-than-temporary impairment charges by investment type and impairment type:

(in millions)	RMBS	CDO/ABS	CMBS	Other Fixed Maturity	Equities/Other Invested Assets*	Total
Year Ended December 31, 2018						
Impairment Type:						
Severity	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-
Change in intent	-	-	-	87	-	87
Foreign currency declines	-	-	-	15	-	15
Issuer-specific credit events	62	9	20	56	-	147
Adverse projected cash flows	2	-	-	-	-	2
Total	\$ 64	\$ 9	\$ 20	\$ 158	\$ -	251
Year Ended December 31, 2017						
Impairment Type:						
Severity	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	2 \$	2
Change in intent	-	-	-	9	-	9
Foreign currency declines	-	-	-	11	-	11
Issuer-specific credit events	24	41	32	95	42	234
Adverse projected cash flows	4	-	-	-	-	4
Total	\$ 28	\$ 41	\$ 32	\$ 115	\$ 44	260
Year Ended December 31, 2016						
Impairment Type:						
Severity	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	15 \$	15
Change in intent	-	-	-	46	-	46
Foreign currency declines	-	-	-	18	-	18
Issuer-specific credit events	116	1	38	214	64	433
Adverse projected cash flows	47	-	-	-	-	47
Total	\$ 163	\$ 1	\$ 38	\$ 278	\$ 79	559

* Includes other-than-temporary impairment charges on private equity funds, hedge funds and direct private equity investments. Upon the adoption of the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement Standard on January 1, 2018, equity securities are no longer required to be evaluated for other-than-temporary impairments.

We recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges in the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 related to:

- issuer-specific credit events;
- securities that we intend to sell or for which it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell;
- declines due to foreign exchange rates;
- adverse changes in estimated cash flows on certain structured securities; and
- securities that experienced severe market valuation declines.

In addition, impairments are recorded on real estate and investments in life settlements.

In periods subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge for available for sale fixed maturity securities that is not foreign-exchange related, we generally prospectively accrete into earnings the difference between the new amortized cost and the expected undiscounted recoverable value over the remaining life of the security. The accretion that was recognized for these securities in earnings was \$530 million in 2018, \$669 million in 2017 and \$767 million in 2016.

For a discussion of our other-than-temporary impairment accounting policy see Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table shows the aging of the pre-tax unrealized losses of fixed maturity securities, the extent to which the fair value is less than amortized cost or cost, and the number of respective items in each category:

December 31, 2018		Less Than or Equal to 20% of Cost ^(b)			Greater Than 20% to 50% of Cost ^(b)			Greater Than 50% of Cost ^(b)			Total		
Aging ^(a)	Unrealized			Unrealized			Unrealized			Unrealized			
(dollars in millions)	Cost ^(c)	Loss	Items ^(e)	Cost ^(c)	Loss	Items ^(e)	Cost ^(c)	Loss	Items ^(e)	Cost ^(c)	Loss ^(d)	Items ^(e)	
Investment grade bonds													
0-6 months	\$ 36,559	\$ 839	5,121	\$ 98	\$ 29	4	\$ 3	\$ 4	1	\$ 36,660	\$ 872	5,126	
7-11 months	27,272	1,210	3,633	168	36	12	-	-	-	27,440	1,246	3,645	
12 months or more	35,368	1,732	4,381	487	116	37	18	12	5	35,873	1,860	4,423	
Total	\$ 99,199	\$ 3,781	13,135	\$ 753	\$ 181	53	\$ 21	\$ 16	6	\$ 99,973	\$ 3,978	13,194	
Below investment grade bonds													
0-6 months	\$ 7,344	\$ 259	2,322	\$ 465	\$ 157	25	\$ 26	\$ 22	5	\$ 7,835	\$ 438	2,352	
7-11 months	1,438	93	591	101	26	33	5	4	2	1,544	123	626	
12 months or more	2,097	179	650	225	59	39	44	31	15	2,366	269	704	
Total	\$ 10,879	\$ 531	3,563	\$ 791	\$ 242	97	\$ 75	\$ 57	22	\$ 11,745	\$ 830	3,682	
Total bonds													
0-6 months	\$ 43,903	\$ 1,098	7,443	\$ 563	\$ 186	29	\$ 29	\$ 26	6	\$ 44,495	\$ 1,310	7,478	
7-11 months	28,710	1,303	4,224	269	62	45	5	4	2	28,984	1,369	4,271	
12 months or more	37,465	1,911	5,031	712	175	76	62	43	20	38,239	2,129	5,127	
Total ^(e)	\$ 110,078	\$ 4,312	16,698	\$ 1,544	\$ 423	150	\$ 96	\$ 73	28	\$ 111,718	\$ 4,808	16,876	

(a) Represents the number of consecutive months that fair value has been less than cost by any amount.

(b) Represents the percentage by which fair value is less than cost at December 31, 2018.

(c) For bonds, represents amortized cost.

(d) The effect on Net income of unrealized losses after taxes will be mitigated upon realization because certain realized losses will result in current decreases in the amortization of certain DAC.

(e) Item count is by CUSIP by subsidiary.

Change in Unrealized Gains and Losses on Investments

The change in net unrealized gains and losses on investments in 2018 was primarily attributable to decreases in the fair value of fixed maturity securities. For 2018, net unrealized losses related to fixed maturity securities decreased by \$9.9 billion due primarily to an increase in rates and a widening of credit spreads.

The change in net unrealized gains and losses on investments in 2017 was primarily attributable to increases in the fair value of fixed maturity securities. For 2017, net unrealized gains related to fixed maturity and equity securities increased by \$4.3 billion due primarily to a decrease in rates and a narrowing of credit spreads.

For further discussion of our investment portfolio see also Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Net Realized Capital Gains and Losses

The following table presents the components of Net realized capital losses:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Sales of fixed maturity securities	\$ (145)	\$ 425	\$ 1
Sales of equity securities ^(a)	16	88	1,057
Other-than-temporary impairments:			
Severity	-	(2)	(15)
Change in intent	(87)	(9)	(46)
Foreign currency declines	(15)	(11)	(18)
Issuer-specific credit events	(147)	(234)	(433)
Adverse projected cash flows	(2)	(4)	(47)
Provision for loan losses	(92)	(50)	10
Foreign exchange transactions	(182)	489	(1,226)
Variable annuity embedded derivatives, net of related hedges	304	(1,374)	(1,243)
All other derivatives and hedge accounting	338	(368)	299
Impairments on investments in life settlements	-	(360)	(397)
Loss on sale of private equity funds	(321)	-	-
Other ^(b)	203	30	114
Net realized capital losses	\$ (130)	\$ (1,380)	\$ (1,944)

(a) In 2016, includes realized gains on the sale of a portion of our holdings in People's Insurance Company (Group) of China Limited and PICC Property & Casualty Company Limited (collectively, our PICC Investment).

(b) In 2018, primarily includes \$96 million and \$49 million of realized gains on the sale of shares of OneMain Holdings, Inc. and an investment in Castle Holdings LLC's aircraft assets, respectively. In 2016, primarily includes \$107 million of realized gains due to a purchase price adjustment on the sale of Class B shares of Prudential Financial, Inc. and losses of \$253 million from the sale of a portion of our Life Settlements portfolio.

Net realized capital losses in 2018 decreased compared to 2017 due primarily to derivative gains in 2018 compared to derivative losses in 2017. Net realized capital losses in 2018 were primarily related to a loss on the sale of a portion of our private equity portfolio, foreign exchange losses, and other-than-temporary impairment charges, which more than offset derivative gains.

Net realized capital losses decreased in 2017 compared to 2016 due primarily to foreign exchange gains in 2017 compared to losses in the prior year and lower other-than-temporary impairments. Net realized capital losses in 2017 consisted primarily of losses on variable annuity embedded derivatives, net of related hedges, and impairments, which were partially offset by gains on the sales of securities and foreign exchange gains.

Variable annuity embedded derivatives, net of related hedges, reflected gains in 2018 compared to losses in 2017 primarily due to changes in the non-performance or "own credit" risk adjustment used in the valuation of the variable annuities with guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits (GMWB) embedded derivative, which are not hedged as part of our economic hedging program.

For additional discussion of market risk management related to these product features see MD&A – Enterprise Risk Management – Insurance Risks – Life and Retirement Companies Key Risks – Variable Annuity Risk Management and Hedging Programs. For more information on the economic hedging target and the impact to pre-tax income of this program see Insurance Reserves – Life and Annuity Reserves and DAC – Variable Annuity Guaranteed Benefits and Hedging Results in this MD&A.

Net realized capital losses in 2016 were primarily related to foreign exchange losses, derivative losses, and impairments, which were higher than the gain recognized on the sale of a portion of our PICC Investment. Foreign exchange gains (losses) were primarily due to \$910 million of remeasurement losses in 2016 for a short term intercompany balance that was matched with available for sale investments in fixed maturity securities denominated in the same foreign currencies. Unrealized gains and losses on the available for sale investments were recorded in other comprehensive income resulting in an immaterial impact on our overall equity or book value per share from this arrangement.

For further discussion of our investment portfolio see also Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Insurance Reserves

LIABILITY FOR UNPAID LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES (LOSS RESERVES)

The following table presents the components of our gross and net loss reserves by segment and major lines of business*:

At December 31,	2018			2017		
	Net liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	Gross liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	Net liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	Gross liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses
<i>(in millions)</i>						
General Insurance:						
U.S. Workers' Compensation (net of discount)	\$ 4,772	\$ 5,318	\$ 10,090	\$ 5,690	\$ 4,974	\$ 10,664
U.S. Excess Casualty	4,715	4,576	9,291	4,802	4,053	8,855
U.S. Other Casualty	4,288	4,661	8,949	5,149	4,793	9,942
U.S. Financial Lines	5,315	1,960	7,275	5,104	1,962	7,066
U.S. Property and Special risks	6,534	2,748	9,282	5,410	968	6,378
U.S. Personal Insurance	1,706	1,001	2,707	1,380	194	1,574
UK/Europe Casualty and Financial Lines	7,022	1,789	8,811	6,986	1,156	8,142
UK/Europe Property and Special risks	2,988	1,251	4,239	2,022	632	2,654
UK/Europe and Japan Personal Insurance	2,264	553	2,817	2,348	349	2,697
Other product lines	6,105	2,522	8,627	5,804	2,307	8,111
Unallocated loss adjustment expenses	1,834	1,307	3,141	1,974	1,258	3,232
Total General Insurance	47,543	27,686	75,229	46,669	22,646	69,315
Legacy Portfolio - Run-off Lines:						
U.S. Run-off Long Tail Insurance lines (net of discount)	3,862	3,689	7,551	4,465	3,675	8,140
Other run-off product lines	104	66	170	153	65	218
Unallocated loss adjusted expenses	397	115	512	370	111	481
Total Legacy Portfolio - Run-off Lines	4,363	3,870	8,233	4,988	3,851	8,839
Other Operations (Blackboard)	43	134	177	28	211	239
Total	\$ 51,949	\$ 31,690	\$ 83,639	\$ 51,685	\$ 26,708	\$ 78,393

* Includes loss reserve discount of \$2.0 billion and \$1.8 billion for the years ended December 31, 2018, and 2017, respectively. For discussion of loss reserve discount see Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

PRIOR YEAR DEVELOPMENT

The following table summarizes incurred (favorable) unfavorable prior year development net of reinsurance by segment:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
General Insurance:			
North America*	\$ 328	\$ 371	\$ 5,286
International	38	628	156
Total General Insurance	\$ 366	\$ 999	\$ 5,442
Legacy Portfolio - Run-off Lines	(4)	(21)	402
Other Operations	-	-	(56)
Total prior year (favorable) unfavorable development	\$ 362	\$ 978	\$ 5,788

* Includes the amortization attributed to the deferred gain at inception from the NICO adverse development reinsurance agreement of \$233 million and \$288 million in the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Consistent with our definition of APTI, prior year development excludes the portion of (favorable) unfavorable prior year reserve development for which we have ceded the risk under the NICO reinsurance agreements of \$834 million and \$359 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and related changes in amortization of the deferred gain of \$162 million and \$56 million over those same periods

Net Loss Development – 2018

During 2018, we recognized adverse prior year net loss reserve development of \$362 million net. This unfavorable development was primarily a result of the following:

- Unfavorable development in US Excess Casualty, driven by the combination of construction Defect and construction wrap claims from accident year 2015 and prior where we reacted to significant increases in severity and longer claim reporting patterns, as well as higher than expected loss severity in accident years 2016 and 2017, which led to an increase in estimates for these accident years;
- Unfavorable development in U.S. Financial Lines, primarily from Directors & Officers (D&O) and Employment Practices Liability (EPLI) policies covering Corporate and National Insureds as well as Private and Not-for-Profit insureds. This development was predominantly in accident years 2014-2017 and resulted largely from increases in severity as the frequency of class action lawsuits increased in those years.
- Favorable development in US Commercial Property and Specialty Lines due to reductions in our estimates for 2017 Catastrophes and favorable development from the attritional losses in Commercial Property and Specialty .
- Unfavorable development in US Personal Lines reflecting an increase in estimates in respect of the California Wildfires and Hurricane Irma in 2017.
- Adverse development in Financial Lines in Europe and other areas across the world that have seen increases in the frequency and severity of large losses.

Our analyses and conclusions about prior year reserves also help inform our judgments about the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratios we selected.

For further details of prior year development by line of business, see Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. For a discussion of actuarial methods employed for major classes of business, see also Critical Accounting Estimates.

The following tables summarize incurred (favorable) unfavorable prior year development net of reinsurance, by segment and major lines of business, and by accident year groupings:

Years Ended December 31, 2018

<i>(in millions)</i>	Total	2017	2016 & Prior
General Insurance North America:			
U.S. Workers' Compensation	\$ 18	\$ 67	\$ (49)
U.S. Excess casualty	336	146	190
U.S. Other casualty	(19)	46	(65)
U.S. Financial lines	209	109	100
U.S. Property and special risks	(483)	(427)	(56)
U.S. Personal insurance	248	258	(10)
Other product lines	19	26	(7)
Total General Insurance North America	\$ 328	\$ 225	\$ 103
General Insurance International:			
UK/Europe casualty and financial lines	\$ 63	\$ (17)	\$ 80
UK/Europe property and special risks	(24)	(21)	(3)
UKEurope and Japan Personal insurance	(116)	(83)	(33)
Other product lines	115	(32)	147
Total General Insurance International	\$ 38	\$ (153)	\$ 191
Legacy Portfolio - Run-off Lines	(4)	44	(48)
Total prior year (favorable) unfavorable development	\$ 362	\$ 116	\$ 246

Net Loss Development – 2017

During 2017, we recognized unfavorable prior year loss reserve development of \$978 million. This unfavorable development was primarily a result of the following:

- Unfavorable development in U.S. Excess Casualty and U.S. Other Casualty, driven primarily by increases in underlying severity and greater than expected emerging loss experience in accident year 2016 as well as increased development from claims related to construction defects and construction wrap business (largely from accident years 2006 and prior).
- Unfavorable development in U.S. Financial Lines, primarily from Directors & Officers (D&O) policies covering privately owned and not-for-profit insureds. This development was predominantly in accident year 2016 and resulted largely from increases in bankruptcy-related claims and fiduciary liability claims for large educational institutions.
- Higher than expected losses for Europe Casualty and Financial Lines, including a significant increase in large claims activity in our Europe long-tail business, with a large proportion emanating from accident year 2016. In addition, we increased our loss reserves as a result of the decision made by the UK Ministry of Justice to reduce the discount rate applied to lump-sum bodily injury payouts, known as the Ogden rate.
- In addition, we also observed higher than expected losses in our Europe Property and Special Risks business driven by unexpected development on various large claims across the property, aviation, marine, and trade credit segments.

Net Loss Development – 2016

During 2016, we recognized adverse prior year loss reserve development of \$5.8 billion. This unfavorable development was primarily a result of the following:

- Higher than expected losses emerging across several casualty product lines, especially in recent accident years (generally, 2011 to 2015) driven by increased frequency and severity of claims. This recent accident year loss emergence caused us to increase loss development factors applied across many accident years.
- Loss development factors including workers' compensation tail factors, also increased due to an observed lengthening of loss reporting patterns relative to prior expectations.
- Increases in loss trend assumptions to reflect the latest observed increases in frequency and severity and the impact of these increased loss trends on expected loss ratios.
- Changes in weights we apply to the various actuarial methods to better align with updated trends.

We note that for certain categories of claims (e.g., construction defect claims and environmental claims) and for reinsurance recoverable, losses may sometimes be reclassified to an earlier or later accident year as more information about the date of occurrence becomes available to us. These reclassifications are shown as development in the respective years in the tables above.

Significant Reinsurance Agreements

In the first quarter of 2017, we entered into an adverse development reinsurance agreement with NICO, under which we transferred to NICO 80 percent of the reserve risk on substantially all of our U.S. Commercial long-tail exposures for accident years 2015 and prior. Under this agreement, we ceded to NICO 80 percent of the losses on subject business paid on or after January 1, 2016 in excess of \$25 billion of net paid losses, up to an aggregate limit of \$25 billion. We account for this transaction as retroactive reinsurance. This transaction resulted in a gain, which under U.S. GAAP retroactive reinsurance accounting is deferred and amortized into income over the settlement period. NICO created a collateral trust account as security for their claim payment obligations to us, into which they deposited the consideration paid under the agreement, and Berkshire Hathaway Inc. has provided a parental guarantee to secure NICO's obligations under the agreement.

For a description of AIG's catastrophe reinsurance protection for 2018, see *Enterprise Risk Management – Insurance Risks – General Insurance Companies Key Risks – Natural Catastrophe Risk*.

The table below shows the calculation of the deferred gain on the adverse development reinsurance agreement as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, showing the effect of discounting of loss reserves and amortization of the deferred gain.

(in millions)	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Gross Covered Losses		
Covered reserves before discount	\$ 23,033	\$ 26,654
Inception to date losses paid	19,331	14,788
Attachment point	(25,000)	(25,000)
Covered losses above attachment point	\$ 17,364	\$ 16,442
Deferred Gain Development		
Covered losses above attachment ceded to NICO (80%)	\$ 13,891	\$ 13,153
Consideration paid including interest	(10,188)	(10,188)
Pre-tax deferred gain before discount and amortization	3,703	2,965
Discount on ceded losses ^(a)	(1,719)	(1,539)
Pre-tax deferred gain before amortization	1,984	1,426
Inception to date amortization of deferred gain at inception	(461)	(228)
Inception to date amortization attributed to changes in deferred gain ^(b)	(141)	(31)
Deferred gain liability reflected in AIG's balance sheet	\$ 1,382	\$ 1,167

(a) For the period from inception to December 31, 2018, the accretion of discount and a reduction in effective interest rates was offset by changes in estimates of the amount and timing of future recoveries under the adverse development reinsurance agreement.

(b) Excluded from our definition of APTI.

The following table presents the rollforward of activity in the deferred gain from the adverse development reinsurance agreement:

(in millions)	Years Ended December 31,	
	2018	2017
Balance at beginning of year, net of discount	\$ 1,167	\$ -
Gain at inception	-	1,116
Unfavorable prior year reserve development ceded to NICO ^(a)	738	310
Amortization attributed to deferred gain at inception ^(b)	(233)	(228)
Amortization attributed to changes in deferred gain ^(c)	(110)	(31)
Changes in discount on ceded loss reserves	(180)	-
Balance at end of year, net of discount	\$ 1,382	\$ 1,167

(a) Prior year reserve development ceded to NICO under the retroactive reinsurance agreement is deferred under U.S. GAAP.

(b) Represents amortization of the deferred gain recognized in APTI.

(c) Excluded from APTI and included in U.S. GAAP.

The lines of business subject to this agreement have been the source of the majority of the prior year adverse development charges over the past several years. The agreement is expected to result in lower capital charges for reserve risks at our U.S. insurance subsidiaries. In addition, we would expect future net investment income to decline as a result of lower invested assets.

For a summary of significant reinsurers see Item 7. MD&A – Enterprise Risk Management – Insurance Operations Risks – General Insurance Companies Key Insurance Risks – Reinsurance Recoverable.

LIFE AND ANNUITY RESERVES AND DAC

The following section provides discussion of life and annuity reserves and deferred policy acquisition costs.

Update of Actuarial Assumptions

The life insurance companies review and update actuarial assumptions at least annually, generally in the third quarter. Assumption setting standards vary between investment-oriented products and traditional long-duration products.

Investment-oriented products

The Life Insurance Companies review and update estimated gross profit projections used to amortize DAC and related items (which may include VOBA, SIA, guaranteed benefit reserves and unearned revenue reserves) for investment-oriented products. Estimated gross profit projections include assumptions for investment-related returns and spreads, product-related fees and expenses, mortality gains and losses, policyholder behavior and other factors. In estimating future gross profits, lapse assumptions require judgment and can have a material impact on DAC amortization. If the assumptions used for estimated gross profits change significantly, DAC and related reserves are recalculated using the new projections, and any resulting adjustment is included in income. Updating such projections may result in acceleration of amortization in some products and deceleration of amortization in other products.

The Life Insurance Companies also review assumptions related to their respective GMWB living benefits that are accounted for as embedded derivatives and measured at fair value. The fair value of these embedded derivatives is based on actuarial assumptions, including policyholder behavior, as well as capital market assumptions.

Various assumptions were updated, including the following effective September 30, 2018:

- we decreased our reversion to the mean rates (gross of fees) to 2.92 percent from 3.74 percent for the Variable Annuity product line in Individual Retirement and to 1.90 percent from 3.78 percent for the Variable Annuity product line in Group Retirement. Our separate account long-term asset growth rate assumption related to equity market performance remained unchanged at 7.0 percent; and
- we lowered our ultimate projected yields on invested assets by approximately three to six basis points on most annuity deposits and by approximately 12 to 19 basis points on most life insurance deposits. Projected yields are graded from a weighted average net GAAP book yield of existing assets supporting the business based on the value of the assets to a weighted average yield based on the duration of the assets excluding assets that mature during the grading period. The grading period is three years for annuity products and five years for life insurance products.

The reversion to the mean rate is updated quarterly based on market returns and can change dramatically in periods where market returns move significantly. Effective December 31, 2018, the reversion to the mean rate (gross of fees) was 5.38 percent for the Variable Annuity product line in Individual Retirement and 5.48 percent for the Variable Annuity product line in Group Retirement.

Traditional long-duration products

For traditional long-duration products, which include whole life insurance, term life insurance, accident and health insurance, long-term care insurance, and life-contingent single premium immediate annuities and structured settlements, a “lock-in” principle applies. The assumptions used to calculate the benefit liabilities and DAC are set when a policy is issued and do not change with changes in actual experience, unless a loss recognition event occurs. Loss recognition occurs if observed changes in actual experience or estimates result in projected future losses under loss recognition testing. Underlying assumptions are reviewed periodically and updated as appropriate.

In addition to the third quarter annual assumption update, the life companies adjusted assumptions and models on the Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines during the fourth quarter resulting in loss recognition of \$105 million.

The following table presents the increase (decrease) in Adjusted pre-tax income resulting from the update of actuarial assumptions for the domestic life insurance companies, by segment and product line:

Years Ended December 31, <i>(in millions)</i>	2018	2017	2016
Life and Retirement:			
Individual Retirement			
Fixed Annuities	\$ 40	\$ 130	\$ 330
Variable and Indexed Annuities	(92)	112	39
Total Individual Retirement	(52)	242	369
Group Retirement	17	13	(47)
Life Insurance	(63)	29	(92)
Institutional Markets	-	-	-
Total Life and Retirement	(98)	284	230
Legacy Life and Retirement Run-off	(110)	(14)	(614)
Total increase (decrease) in adjusted pre-tax income from update of assumptions	\$ (208)	\$ 270	\$ (384)

The following table presents the increase (decrease) in pre-tax income resulting from the update of actuarial assumptions in the domestic life insurance companies, by line item as reported in Results of Operations:

Years Ended December 31, <i>(in millions)</i>	2018	2017	2016
Policy fees	\$ (237)	\$ (2)	\$ (54)
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	-	49	65
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	273	184	325
Policyholder benefits and losses incurred	(244)	39	(720)
Increase (decrease) in adjusted pre-tax income	(208)	270	(384)
Change in DAC related to net realized capital gains (losses)	35	44	13
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(55)	(246)	(56)
Increase (decrease) in pre-tax income	\$ (228)	\$ 68	\$ (427)

In 2018, Adjusted pre-tax income included a net unfavorable adjustment of \$208 million, primarily in Variable Annuities driven by reductions to the GMWB full surrender assumption, in Life Insurance primarily due to strengthening reserves for certain riders and interest crediting model refinements, and in Legacy Accident & Health Insurance loss recognition. The unfavorable adjustments were partially offset by favorable adjustments in Life Insurance primarily due to lower lapse and mortality assumptions and a reduction in IBNR reserves and in Individual Retirement due to lower lapse assumptions in Fixed Annuities and refinements to partial withdrawal assumptions in Variable Annuities.

In 2017, adjusted pre-tax income included a net favorable adjustment of \$270 million, primarily driven by lower lapse assumptions in Fixed Annuities, improved mortality assumptions in Life Insurance, and an increase in the reversion to the mean rates in Variable Annuities. The favorable adjustments were partially offset by lower spread assumptions in Fixed Annuities and a loss recognition expense on long-term care business in the Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines.

In 2016, adjusted pre-tax income included a net unfavorable adjustment of \$384 million, primarily driven by \$622 million of loss recognition reserves for pre-2010 payout annuities in the Legacy Portfolio, and an increase in Life Insurance reserves for universal life with secondary guarantees. These unfavorable adjustments were partially offset by favorable adjustments, primarily due to lower lapse assumptions in Fixed Annuities.

The adjustments related to the update of actuarial assumptions in each period are discussed by segment below.

Update of Actuarial Assumptions by Segment

Individual Retirement

The update of actuarial assumptions resulted in net favorable (unfavorable) adjustments to adjusted pre-tax income of Individual Retirement of \$(52) million, \$242 million and \$369 million in 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

In Fixed Annuities, the update of estimated gross profit assumptions resulted in a net favorable adjustment of \$40 million and \$130 million in 2018 and 2017, respectively, which reflected lower lapse assumptions, partially offset by lower spread assumptions. In 2016, a net favorable adjustment of \$330 million reflected lower lapse assumptions, primarily due to lower long-term interest rates, as well as updates to spread assumptions.

In Variable and Index Annuities, the update of estimated gross profit assumptions resulted in a net unfavorable adjustment of \$92 million in 2018, primarily due to refinements to the GMWB partial withdrawal assumptions in Variable Annuities and the multi-year index strategy crediting parameters in Index Annuities. The unfavorable adjustments were partially offset by lower GMWB lapse assumptions in Variable Annuities. In 2017, a net favorable adjustment of \$112 million was primarily due to an increase in the reversion to the mean rate used for projecting future estimated gross profit for variable annuity products and changes in volatility assumptions. The net favorable adjustment was partially offset by a decrease in the separate account long-term asset growth rate assumption from 7.5 percent to 7.0 percent (before expenses that reduce the asset base from which future fees are projected) and an unfavorable adjustment in connection with the conversion to a new modeling platform for Index Annuities. In 2016, a net favorable adjustment of \$39 million was primarily due to favorable updates to assumptions for volatility, lapses, mortality and policy expenses, partially offset by a decrease in the separate account long-term asset growth rate assumption from 8.5 percent to 7.5 percent (before expenses that reduce the asset base from which future fees are projected). The net favorable adjustment included a net unfavorable adjustment of approximately \$24 million in connection with the conversion to a new modeling platform for variable annuities, primarily due to refinements to assumptions for guaranteed minimum interest rates and investment fees, partially offset by the impact of other refinements identified during the conversion.

Group Retirement

In Group Retirement, the update of estimated gross profit assumptions resulted in a favorable adjustment of \$17 million in 2018, primarily due to improved premium persistency assumptions. In 2017, a net favorable adjustment of \$13 million was primarily due to an increase in the reversion to the mean rate used for projecting future estimated gross profit for variable annuity products and changes in maintenance expense assumptions. The net favorable adjustment was partially offset by a decrease in the separate account long-term asset growth rate assumption from 7.5 percent to 7.0 percent (before expenses that reduce the asset base from which future fees are projected) and decreases in fixed annuity spread and separate account fee assumptions. In 2016, a net unfavorable adjustment of \$47 million was primarily due to refinements in lapse and partial withdrawal assumptions and a decrease in the separate account long-term asset growth rate assumption from 8.5 percent to 7.5 percent (before expenses that reduce the asset base from which future fees are projected).

Life Insurance

In Life Insurance, the update of actuarial assumptions resulted in a net unfavorable adjustment of \$63 million in 2018, primarily due to strengthening reserves for certain riders, decreased lapses and interest crediting model refinements. The unfavorable adjustments were partially offset by favorable adjustments driven by updates to mortality assumptions and a reduction to IBNR reserves. In 2017, a net favorable adjustment of \$29 million was primarily due to improved mortality assumptions, partially offset by lower spread assumptions. In 2016, a net unfavorable adjustment of \$92 million was primarily due to refinement to reserves for universal life insurance with secondary guarantees due to lower assumed lapse rates. The update to Life Insurance assumptions in 2016 also included lower spread assumptions.

Legacy Portfolio

In Legacy Portfolio, the update of actuarial assumptions resulted in a net unfavorable adjustment of \$110 million in 2018, primarily due to \$105 million of loss recognition expense on accident and health business (other than long-term care) in the Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines resulting from assumption and model refinements. In 2017, a net unfavorable adjustment of \$14 million was primarily due to \$13 million of loss recognition expense on long-term care business in the Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines resulting from model enhancements. In 2016, Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines recorded \$622 million of loss recognition expense on payout annuities. The loss recognition reflected the establishment of additional reserves primarily as a result of mortality experience studies, which indicated increased longevity, particularly on disabled lives on a block of structured settlements underwritten prior to 2010.

Variable Annuity Guaranteed Benefits and Hedging Results

Our Individual Retirement and Group Retirement businesses offer variable annuity products with GMWB riders that provide guaranteed living benefit features. The liabilities for GMWB are accounted for as embedded derivatives measured at fair value. The fair value of the embedded derivatives may fluctuate significantly based on market interest rates, equity prices, credit spreads, market volatility, policyholder behavior and other factors.

In addition to risk-mitigating features in our variable annuity product design, we have an economic hedging program designed to manage market risk from GMWB, including exposures to changes in interest rates, equity prices, credit spreads and volatility. The hedging program utilizes derivative instruments, including but not limited to equity options, futures contracts and interest rate swap and swaption contracts, as well as fixed maturity securities with a fair value election.

For additional discussion of market risk management related to these product features see Enterprise Risk Management – Insurance Risks – Life and Retirement Companies Key Risks – Variable Annuity Risk Management and Hedging Programs.

Differences in Valuation of Embedded Derivatives and Economic Hedge Target

The variable annuity hedging program utilizes an economic hedge target, which represents an estimate of the underlying economic risks in our GMWB riders. The economic hedge target differs from the U.S. GAAP valuation of the GMWB embedded derivatives primarily due to the following:

- The economic hedge target includes 100 percent of rider fees in present value calculations; the U.S. GAAP valuation reflects only those fees attributed to the embedded derivative such that the initial value at contract issue equals zero;
- The economic hedge target uses best estimate actuarial assumptions and excludes explicit risk margins used for U.S. GAAP valuation, such as margins for policyholder behavior, mortality, and volatility; and
- The economic hedge target excludes the non-performance or “own credit” risk adjustment used in the U.S. GAAP valuation, which reflects a market participant’s view of our claims-paying ability by incorporating an additional spread (the NPA spread) to the swap curve used to discount projected benefit cash flows. Because the discount rate includes the NPA spread and other explicit risk margins, the U.S. GAAP valuation is generally less sensitive to movements in interest rates and other market factors, and to changes from actuarial assumption updates, than the economic hedge target. *For more information on our valuation methodology for embedded derivatives within policyholder contract deposits see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*

The market value of the hedge portfolio compared to the economic hedge target at any point in time may be different and is not expected to be fully offsetting. In addition to the derivatives held in conjunction with the variable annuity hedging program, the Life and Retirement companies have cash and invested assets available to cover future claims payable under these guarantees. The primary sources of difference between the change in the fair value of the hedging portfolio and the economic hedge target include:

- Basis risk due to the variance between expected and actual fund returns, which may be either positive or negative;
- Realized volatility versus implied volatility;
- Actual versus expected changes in the hedge target driven by assumptions not subject to hedging, particularly policyholder behavior; and
- Risk exposures that we have elected not to explicitly or fully hedge.

The following table presents a reconciliation between the fair value of the U.S. GAAP embedded derivatives and the value of our economic hedge target:

	December 31,		December 31,
(in millions)	2018		2017
Reconciliation of embedded derivatives and economic hedge target:			
Embedded derivative liability	\$	1,943	\$ 1,994
Exclude non-performance risk adjustment		(2,615)	(1,947)
Embedded derivative liability, excluding NPA		4,558	3,941
Adjustments for risk margins and differences in valuation		(2,377)	(1,557)
Economic hedge target liability	\$	2,181	\$ 2,384

Impact on Pre-tax Income (Loss)

The impact on our pre-tax income (loss) of the variable annuity guaranteed living benefits and related hedging results includes changes in the fair value of the GMWB embedded derivatives, and changes in the fair value of related derivative hedging instruments, both of which are recorded in Other realized capital gains (losses). Realized capital gains (losses), as well as net investment income from changes in the fair value of fixed maturity securities used in the hedging program, are excluded from adjusted pre-tax income of Individual Retirement and Group Retirement.

The change in the fair value of the embedded derivatives and the change in the value of the hedging portfolio are not expected to be fully offsetting, primarily due to the differences in valuation between the economic hedge target, the U.S. GAAP embedded derivatives and the fair value of the hedging portfolio, as discussed above. When corporate credit spreads widen, the change in the NPA spread generally reduces the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities, resulting in a gain, and when corporate credit spreads narrow or tighten, the change in the NPA spread generally increases the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities, resulting in a loss. In addition to changes driven by credit market-related movements in the NPA spread, the NPA balance also reflects changes in business activity and in the net amount at risk from the underlying guaranteed living benefits.

The following table presents the net increase (decrease) to consolidated pre-tax income (loss) from changes in the fair value of the GMWB embedded derivatives and related hedges, excluding related DAC amortization:

Years Ended December 31,	2018	2017	2016
(in millions)			
Change in fair value of embedded derivatives, excluding update of actuarial assumptions and NPA	\$ (244)	\$ 1,423	\$ -
Change in fair value of variable annuity hedging portfolio:			
Fixed maturity securities	(154)	146	120
Interest rate derivative contracts	(470)	(70)	(194)
Equity derivative contracts	312	(1,347)	(919)
Change in fair value of variable annuity hedging portfolio	(312)	(1,271)	(993)
Change in fair value of embedded derivatives excluding update of actuarial assumptions and NPA, net of hedging portfolio	(556)	152	(993)
Change in fair value of embedded derivatives due to NPA spread	388	(840)	(286)
Change in fair value of embedded derivatives due to change in NPA volume	280	(352)	257
Change in fair value of embedded derivatives due to update of actuarial assumptions	38	(188)	(101)
Total change due to update of actuarial assumptions and NPA	706	(1,380)	(130)
Net impact on pre-tax income (loss)	\$ 150	\$ (1,228)	\$ (1,123)
By Consolidated Income Statement line			
Net investment income	\$ (154)	\$ 146	\$ 120
Net realized capital gains (losses)	304	(1,374)	(1,243)
Net impact on pre-tax income (loss)	\$ 150	\$ (1,228)	\$ (1,123)

The net impact on pre-tax income from the GMWB embedded derivatives and related hedges in 2018 (excluding related DAC amortization) was primarily driven by gains from the impact of widening credit spreads on the NPA spread, and higher interest rates, partially offset by losses from the impact of the change in credit spreads and the move from an economic to a GAAP discount basis. In 2017, the net impact on pre-tax income was primarily driven by losses from actuarial assumption updates to lapse and volatility assumptions, tightening credit spreads on the NPA spread and the impact on the NPA volume of lower expected GMWB payments, driven by higher equity markets. In 2016, the net impact on pre-tax income was primarily driven by the impact of rising interest rates and equity markets late in the fourth quarter of 2016, which resulted in fair value losses in the hedging portfolio, which were not offset by decreases in the embedded derivative liabilities as the risk margins and other assumptions used in the U.S. GAAP valuation caused the embedded derivatives to be less sensitive to market changes than the related hedge portfolio. In addition, 2016 included losses from actuarial assumption updates due to lapse and mortality assumptions.

The change in the fair value of the GMWB embedded derivatives, excluding NPA and update of actuarial assumptions, in 2018 reflected losses from lower equity markets and the impact of moving from an economic to a GAAP discount basis, offset by increases in interest rates and widening credit spreads. In 2018, the hedge losses were driven by losses from higher interest rates and widening credit spreads, offset by gains from lower equity markets. In 2017, the change in the fair value of embedded derivatives, excluding update of actuarial assumptions and NPA, was largely offset by the related hedging portfolio. However, in 2016, the change in fair value of embedded derivatives, excluding update of actuarial assumptions and NPA, reflected losses on the hedging portfolio driven by improvements in both interest rates and equity markets late in the fourth quarter of 2016, which were not offset by decreases in embedded derivative liabilities.

Fair value gains or losses in the hedging portfolio are typically not fully offset by increases or decreases in liabilities on a U.S. GAAP basis, due to the NPA and other risk margins used for U.S. GAAP valuation that cause the embedded derivatives to be less sensitive to changes in market rates than the hedge portfolio. On an economic basis, the changes in the fair value of the hedge portfolio were partially offset by the decrease in the economic hedge target, as discussed below.

Change in Economic Hedge Target

The decrease in the economic hedge target liability in 2018 was primarily due to higher interest rates and widening of credit spreads, offset by lower equity markets. The decrease in the economic hedge target liability in 2017 was primarily due to positive equity markets, partially offset by tighter credit spreads and lower equity volatility.

Change in Fair Value of the Hedging Portfolio

The changes in the fair value of the economic hedge target and, to a lesser extent, the embedded derivative valuation under U.S. GAAP, were offset in part by the following changes in the fair value of the variable annuity hedging portfolio:

- Changes in the fair value of fixed maturity securities, primarily corporate bonds for which the fair value option has been elected, are used as a capital-efficient way to economically hedge interest rate and credit spread-related risk. The change in the fair value of the corporate bond hedging program in 2018 reflected losses due to increases in interest rates, and widening of credit spreads. The net gains in 2017 were primarily due to tightening of credit spreads. The net gains in 2016 reflected the impact of credit spreads tightening and decreases in market interest rates in the first nine months of 2016, partially offset by an increase in rates in the fourth quarter of 2016. The change in the fair value of the hedging bonds, which is excluded from the adjusted pre-tax income of the Individual Retirement and Group Retirement segments, is reported in net investment income on the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss).
- Changes in the fair value of interest rate derivative contracts, which included swaps, swaptions and futures, resulted in net losses driven by higher interest rates in 2018. The small net loss in 2017 reflected increases in rates in the latter half of 2017, partially offset by the impact of interest rate declines in the first half of 2017. The net losses in 2016 reflected increases in rates in the fourth quarter of 2016, which more than offset the impact of interest rate declines in the first nine months of 2016.
- The change in the fair value of equity derivative contracts, which included futures and options, resulted in gains in 2018, and losses in 2017 and 2016, which varied based on the relative change in equity market returns in the respective periods.

DAC

The following table summarizes the major components of the changes in DAC, including VOBA, within the Life and Retirement companies, excluding DAC of the Legacy Portfolio:

Years Ended December 31,				
(in millions)				
	2018	2017	2016	
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 7,637	\$ 7,571	\$ 7,174	
Acquisition costs deferred	1,164	970	1,026	
Amortization expense:				
Update of assumptions included in adjusted pre-tax income	307	194	315	
Related to realized capital gains and losses	5	293	276	
All other operating amortization	(987)	(937)	(928)	
Increase (decrease) in DAC due to foreign exchange	(22)	26	(40)	
Change related to unrealized depreciation (appreciation) of investments	1,029	(480)	(252)	
Balance, end of year*	\$ 9,133	\$ 7,637	\$ 7,571	

* DAC balance excluding the amount related to unrealized depreciation (appreciation) of investments was \$9.4 billion, \$8.9 billion and \$8.4 billion at December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

The net adjustments to DAC amortization from the update of actuarial assumptions for estimated gross profits, including those reported within change in DAC related to net realized capital gains (losses), represented four percent, two percent and four percent of the DAC balance excluding the amount related to unrealized depreciation (appreciation) of investments as of December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Reversion to the Mean

The reversion to the mean rate is updated quarterly based on market returns and can change dramatically in periods where market returns move significantly. The five-year reversion to the mean period did not meet the criteria for adjustment in 2018 which would have otherwise required a reset of the start date used in the calculation of the average gross long-term return rate. The long-term growth assumption used in our reversion to the mean methodology remained unchanged at 7.0 percent in 2018.

In 2017, we updated the long-term annual growth assumption applied to subsequent periods used in our reversion to the mean methodology for estimating future estimated gross profits for variable annuity products, from 7.5 percent to 7.0 percent (before expenses that reduce the asset base from which future fees are projected). The five-year reversion to the mean period has met the criteria for adjustment in 2017. As a result, the average gross long-term return measurement start date was reset to December 31, 2011 for Individual Retirement and June 30, 2013 for Group Retirement; the reversion to the mean rates (gross of fees) were increased to 3.74 percent in Individual Retirement and 3.78 percent in Group Retirement. Sustained favorable equity market performance in excess of long-term assumptions could result in additional unlocking in the Individual Retirement or Group Retirement variable annuity product lines in the future, with a positive effect on pre-tax income in the period of the unlocking.

In 2016, the long-term annual asset growth assumption was updated from 8.5 percent to 7.5 percent. The five-year reversion to the mean period did not meet the criteria for adjustment in 2016.

For additional discussion of assumptions related to our reversion to the mean methodology see Critical Accounting Estimates – Estimated Gross Profits for Investment-Oriented Products.

DAC and Reserves Related to Unrealized Appreciation of Investments

DAC and Reserves for universal life and investment-type products (collectively, investment-oriented products) are adjusted at each balance sheet date to reflect the change in DAC, unearned revenue, and benefit reserves with an offset to Other comprehensive income (OCI) as if securities available for sale had been sold at their stated aggregate fair value and the proceeds reinvested at current yields (shadow Investment-Oriented Adjustments). Similarly, for long-duration traditional products, significant unrealized appreciation of investments in a sustained low interest rate environment may cause additional future policy benefit liabilities (shadow Loss Adjustments) with an offset to OCI to be recorded.

Shadow adjustments to DAC and unearned revenue generally move in the opposite direction of the change in unrealized appreciation of the available for sale securities portfolio, reducing the reported DAC and unearned revenue balance when market interest rates decline. Conversely, shadow adjustments to benefit reserves generally move in the same direction as the change in unrealized appreciation of the available for sale securities portfolio, increasing reported future policy benefit liabilities balance when market interest rates decline.

Market interest rates increased in 2018, which resulted in a \$8.3 billion decrease in the unrealized appreciation of fixed maturity securities held to support businesses in the Life and Retirement companies at December 31, 2018 compared to December 31, 2017. At December 31, 2018, the shadow Investment-Oriented Adjustments reflected increases in DAC and unearned revenues and a decrease in future policy benefit liabilities compared to December 31, 2017, while the shadow Loss Adjustments reflected a decrease in future policy benefit liabilities.

Reserves

The following table presents a rollforward of insurance reserves by operating segments for Life and Retirement, including future policy benefits, policyholder contract deposits, other policy funds, and separate account liabilities, as well as Retail Mutual Funds and Group Retirement mutual fund assets under administration:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Individual Retirement			
Balance at beginning of year, gross	\$ 138,571	\$ 129,321	\$ 121,474
Premiums and deposits	15,621	11,906	16,062
Surrenders and withdrawals	(14,081)	(10,943)	(10,027)
Death and other contract benefits	(3,316)	(3,089)	(2,991)
Subtotal	(1,776)	(2,126)	3,044
Change in fair value of underlying assets and reserve accretion, net of policy fees	(5,302)	10,098	3,657
Cost of funds*	1,540	1,528	1,614
Other reserve changes	(304)	(250)	(468)
Balance at end of year	132,729	138,571	129,321
Reinsurance ceded	(318)	(322)	(371)
Total Individual Retirement insurance reserves and mutual fund assets	\$ 132,411	\$ 138,249	\$ 128,950
Group Retirement			
Balance at beginning of year, gross	\$ 97,306	\$ 88,622	\$ 84,145
Premiums and deposits	8,639	7,550	7,570
Surrenders and withdrawals	(10,652)	(8,019)	(7,589)
Death and other contract benefits	(606)	(562)	(536)
Subtotal	(2,619)	(1,031)	(555)
Change in fair value of underlying assets and reserve accretion, net of policy fees	(4,106)	8,617	3,923
Cost of funds*	1,106	1,098	1,109
Other reserve changes	(2)	-	-
Balance at end of year	91,685	97,306	88,622
Total Group Retirement insurance reserves and mutual fund assets	\$ 91,685	\$ 97,306	\$ 88,622
Life Insurance			
Balance at beginning of year, gross	\$ 19,424	\$ 18,397	\$ 18,006
Premiums and deposits	3,559	3,484	3,391
Surrenders and withdrawals	(943)	(569)	(650)
Death and other contract benefits	(465)	(575)	(522)
Subtotal	2,151	2,340	2,219
Change in fair value of underlying assets and reserve accretion, net of policy fees	(1,124)	(889)	(1,033)
Cost of funds*	374	376	386
Other reserve changes	(1,106)	(800)	(1,181)
Balance at end of year	19,719	19,424	18,397
Reinsurance ceded	(1,216)	(1,055)	(1,085)
Total Life Insurance reserves	\$ 18,503	\$ 18,369	\$ 17,312

Institutional Markets

Balance at beginning of year, gross	\$ 18,580	\$ 15,385	\$ 14,216
Premiums and deposits	3,032	4,247	2,153
Surrenders and withdrawals	(1,745)	(1,291)	(1,283)
Death and other contract benefits	(655)	(343)	(617)
Subtotal	632	2,613	253
Change in fair value of underlying assets and reserve accretion, net of policy fees	179	245	256
Cost of funds*	338	253	244
Other reserve changes	110	84	416
Balance at end of year	19,839	18,580	15,385
Reinsurance ceded	(43)	(3)	(3)
Total Institutional Markets reserves	\$ 19,796	\$ 18,577	\$ 15,382

Total insurance reserves and mutual fund assets

Balance at beginning of year, gross	\$ 273,881	\$ 251,725	\$ 237,841
Premiums and deposits	30,851	27,187	29,176
Surrenders and withdrawals	(27,421)	(20,822)	(19,549)
Death and other contract benefits	(5,042)	(4,569)	(4,666)
Subtotal	(1,612)	1,796	4,961
Change in fair value of underlying assets and reserve accretion, net of policy fees	(10,353)	18,071	6,803
Cost of funds*	3,358	3,255	3,353
Other reserve changes	(1,302)	(966)	(1,233)
Balance at end of year	263,972	273,881	251,725
Reinsurance ceded	(1,577)	(1,380)	(1,459)
Total insurance reserves and mutual fund assets	\$ 262,395	\$ 272,501	\$ 250,266

* Excludes amortization of deferred sales inducements

Insurance reserves of Life and Retirement, as well as Retail Mutual Funds and Group Retirement mutual fund assets under administration, were comprised of the following balances:

	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
<i>(in millions)</i>		
Future policy benefits	\$ 14,739	\$ 13,592
Policyholder contract deposits	137,718	130,735
Other policy funds	295	401
Separate account liabilities	79,960	90,819
Total insurance reserves*	232,712	235,547
Mutual fund assets	31,260	38,334
Total insurance reserves and mutual fund assets	\$ 263,972	\$ 273,881

* Excludes reserves related to the Legacy Portfolio.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

OVERVIEW

Liquidity refers to the ability to generate sufficient cash resources to meet our payment obligations. It is defined as cash and unencumbered assets that can be monetized in a short period of time at a reasonable cost. We endeavor to manage our liquidity prudently through various risk committees, policies and procedures, and a stress testing and liquidity risk framework established by our Treasury group with oversight by Enterprise Risk Management (ERM). Our liquidity risk framework is designed to manage liquidity at both AIG Parent and its subsidiaries to meet our financial obligations for a minimum of six months under a liquidity stress scenario.

See *Enterprise Risk Management — Risk Appetite, Limits, Identification, and Measurement and Enterprise Risk Management — Liquidity Risk Management* below for additional information.

Capital refers to the long-term financial resources available to support the operation of our businesses, fund business growth, and cover financial and operational needs that arise from adverse circumstances. Our primary source of ongoing capital generation is derived from the profitability of our insurance subsidiaries. We must comply with numerous constraints on our minimum capital positions. These constraints drive the requirements for capital adequacy at AIG and the individual businesses and are based on internally-defined risk tolerances, regulatory requirements, rating agency and creditor expectations and business needs. Actual capital levels are monitored on a regular basis, and using ERM's stress testing methodology, we evaluate the capital impact of potential macroeconomic, financial and insurance stresses in relation to the relevant capital constraints of both AIG and our insurance subsidiaries.

We believe that we have sufficient liquidity and capital resources to satisfy future requirements and meet our obligations to policyholders, customers, creditors and debt-holders, including those arising from reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events.

Nevertheless, some circumstances may cause our cash or capital needs to exceed projected liquidity or readily deployable capital resources. Additional collateral calls, deterioration in investment portfolios or reserve strengthening affecting statutory surplus, higher surrenders of annuities and other policies, downgrades in credit ratings, or catastrophic losses may result in significant additional cash or capital needs and loss of sources of liquidity and capital. In addition, regulatory and other legal restrictions could limit our ability to transfer funds freely, either to or from our subsidiaries.

Depending on market conditions, regulatory and rating agency considerations and other factors, we may take various liability and capital management actions. Liability management actions may include, but are not limited to, repurchasing or redeeming outstanding debt, issuing new debt or engaging in debt exchange offers. Capital management actions may include, but are not limited to, paying dividends to our shareholders and share and/or warrant repurchases.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES ACTIVITY FOR 2018

SOURCES

AIG Parent Funding from Subsidiaries

During 2018, AIG Parent received \$4.2 billion in dividends from subsidiaries. Of this amount, \$1.7 billion consisted of dividends in the form of cash and fixed maturity securities from our General Insurance companies, \$2.5 billion consisted of dividends and loan repayments in the form of cash from our Life and Retirement companies and \$48 million consisted of dividends in the form of cash from our Other category.

AIG Parent also received a net amount of \$938 million in tax sharing payments in the form of cash from our insurance businesses in 2018, reflecting \$120 million that was reimbursed by AIG Parent to our insurance businesses during the fourth quarter of 2018 primarily as a result of adjustments made to prior-year tax sharing payments. The tax sharing payments may be subject to further adjustment in future periods.

Debt Issuance

In March 2018, we issued \$750 million aggregate principal amount of 4.200% Notes Due 2028; \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 4.750% Notes Due 2048; and \$750 million aggregate principal amount of 5.750% Fixed-To-Floating Rate Series A-9 Junior Subordinated Debentures Due 2048 (Junior Subordinated Debentures). We used the net proceeds from these offerings for general corporate purposes, including funding a portion of the consideration for the acquisition of Validus.

Sale of Non-controlling Interest in Fortitude

In November 2018, we completed our sale of a non-controlling interest in Fortitude Re for \$476 million. We received \$381 million of the purchase price at closing and will receive up to \$95 million of deferred compensation which is subject to certain purchase price adjustments. To the extent we do not receive all or a portion of the Target Distribution within 18 months of the Fortitude Re Closing, TCG will pay us up to an additional \$100 million.

USES

Debt Reduction

In May 2018, we redeemed all of our outstanding 8.000% Series A-7 Junior Subordinated Debentures and 8.625% Series A-8 Junior Subordinated Debentures in each case for a redemption price of 100 percent of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, for approximately \$15 million and \$7 million, respectively.

In October 2018, our subsidiary Validus Reinsurance, Ltd. redeemed its outstanding Floating Rate Deferrable Interest Junior Subordinated Notes due July 30, 2037 at a redemption price of 100 percent of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, for a net amount of approximately \$90 million. In December 2018, Validus Reinsurance, Ltd. redeemed its outstanding (i) U.S. dollar-denominated Floating Rate Deferrable Interest Subordinated Notes due September 15, 2036, (ii) euro-denominated Floating Rate Deferrable Interest Subordinated Notes due September 15, 2036 and (iii) Floating Rate Deferrable Interest Subordinated Notes due September 15, 2037, each at a redemption price of 100 percent of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, for an aggregate amount of approximately \$162 million. In addition, in December 2018, Validus redeemed its outstanding (i) Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due June 15, 2036 and (ii) Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due June 15, 2037, each at a redemption price of 100 percent of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, for an aggregate net amount of approximately \$295 million.

We also made other repurchases of and repayments on debt instruments of approximately \$3.2 billion during 2018. AIG Parent made interest payments on its debt instruments totaling \$914 million during 2018.

Validus Acquisition and Redemption of Preference Shares

On July 18, 2018, we completed our acquisition of Validus for approximately \$5.5 billion in cash. Following the consummation of the acquisition, AIG executed a guarantee, dated July 26, 2018, with respect to Validus' outstanding Series A Preference Shares and Series B Preference Shares (together, the Preference Shares), pursuant to which AIG provided a full and unconditional guarantee of Validus' obligations with respect to the Preference Shares. In addition, AIG executed a guarantee, dated July 26, 2018, with respect to Validus' aggregate outstanding 8.875% Senior Notes due 2040 (the Notes), pursuant to which AIG provided a full and unconditional guarantee of Validus' obligations with respect to the Notes. AIG also entered into certain letter of credit agreements in support of the Validus companies.

In October 2018, Validus redeemed all of its outstanding Preference Shares at a redemption price of \$26,000 per Preference Share for approximately \$416 million in the aggregate.

Dividend

We paid a cash dividend of \$0.32 per share on AIG Common Stock during each quarter of 2018 totaling \$1.1 billion.

Repurchase of Common Stock

We repurchased approximately 36.5 million shares of AIG Common Stock during 2018, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$1.7 billion.

ANALYSIS OF SOURCES AND USES OF CASH

The following table presents selected data from AIG's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Sources:			
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 61	\$ -	\$ 3,502
Net cash provided by other investing activities	5,494	14,041	3,252
Changes in policyholder contract balances	6,179	2,123	4,059
Issuance of long-term debt	4,734	3,356	5,954
Net cash provided by other financing activities	-	-	377
Total sources	16,468	19,520	17,144
Uses:			
Net cash used in operating activities	-	(7,818)	-
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash and restricted cash acquired	(5,717)	-	-
Repayments of long-term debt	(3,672)	(3,698)	(4,082)
Purchases of AIG Common Stock	(1,739)	(6,275)	(11,460)
Dividends paid	(1,138)	(1,172)	(1,372)
Purchases of warrants	(11)	(3)	(309)
Net cash used in other financing activities	(3,559)	(28)	-
Total uses	(15,836)	(18,994)	(17,223)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and restricted cash	(11)	(29)	55
Increase (decrease) in cash and restricted cash	\$ 621	\$ 497	\$ (24)

The following table presents a summary of AIG's Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Summary:			
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 61	\$ (7,818)	\$ 3,502
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(223)	14,041	3,252
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	794	(5,697)	(6,833)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and restricted cash	(11)	(29)	55
Increase (decrease) in cash and restricted cash	621	497	(24)
Cash and restricted cash at beginning of year	2,737	2,107	2,238
Change in cash of businesses held for sale	-	133	(107)
Cash and restricted cash at end of year	\$ 3,358	\$ 2,737	\$ 2,107

Operating Cash Flow Activities

Insurance companies generally receive most premiums in advance of the payment of claims or policy benefits. The ability of insurance companies to generate positive cash flow is affected by the frequency and severity of losses under their insurance policies, policy retention rates and operating expenses.

Interest payments totaled \$1.3 billion in 2018 compared to \$1.2 billion in 2017 and \$1.3 billion in 2016. Excluding interest payments, AIG had operating cash inflows of \$1.4 billion in 2018 compared to operating cash outflows of \$6.6 billion in 2017 and operating cash inflows of \$4.8 billion in 2016. The operating cash outflows in 2017 were primarily due to payment for the adverse development reinsurance agreement entered into with NICO.

Investing Cash Flow Activities

Net cash used in investing activities in 2018 was \$0.2 billion compared to cash provided by investing activities of \$14.0 billion in 2017 and \$3.3 billion in 2016. Net cash used in investing activities in 2018 included our acquisition of Validus for approximately \$5.5 billion in cash. Net cash provided by investing activities in 2017 primarily included sales of certain investments to fund the adverse development reinsurance agreement entered into with NICO. Net cash provided by investing activities in 2016 primarily included \$2.8 billion of net cash proceeds from the sales of United Guaranty, Ascot and AIG Advisor Group.

Financing Cash Flow Activities

Net cash used in financing activities in 2018 reflected:

- approximately \$1.1 billion in the aggregate to pay a dividend of \$0.32 per share on AIG Common Stock in each quarter of 2018;
- approximately \$1.7 billion to repurchase approximately 36.5 million shares of AIG Common Stock; and
- approximately \$1.1 billion in net inflows from the issuance and repayment of long-term debt.

Net cash used in financing activities in 2017 included:

- approximately \$1.2 billion in the aggregate to pay a dividend of \$0.32 per share on AIG Common Stock in each quarter of 2017;
- approximately \$6.3 billion to repurchase approximately 100 million shares of AIG Common Stock; and
- approximately \$342 million in net outflows from the issuance and repayment of long-term debt.

Net cash used in financing activities in 2016 included:

- approximately \$1.4 billion in the aggregate to pay a dividend of \$0.32 per share on AIG Common Stock in each quarter of 2016;
- approximately \$11.5 billion to repurchase approximately 201 million shares of AIG Common Stock;
- approximately \$309 million to repurchase approximately 17 million warrants to purchase shares of AIG Common Stock; and
- approximately \$1.9 billion in net inflows from the issuance and repayment of long-term debt.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES OF AIG PARENT AND SUBSIDIARIES

AIG Parent

As of December 31, 2018, AIG Parent had approximately \$8.3 billion in liquidity sources. AIG Parent's liquidity sources are primarily held in the form of cash, short-term investments and publicly traded, investment grade rated fixed maturity securities. Fixed maturity securities primarily include U.S. government and government sponsored entity securities, U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities, corporate and municipal bonds and certain other highly rated securities. AIG Parent actively manages its assets and liabilities in terms of products, counterparties and duration. Based upon an assessment of funding needs, the liquidity sources can be readily monetized through sales or repurchase agreements or contributed as admitted assets to regulated insurance companies. AIG Parent liquidity is monitored through the use of various internal liquidity risk measures. AIG Parent's primary sources of liquidity are dividends, distributions, loans and other payments from subsidiaries and credit facilities. AIG Parent's primary uses of liquidity are for debt service, capital and liability management, and operating expenses.

We believe that we have sufficient liquidity and capital resources to satisfy our reasonably foreseeable future requirements and meet our obligations to our creditors, debt-holders and insurance company subsidiaries. We expect to access the debt markets from time to time to meet funding requirements as needed.

We utilize our capital resources to support our businesses, with the majority of capital allocated to our insurance operations. Should we have or generate more capital than is needed to support our business strategies (including organic growth or acquisition opportunities) or mitigate risks inherent to our business, we may develop plans to distribute such capital to shareholders via dividends or share repurchase authorizations or deploy such capital towards liability management.

In the normal course, it is expected that a portion of the capital released by our insurance operations, by our other operations or through the utilization of AIG's deferred tax assets may be available to support our business strategies, for distribution to shareholders or for liability management.

In developing plans to distribute capital, AIG considers a number of factors, including, but not limited to: AIG's business and strategic plans, expectations for capital generation and utilization, AIG's funding capacity and capital resources in comparison to internal benchmarks, as well as rating agency expectations, regulatory standards and internal stress tests for capital.

The following table presents AIG Parent's liquidity sources:

<i>(In millions)</i>	As of December 31, 2018	As of December 31, 2017
Cash and short-term investments ^(a)	\$ 626	\$ 2,114
Unencumbered fixed maturity securities ^(b)	3,168	5,172
Total AIG Parent liquidity	3,794	7,286
Available capacity under syndicated credit facility ^(c)	4,500	4,500
Total AIG Parent liquidity sources	\$ 8,294	\$ 11,786

(a) Cash and short-term investments include reverse repurchase agreements totaling \$22 million and \$1.7 billion as of December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

(b) Unencumbered securities consist of publicly traded, investment grade rated fixed maturity securities. Fixed maturity securities primarily include U.S. government and government sponsored entity securities, U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities, corporate and municipal bonds and certain other highly rated securities.

(c) For additional information relating to this syndicated credit facility see Credit Facilities below.

Insurance Companies

We expect that our insurance companies will be able to continue to satisfy reasonably foreseeable future liquidity requirements and meet their obligations, including those arising from reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events, through cash from operations and, to the extent necessary, monetization of invested assets. Our insurance companies' liquidity resources are primarily held in the form of cash, short-term investments and publicly traded, investment grade rated fixed maturity securities.

Each of our material insurance companies' liquidity is monitored through various internal liquidity risk measures. The primary sources of liquidity are premiums, fees, reinsurance recoverables and investment income and maturities. The primary uses of liquidity are paid losses, reinsurance payments, benefit claims, surrenders, withdrawals, interest payments, dividends, expenses, investment purchases and collateral requirements.

Our General Insurance companies may require additional funding to meet capital or liquidity needs under certain circumstances. Large catastrophes may require us to provide additional support to our affected operations. Downgrades in our credit ratings could put pressure on the insurer financial strength ratings of our subsidiaries, which could result in non-renewals or cancellations by policyholders and adversely affect a subsidiary's ability to meet its own obligations. Increases in market interest rates may adversely affect the financial strength ratings of our subsidiaries, as rating agency capital models may reduce the amount of available capital relative to required capital. Other potential events that could cause a liquidity strain include an economic collapse of a nation or region significant to our operations, nationalization, catastrophic terrorist acts, pandemics or other events causing economic or political upheaval.

Management believes that because of the size and liquidity of our Life and Retirement companies' investment portfolios, normal deviations from projected claim or surrender experience would not create significant liquidity risk. Furthermore, our Life and Retirement companies' products contain certain features that mitigate surrender risk, including surrender charges. However, in times of extreme capital markets disruption, liquidity needs could outpace resources. As part of their risk management framework, our Life and Retirement companies continue to evaluate and, where appropriate, pursue strategies and programs to improve their liquidity position and facilitate their ability to maintain a fully invested asset portfolio.

Certain of our U.S. insurance companies are members of the Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLBs) in their respective districts. Borrowings from FHLBs are used to supplement liquidity or for other uses deemed appropriate by management. Our U.S. General Insurance companies had outstanding borrowings from FHLBs in an aggregate amount of approximately \$115 million and \$190 million at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. Our U.S. Life and Retirement companies had no outstanding borrowings in the form of cash advances from FHLBs at both December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. In addition, \$3.4 billion and \$606 million were due to FHLBs in the respective districts of our U.S. Life and Retirement companies at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, under funding agreements issued through our Individual Retirement, Group Retirement and Institutional Markets operating segments, which were reported in Policyholder contract deposits.

Certain of our U.S. Life and Retirement companies have programs, which began in 2012, that lend securities from their investment portfolio to supplement liquidity or for other uses as deemed appropriate by management. Under these programs, these U.S. Life and Retirement companies lend securities to financial institutions and receive cash as collateral equal to 102 percent of the fair value of the loaned securities. Cash collateral received is invested in short-term investments. Additionally, the aggregate amount of securities that a Life and Retirement company is able to lend under its program at any time is limited to five percent of its general account statutory-basis admitted assets. Our U.S. Life and Retirement companies had \$884 million and \$2.9 billion of securities subject to these agreements at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, and \$904 million and \$3.0 billion of liabilities to borrowers for collateral received at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

AIG generally manages capital between AIG Parent and our insurance companies through internal, Board-approved policies and limits, as well as management standards. In addition, AIG Parent has unconditional capital maintenance agreements (CMAs) in place with certain subsidiaries. Nevertheless, regulatory and other legal restrictions could limit our ability to transfer capital freely, either to or from our subsidiaries.

In February 2018, AIG Parent entered into a CMA with Fortitude Re. Among other things, the CMA provides that AIG Parent will maintain available statutory capital and surplus in each of Fortitude Re's long term business fund and general business account at or above a stress threshold percentage of its projected enhanced capital requirement in respect of the applicable fund, as defined under Bermuda law. As of December 31, 2018, the stress threshold percentage under this CMA was 125 percent.

AIG Parent and/or certain subsidiaries are parties to several letter of credit agreements with various financial institutions, which issue letters of credit from time to time in support of our insurance companies. Letters of credit issued in support of the General Insurance companies totaled approximately \$3.9 billion at December 31, 2018. Letters of credit issued in support of the Life and Retirement companies totaled approximately \$907 million at December 31, 2018. Letters of credit issued in support of Fortitude Re totaled \$550 million at December 31, 2018.

During 2016, we created a new Switzerland-domiciled international holding company, AIG International Holdings, GmbH (AIGIH), which is intended to be the ultimate holding company for all of our international entities. This international holding company structure is part of our ongoing efforts to simplify our organizational structure, and is expected to facilitate the optimization of our international capital strategy from both a regulatory and a tax perspective. Through February 12, 2019, substantially all of our international operations have been transferred to AIGIH. We will continue to monitor our international holding company structure in light of regulatory, tax and other developments, to ensure that this strategy continues to be effective.

In 2018, our General Insurance companies collectively paid a total of approximately \$1.7 billion in dividends in the form of cash and fixed maturity securities to AIG Parent. The fixed maturity securities primarily included U.S. government and government-sponsored entity securities, U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities, corporate and municipal bonds and certain other highly rated securities.

In 2018, our Life and Retirement companies collectively paid a total of approximately \$2.5 billion in dividends and loan repayments in the form of cash to AIG Parent.

Tax Matters

If the settlement agreements in principle are concluded in our ongoing dispute related to the disallowance of foreign tax credits associated with cross border financing transactions, we will be required to make a payment to the U.S. Treasury. Although we can provide no assurance regarding whether the non-binding settlements will be finalized, the amount we currently expect to pay based on current proposed settlement terms is approximately \$1.7 billion, including obligations of AIG Parent and subsidiaries. This amount is net of payments previously made with respect to cross border financing transactions involving matters dating back to 1997 and other matters largely related to the same tax years. There remains uncertainty with regard to whether the settlements in principle will ultimately be approved by the relevant authorities as well as the amount and timing of any potential payments, which are not likely to be made before sometime in early 2020.

For additional information regarding this matter see Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

CREDIT FACILITIES

We maintain a committed, revolving syndicated credit facility (the Facility) as a potential source of liquidity for general corporate purposes. The Facility provides for aggregate commitments by the bank syndicate to provide unsecured revolving loans and/or standby letters of credit of up to \$4.5 billion without any limits on the type of borrowings and is scheduled to expire in June 2022.

As of December 31, 2018, a total of \$4.5 billion remains available under the Facility. Our ability to utilize the Facility is not contingent on our credit ratings. However, our ability to utilize the Facility is conditioned on the satisfaction of certain legal, operating, administrative and financial covenants and other requirements contained in the Facility. These include covenants relating to our maintenance of a specified total consolidated net worth and total consolidated debt to total consolidated capitalization. Failure to satisfy these and other requirements contained in the Facility would restrict our access to the Facility and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. We expect to utilize the Facility from time to time, and may use the proceeds for general corporate purposes.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table summarizes contractual obligations in total, and by remaining maturity:

December 31, 2018		Payments due by Period				
	Total		2020 -	2022 -		
(in millions)	Payments	2019	2021	2023	Thereafter	
Insurance operations						
Loss reserves ^(a)	\$ 87,394	\$ 22,467	\$ 24,465	\$ 12,790	\$ 27,672	
Insurance and investment contract liabilities	254,021	16,783	33,734	28,964	174,540	
Borrowings	1,333	-	331	-	1,002	
Interest payments on borrowings	852	50	99	99	604	
Operating leases	760	203	252	132	173	
Other long-term obligations	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	\$ 344,360	\$ 39,503	\$ 58,881	\$ 41,985	\$ 203,991	
Other						
Borrowings	\$ 24,635	\$ 1,271	\$ 3,029	\$ 3,271	\$ 17,064	
Interest payments on borrowings	15,249	1,050	1,949	1,682	10,568	
Operating leases	127	46	54	18	9	
Other long-term obligations	403	115	175	82	31	
Total	\$ 40,414	\$ 2,482	\$ 5,207	\$ 5,053	\$ 27,672	
Consolidated						
Loss reserves ^(a)	\$ 87,394	\$ 22,467	\$ 24,465	\$ 12,790	\$ 27,672	
Insurance and investment contract liabilities	254,021	16,783	33,734	28,964	174,540	
Borrowings	25,968	1,271	3,360	3,271	18,066	
Interest payments on borrowings	16,101	1,100	2,048	1,781	11,172	
Operating leases	887	249	306	150	182	
Other long-term obligations ^(b)	403	115	175	82	31	
Total^(c)	\$ 384,774	\$ 41,985	\$ 64,088	\$ 47,038	\$ 231,663	

(a) Represents undiscounted loss reserves.

(b) Primarily includes contracts to purchase future services and other capital expenditures.

(c) Does not reflect unrecognized tax benefits of \$4.7 billion. See Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Loss Reserves

Loss reserves relate to our General Insurance companies and represent estimates of future loss and loss adjustment expense payments based on historical loss development payment patterns. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the payments by period presented above could be materially different from actual required payments. We believe that our General Insurance companies maintain adequate financial resources to meet the actual required payments under these obligations.

Insurance and Investment Contract Liabilities

Insurance and investment contract liabilities, including GIC liabilities, relate to our Life and Retirement companies. These liabilities include various investment-type products with contractually scheduled maturities, including periodic payments. These liabilities also include benefit and claim liabilities, of which a significant portion represents policies and contracts that do not have stated contractual maturity dates and may not result in any future payment obligations. For these policies and contracts (i) we are not currently making payments until the occurrence of an insurable event, such as death or disability, (ii) payments are conditional on survivorship or (iii) payment may occur due to a surrender or other non-scheduled event beyond our control.

We have made significant assumptions to determine the estimated undiscounted cash flows of these contractual policy benefits. These assumptions include mortality, morbidity, future lapse rates, expenses, investment returns and interest crediting rates, offset by expected future deposits and premiums on in-force policies. Due to the significance of the assumptions, the periodic amounts presented could be materially different from actual required payments. The amounts presented in this table are undiscounted and exceed the future policy benefits and policyholder contract deposits included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We believe that our Life and Retirement companies have adequate financial resources to meet the payments actually required under these obligations. These subsidiaries have substantial liquidity in the form of cash and short-term investments. In addition, our Life and Retirement companies maintain significant levels of investment grade rated fixed maturity securities, including substantial holdings in government and corporate bonds, and could seek to monetize those holdings in the event operating cash flows are

insufficient. We expect liquidity needs related to GIC liabilities to be funded through cash flows generated from maturities and sales of invested assets.

Borrowings

Our borrowings exclude those incurred by consolidated investments and include hybrid financial instrument liabilities recorded at fair value. We expect to repay the long-term debt maturities and interest accrued on borrowings by AIG through maturing investments and dispositions of invested assets, future cash flows from operations, cash flows generated from invested assets, future debt issuance and other financing arrangements. Borrowings supported by assets of AIG include various notes and bonds payable as well as GIAs that are supported by cash and investments held by AIG Parent and certain non-insurance subsidiaries for the repayment of those obligations.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

The following table summarizes Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Commercial Commitments in total, and by remaining maturity:

December 31, 2018		Amount of Commitment Expiring			
	Total Amounts Committed	2019	2020 - 2021	2022 - 2023	Thereafter
<i>(in millions)</i>					
Insurance operations					
Guarantees:					
Standby letters of credit	\$ 182	\$ 171	\$ -	\$ -	11
Guarantees of indebtedness	58	58	-	-	-
All other guarantees ^(a)	72	14	23	21	14
Commitments:					
Investment commitments ^(b)	5,587	2,443	1,675	1,137	332
Commitments to extend credit	2,476	1,556	617	218	85
Letters of credit	5	5	-	-	-
Total^(c)	\$ 8,380	\$ 4,247	\$ 2,315	\$ 1,376	\$ 442
Other					
Guarantees:					
Liquidity facilities ^(d)	\$ 74	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	74
Standby letters of credit	85	85	-	-	-
All other guarantees	-	-	-	-	-
Commitments:					
Investment commitments ^(b)	431	35	58	176	162
Commitments to extend credit	-	-	-	-	-
Letters of credit	17	17	-	-	-
Total^{(c)(e)}	\$ 607	\$ 137	\$ 58	\$ 176	\$ 236
Consolidated					
Guarantees:					
Liquidity facilities ^(d)	\$ 74	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	74
Standby letters of credit	267	256	-	-	11
Guarantees of indebtedness	58	58	-	-	-
All other guarantees ^(a)	72	14	23	21	14
Commitments:					
Investment commitments ^(b)	6,018	2,478	1,733	1,313	494
Commitments to extend credit	2,476	1,556	617	218	85
Letters of credit	22	22	-	-	-
Total^{(c)(e)}	\$ 8,987	\$ 4,384	\$ 2,373	\$ 1,552	\$ 678

(a) Excludes potential amounts for indemnification obligations included in asset sales agreements. For further information on indemnification obligations see Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(b) Includes commitments to invest in private equity funds, hedge funds and other funds and commitments to purchase and develop real estate in the United States and abroad. The commitments to invest in private equity funds, hedge funds and other funds are called at the discretion of each fund, as needed for funding new investments or expenses of the fund. The expiration of these commitments is estimated in the table above based on the expected life cycle of the related fund, consistent with past trends of requirements for funding. Investors under these commitments are primarily insurance and real estate subsidiaries.

(c) Does not include guarantees, CMAs or other support arrangements among AIG consolidated entities.

(d) Primarily represents liquidity facilities provided in connection with certain municipal swap transactions and collateralized bond obligations.

(e) Excludes commitments with respect to pension plans. The annual pension contribution for 2019 is expected to be approximately \$79 million for U.S. and non-U.S. plans.

Arrangements with Variable Interest Entities

We enter into various arrangements with variable interest entities (VIEs) in the normal course of business, and we consolidate a VIE when we are the primary beneficiary of the entity.

For a further discussion of our involvement with VIEs see Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Indemnification Agreements

We are subject to financial guarantees and indemnity arrangements in connection with our sales of businesses. These arrangements may be triggered by declines in asset values, specified business contingencies, the realization of contingent liabilities, litigation developments, or breaches of representations, warranties or covenants provided by us. These arrangements are typically subject to time limitations, defined by contract or by operation of law, such as by prevailing statutes of limitation. Depending on the specific terms of the arrangements, the maximum potential obligation may or may not be subject to contractual limitations.

For additional information regarding our indemnification agreements see Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We have recorded liabilities for certain of these arrangements where it is possible to estimate them. These liabilities are not material in the aggregate. We are unable to develop a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential payout under some of these arrangements. Overall, we believe that it is unlikely we will have to make any material payments under these arrangements.

DEBT

The following table provides the rollforward of AIG's total debt outstanding:

Year Ended December 31, 2018 (in millions)	Balance at December 31, 2017	Issuances	Maturities and Repayments	Effect of Foreign Exchange	Other Changes	Balance at December 31, 2018
Debt issued or guaranteed by AIG:						
AIG general borrowings:						
Notes and bonds payable	\$ 20,339	\$ 1,727	\$ (1,107)	\$ (137)	\$ 31	\$ 20,853
Junior subordinated debt	841	742	(22)	(13)	-	1,548
AIG Japan Holdings Kabushiki Kaisha	334	-	-	(3)	-	331
Validus notes and bonds payable	-	-	-	-	359	359
AIGLH notes and bonds payable	281	-	-	-	1	282
AIGLH junior subordinated debt	361	-	-	-	-	361
Total AIG general borrowings	22,156	2,469	(1,129)	(153)	391	23,734
AIG borrowings supported by assets:^(a)						
MIP notes payable	356	-	(364)	8	-	-
Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable	21	-	-	-	-	21
GIAs, at fair value	2,707	188	(727)	-	(4) ^(b)	2,164
Notes and bonds payable, at fair value	181	-	(129)	-	(3) ^(b)	49
Total AIG borrowings supported by assets	3,265	188	(1,220)	8	(7)	2,234
Total debt issued or guaranteed by AIG	25,421	2,657	(2,349)	(145)	384	25,968
Debt not guaranteed by AIG:						
Validus junior subordinated debt	-	-	(539)	-	539	-
Other subsidiaries' notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable ^(c)	190	-	(284)	-	262	168
Debt of consolidated investments ^(d)	6,029	2,077	(628)	38	888 ^(e)	8,404
Total debt not guaranteed by AIG	6,219	2,077	(1,451)	38	1,689	8,572
Total debt	\$ 31,640	\$ 4,734	\$ (3,800)	\$ (107)	\$ 2,073	\$ 34,540

(a) AIG Parent guarantees all such debt, except for MIP notes payable and Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable, which are direct obligations of AIG Parent. Collateral posted to third parties was \$1.5 billion and \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. This collateral primarily consists of securities of the U.S. government and government sponsored entities and generally cannot be repledged or resold by the counterparties.

(b) Primarily represents adjustments to the fair value of debt.


(c) Includes primarily borrowings with Federal Home Loan Banks by our U.S. insurance companies. These borrowings are short term in nature and related activity is presented net of issuances and maturities and repayments.

(d) At December 31, 2018, includes debt of consolidated investment vehicles related to real estate investments of \$3.7 billion, affordable housing partnership investments of \$1.8 billion and other securitization vehicles of \$2.9 billion. At December 31, 2017, includes debt of consolidated investment vehicles related to real estate investments of \$2.5 billion, affordable housing partnership investments of \$1.8 billion and other securitization vehicles of \$1.7 billion.

(e) Includes the effect of consolidating previously unconsolidated partnerships.

TOTAL DEBT OUTSTANDING

(in millions)



Debt Maturities

The following table summarizes maturing debt at December 31, 2018 of AIG (excluding \$8.4 billion of borrowings of consolidated investments) for the next four quarters:

	First Quarter 2019	Second Quarter 2019	Third Quarter 2019	Fourth Quarter 2019	Total
(in millions)					
AIG general borrowings	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 999	\$ -	\$ 999
AIG borrowings supported by assets	22	19	136	95	272
Other subsidiaries' notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable	115	-	-	2	117
Total	\$ 137	\$ 19	\$ 1,135	\$ 97	\$ 1,388

See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional details on debt outstanding.

CREDIT RATINGS

Credit ratings estimate a company's ability to meet its obligations and may directly affect the cost and availability of financing to that company. The following table presents the credit ratings of AIG and certain of its subsidiaries as of February 8, 2019. Figures in parentheses indicate the relative ranking of the ratings within the agency's rating categories; that ranking refers only to the major rating category and not to the modifiers assigned by the rating agencies.

	Short-Term Debt		Senior Long-Term Debt		
	Moody's	S&P	Moody's ^(a)	S&P ^(b)	Fitch ^(c)
AIG	P-2 (2nd of 3) Stable Outlook	A-2 (2nd of 8)	Baa 1 (4th of 9) Stable Outlook	BBB+ (4th of 9) Negative Outlook	BBB+ (4th of 9) Negative Outlook
AIG Financial Products Corp. ^(d)	P-2 Stable Outlook	A-2	Baa 1 Stable Outlook	BBB+ Negative Outlook	-

(a) Moody's appends numerical modifiers 1, 2 and 3 to the generic rating categories to show relative position within the rating categories.

(b) S&P ratings may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories.

(c) Fitch ratings may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories.

(d) AIG guarantees all obligations of AIG Financial Products Corp.

These credit ratings are current opinions of the rating agencies. They may be changed, suspended or withdrawn at any time by the rating agencies as a result of changes in, or unavailability of, information or based on other circumstances. Ratings may also be withdrawn at our request.

We are party to some agreements that contain "ratings triggers." Depending on the ratings maintained by one or more rating agencies, these triggers could result in (i) the termination or limitation of credit availability or a requirement for accelerated repayment, (ii) the termination of business contracts or (iii) a requirement to post collateral for the benefit of counterparties.

In the event of a downgrade of AIG's long-term senior debt ratings, AIGFP and certain other AIG entities would be required to post additional collateral under some derivative and other transactions, or certain of the counterparties of AIGFP or of such other AIG entities would be permitted to terminate such transactions early.

The actual amount of collateral that we would be required to post to counterparties in the event of such downgrades, or the aggregate amount of payments that we could be required to make, depends on market conditions, the fair value of outstanding affected transactions and other factors prevailing at the time of the downgrade.

For a discussion of the effects of downgrades in our credit ratings see Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements herein and Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors – Liquidity, Capital and Credit.

FINANCIAL STRENGTH RATINGS

Financial Strength ratings estimate an insurance company's ability to pay its obligations under an insurance policy. The following table presents the ratings of our significant insurance subsidiaries as of February 8, 2019.

	A.M. Best	S&P	Fitch	Moody's
National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa.	A	A+	A	A2
Lexington Insurance Company	A	A+	A	A2
American Home Assurance Company (US)	A	A+	A	A2
American General Life Insurance Company	A	A+	A+	A2
The Variable Annuity Life Insurance Company	A	A+	A+	A2
United States Life Insurance Company in the City of New York	A	A+	A+	A2
AIG Europe S.A.	NR	A+	NR	A2
American International Group UK Ltd.	A	A+	NR	A2
AIG General Insurance Co. Ltd.	NR	A+	NR	NR
Validus Reinsurance, Ltd.	A	A	A	A2

These financial strength ratings are current opinions of the rating agencies. They may be changed, suspended or withdrawn at any time by the rating agencies as a result of changes in, or unavailability of, information or based on other circumstances.

For a discussion of the effects of downgrades in our financial strength ratings see Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements herein and Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors – Liquidity, Capital and Credit.

REGULATION AND SUPERVISION

For a discussion of our regulation and supervision by different regulatory authorities in the United States and abroad, including with respect to our liquidity and capital resources see Item 1. Business — Regulation and Item 1A. Risk Factors — Regulation.

DIVIDENDS AND REPURCHASES OF AIG COMMON STOCK

On February 8, 2018, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend on AIG Common Stock of \$0.32 per share, payable on March 29, 2018 to shareholders of record on March 15, 2018. On May 2, 2018, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend on AIG Common Stock of \$0.32 per share, payable on June 28, 2018 to shareholders of record on June 14, 2018. On August 2, 2018, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend on AIG Common Stock of \$0.32 per share, payable on September 28, 2018 to shareholders of record on September 17, 2018. On October 31, 2018, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend on AIG Common Stock of \$0.32 per share, payable on December 26, 2018 to shareholders of record on December 12, 2018.

On February 13, 2019, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend on AIG Common Stock of \$0.32 per share, payable on March 29, 2019 to shareholders of record on March 15, 2019. The payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on various factors, as discussed further in Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of shares of AIG Common Stock and warrants to purchase shares of AIG Common Stock through a series of actions. On May 3, 2017, our Board of Directors approved an increase of \$2.5 billion to the share repurchase authorization.

During 2018, we repurchased approximately 36.5 million shares of AIG Common Stock for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$1.7 billion pursuant to this authorization.

On February 13, 2019, our Board of Directors authorized an additional increase to its previous repurchase authorization of AIG Common Stock of \$1.5 billion, resulting in an aggregate remaining authorization on such date of approximately \$2.0 billion. Shares may be repurchased from time to time in the open market, private purchases, through forward, derivative, accelerated repurchase or automatic repurchase transactions or otherwise (including through the purchase of warrants). Certain of our share repurchases have been and may from time to time be effected through Exchange Act Rule 10b5-1 repurchase plans. The timing of any future share repurchases will depend on market conditions, our business and strategic plans, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and other factors.

DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

Payments of dividends to AIG by its insurance subsidiaries are subject to certain restrictions imposed by regulatory authorities.

For a discussion of restrictions on payments of dividends by our subsidiaries see Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Enterprise Risk Management

Risk management includes the identification and measurement of various forms of risk, the establishment of risk thresholds and the creation of processes intended to maintain risks within these thresholds while optimizing returns. We consider risk management an integral part of managing our core businesses and a key element of our approach to corporate governance.

OVERVIEW

We have an integrated process for managing risks throughout our organization in accordance with our firm-wide risk appetite. Our Board of Directors has oversight responsibility for the management of risk. Our Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Department supervises and integrates the risk management functions in each of our business units, providing senior management with a consolidated view of AIG's major risk positions. Within each business unit, senior leaders and executives approve risk-taking policies and targeted risk tolerance within the framework provided by ERM. ERM supports our businesses and management by embedding risk management in our key day-to-day business processes and in identifying, assessing, quantifying, managing, monitoring, reporting, and mitigating the risks taken by our businesses and AIG overall. Nevertheless, our risk management efforts may not always be successful and material adverse effects on our business, results of operations, cash flows, liquidity or financial condition may occur.

RISK GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

Our risk governance structure fosters the development and maintenance of a risk and control management culture that encompasses all significant risk categories impacting our lines of business and functions. Accountability for the implementation and oversight of risk policies is aligned with individual corporate executives, with the risk committees receiving regular reports regarding compliance with each policy to support risk governance at our corporate level as well as in each business unit. We review our governance and committee structure on a regular basis and make changes as appropriate to continue to effectively manage and govern our risks and risk-taking.


Our Board of Directors oversees the management of risk through its Risk and Capital Committee (RCC) and Audit Committee. Those committees regularly interact with other committees of the Board of Directors described below. Our Chief Risk Officer (CRO) reports to both the RCC and our Chief Executive Officer (CEO).

The Group Risk Committee (GRC): The GRC is the senior management group responsible for assessing all significant risk issues on a global basis to protect our financial strength, optimize our intrinsic value, and protect our reputation. The GRC is chaired by our CRO. Its membership includes the Executive Leadership Team (ELT) and other senior executives from across our corporate functions and business units. Our CRO reports periodically on behalf of the GRC to both the RCC and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. Our CRO is also a member of the ELT providing ERM the opportunity to contribute to, review, monitor and consider the impact of changes in strategy.

Management committees that support the GRC are described below. These committees are comprised of senior executives and experienced business representatives from a range of functions and business units throughout AIG and its subsidiaries. These committees are charged with identifying, analyzing and reviewing specific risk matters within their respective mandates. In addition, various working groups (e.g. reputational risk, control agenda) are in place in support of the GRC to manage and monitor the various risks across the organization.

Financial Risk Group (FRG): The FRG is responsible for the oversight of financial risks taken by AIG and our subsidiaries. Its mandate includes overseeing our aggregate credit, market, interest rate, capital, liquidity and model risks, as well as asset-liability management, derivatives activity, and foreign exchange transactions. It provides the primary corporate-level review function for all proposed transactions and business practices that are significant in size, complex in scope, or that present heightened legal, reputational, accounting or regulatory risks. The FRG is chaired by our CRO. Membership of the FRG also includes our CFO, Chief Investment Officer (CIO) and Treasurer.

Business Unit Risk Committees: Each of our major insurance businesses has established a risk committee that serves as the senior management committee responsible for risk oversight at the individual business unit level. The risk committees are responsible for the identification, assessment and monitoring of all sources of risk within their respective portfolios. Specific responsibilities include setting risk tolerances, reviewing the capital allocation framework, insurance portfolio optimization, and providing oversight of risk-adjusted metrics. Together, these committees provide comprehensive risk oversight throughout the organization.



RISK APPETITE, LIMITS, IDENTIFICATION, AND MEASUREMENT

Risk Appetite Framework

Our Risk Appetite Framework integrates stakeholder interests, strategic business goals and available financial resources. We balance these by seeking to take measured risks that are expected to generate repeatable, sustainable earnings and create long-term value for our shareholders. The framework includes our risk appetite statement approved by the Board of Directors or a committee thereof and a set of supporting tools, including risk tolerances, risk limits and policies, which we use to manage our risk profile and financial resources.

We articulate our aggregate risk-taking by setting risk tolerances and thresholds on capital and liquidity measures. These measures are set at the AIG Parent level as well as the legal entity level and cover consolidated and insurance company capital and liquidity ratios. We must comply with standards for capital adequacy and maintain sufficient liquidity to meet all our obligations as they come due in accordance with our internal capital management and liquidity policies. Our risk tolerances take into consideration regulatory requirements, rating agency expectations, and business needs. The GRC routinely reviews the level of risk taken by the consolidated organization in relation to the established risk tolerances. A consolidated risk report is also presented periodically, as required, to the RCC by our CRO.

Risk Limits

A key component of our Risk Appetite Framework is having a process in place that establishes and maintains appropriate limits on the material risks identified for our core businesses and facilitates monitoring and meeting of both internal and external stakeholder expectations. Our objectives include:

- Establishing risk monitoring, providing early warning indicators, and ensuring timely oversight and enforceability of limits;
- Defining a consistent and transparent approach to limits governance; and
- Aligning our business activities with our risk appetite statement.

To support the monitoring and management of AIG's and its business units' material risks, ERM has an established limits framework that employs a three-tiered hierarchy:

- **Board-level risk tolerances** are AIG's aggregate capital and liquidity limits. They define the minimum level of capital and liquidity that we should maintain. These board-level risk tolerances require RCC approval.
- **AIG management level limits** are risk type specific limits at the AIG consolidated level. These limits are defined and calibrated to constrain our concentration in specific risk types, to protect against taking risks that exceed the amount of overall capital AIG has available, and to protect against excess earnings volatility. These limits are approved by our CRO with consultation from the GRC.
- **BU and legal entity level limits** are set to address key risks identified by ERM for the business unit and legal entities, protect capital and liquidity at legal entities and/or meet legal entity specific requirements of regulators and rating agencies. These limits are defined by the business unit and legal entity Risk Officers.

All limits are reviewed by the GRC or relevant business unit risk committees on a periodic basis and revisions, if applicable, are approved by those committees.

The business units are responsible for measuring and monitoring their risk exposures. ERM is responsible for monitoring compliance with limits and providing regular, timely reporting to our senior management and risk committees. Limit breaches are required to be reported in a timely manner and are documented and escalated in accordance with their level of severity or materiality.

Risk Identification and Measurement

One tool we use to inform our Risk Appetite Framework is risk identification. We conduct risk identification through a number of processes at the business unit and corporate level focused on capturing our material risks and key areas of focus for follow-up risk management actions. A key initiative is our integrated bottom-up risk identification and assessment process down to the product-line level. These processes are used as a critical input to enhance and develop our analytics for measuring and assessing risks across the organization.

We employ various approaches to measure, monitor, and manage risk exposures, including the utilization of a variety of metrics and early warning indicators. We use a proprietary internal capital and stress testing framework to measure our quantifiable risks for both insurance and non-insurance operations.

The internal capital framework quantifies our aggregate economic risk at a given confidence interval, after taking into account a level of diversification benefits between risk factors and business lines. We leverage the internal capital framework to help inform our consolidated risk consumption and profile as well as risk and capital allocation for our businesses.

The stress testing framework assesses our aggregate exposure to our most significant financial and insurance risks, including the risk in each of our key insurance company subsidiaries in relation to its capital needs under stress, risks inherent in our non-insurance company subsidiaries, and risks to AIG consolidated capital. The framework measures risk over multiple time horizons and under different levels of stress, and includes multi-factor stresses as well as single factor sensitivities that are designed to reflect AIG's risk characteristics. We use this information to support the assessment of resources needed at the AIG Parent level to support our subsidiaries and capital resources required to maintain consolidated company target capitalization levels.

We evaluate and manage risk in material topics as shown below. These topics are discussed in more detail in the following pages:

• Credit Risk Management	• Liquidity Risk Management	• Insurance Risks
• Market Risk Management	• Operational Risk Management	• Other Business Risks

CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT

Overview

Credit risk is defined as the risk that our customers or counterparties are unable or unwilling to repay their contractual obligations when they become due. Credit risk may also result from a downgrade of a counterparty's credit ratings or a widening of its credit spreads.

We devote considerable resources to managing our direct and indirect credit exposures. These exposures may arise from, but are not limited to, fixed income investments, equity securities, deposits, commercial paper investments, reverse repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements, corporate and consumer loans, leases, reinsurance recoverables, counterparty risk arising from derivatives activities, collateral extended to counterparties, insurance risk cessions to third parties, financial guarantees, letters of credit, and certain General Insurance businesses.

Governance

Our credit risks are managed by teams of credit professionals, subject to ERM oversight and various control processes. Their primary role is to assure appropriate credit risk management in accordance with our credit policies and procedures relative to our credit risk parameters. Our Chief Credit Officer (CCO) and credit executives are primarily responsible for the development, implementation and maintenance of a risk management framework, which includes the following elements related to our credit risks:

- developing and implementing our company-wide credit policies and procedures;
- approving delegated credit authorities to our credit executives and qualified credit professionals;
- developing methodologies for quantification and assessment of credit risks, including the establishment and maintenance of our internal risk rating process;
- managing a system of credit and program limits, as well as the approval process for credit transactions, above limit exposures, and concentrations of risk that may exist or be incurred;
- evaluating, monitoring, reviewing and reporting of credit risks and concentrations regularly with senior management; and
- approving appropriate credit reserves, credit-related other-than-temporary impairments and corresponding methodologies for all credit portfolios.

We monitor and control our company-wide credit risk concentrations and attempt to avoid unwanted or excessive risk accumulations, whether funded or unfunded. To minimize the level of credit risk in some circumstances, we may require mitigants, such as third-party guarantees, reinsurance or collateral, including commercial bank-issued letters of credit and trust collateral accounts. We treat these guarantees, reinsurance recoverables, and letters of credit as credit exposure and include them in our risk concentration exposure data. We also monitor closely the quality of any trust collateral accounts.

For further information on our credit concentrations and credit exposures see Investments – Available-for-Sale Investments.

Our credit risk management framework incorporates the following elements:

Risk Identification	including the ongoing capture and monitoring of all existing, contingent, potential and emerging credit risk exposures, whether funded or unfunded
Risk Measurement	comprising risk ratings, default probabilities, loss given default and expected loss parameters, exposure calculations, stress testing and other risk analytics
Risk Limits	including, but not limited to, a system of single obligor or risk group-based AIG-wide house limits and sub-limits for corporates, financial institutions, sovereigns and sub-sovereigns when appropriate and a defined process for identifying, evaluating, documenting and approving, if appropriate, breaches of and exceptions to such limits
Risk Delegations	a comprehensive credit risk delegation framework from the Chief Credit Officer (CCO) to authorized credit professionals throughout the company
Risk Evaluation, Monitoring and Reporting	including the ongoing analysis and assessment of credit risks, trending of those risks and reporting of other key risk metrics and limits to the CCO and senior management, as may be required
Credit Reserving	including but not limited to development of a proper framework, policies and procedures for establishing accurate identification of (i) Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, and (ii) other-than-temporary impairments for securities portfolios

MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT

Market risk is defined as the risk of adverse impact due to systemic movements in one or more of the following market risk drivers: equity and commodity prices, residential and commercial real estate values, interest rates, credit spreads, foreign exchange, inflation, and their levels of volatility.

We are engaged in a variety of insurance, investment and other financial services businesses that expose us to market risk, directly and indirectly. We are exposed to market risks primarily within our insurance and capital markets activities, on both the asset and liability side of our balance sheet through on- and off-balance sheet exposures. The Risk Officer within each business is responsible for creating a framework to properly identify these risks, then ensuring that they are appropriately measured, monitored and managed in accordance with the risk governance framework established by the Chief Market Risk Officer (CMRO).

The scope and magnitude of our market risk exposures is managed under a robust framework that contains defined risk limits and minimum standards for managing market risk in a manner consistent with our risk appetite statement. Our market risk management framework focuses on quantifying the financial repercussions of changes in these broad market observables, as opposed to the idiosyncratic risks associated with individual assets that are addressed through our credit risk management function.

Many of our market risk exposures, including exposures to interest rates and equity, are associated with the asset and liability exposures of our Life and Retirement companies. These exposures are generally long-term in nature. Examples of liability-related exposures include interest rate sensitive surrenders in our fixed deferred annuity product portfolio. Also, we have equity market risk sensitive surrenders in our variable annuity product portfolio. These interactive asset-liability types of risk exposures are regularly monitored in accordance with the risk governance framework noted above.

Risk Identification

Market risk focuses on quantifying the financial repercussions of changes in broad, external, predominantly market-observable risks. Financial repercussions can include an adverse impact on results of operations, financial condition, liquidity and capital.

Each of the following systemic risks is considered a market risk:

Equity prices	We are exposed to changes in equity market prices affecting a variety of instruments. Changes in equity prices can affect the valuation of publicly traded equity shares, investments in private equity, hedge funds, mutual funds, exchange-traded funds, and other equity-linked capital market instruments as well as equity-linked insurance products, including but not limited to index annuities, variable annuities, indexed universal life insurance and variable universal life insurance.
Residential and commercial real estate values	Our investment portfolios are exposed to the risk of changing values in a variety of residential and commercial real estate investments. Changes in residential/commercial real estate prices can affect the valuation of residential/commercial mortgages, residential/commercial mortgage-backed securities and other structured securities with underlying assets that include residential/commercial mortgages, trusts that include residential/commercial real estate and/or mortgages, residential mortgage insurance and reinsurance contracts and commercial real estate investments.
Interest rates	Interest rate risk can arise from a mismatch in the interest rate exposure of assets versus liabilities. Lower interest rates generally result in lower investment income and make some of our product offerings less attractive to investors. Conversely, higher interest rates are typically beneficial for the opposite reasons. However, when rates rise quickly, there can be an asymmetric GAAP accounting effect where the existing securities lose market value, which is largely reported in Other comprehensive income, and the offsetting decrease in the value of certain liabilities may not be recognized. Changes in interest rates can affect the valuation of fixed maturity securities, financial liabilities, insurance contracts including but not limited to universal life, fixed rate annuities, variable annuities and derivative contracts. Additionally, for Variable Annuity, Index Annuity, and Equity Indexed Universal Life products, deviations in actual versus expected policyholder behavior can be driven by fluctuations in various market variables, including interest rates. Policies with guaranteed living benefit options or riders are also subject to the risk of actual benefit utilization being different than expected.
Credit spreads	Credit spreads measure an instrument's risk premium or yield relative to that of a comparable duration, default-free instrument. Changes in credit spreads can affect the valuation of fixed maturity securities, including but not limited to corporate bonds, ABS, mortgage-backed securities, AIG-issued debt obligations, credit derivatives and derivative credit valuation adjustments. Much like higher interest rates, wider credit spreads with unchanged default losses mean more investment income in the long term. In the short term, quickly rising spreads will cause a loss in the value of existing fixed maturity securities, which is largely reported in Other comprehensive income. A precipitous widening of credit spreads may also signal a fundamental weakness in the credit worthiness of bond obligors, potentially resulting in default losses.
Foreign exchange (FX) rates	We are a globally diversified enterprise with income, assets and liabilities denominated in, and capital deployed in, a variety of currencies. Changes in FX rates can affect the valuation of a broad range of balance sheet and income statement items as well as the settlement of cash flows exchanged in specific transactions.
Commodity prices	Changes in commodity prices (the value of commodities) can affect the valuation of publicly-traded commodities, commodity indices and derivatives on commodities and commodity indices. We are exposed to commodity prices primarily through their impact on the prices and credit quality of commodity producers' debt and equity securities in our investment portfolio.
Inflation	Changes in inflation can affect the valuation of fixed maturity securities, including AIG-issued debt obligations, derivatives and other contracts explicitly linked to inflation indices, and insurance contracts where the claims are linked to inflation either explicitly, via indexing, or implicitly, through medical costs or wage levels.

Governance

Market risk is overseen at the corporate level within ERM through the CMRO, who reports directly to the CRO. The CMRO is supported by a dedicated team of professionals within ERM. Market Risk is managed by our finance, treasury and investment management corporate functions, collectively, and in partnership with ERM. The CMRO is primarily responsible for the development and maintenance of a risk management framework that includes the following key components:

- written policies that define the rules for our market risk-taking activities and provide clear guidance regarding their execution and management;
- a limit framework that aligns with our Board-approved risk appetite statement;
- independent measurement, monitoring and reporting for line of business, business unit and enterprise-wide market risks; and
- clearly defined authorities for all individuals and committee roles and responsibilities related to market risk management.

These components facilitate the CMRO's identification, measurement, monitoring, reporting and management of our market risks.

Risk Measurement

Our market risk measurement framework was developed with the main objective of communicating the range and scale of our market risk exposures. At the firm-wide level market risk is measured in a manner that is consistent with AIG's risk appetite statement. This is designed to ensure that we remain within our stated risk tolerance levels and can determine how much additional market risk taking capacity is available within our framework. Our risk appetite is currently defined in terms of capital and liquidity levels. At the market risk level, the framework measures our overall exposure to each systemic market risk change on an economic basis.

In addition, we continue to use enhanced economic, GAAP accounting and statutory capital-based risk measures at the market risk level, business-unit level and firm-wide levels. This process aims to ensure that we have a comprehensive view of the impact of our market risk exposures.

We use a number of approaches to measure our market risk exposure, including:

		Examples include:
Sensitivity analysis	measures the impact from a unit change in a market risk input	• a one basis point increase in yield on fixed maturity securities, • a one basis point increase in credit spreads of fixed maturity securities, and • a one percent increase in prices of equity securities.
Scenario analysis	uses historical, hypothetical, or forward-looking macroeconomic scenarios to assess and report exposures	• a 100 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve, or • a 20 percent immediate and simultaneous decrease in world-wide equity markets. Scenarios may also utilize a stochastic framework to arrive at a probability distribution of losses.
Stress testing	a special form of scenario analysis in which the scenarios are designed to lead to a material adverse outcome	• the stock market crash of October 1987 or the widening of yields or spreads of RMBS or CMBS during 2008.

Market Risk Sensitivities

The following table provides estimates of sensitivity to changes in yield curves, equity prices and foreign currency exchange rates on our financial instruments and excludes approximately \$168.9 billion and \$163.6 billion as of December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, of insurance liabilities. AIG believes that the interest rate sensitivities of these insurance and other liabilities serve as an offset to the net interest rate risk of the financial assets presented in the table below.

(dollars in millions)	Balance Sheet Exposure		Economic Effect	
	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Sensitivity factor	100 bps parallel increase in all yield curves			
Interest rate sensitive assets:				
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 237,460	\$ 248,195	\$ (13,831)	\$ (14,998)
Mortgage and other loans receivable ^(a)	39,656	28,799	(1,993)	(1,566)
Derivatives:				
Interest rate contracts	867	(29)	(1,196)	(1,343)
Equity contracts	383	501	21	36
Other contracts	80	(675)	26	42
Total interest rate sensitive assets	\$ 278,446^(b)	\$ 276,791^(b)	\$ (16,973)	\$ (17,829)
Interest rate sensitive liabilities:				
Policyholder contract deposits:				
Investment-type contracts ^(a)	\$ (120,602)	\$ (114,326)	\$ 6,217 ^(c)	\$ 7,363 ^(c)
Variable annuity and other embedded derivatives	(4,116)	(4,148)	1,537	2,175
Long-term debt ^{(a) (d)}	(24,635)	(24,445)	1,807	1,803
Total interest rate sensitive liabilities	\$ (149,353)	\$ (142,919)	\$ 9,561	\$ 11,341
Sensitivity factor	20% decline in stock prices and alternative investments			
Derivatives:				
Equity contracts ^(e)	383	501	862 ^(f)	1,228 ^(f)
Equity and alternative investments:				
Real estate investments	8,935	8,258	(1,787)	(1,652)
Private equity	4,787	5,540	(957)	(1,108)
Hedge funds	4,179	5,768	(836)	(1,153)
Common equity	792	1,215	(158)	(243)
PICC Investment	448	549	(90)	(110)
Other investments	903	761	(181)	(152)
Total derivatives, equity and alternative investments	\$ 20,427	\$ 22,592	\$ (3,147)	\$ (3,190)
Policyholder contract deposits:				
Variable annuity and other embedded derivatives ^(e)	\$ (4,116)	\$ (4,148)	\$ (655)	\$ (982)
Total liability	\$ (4,116)	\$ (4,148)	\$ (655)	\$ (982)
Sensitivity factor	10% depreciation of all foreign currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar			
Foreign currency-denominated net asset position:				
Great Britain pound	\$ 1,861	\$ 2,026	\$ (186)	\$ (203)
Euro	1,330	1,349	(133)	(135)
Hong Kong dollar	585	562	(58)	(56)
All other foreign currencies	1,587	2,622	(159)	(262)
Total foreign currency-denominated net asset position^(g)	\$ 5,363	\$ 6,559	\$ (536)	\$ (656)

- (a) The economic effect is the difference between the estimated fair value and the effect of a 100 bps parallel increase in all yield curves on the estimated fair value. The estimated fair values for Mortgage and other loans receivable, Policyholder contract deposits (Investment-type contracts) and Long-term debt were \$40,152 million, \$121,374 million and \$23,929 million at December 31, 2018, respectively. The estimated fair values for Mortgage and other loans receivable, Policyholder contract deposits (Investment-type contracts) and Long-term debt were \$29,523 million, \$122,196 million and \$25,970 million at December 31, 2017, respectively.
- (b) At December 31, 2018, the analysis covered \$278.4 billion of \$285.8 billion interest-rate sensitive assets. Excluded were \$3.5 billion of loans. In addition, \$3.9 billion of assets across various asset categories were excluded due to modeling limitations. At December 31, 2017, the analysis covered \$276.8 billion of \$289.6 billion interest-rate sensitive assets. Excluded were \$8.2 billion of loans. In addition, \$4.6 billion of assets across various asset categories were excluded due to modeling limitations.
- (c) Beginning in the third quarter of 2018, the economic effect presented for Policyholder contract deposits - investment-type contracts has been refined to better reflect the economic effect on the balance sheet and is calculated as the change to the estimated fair value from a 100 bps parallel increase in all yield curves on the estimated fair value. Historically, the calculation was the change between the carrying value and the estimated fair value from a 100 bps parallel increase in all yield curves on the estimated fair value. Prior period presentation has been revised to conform to the current period approach.
- (d) At December 31, 2018, the analysis excluded \$8.4 billion of long-term debt related to debt of consolidated investments, \$643 million of AIGLH borrowings, \$359 million of Validus borrowings, \$168 million of borrowings from FHLB and \$331 million of AIG Japan Holdings loans. At December 31, 2017, the analysis excluded \$6.0 billion of long-term debt related to debt of consolidated investments, \$642 million of AIGLH borrowings, \$190 million of borrowings from the FHLB and \$334 million of AIG Japan Holdings loans.
- (e) The balance sheet exposures for equity contracts and variable annuity and other embedded derivatives are also reflected under "Interest rate sensitive liabilities" above, and are not additive.
- (f) Beginning in the third quarter of 2018, the economic effect calculation presented for Derivatives - equity contracts is calculated using an internal risk calculation model. The prior period presentation of the economic effect was calculated as the effect of a 20 percent decline on the estimated fair value. Prior period presentation has been revised to conform to the current period approach.
- (g) The majority of the foreign currency exposure is reported on a one quarter lag.

The sensitivity analysis is an estimate and should not be viewed as predictive of our future financial performance. We cannot ensure that our actual losses in any particular period will not exceed the amounts indicated above.

Interest rate sensitivity is defined as change in its value with respect to plus a 100 basis point change in the interest rate environment, calculated as: scenario value minus base value, where base value is the value of the derivative under the yield curves as of the period end and scenario value is the value reflecting a 100 basis point parallel increase in all yield curves.

We evaluate our interest rate risk without the effect of any correlation among other key market risks or other assumptions used for calculating the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities. This scenario does not measure changes in value resulting from non-parallel shifts in the yield curve, which could produce different results.

We evaluate our equity price risk without the effect of any correlation among other key market risks or other assumptions used for calculating the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities. This scenario considers the direct impact of declines in equity prices and not changes in asset-based fees, changes in the estimated gross profits used for amortizing DAC, or changes in any other assumptions used to calculate the fair value of the embedded derivatives related to the living benefit features within variable annuity products. In addition, this scenario does not reflect the impact of basis risk, such as projections about the future performance of the underlying contract holder funds and actual fund returns, which we use as a basis for developing our hedging strategy.

Foreign currency-denominated net asset position reflects our consolidated non-U.S. dollar assets less our consolidated non-U.S. dollar liabilities on a GAAP basis, with certain adjustments. We use a bottom-up approach in managing our foreign currency exchange rate exposures with the objective of protecting statutory capital at the regulated insurance entity level. At the AIG consolidated level, we monitor our foreign currency exposures against single currency and aggregate currency portfolio limits.

Our foreign currency-denominated net asset position at December 31, 2018, decreased by \$1.2 billion compared to December 31, 2017. The decrease was primarily due to a \$669 million decrease in our Japanese yen position and a \$264 million decrease in our Canadian dollar position, each due to an increase in loss reserves.

For illustrative purposes, we modeled our sensitivities based on a 100 basis point increase in yield curves, a 20 percent decline in equities and alternative assets, and a 10 percent depreciation of all foreign currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar. The estimated results presented in the table above should not be taken as a prediction, but only as a demonstration of the potential effects of such events.

The sensitivity factors utilized for 2018 and presented above were selected based on historical data from 1998 to 2018, as follows (see the table below):

- a 100 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve is consistent with a one standard deviation movement of the benchmark ten-year treasury yield;
- a 20 percent drop for equity and alternative investments is broadly consistent with a one standard deviation movement in the S&P 500; and
- a 10 percent depreciation of foreign currency exchange rates is consistent with a one standard deviation movement in the U.S. dollar (USD)/Japanese yen (JPY) exchange rate.

	Period	Standard Deviation	Suggested 2018 Scenario	2018 Scenario as a Multiple of Standard Deviation	2018 Change/Return	2018 as a Multiple of Standard Deviation	Original 2017 Scenario (based on Standard Deviation for 1997-2017 Period)
10-Year Treasury	1998-2018	0.01	0.01	1.06	-	0.34	0.01
S&P 500	1998-2018	0.17	0.20	1.17	(0.06)	0.37	0.20
USD/JPY	1998-2018	0.11	0.10	0.92	0.03	0.25	0.10

Risk Monitoring and Limits

The risk monitoring responsibilities, owned by the business units, include ensuring compliance with market risk limits and escalation and remediation of limit breaches. Such activities must be reported to the ERM Market Risk team by the relevant business unit. This monitoring approach is aligned with our overall risk limits framework.

To control our exposure to market risk, we rely on a three-tiered hierarchy of limits that the CMRO closely monitors and reports to our CRO, senior management and risk committees.

For further information on our three-tiered hierarchy of limits see Risk Appetite, Limits, Identification, and Measurement – Risk Limits herein.

LIQUIDITY RISK MANAGEMENT

Liquidity risk is defined as the risk that our financial condition will be adversely affected by the inability or perceived inability to meet our short-term cash, collateral or other financial obligations. Failure to appropriately manage liquidity risk can result in insolvency, reduced operating flexibility, increased costs, reputational harm and regulatory action.

AIG and its legal entities seek to maintain sufficient liquidity both during the normal course of business and under defined liquidity stress scenarios to ensure that sufficient cash will be available to meet the obligations as they come due.

AIG Parent liquidity risk tolerance levels are designed to allow us to meet our financial obligations for a minimum of six months under a liquidity stress scenario. We maintain liquidity limits and minimum coverage ratios designed to ensure that funding needs are met under varying stress conditions. If we project that we will breach these tolerances, we will assess and determine appropriate liquidity management actions. However, the market conditions in effect at that time may not permit us to achieve an increase in liquidity sources or a reduction in liquidity requirements.

Risk Identification

The following sources of liquidity and funding risks could impact our ability to meet short-term financial obligations as they come due.

Market/Monetization Risk	Assets may not be readily transformed into cash due to unfavorable market conditions. Market liquidity risk may limit our ability to sell assets at reasonable values to meet liquidity needs.
Cash Flow Mismatch Risk	Discrete and cumulative cash flow mismatches or gaps over short-term horizons under both expected and adverse business conditions may create future liquidity shortfalls.
Event Funding Risk	Additional funding may be required as the result of a trigger event. Event funding risk comes in many forms and may result from a downgrade in credit ratings, a market event, or some other event that creates a funding obligation or limits existing funding options.
Financing Risk	We may be unable to raise additional cash on a secured or unsecured basis due to unfavorable market conditions, AIG-specific issues, or any other issue that impedes access to additional funding.

Governance

Liquidity risk is overseen at the corporate level within ERM. The CRO has responsibility for the oversight of the Liquidity Risk Management Framework and delegates the day-to-day implementation of this framework to the AIG Treasurer. Our corporate treasury function manages liquidity risk, subject to ERM oversight and various control processes.

The Liquidity Risk Management Framework is guided by the liquidity risk tolerance as set forth in the Board-approved risk appetite statement. The principal objective of this framework is to establish minimum liquidity requirements that protect our long-term viability and ability to fund our ongoing business, and to meet short-term financial obligations in a timely manner in both normal and stressed conditions.

Our Liquidity Risk Management Framework includes a number of liquidity and funding policies and monitoring tools to address AIG-specific, broader industry and market-related liquidity events.

Risk Measurement

Comprehensive cash flow projections under normal conditions are the primary component for identifying and measuring liquidity risk. We produce comprehensive liquidity projections over varying time horizons that incorporate all relevant liquidity sources and uses and include known and likely cash inflows and outflows. In addition, we perform stress testing by identifying liquidity stress scenarios and assessing the effects of these scenarios on our cash flow and liquidity.

We use a number of approaches to measure our liquidity risk exposure, including:

Minimum Liquidity Limits	Minimum Liquidity Limits specify the amount of assets required to be maintained in order to meet obligations as they arise over a specified time horizon under stressed liquidity conditions.
Coverage Ratios	Coverage Ratios measure the adequacy of available liquidity sources, including the ability to monetize assets to meet the forecasted cash flows over a specified time horizon. The portfolio of assets is selected based on our ability to convert those assets into cash under the assumed stressed conditions and within the specified time horizon.
Cash Flow Forecasts	Cash Flow Forecasts measure the liquidity needed for a specific legal entity over a specified time horizon.
Stress Testing	Asset liquidity and Coverage Ratios are re-measured under defined liquidity stress scenarios that will impact net cash flows, liquid assets and/or other funding sources.

Relevant liquidity reporting is produced and reported regularly to AIG Parent and business unit risk committees. The frequency, content, and nature of reporting will vary for each business unit and legal entity, based on its complexity, risk profile, activities and size.

OPERATIONAL RISK MANAGEMENT

Overview

Operational risk is defined as the risk of loss, or other adverse consequences, resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, systems, or from external events. Operational risk includes legal, regulatory, technology, compliance, third party and business continuity risks, but excludes business and strategy risks.

Operational risk is inherent in each of our business units and functions and can have many impacts, including but not limited to: unexpected economic losses or gains, reputational harm due to negative publicity, regulatory action from supervisory agencies and operational and business disruptions, and/or damage to customer relationships.

Governance

Our operational risk governance sets the requirements necessary to embed a risk management culture throughout the organization. AIG and its consolidated subsidiaries establish and maintain operational risk and controls governance forums that include representatives from the relevant business units and functions to appropriately manage significant operational risk exposures. At an enterprise level, the GRC oversees operational risk along with the Risk Officers.

Operational risk is overseen at the corporate level within ERM through the Head of Operational Controls and Governance, who reports directly to our CRO. The Head of Operational Controls and Governance is responsible for the development and maintenance of the operational risk framework that includes policies, standards and deployment of systems.

Risk Identification, Measurement and Monitoring

The ORM function within ERM oversees the operational risk policy and risk and control framework, which includes risk identification, assessment, measurement, management and monitoring of operational risk exposures. ORM supports the Head of Operational Controls and Governance and has responsibility to provide an aggregate view of our operational risk profile. As part of the framework, we employ a Three Lines of Defense model whereby the first line consists of business units and functions that own and manage AIG risks, the second line consists of ERM and other control functions and serves as an independent advisor to the first line and has risk oversight responsibilities, and the third line consists of our Internal Audit Group that provides independent assurance covering aspects of the First and Second Lines of Defense, in each case, to strengthen the governance, capability and delivery of operational risk management tools and methods. As a part of our ongoing transformation efforts, the business leaders assume full ownership and accountability for the risks and controls in their operating units, including support functions, for the operational risks that arise in their own processes and activities and ERM performs an independent review and challenge function. In line with the Three Lines of Defense Model, the ORM programs include, but are not limited to, several key components outlined below:

- Risk Event Capture – enables every employee to identify, document, and escalate operational risk events, with a view to enhancing processes and promoting lessons learned.
- Risk Assessments – allows for the assessment, measurement and management of the key operational risks within our business units and helps inform on the efficacy of our control environment.
- Key Risk Indicators – enhances the ongoing monitoring and mitigation of operational risks and facilitate risk reporting.
- Issues Management – enables a consistent tracking of issues across the firm, including policy and process exceptions, control deficiencies and findings from risk and control assessment activities.
- Scenario Analyses – executed by first- and second-line professionals to identify potential risks that could result in financial losses in order to identify the financial implications of the risk to the firm and support the prioritization of operational risk treatment.

ORM, working together with other control and assurance functions (e.g., Compliance, Financial Controls Unit / Sarbanes Oxley, Global Business Continuity, and Internal Audit) through the risk and control framework, provides an independent view of operational risks for each business, and works with the business unit CRO and business unit Owner of the Control Agenda, whose responsibilities include coordinating identification, assessment, control and mitigation of risks to the operating environment and promoting awareness, to facilitate implementation of the above programs. This includes coverage of operational risks related to core insurance activities, investing, model risk, technology (including cyber security, identify and access management, data privacy and data security), third-party providers, as well as compliance and regulatory matters. Based on the results of the risk identification and assessment efforts above, business leaders are accountable for tracking and remediating identified issues in line with our risk-monitoring procedures. Governance committees support these efforts and promote transparency enabling improved management decision making.

The risk and control framework facilitates the identification and mitigation of operational risk issues and is designed to:

- ensure first line accountability and ownership of risks and controls;
- promote role clarity among the business and risk and control functions;
- enhance transparency, risk management governance and culture;
- foster greater consistency in identifying, measuring and ranking material risks;
- proactively address potential risk issues and assign clear ownership and accountability for risk treatment; and
- manage the development of technology solutions that support the objectives above.

Cybersecurity Risk

Cybersecurity risk is an important, constant, and evolving focus for AIG and the insurance and financial services industries in general. The increased sophistication and activities of unauthorized parties attempting to access our systems and fraudulently induce employees, clients, vendors or other third parties or users of our systems to disclose sensitive information is an ever-present risk and frequent attack vector against AIG. The goal of such unauthorized parties is to gain access to AIG's data and systems to learn confidential information, destroy data, disrupt or degrade service, sabotage systems or cause other damage. Cybersecurity risks may also derive from human error, fraud or malice on the part of AIG employees or third parties who have authorized access to AIG's systems or information.

ERM works closely with and supports the risk management practices of the business units and functions that form the first line of defense against the cybersecurity risks that we face, including the risks that emerge as a result of the execution of our business strategies and our corresponding exposure to new products, clients, industry segments and regions, through initiatives such as investments in technological infrastructure, education and training for employees and vendors, and monitoring of industry developments. As part of our overarching cybersecurity strategy, we employ a variety of tactics to monitor and assess threat levels, remediate our exposures and enhance our systems and applications security. We also seek to build upon our capabilities by recruiting talent in the fields of information technology and data security. In addition to being required to comply with a vast array of privacy, data protection and cybersecurity laws, AIG participates in discussions of cybersecurity risks with law enforcement, government officials, as well as peer and industry groups.

AIG's Board of Directors and its Technology Committee are regularly briefed by management on AIG's cybersecurity matters, including threats, policies, practices and ongoing efforts to improve security. As part of our disclosure controls and procedures, ERM and Internal Audit are charged with ensuring that the members of management responsible for disclosure controls are informed in a timely manner of known cybersecurity risks and incidents that may materially impact our operations so that timely public disclosure can be made as appropriate. There is no guarantee that the measures AIG takes and the resources AIG devotes to protect against cybersecurity risk will provide absolute security or recoverability of AIG's systems given the complexity and frequency of the risk, which AIG may not always be able to anticipate or adequately address. For additional information regarding the data protection and cybersecurity regulations to which we are subject, see *Item 1. Business – Regulation – U.S. Regulation – Privacy, Data Protection and Cybersecurity and – International Regulation – Privacy, Data Protection and Cybersecurity*. For additional discussion of cybersecurity risks, see *Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors – Business and Operations*.

INSURANCE RISKS

Insurance risk is defined as the risk of actual claims experience and/or policyholder behavior being materially different than expected at the inception of an insurance contract. Uncertainties related to insurance risk include the amount and timing of cash flows from premiums, commissions, expenses, claims and claim settlement expenses paid or received under a contract.

Except as described above, we manage our business risk oversight activities through our insurance operations. A primary goal in managing our insurance operations is to achieve an acceptable risk-adjusted return on equity. To achieve this goal, we must be disciplined in risk selection, premium adequacy, and appropriate terms and conditions to cover the risk accepted.

We operate our insurance businesses on a global basis, and we are exposed to a wide variety of risks with different time horizons. We manage these risks throughout the organization, both centrally and locally, through a number of procedures:

- pre-launch approval of product design, development and distribution;
- underwriting approval processes and authorities;
- exposure limits with ongoing monitoring;
- pricing and risk selection models;
- price approval processes;
- modeling and reporting of aggregations and limit concentrations at multiple levels (policy, line of business, product group, country, individual/group, correlation and catastrophic risk events);
- risk transfer tools such as reinsurance, both internal and third-party;
- review and challenge of reserves to ensure comprehensive analysis with established escalation procedures to provide appropriate transparency in reserving decisions and judgments made in the establishment of reserves;
- business line actuarial briefings and actuarial financial judgment regular reviews with ERM and business management;
- management of relationship between assets and liabilities, including hedging;
- experience monitoring and assumption updates;
- risk reporting and active risk management which includes meetings and collaboration between ERM and the business;
- enhanced pricing models; and
- compliance with financial reporting and capital and solvency targets.

We closely manage insurance risk by monitoring and controlling the nature and geographic location of the risks in each line of business underwritten, the terms and conditions of the underwriting and the premiums we charge for taking on the risk. We analyze concentrations of risk using various modeling techniques, including both probability distributions (stochastic) and/or single-point estimates (deterministic) approaches.

Risk Identification

- **General Insurance companies** — risks covered include property, casualty, fidelity/surety, accident and health, aviation, and management liability. We manage risks in the General Insurance business through aggregations and limitations of concentrations at multiple levels: policy, line of business, geography, industry and legal entity.
- **Life and Retirement companies** — risks include mortality and morbidity in the insurance-oriented products and insufficient cash flows to cover contract liabilities, longevity risk in the retirement savings-oriented products and policyholder behavior. We manage risks through product design, sound medical and non-medical underwriting, and external reinsurance programs.

We purchase reinsurance for our insurance operations. Reinsurance facilitates insurance risk management (retention, volatility, concentrations) and capital planning. We may purchase reinsurance on a pooled basis. Pooling of our reinsurance risks enables us to purchase reinsurance more efficiently at a consolidated level, manage global counterparty risk and relationships and manage global catastrophe risks.

Governance

Insurance risks are monitored at the business unit level within ERM and overseen by the business unit chief risk officer, who reports directly to our CRO. The framework includes the following key components:

- written policies that define the rules for our insurance risk-taking activities;
- a limit framework focused on key insurance risks that aligns with our Board-approved risk appetite statement; and
- clearly defined authorities for all individuals and committee roles and responsibilities related to insurance risk management.

Risk Measurement, Monitoring and Limits

We use a number of approaches to measure our insurance risk exposure, including:

Stochastic methods. Stochastic methods are used to measure and monitor risks including natural catastrophe, reserve and premium risk. We develop probabilistic estimates of risk based on our exposures, historical observed volatility or industry-recognized models in the case of catastrophe risk.

Scenario analysis. Scenario or deterministic analysis is used to measure and monitor risks such as terrorism or to estimate losses due to man-made catastrophic scenarios.

Experience studies. Ongoing assessment of mortality, longevity, morbidity and policyholder behavior experience relative to that assumed in pricing and valuation and that experienced in the general market.

In addition, there are risk specific assessment tools in place to better manage the variety of insurance risks to which we are exposed.

We monitor concentrations of exposure through insurance limits aggregated along dimensions such as geography, industry, or counterparty.

The risk monitoring responsibilities of the business units include ensuring compliance with insurance risk limits and escalation and remediation of limit breaches. Such activities are reported to management by the relevant business unit for informative decision-making on a regular basis. This monitoring approach is aligned with our overall risk limits framework.

Risk limits have a consistent framework used across AIG, its business units, and legal entities. This includes escalation thresholds in cases where measurement is particularly challenging.

For further information on our three-tiered hierarchy of limits see Risk Appetite, Limits, Identification, and Measurement – Risk Limits herein.

General Insurance Companies' Key Risks

We manage our risks through risk review and selection processes, exposure limitations, exclusions, deductibles, self-insured retentions, coverage limits, attachment points, and reinsurance. This management is supported by sound underwriting practices, pricing procedures and the use of actuarial analysis to help determine overall adequacy of provisions for insurance. Underwriting practices and pricing procedures incorporate historical experience, changes in underlying exposure, current regulation and judicial decisions as well as proposed or anticipated regulatory changes.

For General Insurance companies, risks primarily include the following:

- **Loss Reserves** – The potential inadequacy of the liabilities we establish for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses is a key risk faced by the General Insurance companies. There is significant uncertainty in factors that may drive the ultimate development of losses compared to our estimates of losses and loss adjustment expenses. We manage this uncertainty through internal controls and oversight of the loss reserve setting process, as well as reviews by external experts. *For further information see Critical Accounting Estimates – Insurance Liabilities – Loss Reserves herein.*
- **Underwriting** – The potential inadequacy of premiums charged for future risk periods on risks underwritten in our portfolios can impact the General Insurance companies' ability to achieve an underwriting profit. We develop pricing based on our estimates of losses and expenses, but factors such as market pressures and the inherent uncertainty and complexity in estimating losses may result in premiums that are inadequate to generate underwriting profit. This may be driven by adverse economic conditions, unanticipated emergence of risks or increase in frequency of claims, worse than expected prepayment of policies, investment results, or unexpected or increased costs or expenses.
- **Catastrophe Exposure** – Our business is exposed to various catastrophic events in which multiple losses can occur and affect multiple lines of business in any calendar year. Natural disasters, such as hurricanes, earthquakes and other catastrophes, have the potential to adversely affect our operating results. Other risks, such as man-made catastrophes or pandemic disease, could also adversely affect our business and operating results to the extent they are covered by our insurance products. Concentration of exposure in certain industries or geographies may cause us to suffer disproportionate losses.
- **Single Risk Loss Exposure** – Our business is exposed to loss events that have the potential to generate losses from a single insured client. Events such as fires or explosions can result in loss activity for our clients. The net risk to us is managed to acceptable limits established by our GRC through a combination of internal underwriting standards and external reinsurance. Furthermore, single risk loss exposure is managed and monitored on both a segregated and aggregated basis.
- **Reinsurance** – Since we use reinsurance to limit our losses, we are exposed to risks associated with reinsurance including the unrecoverability of expected payments from reinsurers due to either an inability or unwillingness to pay, contracts that do not respond properly to the event or actual reinsurance coverage that is different than anticipated. The inability or unwillingness to pay is considered credit risk and is monitored through our credit risk management framework.

Natural Catastrophe Risk

We manage catastrophe exposure with multiple approaches such as setting risk limits based on aggregate Probable Maximum Loss (PML) modeling, monitoring overall exposures and risk accumulations, and purchasing catastrophe reinsurance through both the traditional reinsurance and capital markets in addition to other reinsurance protections.

We use third-party catastrophe risk models and other tools to evaluate and simulate frequency and severity of catastrophic events and associated losses to our portfolios of exposures. We apply a proprietary multi-model approach to account for relative strengths and weaknesses of vendor models and make adjustments to modeled losses to account for loss adjustment expenses, model biases, data quality and non-modeled risks.

We perform post-catastrophe event studies to identify model weaknesses, underwriting gaps, and improvement opportunities. Lessons learned from post-catastrophe event studies are incorporated into the modeling and underwriting processes of risk pricing and selection. The majority of policies exposed to catastrophic risks are one-year contracts that allow us to adjust our underwriting guidelines, pricing and exposure accumulation in a relatively short period.

We recognize that climate change has implications for insurance industry exposure to natural catastrophe risk. With multiple levels of risk management processes in place, we actively analyze the latest climate science and policy to anticipate potential changes to our risk profile, pricing models and strategic planning. For example, we continually consider changes in climate and weather patterns as an integral part of the underwriting process. In addition, we are committed to providing innovative insurance products and services to help our clients be proactive against the threat of climate change, including expanding natural disaster resilience, promoting adaptation, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Our internal product development, underwriting, modeling, and sustainability practices will continue to adapt to and evolve with the developing risk exposures attributed to climate change.

Our natural catastrophe exposure is primarily driven by the U.S. and Japan, though our overall exposure is diversified across multiple countries. For example, we have exposures to additional perils such as European windstorms and floods and seismic events across the Pacific Rim. Within the U.S., we have significant hurricane exposure in Florida, the Gulf of Mexico, the Northeast U.S. and mid-Atlantic regions. Events impacting the Northeast U.S. and the mid-Atlantic may result in a higher share of industry losses than other regions primarily due to our relative share of exposure in those regions. Within the U.S., we have significant earthquake exposure in California, the Pacific Northwest and New Madrid regions. Earthquakes impacting the Pacific Northwest and New Madrid regions may result in a higher share of industry losses than other regions primarily due to our relative share of exposure in these regions.

The estimates below are the Occurrence Exceedance Probability (OEP) losses, which reflect losses that may occur in any single event due to the defined peril. The 1-in-100 and 1-in-250 PMLs are the probable maximum losses from a single natural catastrophe event with probability of 1 percent and 0.4 percent in a year, respectively.

The following table presents an overview of OEP modeled losses for top perils and countries:

At December 31, 2018 (in millions)	Net of Reinsurance	Net of Reinsurance, After Tax ^(e)	Percent of Total Shareholder Equity
Exposures:			
U.S. Hurricane (1-in-100) ^(a)	\$ 1,278	\$ 1,010	1.8%
U.S. Earthquake (1-in-250) ^(b)	1,639	1,295	2.3
Japanese Typhoon (1-in-100) ^(c)	448	354	0.6
Japanese Earthquake (1-in-250) ^(d)	\$ 446	\$ 352	0.6%

(a) The U.S. hurricane loss estimate includes losses to Commercial and Personal Property from hurricane hazards of wind and storm surge.

(b) The U.S. earthquake loss estimates represent exposure to Commercial and Personal Property, Workers' Compensation (U.S.) and A&H business lines.

(c) Japan Typhoon loss estimate represents exposure to Commercial and Personal Property.

(d) Japan Earthquake loss estimate represents exposure to Commercial and Personal Property and A&H business lines.

(e) Taxed at the statutory tax rate of 21 percent for both the U.S. and Japanese modeled losses. The majority of Japan exposures are ceded to our U.S. Pool.

AIG's 1-in-100 worldwide all peril OEP has reduced more than 15 percent from prior year, inclusive of Validus. The OEP estimates provided above reflect our in-force portfolios for exposures at October 1, 2018 for Validus and September 30, 2018 for the remainder of the exposure. The catastrophe reinsurance program is as of January 1, 2019. Other reinsurance covers are as of December 31, 2018.

AIG, along with other property casualty insurance and reinsurance companies, uses industry-recognized catastrophe models and applies proprietary modeling processes and assumptions to arrive at loss estimates. The use of different methodologies and assumptions could materially change the projected losses. Since there is no industry standard for assumptions and preparation of insured data for use in these models, our modeled losses may not be comparable to estimates made by other companies.

Also, the modeled results are based on the assumption that all reinsurers fulfill their obligations to us under the terms of the reinsurance arrangements. However, reinsurance recoverables may not be fully collectible. Therefore, these estimates are inherently uncertain and may not accurately reflect our exposure to these events.

Our 2019 catastrophe reinsurance program provides protection on both an aggregate and occurrence basis. It is a worldwide program that provides differing per occurrence and aggregate attachment points for North America, Japan, and Rest of World (that also has some regional/country variations). The program includes \$2 billion of limit for either per occurrence or aggregate exposure that is shared across the regional towers.

Our coverage for North America includes:

- Per occurrence protection of up to \$4 billion excess of \$750 million, including \$2 billion of limit in excess of \$2.75 billion that is shared across the regional occurrence and aggregate towers
- Aggregate protection utilizing the \$2 billion of shared limit attaching excess \$750 Million for 40% of the limit and excess \$1 billion for 60% of the limit, with a \$100 million per occurrence deductible
- Up to \$500 million of aggregate or occurrence North America specific limit to supplement the shared limit in the event that this erodes
- A \$275 million occurrence catastrophe cover specific to our U.S. high net worth personal lines business, with varying attachment points tailored towards specific geographies

Our coverage for exposure outside North America includes:

- Japan per occurrence coverage of \$550 million excess of \$200 million and includes both personal and commercial exposure
- Rest of World per occurrence coverage up to \$425 million, with a retention of either \$50 million, \$75 million or \$125 million depending on the zone/country
- Rest of World and Japan dedicated aggregate protection of \$250 million excess \$250 million with a \$20 million per occurrence deductible
- Rest of World and Japan \$2 billion of per occurrence or aggregate shared limit

We have also purchased property per risk covers that provide protection against large losses globally, which include those emanating from non-critical catastrophe events (all events except for named windstorm and earthquake) globally as well as critical catastrophe events (named windstorm and earthquake) outside North America.

For Validus Reinsurance Limited, our catastrophe protection comes from a variety of reinsurance protections but is largely providing \$400 million of limit excess \$300 million of retention from world-wide exposure via an aggregate excess of loss cover with an additional \$450 million of limit excess \$700 million via the Tailwind Re Cat Bond for US, Puerto Rico and Canada named storm losses.

Actual results in any period are likely to vary, perhaps materially, from the modeled scenarios. The occurrence of one or more severe events could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

For additional information see also Item 1A. Risk Factors — Reserves and Exposures.

Terrorism Risk

We actively monitor terrorism risk and manage exposures to losses from terrorist attacks. We have set risk limits based on modeled losses from certain terrorism attack scenarios. Terrorism risks are modeled using a third-party vendor model for various terrorism attack modes and scenarios. Adjustments are made to account for vendor model gaps and the nature of the General Insurance companies' exposures. Examples of modeled scenarios are conventional bombs of different sizes, anthrax attacks and nuclear attacks.

Our largest terrorism exposures are in New York City, and estimated losses are largely driven by the Property and Workers' Compensation lines of business. At our largest exposure location, modeled losses for a five-ton bomb attack net of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program (TRIP) and reinsurance recoveries are estimated to be \$2.8 billion based on the exposures as of September 30, 2018.

Our exposure to terrorism risk in the U.S. is mitigated by TRIP in addition to limited private reinsurance protections. TRIP covers terrorist attacks within the United States or U.S. missions and against certain U.S. carriers or vessels and excludes certain lines of business as specified by applicable law. In 2019, TRIP covers 81 percent of insured losses above a deductible, decreasing to 80 percent in 2020. The current estimate of our deductible is approximately \$2.0 billion for 2018.

We offer terrorism coverage in many other countries through various insurance products and participate in country terrorism pools when applicable. International terrorism exposure is estimated using scenario-based modeling and exposure concentration is monitored routinely. Targeted reinsurance purchases are made for some lines of business to cover potential losses due to terrorist attacks. We also rely on the government-sponsored and government-arranged terrorism reinsurance programs, including pools, in force in applicable non-U.S. jurisdictions.

Life and Retirement Companies' Key Risks

We manage risk through product design, experience monitoring, pricing actions, risk limitations, reinsurance and active monitoring and management of the relationships between assets and liabilities, including hedging.

For Life and Retirement companies, risks include the following:

- **Longevity risk** – represents the risk of an increase in value of a policy or benefit as a result of actual mortality rates being lower than the expected mortality rates. This risk could arise from medical advancement and longer-term societal health changes. This risk exists in a number of our product lines but is most significant for our annuity products, structured settlements and pension risk transfer business.
- **Morbidity risk** – represents the risk arising from actual morbidity (e.g. illness, disability or disease) incidence rate being higher than expected or the length of the claims extending longer than expected resulting in a higher overall benefit payout. This risk could arise from longer-term medical advances in detection and treatment for various diseases and medical conditions. This risk exists in a number of our product lines such as group benefits and long-term care businesses – which are in run-off or no longer being sold.
- **Mortality risk** – represents the risk of loss arising from actual mortality rates being higher than expected mortality rates. This risk could arise from pandemics or other events, including longer-term societal changes that cause higher-than-expected mortality. This risk exists in a number of our product lines, but is most significant for our life insurance products.

- **Policyholder behavior risk (including full and partial surrender/lapse risk)** – represents the risk that actual policyholder behavior differs from expected behavior in a manner that has an adverse effect on our operating results. There are many related assumptions made when products are sold, including how long the contracts will persist and other assumptions which impact the expected utilization of contract benefits, options and guarantees. Actual experience can vary significantly from these assumptions. This risk is impacted by a number of factors including changes in market conditions, especially changes in the levels of interest rate and equity markets, tax law, regulations, competitive landscape and policyholder preferences. This risk exists in many of our product lines, but most notably within the annuity portfolio of business.

The emergence of significant adverse experience compared to the initial assumptions at policy issuance or updated assumption would require an adjustment to DAC and benefit reserves, which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations for a particular period.

For additional discussion of the impact of actual and expected experience on DAC and benefit reserves see Critical Accounting Estimates – Future Policy Benefits for Life and Accident and Health Insurance Contracts and Critical Accounting Estimates – Guaranteed Benefit Features of Variable Annuity Products. For additional discussion of business risks see Item 1A. Risk Factors — Business and Operations.

Variable Annuity Risk Management and Hedging Programs

Our Individual and Group Retirement businesses offer variable annuity products with guaranteed living benefit (GLB) riders that guarantee a certain level of lifetime benefits. GLBs are accounted for as embedded derivatives measured at fair value, with changes in the fair value recorded in Other realized capital gains (losses). GLB features subject the Life and Retirement companies to market risk, including exposure to changes in interest rates, equity prices, credit spreads and market volatility.

Variable annuity product design is the first step in managing our exposure to these market risks. Risk mitigation features of our variable annuity product design include GLB rider fees indexed to an equity market volatility index, which can provide additional fee assessments in periods of increased market volatility, required minimum allocations to fixed accounts to reduce overall equity exposure, and for some of the variable annuity products, the utilization of volatility control funds, which reduce equity exposure in the funds in response to changes in market volatility, even under sudden or extreme market movements.

After reflecting our product risk-mitigating features, we hedge our remaining economic exposure to market risk within GLB features through our variable annuity hedging program, which is designed to offset certain changes in the economic value of these GLB embedded derivatives, within established thresholds. The hedging program is designed to provide additional protection against large and combined movements in interest rates, equity prices, credit spreads and market volatility under multiple scenarios.

Our hedging program utilizes an economic hedge target, which represents our estimate of the underlying economic risks in our GLB riders, based on the present value of the future expected benefit payments for the GLB, less the present value of future GLB rider fees, over numerous stochastic scenarios. This stochastic projection method uses best estimate assumptions for policyholder behavior (including mortality, lapses, withdrawals and benefit utilization) in conjunction with market scenarios calibrated to observable equity and interest rate option prices. Policyholder behaviors are regularly evaluated to compare current assumptions to actual experience and, if appropriate, changes are made to the policyholder behavior assumptions. The risk of changes in policyholder behavior is not explicitly hedged and such differences between expected and actual policyholder behaviors will result in hedge ineffectiveness.

Due to differences between the calculation of the economic hedge target and U.S. GAAP valuation of the embedded derivative, which include differences in the treatment of rider fees and exclusion of certain risk margins and other differences in discount rates, we expect relative movements in the economic hedge target and the U.S. GAAP embedded derivative valuation will vary over time with changes in equity markets, interest rates and credit spreads.

For information on the impact on our consolidated pre-tax income from the change in fair value of the embedded derivatives and the hedging portfolio, as well as additional discussion of differences between the economic hedge target and the valuation of the embedded derivatives see Insurance Reserves – Life and Annuity Reserves and DAC – Variable Annuity Guaranteed Benefits and Hedging Results.

In designing our hedging portfolio for our variable annuity hedging program, we make assumptions and projections about the future performance of the underlying contract holder funds. To project future account value changes, we make assumptions about how each of the underlying funds will perform. We map the contract holder funds to a set of publicly traded indices that we believe best represent the liability to be hedged. Basis risk exists due to the variance between these assumptions and actual fund returns, which may result in variances between changes in the hedging portfolio and changes in the economic hedge target. Net hedge results and the cost of hedging are also impacted by differences between realized volatility and implied volatility.

For index annuity and universal life products, we have a hedging program designed to manage the index crediting strategies associated with index annuity and index life products. This hedging program is designed to offset the economic risk with respect to the index returns for the current crediting rate reset period, and utilizes derivative instruments, including but not limited to equity index options and futures contracts. Similarly as with the variable annuities, there are differences between the calculation of the economic hedge target and U.S. GAAP valuation of the index annuity and index life embedded derivatives, which can lead to variances in their relative movements.

To manage the capital market exposures embedded within the economic hedge target, we identify and hedge market sensitivities to changes in equity markets, interest rates, volatility and for variable annuities, credit spreads. Each hedge program purchases derivative instruments or securities having sensitivities that offset corresponding sensitivities in the associated economic hedge targets, within internally defined threshold limits. Since the relative movements of the hedging portfolio and the economic hedge target vary over time or with market changes, the net exposure can be outside the threshold limits, and adjustments to the hedging portfolio are made periodically to return the net exposure to within the threshold limits.

Our hedging programs utilize various derivative instruments, including but not limited to equity options, futures contracts, interest rate swaps and swaptions, as well as other hedging instruments. In addition, within the variable annuities hedging program, we purchase certain fixed income securities and elect the fair value option as a capital efficient way to manage interest rate and credit spread exposures. To minimize counterparty credit risk the majority of the derivative instruments utilized within the hedging programs are cleared through global exchanges. Over the counter derivatives utilized within the hedging programs are highly collateralized.

The hedging programs are monitored on a daily basis to ensure that the economic hedge targets and the associated derivative portfolios are within the threshold limits, pursuant to the approved hedging strategy. Daily risk monitoring verifies that the net risk exposures are within the approved net risk exposure threshold limits. In addition, monthly stress tests are performed to determine the program's effectiveness relative to the applicable limits, under an array of combined severe market stresses in equity prices, interest rates, volatility and credit spreads. Finally, hedging strategies are reviewed regularly to gauge their effectiveness in managing our market exposures in the context of our overall risk appetite.

Reinsurance Activities

Reinsurance is used primarily to manage overall capital adequacy and mitigate the insurance loss (Life and Non-Life) exposure related to certain events such as natural and man-made catastrophes or death events. Our subsidiaries operate worldwide primarily by underwriting and accepting risks for their direct account on a gross basis and reinsuring a portion of the exposure on either an individual risk or an aggregate basis to the extent those risks exceed the desired retention level. In addition, as a condition of certain direct underwriting transactions, we may be required by clients, agents or regulation to cede all or a portion of risks to specified reinsurance entities, such as captives, other insurers, local reinsurers and compulsory pools.

Reinsurance markets include:

- Traditional local and global reinsurance markets including those in the United States, Bermuda, London and Europe, accessed directly and through reinsurance intermediaries;
- Capital markets through insurance-linked securities and collateralized reinsurance transactions, such as catastrophe bonds, sidecars and similar vehicles; and
- Other insurers that engage in both direct and assumed reinsurance.

The form of reinsurance we may choose from time to time will generally depend on whether we are seeking:

- proportional reinsurance, whereby we cede a specified percentage of premiums and losses to reinsurers;
- non-proportional or excess of loss reinsurance, whereby we cede all or a specified portion of losses in excess of a specified amount on a per risk, per occurrence (including catastrophe reinsurance) or aggregate basis; or
- facultative contracts that reinsure individual policies.

We continually evaluate the relative attractiveness of different forms of reinsurance contracts and different markets that may be used to achieve our risk and profitability objectives.

Reinsurance contracts do not relieve our subsidiaries from their direct obligations to insureds. However, an effective reinsurance program substantially mitigates our exposure to potentially significant losses.

In certain markets, we are required to participate on a proportional basis in reinsurance pools based on our relative share of direct writings in those markets. Such mandatory reinsurance generally covers higher-risk consumer exposures such as assigned-risk automobile and earthquake, as well as certain commercial exposures such as workers' compensation.

Reinsurance Recoverable

AIG's reinsurance recoverable assets are comprised of:

- Paid losses recoverable – balances due from reinsurers for losses and loss adjustment expenses paid by our subsidiaries and billed, but not yet collected.
- Ceded loss reserves – ultimate ceded reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses, including reserves for claims reported but not yet paid and estimates for IBNR.
- Ceded reserves for unearned premiums.
- Life and Annuity reinsurance recoverables (ceded policy and claim reserves).

At December 31, 2018, total reinsurance recoverable assets were \$38.2 billion. These assets include general reinsurance paid losses recoverable of \$1.5 billion, ceded loss reserves of \$32.0 billion including reserves for incurred but not reported (IBNR) claims, and ceded reserves for unearned premiums of \$3.0 billion, as well as life reinsurance recoverable of \$1.7 billion. The methods used to estimate IBNR and to establish the resulting ultimate losses involve projecting the frequency and severity of losses over multiple years. These methods are continually reviewed and updated by management. Any adjustments are reflected in income. We believe that the amount recorded for ceded loss reserves at December 31, 2018 reflects a reasonable estimate of the ultimate losses recoverable. Actual losses may, however, differ from the reserves currently ceded.

The Reinsurance Credit Department (RCD) conducts periodic detailed assessments of the financial strength and condition of current and potential reinsurers, both foreign and domestic. The RCD monitors both the financial condition of reinsurers as well as the total reinsurance recoverable ceded to reinsurers, and sets limits with regard to the amount and type of exposure we are willing to take with reinsurers. As part of these assessments, we attempt to identify whether a reinsurer is appropriately licensed, assess its financial capacity and liquidity, and evaluate the local economic and financial environment in which a foreign reinsurer operates. The RCD reviews the nature of the risks ceded and the need for measures, including collateral to mitigate credit risk. For example, in our treaty reinsurance contracts, we frequently include provisions that require a reinsurer to post collateral or use other measures to reduce exposure when a referenced event occurs. Furthermore, we limit our unsecured exposure to reinsurers through the use of credit triggers such as insurer financial strength rating downgrades, declines in regulatory capital, or relevant risk-based capital (RBC) ratios fall below certain levels. We also set maximum limits for reinsurance recoverable exposure, which in some cases is the recoverable amount plus an estimate of the maximum potential exposure from unexpected events for a reinsurer. In addition, credit executives within ERM review reinsurer exposures and credit limits and approve reinsurer credit limits above specified levels. Finally, even where we conclude that uncollateralized credit risk is acceptable, we require collateral from active reinsurance counterparties where it is necessary for our subsidiaries to recognize the reinsurance recoverable assets for statutory accounting purposes. At December 31, 2018, we held \$20.2 billion of collateral, in the form of funds withheld, securities in reinsurance trust accounts and/or irrevocable letters of credit, in support of reinsurance recoverable assets from unaffiliated reinsurers.

The following table presents information for each reinsurer representing in excess of five percent of our total reinsurance recoverable assets:

At December 31, 2018		A.M.	Gross	Percent of		Uncollateralized
	S&P	Best	Reinsurance	Reinsurance	Collateral	Reinsurance
<i>(in millions)</i>	Rating ^(a)	Rating ^(a)	Assets	Assets ^(b)	Held ^(c)	Assets
Reinsurer:						
Berkshire Hathaway Group of Companies	AA+	A++	\$ 14,375 ^(d)	37.7 %	\$ 12,913	\$ 1,462
Swiss Reinsurance Group of Companies	AA-	A+	\$ 4,507	11.8 %	\$ 2,285	\$ 2,222

(a) The financial strength ratings reflect the ratings of the various reinsurance subsidiaries of the companies listed as of January 29, 2019.

(b) Total reinsurance assets include both Property Casualty and Life and Retirement reinsurance recoverable.

(c) Excludes collateral held in excess of applicable treaty balances.

(d) Includes \$13.8 billion recoverable under the 2011 retroactive asbestos reinsurance transaction and the 2017 Adverse Development Reinsurance agreement.

At December 31, 2018, we had no significant reinsurance recoverable due from any individual reinsurer that was financially troubled. Reinsurer capital levels continued to increase in 2018, thereby increasing the industry's underwriting capacity, which resulted in continued competition and lower rates for 2019 renewals. Reduced profitability associated with lower rates could potentially result in reduced capacity or rating downgrades for some reinsurers. The RCD, in conjunction with the credit executives within ERM, reviews these developments, monitors compliance with credit triggers that may require the reinsurer to post collateral, and seeks to use other appropriate means to mitigate any material risks arising from these developments.

For further discussion of reinsurance recoverable see Critical Accounting Estimates – Reinsurance Assets

OTHER BUSINESS RISKS

Derivative Transactions

We utilize derivatives principally to enable us to hedge exposure to interest rates, currencies, credit, commodities, equities and other risks. Credit risk associated with derivative counterparties exists for a derivative contract when that contract has a positive fair value to us. The maximum potential exposure will increase or decrease during the life of the derivative commitments as a function of maturity and market conditions. All derivative transactions must be transacted within counterparty limits that have been approved by ERM.

We evaluate counterparty credit quality by internal analysis consistent with the AIG Credit Policy. We utilize various credit enhancements, including letters of credit, guarantees, collateral, credit triggers, credit derivatives, margin agreements and subordination to reduce the credit risk relating to outstanding financial derivative transactions. We require credit enhancements in connection with specific transactions based on, among other things, the creditworthiness of the counterparties, and transaction size and maturity. Furthermore, we enter into certain agreements that have the benefit of set-off and close-out netting provisions, such as ISDA Master Agreements. These provisions provide that, in the case of an early termination of a transaction, we can set off receivables from a counterparty against payables to the same counterparty arising out of all covered transactions. As a result, where a legally enforceable netting agreement exists, the fair value of the transaction with the counterparty represents the net sum of estimated fair values.

The fair value of our interest rate, currency, credit, commodity and equity swaps, options, swaptions, and forward commitments, futures, and forward contracts reported as a component of Other assets, was approximately \$0.9 billion at both December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017. Where applicable, these amounts have been determined in accordance with the respective master netting agreements.

The following table presents the fair value of our derivatives portfolios in asset positions by internal counterparty credit rating:

At December 31,			
(in millions)		2018	2017
Rating:			
AAA	\$	37	\$ 12
AA		4	5
A		81	151
BBB		619	526
Below investment grade*		174	228
Total	\$	915	\$ 922

* Below investment grade includes not rated.

For additional discussion related to derivative transactions see Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Glossary

Accident year The annual calendar accounting period in which loss events occurred, regardless of when the losses are actually reported, booked or paid.

Accident year combined ratio, as adjusted The combined ratio excluding catastrophe losses and related reinstatement premiums, prior year development, net of premium adjustments, and the impact of reserve discounting.

Accident year loss ratio, as adjusted The loss ratio excluding catastrophe losses and related reinstatement premiums, prior year development, net of premium adjustments, and the impact of reserve discounting.

Acquisition ratio Acquisition costs divided by net premiums earned. Acquisition costs are those costs incurred to acquire new and renewal insurance contracts and also include the amortization of VOBA and DAC. Acquisition costs vary with sales and include, but are not limited to, commissions, premium taxes, direct marketing costs and certain costs of personnel engaged in sales support activities such as underwriting.

Additional premium represents a premium on an insurance policy over and above the initial premium imposed at the beginning of the policy. An additional premium may be assessed if the insured's risk is found to have increased significantly.

Adjusted revenues exclude Net realized capital gains (losses), income from non-operating litigation settlements (included in Other income for GAAP purposes) and changes in fair value of securities used to hedge guaranteed living benefits (included in Net investment income for GAAP purposes).

Assets under administration include assets under management and Retail Mutual Funds and Group Retirement mutual fund assets that we sell or administer.

Assets under management include assets in the general and separate accounts of our subsidiaries that support liabilities and surplus related to our life and annuity insurance products and the notional value of stable value wrap contracts.

Base Spread Net investment income excluding income from alternative investments and other enhancements, less interest credited excluding amortization of sales inducement assets.

Base Yield Net investment income excluding income from alternative investments and other enhancements, as a percentage of average base invested asset portfolio, which excludes alternative investments, other bond securities and certain other investments for which the fair value option has been elected.

Book value per common share, excluding accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) and Book value per common share, excluding AOCI and deferred tax assets (DTA) (Adjusted book value per common share) are non-GAAP measures and are used to show the amount of our net worth on a per-share basis. Book value per common share excluding AOCI is derived by dividing total AIG shareholders' equity, excluding AOCI, by total common shares outstanding. Adjusted book value per common share is derived by dividing total AIG shareholders' equity, excluding AOCI and DTA (Adjusted Shareholders' Equity), by total common shares outstanding.

Casualty insurance Insurance that is primarily associated with the losses caused by injuries to third persons, i.e., not the insured, and the legal liability imposed on the insured as a result.

Combined ratio Sum of the loss ratio and the acquisition and general operating expense ratios.

CSA Credit Support Annex A legal document generally associated with an ISDA Master Agreement that provides for collateral postings which could vary depending on ratings and threshold levels.

CVA Credit Valuation Adjustment The CVA adjusts the valuation of derivatives to account for nonperformance risk of our counterparty with respect to all net derivative assets positions. Also, the CVA reflects the fair value movement in AIGFP's asset portfolio that is attributable to credit movements only, without the impact of other market factors such as interest rates and foreign exchange rates. Finally, the CVA also accounts for our own credit risk in the fair value measurement of all derivative net liability positions and liabilities where AIG has elected the fair value option, when appropriate.

DAC Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs Deferred costs that are incremental and directly related to the successful acquisition of new business or renewal of existing business.

DAC Related to Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) of Investments An adjustment to DAC and Reserves for investment-oriented products, equal to the change in DAC and Unearned Revenue amortization that would have been recorded if fixed maturity securities available for sale and also, prior to 2018, equity securities at fair value had been sold at their stated aggregate fair value and the proceeds reinvested at current yields. An adjustment to benefit reserves for investment-oriented products is also recognized to reflect the application of the benefit ratio to the accumulated assessments that would have been recorded if fixed maturity securities available for sale and also, prior to 2018, equity securities at fair value had been sold at their stated aggregate fair value and the proceeds reinvested at current yields (collectively referred to as “shadow Investment-Oriented Adjustments”).

For long-duration traditional products, significant unrealized appreciation of investments in a sustained low interest rate environment may cause additional future policy benefit liabilities to be recorded (shadow loss reserves).

Deferred Gain on Retroactive Reinsurance Retroactive reinsurance is a reinsurance contract in which an assuming entity agrees to reimburse a ceding entity for liabilities incurred as a result of past insurable events. If the amount of premium paid by the ceding reinsurer is less than the related ceded loss reserves, the resulting gain is deferred and amortized over the settlement period of the reserves. Any related development on the ceded loss reserves recoverable under the contract would increase the deferred gain if unfavorable, or decrease the deferred gain if favorable.

Expense ratio Sum of acquisition expenses and general operating expenses, divided by net premiums earned.

General operating expense ratio General operating expenses divided by net premiums earned. General operating expenses are those costs that are generally attributed to the support infrastructure of the organization and include but are not limited to personnel costs, projects and bad debt expenses. General operating expenses exclude losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred, acquisition expenses, and investment expenses.

GIC/GIA *Guaranteed Investment Contract/Guaranteed Investment Agreement* A contract whereby the seller provides a guaranteed repayment of principal and a fixed or floating interest rate for a predetermined period of time.

IBNR *Incurred But Not Reported* Estimates of claims that have been incurred but not reported to us.

ISDA Master Agreement An agreement between two counterparties, which may have multiple derivative transactions with each other governed by such agreement, that generally provides for the net settlement of all or a specified group of these derivative transactions, as well as pledged collateral, through a single payment, in a single currency, in the event of a default on, or affecting any, one derivative transaction or a termination event affecting all, or a specified group of, derivative transactions.

LAE *Loss Adjustment Expenses* The expenses directly attributed to settling and paying claims of insureds and include, but are not limited to, legal fees, adjuster's fees and the portion of general expenses allocated to claim settlement costs.

Loss Ratio Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred divided by net premiums earned.

Loss reserve development The increase or decrease in incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses related to prior years as a result of the re-estimation of loss reserves at successive valuation dates for a given group of claims.

Loss reserves Liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses. The estimated ultimate cost of settling claims relating to insured events that have occurred on or before the balance sheet date, whether or not reported to the insurer at that date.

Loan-to-Value Ratio Principal amount of loan amount divided by appraised value of collateral securing the loan.

Master netting agreement An agreement between two counterparties who have multiple derivative contracts with each other that provides for the net settlement of all contracts covered by such agreement, as well as pledged collateral, through a single payment, in a single currency, in the event of default on or upon termination of any one such contract.

Natural catastrophe and man-made losses are generally weather or seismic events having a net impact on AIG in excess of \$10 million each and also include certain man-made events, such as terrorism and civil disorders that exceed the \$10 million threshold.

Net premiums written represent the sales of an insurer, adjusted for reinsurance premiums assumed and ceded, during a given period. Net premiums earned are the revenue of an insurer for covering risk during a given period. Net premiums written are a measure of performance for a sales period, while Net premiums earned are a measure of performance for a coverage period.

Noncontrolling interest The portion of equity ownership in a consolidated subsidiary not attributable to the controlling parent company.

Policy fees An amount added to a policy premium, or deducted from a policy cash value or contract holder account, to reflect the cost of issuing a policy, establishing the required records, sending premium notices and other related expenses.

Pool A reinsurance arrangement whereby all of the underwriting results of the pool members are combined and then shared by each member in accordance with its pool participation percentage.

Premiums and deposits – Life and Retirement include direct and assumed amounts received on traditional life insurance policies and group benefit policies, and deposits on life-contingent payout annuities, as well as deposits received on universal life, investment-type annuity contracts, FHLB funding agreements and mutual funds.

Prior year development See *Loss reserve development*.

RBC Risk-Based Capital A formula designed to measure the adequacy of an insurer's statutory surplus compared to the risks inherent in its business.

Reinstatement premiums Additional premiums payable to reinsurers or receivable from insurers to restore coverage limits that have been reduced or exhausted as a result of reinsured losses under certain excess of loss reinsurance treaties.

Reinsurance The practice whereby one insurer, the reinsurer, in consideration of a premium paid to that insurer, agrees to indemnify another insurer, the ceding company, for part or all of the liability of the ceding company under one or more policies or contracts of insurance which it has issued.

Retroactive Reinsurance See *Deferred Gain on Retroactive Reinsurance*.

Return on equity – Adjusted after-tax income excluding AOCI and DTA (Adjusted Return on equity) is a non-GAAP measure and is used to show the rate of return on shareholders' equity. Adjusted Return on equity is derived by dividing actual or annualized adjusted after-tax income attributable to AIG by average Adjusted Shareholders' Equity.

Return premium represents amounts given back to the insured in the case of a cancellation, an adjustment to the rate or an overpayment of an advance premium.

Salvage The amount that can be recovered by an insurer for the sale of damaged goods for which a policyholder has been indemnified (and to which title was transferred).

Severe losses are defined as non-catastrophic individual first-party losses, surety and trade credit losses greater than \$10 million, net of related reinsurance and salvage and subrogation.

SIA Sales Inducement Asset Represents enhanced crediting rates or bonus payments to contract holders on certain annuity and investment contract products that meet the criteria to be deferred and amortized over the life of the contract.

Solvency II Legislation in the European Union which reforms the insurance industry's solvency framework, including minimum capital and solvency requirements, governance requirements, risk management and public reporting standards. The Solvency II Directive (2009/138/EEC) was adopted on November 25, 2009 and became effective on January 1, 2016.

Subrogation The amount of recovery for claims we have paid our policyholders, generally from a negligent third party or such party's insurer.

Surrender charge A charge levied against an investor for the early withdrawal of funds from a life insurance or annuity contract, or for the cancellation of the agreement.

Surrender rate represents annualized surrenders and withdrawals as a percentage of average reserves and Group Retirement mutual fund assets under administration.

Unearned premium reserve Liabilities established by insurers and reinsurers to reflect unearned premiums, which are usually refundable to policyholders if an insurance or reinsurance contract is canceled prior to expiration of the contract term.

VOBA Value of Business Acquired Present value of projected future gross profits from in-force policies of acquired businesses.

Acronyms

A&H Accident and Health Insurance	ISDA International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc.
ABS Asset-Backed Securities	Moody's Moody's Investors' Service Inc.
BCFP Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection	NAIC National Association of Insurance Commissioners
CDO Collateralized Debt Obligations	NM Not Meaningful
CDS Credit Default Swap	NYSE New York Stock Exchange
CMA Capital Maintenance Agreement	OTC Over-the-Counter
CMBS Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities	OTTI Other-Than-Temporary Impairment
EGPs Estimated gross profits	PCAOB Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States)
FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board	RMBS Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities
FRBNY Federal Reserve Bank of New York	S&P Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC
GAAP Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America	SEC Securities and Exchange Commission
GLB Guaranteed Living Benefit	URR Unearned revenue reserve
GMDB Guaranteed Minimum Death Benefits	VIE Variable Interest Entity
GMWB Guaranteed Minimum Withdrawal Benefits	

ITEM 7A | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The information required by this item is set forth in the Enterprise Risk Management section of Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and is incorporated herein by reference.

Part II

ITEM 8 | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

REFERENCE TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

	Page
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	171
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2018 and 2017	172
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016	173
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016	174
Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016	175
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016	176
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	
NOTE 1. Basis of Presentation	178
NOTE 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	181
NOTE 3. Segment Information	187
NOTE 4. Business Combination	191
NOTE 5. Fair Value Measurements	193
NOTE 6. Investments	214
NOTE 7. Lending Activities	227
NOTE 8. Reinsurance	230
NOTE 9. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	232
NOTE 10. Variable Interest Entities	235
NOTE 11. Derivatives and Hedge Accounting	237
NOTE 12. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets	242
NOTE 13. Insurance Liabilities	244
NOTE 14. Variable Life and Annuity Contracts	283
NOTE 15. Debt	285
NOTE 16. Contingencies, Commitments and Guarantees	287
NOTE 17. Equity	289
NOTE 18. Earnings Per Share	294
NOTE 19. Statutory Financial Data and Restrictions	295
NOTE 20. Share-Based and Other Compensation Plans	297
NOTE 21. Employee Benefits	300
NOTE 22. Ownership	308
NOTE 23. Income Taxes	308
NOTE 24. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)	317
NOTE 25. Information Provided in Connection With Outstanding Debt	318
NOTE 26. Subsequent Events	325
Schedules	
SCHEDULE I Summary of Investments — Other than Investments in Related Parties at December 31, 2018	335
SCHEDULE II Condensed Financial Information of Registrant at December 31, 2018 and 2017 and for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016	336
SCHEDULE III Supplementary Insurance Information at December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 and for the years then ended	340
SCHEDULE IV Reinsurance at December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 and for the years then ended	341
SCHEDULE V Valuation and Qualifying Accounts at December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 and for the years then ended	342

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of American International Group, Inc.:

Opinions on the Financial Statements and Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of American International Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income (loss), equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018, including the related notes and financial statement schedules listed in the accompanying index (collectively referred to as the "consolidated financial statements"). We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the COSO.

Basis for Opinions

The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on the Company's consolidated financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud, and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As described in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, management has excluded Validus Holdings, Ltd. and Glatfelter Insurance Group (the "Acquired Businesses") from its assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018 because they were acquired by the Company in purchase business combinations during 2018. We have also excluded the Acquired Businesses from our audit of internal control over financial reporting. The Acquired Businesses are wholly-owned subsidiaries whose total assets and total revenues excluded from management's assessment and our audit of internal control over financial reporting collectively represent approximately 2 percent and 3 percent, respectively, of the related consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

New York, New York

February 15, 2019

We have served as the Company's auditor since 1980.

American International Group, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
<i>(in millions, except for share data)</i>		
Assets:		
Investments:		
Fixed maturity securities:		
Bonds available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2018 - \$225,780; 2017 - \$225,461)	\$ 229,391	\$ 238,992
Other bond securities, at fair value (See Note 6)	11,415	12,772
Equity Securities:		
Common and preferred stock available for sale, at fair value (cost: 2017 - \$1,305)	-	1,708
Other common and preferred stock, at fair value (See Note 6)	1,253	589
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of allowance (portion measured at fair value: 2018 - \$0; 2017 - \$5)	43,135	37,023
Other invested assets (portion measured at fair value: 2018 - \$5,894; 2017 - \$6,248)	19,341	20,822
Short-term investments, including restricted cash of \$142 in 2018 and \$58 in 2017 (portion measured at fair value: 2018 - \$3,015; 2017 - \$2,615)	9,674	10,386
Total investments	314,209	322,292
Cash	2,873	2,362
Accrued investment income	2,389	2,356
Premiums and other receivables, net of allowance	11,011	10,248
Reinsurance assets, net of allowance	38,172	33,024
Deferred income taxes	15,221	14,033
Deferred policy acquisition costs	12,694	10,994
Other assets, including restricted cash of \$343 in 2018 and \$317 in 2017 (portion measured at fair value: 2018 - \$973; 2017 - \$922)	13,568	10,194
Separate account assets, at fair value	81,847	92,798
Total assets	\$ 491,984	\$ 498,301
Liabilities:		
Liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 83,639	\$ 78,393
Unearned premiums	19,248	19,030
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts	44,935	45,432
Policyholder contract deposits (portion measured at fair value: 2018 - \$4,116; 2017 - \$4,150)	142,262	135,602
Other policyholder funds	3,568	3,648
Other liabilities (portion measured at fair value: 2018 - \$1,265; 2017 - \$1,124)	24,636	26,050
Long-term debt (portion measured at fair value: 2018 - \$2,213; 2017 - \$2,888)	34,540	31,640
Separate account liabilities	81,847	92,798
Total liabilities	434,675	432,593
Contingencies, commitments and guarantees (See Note 16)		
AIG shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$2.50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued: 2018 - 1,906,671,492 and 2017 - 1,906,671,492	4,766	4,766
Treasury stock, at cost; 2018 - 1,040,062,063 shares; 2017 - 1,007,626,835 shares of common stock	(49,144)	(47,595)
Additional paid-in capital	81,268	81,078
Retained earnings	20,884	21,457
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(1,413)	5,465
Total AIG shareholders' equity	56,361	65,171
Non-redeemable noncontrolling interests	948	537
Total equity	57,309	65,708
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 491,984	\$ 498,301

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

American International Group, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Income

(dollars in millions, except per share data)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Revenues:			
Premiums	\$ 30,614	\$ 31,374	\$ 34,393
Policy fees	2,791	2,935	2,732
Net investment income	12,476	14,179	14,065
Net realized capital losses:			
Total other-than-temporary impairments on available for sale securities	(190)	(196)	(458)
Portion of other-than-temporary impairments on available for sale fixed maturity securities recognized in Other comprehensive income (loss)	(61)	(31)	(29)
Net other-than-temporary impairments on available for sale securities recognized in net income (loss)	(251)	(227)	(487)
Other realized capital gains (losses)	121	(1,153)	(1,457)
Total net realized capital losses	(130)	(1,380)	(1,944)
Other income	1,638	2,412	3,121
Total revenues	47,389	49,520	52,367
Benefits, losses and expenses:			
Policyholder benefits and losses incurred	27,412	29,972	32,437
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	3,754	3,592	3,705
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	5,386	4,288	4,521
General operating and other expenses	9,302	9,107	10,989
Interest expense	1,309	1,168	1,260
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	7	(5)	74
Net gain on sale of divested businesses	(38)	(68)	(545)
Total benefits, losses and expenses	47,132	48,054	52,441
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense	257	1,466	(74)
Income tax expense:			
Current	336	636	576
Deferred	(182)	6,890	(391)
Income tax expense	154	7,526	185
Income (loss) from continuing operations	103	(6,060)	(259)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense	(42)	4	(90)
Net income (loss)	61	(6,056)	(349)
Less:			
Net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	67	28	500
Net loss attributable to AIG	\$ (6)	\$ (6,084)	\$ (849)
Income (loss) per common share attributable to AIG:			
Basic:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.04	\$ (6.54)	\$ (0.70)
Loss from discontinued operations	\$ (0.05)	\$ -	\$ (0.08)
Net loss attributable to AIG	\$ (0.01)	\$ (6.54)	\$ (0.78)
Diluted:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 0.04	\$ (6.54)	\$ (0.70)
Loss from discontinued operations	\$ (0.05)	\$ -	\$ (0.08)
Net loss attributable to AIG	\$ (0.01)	\$ (6.54)	\$ (0.78)
Weighted average shares outstanding:			
Basic	898,405,537	930,561,286	1,091,085,131
Diluted	910,141,242	930,561,286	1,091,085,131

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

American International Group, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(in millions)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Net income (loss)	\$ 61	\$ (6,056)	\$ (349)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax			
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of fixed maturity securities on which other-than-temporary credit impairments were taken	(1,000)	367	(270)
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of all other investments	(4,975)	1,288	839
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	(349)	539	250
Change in retirement plan liabilities adjustment	28	41	(126)
Change in fair value of liabilities under fair value option attributable to changes in own credit risk	3	-	-
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(6,293)	2,235	693
Comprehensive income (loss)	(6,232)	(3,821)	344
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	76	28	500
Comprehensive loss attributable to AIG	\$ (6,308)	\$ (3,849)	\$ (156)

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

American International Group, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Equity

	Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total AIG Share- holders' Equity	Non- redeemable Non- controlling Interests	Total Equity
<i>(in millions)</i>								
Balance, January 1, 2016	\$ 4,766	\$ (30,098)	\$ 81,510	\$ 30,943	\$ 2,537	\$ 89,658	\$ 552	\$ 90,210
Common stock issued under stock plans	-	86	(175)	-	-	(89)	-	(89)
Purchase of common stock	-	(11,460)	-	-	-	(11,460)	-	(11,460)
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG or other noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	(849)	-	(849)	500	(349)
Dividends	-	-	-	(1,372)	-	(1,372)	-	(1,372)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	-	-	-	-	693	693	-	693
Current and deferred income taxes	-	-	(208)	-	-	(208)	-	(208)
Net increase due to acquisitions and consolidations	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	43
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	22
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	(570)	(570)
Other	-	1	(63)	(11)	-	(73)	11	(62)
Balance, December 31, 2016	\$ 4,766	\$ (41,471)	\$ 81,064	\$ 28,711	\$ 3,230	\$ 76,300	\$ 558	\$ 76,858
Common stock issued under stock plans	-	147	(325)	-	-	(178)	-	(178)
Purchase of common stock	-	(6,275)	-	-	-	(6,275)	-	(6,275)
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG or other noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	(6,084)	-	(6,084)	28	(6,056)
Dividends	-	-	-	(1,172)	-	(1,172)	-	(1,172)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	-	-	-	-	2,235	2,235	-	2,235
Current and deferred income taxes	-	-	(4)	-	-	(4)	-	(4)
Net increase due to acquisitions and consolidations	-	-	-	-	-	-	101	101
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	42	42
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	(193)	(193)
Other	-	4	343	2	-	349	1	350
Balance, December 31, 2017	\$ 4,766	\$ (47,595)	\$ 81,078	\$ 21,457	\$ 5,465	\$ 65,171	\$ 537	\$ 65,708
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax	-	-	-	568	(576)	(8)	-	(8)
Common stock issued under stock plans	-	189	(344)	-	-	(155)	-	(155)
Purchase of common stock	-	(1,739)	-	-	-	(1,739)	-	(1,739)
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG or noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	(6)	-	(6)	67	61
Dividends	-	-	-	(1,138)	-	(1,138)	-	(1,138)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	-	-	-	-	(6,302)	(6,302)	9	(6,293)
Current and deferred income taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net increase due to acquisitions and consolidations	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	63
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	373	373
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	(96)	(96)
Other	-	1	534	3	-	538	(5)	533
Balance, December 31, 2018	\$ 4,766	\$ (49,144)	\$ 81,268	\$ 20,884	\$ (1,413)	\$ 56,361	\$ 948	\$ 57,309

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

American International Group, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(in millions)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 61	\$ (6,056)	\$ (349)
(Income) loss from discontinued operations	42	(4)	90
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating			
Noncash revenues, expenses, gains and losses included in income (loss):			
Net (gains) losses on sales of securities available for sale and other assets	98	(431)	(2,033)
Net gain on sale of divested businesses	(38)	(68)	(545)
(Gains) losses on extinguishment of debt	7	(5)	74
Unrealized losses in earnings – net	443	79	1,465
Equity in loss from equity method investments, net of dividends or distributions	363	350	1,053
Depreciation and other amortization	5,362	3,874	4,090
Impairments of assets	425	685	1,116
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Insurance reserves	1,065	2,637	5,325
Premiums and other receivables and payables – net	887	410	536
Reinsurance assets and funds held under reinsurance treaties	(3,289)	(10,870)	(1,804)
Capitalization of deferred policy acquisition costs	(5,832)	(4,819)	(5,216)
Current and deferred income taxes – net	-	6,981	(308)
Other, net	467	(581)	8
Total adjustments	(42)	(1,758)	3,761
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	61	(7,818)	3,502
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from (payments for)			
Sales or distributions of:			
Available for sale securities	25,143	31,082	30,103
Other securities	3,755	3,792	4,164
Other invested assets	4,365	6,913	8,447
Divested businesses, net	10	792	2,809
Maturities of fixed maturity securities available for sale	24,777	29,011	25,749
Principal payments received on and sales of mortgage and other loans receivable	4,272	5,742	6,074
Purchases of:			
Available for sale securities	(44,109)	(49,856)	(54,978)
Other securities	(1,318)	(1,147)	(935)
Other invested assets	(2,839)	(2,874)	(3,421)
Mortgage and other loans receivable	(10,286)	(9,369)	(10,651)
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash and restricted cash acquired	(5,717)	-	-
Net change in short-term investments	1,524	2,098	(3,089)
Other, net	200	(2,143)	(1,020)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(223)	14,041	3,252
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from (payments for)			
Policyholder contract deposits	24,178	17,908	18,100
Policyholder contract withdrawals	(17,999)	(15,785)	(14,041)
Issuance of long-term debt	4,734	3,356	5,954
Repayments of long-term debt	(3,672)	(3,698)	(4,082)
Purchase of common stock	(1,739)	(6,275)	(11,460)
Dividends paid	(1,138)	(1,172)	(1,372)
Other, net	(3,570)	(31)	68
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	794	(5,697)	(6,833)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and restricted cash	(11)	(29)	55
Net increase (decrease) in cash and restricted cash	621	497	(24)
Cash and restricted cash at beginning of year	2,737	2,107	2,238
Change in cash of businesses held for sale	-	133	(107)
Cash and restricted cash at end of year	\$ 3,358	\$ 2,737	\$ 2,107

American International Group, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows *(continued)*

Supplementary Disclosure of Consolidated Cash Flow Information

<i>(in millions)</i>	Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Cash	\$ 2,873	\$ 2,362	\$ 1,868
Restricted cash included in Short-term investments [*]	142	58	46
Restricted cash included in Other assets [*]	343	317	193
Total cash and restricted cash shown in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	\$ 3,358	\$ 2,737	\$ 2,107
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$ 1,312	\$ 1,282	\$ 1,331
Taxes	\$ 154	\$ 544	\$ 493
Non-cash investing/financing activities:			
Interest credited to policyholder contract deposits included in financing activities	\$ 3,392	\$ 3,309	\$ 3,430
Non-cash consideration received from sale of United Guaranty	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,101

* Includes funds held for tax sharing payments to AIG Parent, security deposits, replacement reserve deposits related to our affordable housing investments, and security deposits for certain leased aircraft and escrow funds related to our investment in Castle Holdings LLC's aircraft assets, which was sold in 2018.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

1. Basis of Presentation

American International Group, Inc. (AIG) is a leading global insurance organization serving customers in more than 80 countries and jurisdictions. AIG companies serve commercial and individual customers through one of the most extensive worldwide property-casualty networks of any insurer. In addition, AIG companies are leading providers of life insurance and retirement services in the United States. AIG Common Stock, par value \$2.50 per share (AIG Common Stock), is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE: AIG). On December 3, 2018, AIG's Common Stock was voluntarily delisted from the Tokyo Stock Exchange. Unless the context indicates otherwise, the terms "AIG," "we," "us" or "our" mean American International Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and the term "AIG Parent" means American International Group, Inc. and not any of its consolidated subsidiaries.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of AIG Parent, our controlled subsidiaries (generally through a greater than 50 percent ownership of voting rights and voting interests), and variable interest entities (VIEs) of which we are the primary beneficiary. Equity investments in entities that we do not consolidate, including corporate entities in which we have significant influence and partnership and partnership-like entities in which we have more than minor influence over the operating and financial policies, are accounted for under the equity method unless we have elected the fair value option.

Certain of our foreign subsidiaries included in the Consolidated Financial Statements report on fiscal-period ending November 30. The effect on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations of all material events occurring at these subsidiaries through the date of each of the periods presented in these Consolidated Financial Statements has been considered for adjustment and/or disclosure.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

ACQUISITION OF BUSINESSES

Validus

On July 18, 2018, we completed the purchase of Validus Holdings, Ltd. (Validus), a leading provider of reinsurance, primary insurance, and asset management services, for \$5.5 billion in cash. The results of Validus following the date of the acquisition are included in our General Insurance segment starting in the third quarter of 2018. Our North America results include the results of Validus Reinsurance, Ltd. and Western World Insurance Group, Inc., while our International results include the results of Talbot Holdings Ltd.

For additional information relating to the acquisition of Validus, see Note 4.

Glatfelter

On November 6, 2018 AIG completed the purchase of Glatfelter Insurance Group, a full-service broker and insurance company providing services for specialty programs and retail operations.

Ellipse

On December 31, 2018, AIG Life Ltd., a U.K. AIG Life and Retirement company, completed the acquisition of Ellipse, a specialist provider of group life risk protection in the U.K.

SALES OF BUSINESSES

Sale of Certain Insurance Subsidiary Operations to Fairfax

On October 18, 2016, we entered into an agreement to sell certain insurance operations to Fairfax Financial Holdings Limited (Fairfax). The agreement included the sale of our subsidiary operations in Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Uruguay, Venezuela and Turkey. Fairfax acquired renewal rights for the portfolios of local business written by our operations in Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania and Slovakia, and assume certain of our operating assets and employees. Substantially all of the operations and renewal rights that we agreed to sell to Fairfax were sold by December 31, 2017.

AIG Fuji Life Insurance

On November 14, 2016, we entered into an agreement to sell Fuji Life to FWD Group, the insurance arm of Pacific Century Group. Total cash consideration to us was approximately \$333 million. The transaction closed on April 30, 2017.

United Guaranty

On December 31, 2016, we sold our 100 percent interest in United Guaranty Corporation (United Guaranty) and certain related affiliates to Arch Capital Group Ltd. (Arch) for total consideration of \$3.3 billion, consisting of \$2.2 billion of cash and approximately \$1.1 billion of newly issued Arch convertible non-voting common-equivalent preferred stock and reported a pre-tax gain of approximately \$697 million. We also received \$261 million in pre-closing dividends from United Guaranty in the fourth quarter of 2016. However, due to pending regulatory approvals, United Guaranty Asia was not included in the December 31, 2016 closing and \$40 million of cash consideration was retained by Arch. The sale of United Guaranty Asia was completed on July 1, 2017 and we received the \$40 million cash proceeds. In the first quarter of 2018, we sold our remaining interest in Arch, which we received as part of the consideration for selling United Guaranty to Arch in 2016.

Concurrent with the closing, we entered into reinsurance agreements with Arch, including an amended and restated 50 percent quota share reinsurance agreement and an aggregate excess of loss reinsurance agreement, pursuant to which we will continue to be exposed to certain United Guaranty policies written between 2009 and 2016.

Ascot

On September 16, 2016, we entered into an agreement to sell our 20 percent interest in Ascot Underwriting Holdings Ltd. and our 100 percent interest in the related syndicate-funding subsidiary Ascot Corporate Name Ltd. to Canada Pension Plan Investment Board (CPPIB). Total consideration for the transaction was \$1.1 billion resulting in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$162 million attributable to AIG's controlling interest, inclusive of CPPIB's recapitalization of Syndicate 1414's Funds at Lloyd's (FAL) capital requirements. The transaction closed on November 18, 2016, and we received approximately \$244 million in net cash proceeds.

Korea Fund

On November 17, 2016, an AIG sponsored Fund (the Korea Fund), completed the sale of mixed-use commercial complex in Seoul, South Korea commonly known as the Seoul International Finance Center to Brookfield Properties for a total consideration of \$2.5 billion, of which \$1.2 billion was used to repay the fund's debt. The sale resulted in a pre-tax gain of \$1.1 billion included in Other Income, of which \$464 million was attributable to AIG's controlling interest.

NSM

On August 31, 2016, we sold our controlling interest in NSM Insurance Group LLC (NSM), a managing general agent to ABRY Partners, a private equity firm, for consideration of \$201 million resulting in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$105 million in the third quarter of 2016. We retained an equity interest in a newly formed joint venture, which we subsequently sold on May 11, 2018 to White Mountains Catskill Holdings, Inc. We will continue to provide underwriting capacity to NSM.

Non-controlling interest in Fortitude

On November 13, 2018, we completed the sale of a 19.9 percent ownership interest in Fortitude Holdings to TCG, an affiliate of The Carlyle Group L.P. (Carlyle). Fortitude Holdings owns 100 percent of the outstanding common shares of Fortitude Re and AIG has an 80.1 percent ownership interest in Fortitude Holdings. We received \$381 million in cash and will receive up to \$95 million of deferred compensation which is subject to certain purchase price adjustments. To the extent we do not receive all or a portion of the planned distributions within 18 months of the Fortitude Re Closing, TCG will pay us up to an additional \$100 million. In connection with the sale, we agreed to certain investment commitment targets into various Carlyle strategies and to certain minimum investment management fee payments within thirty-six months following the closing. We also will be required to pay a proportionate amount of an agreed make-whole fee to the extent we fail to satisfy such investment commitment targets.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires the application of accounting policies that often involve a significant degree of judgment. Accounting policies that we believe are most dependent on the application of estimates and assumptions are considered our critical accounting estimates and are related to the determination of:

- liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses (loss reserves);
- reinsurance assets;
- valuation of future policy benefit liabilities and timing and extent of loss recognition;
- valuation of liabilities for guaranteed benefit features of variable annuity products;
- valuation of embedded derivatives for fixed index annuity and life products;
- estimated gross profits to value deferred policy acquisition costs for investment-oriented products;
- impairment charges, including other-than-temporary impairments on available for sale securities, impairments on other invested assets, including investments in life settlements, and goodwill impairment;
- allowances for loan losses;
- liability for legal contingencies;
- fair value measurements of certain financial assets and liabilities; and
- income tax assets and liabilities, including recoverability of our net deferred tax asset and the predictability of future tax operating profitability of the character necessary to realize the net deferred tax asset and estimates associated with the Tax Act.

These accounting estimates require the use of assumptions about matters, some of which are highly uncertain at the time of estimation. To the extent actual experience differs from the assumptions used, our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially affected.

OUT OF PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2018, our results include out of period adjustments relating to prior periods that decreased net income attributable to AIG by \$77 million, and decreased Income from continuing operations before income taxes by \$98 million. The out of period adjustments are primarily related to decreases in deferred policy acquisition costs and increases in policyholder contract deposits. We determined that these adjustments were not material to the current year or to any previously reported annual financial statements. Had these adjustments been recorded in their appropriate periods, Net income attributable to AIG for the years ended December 31, 2017, and December 31, 2016 would have increased by \$95 million, and by \$117 million, respectively.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, we recorded out of period adjustments relating to prior years that increased Net loss attributable to AIG by \$174 million and increased Loss from continuing operations before income taxes by \$57 million. The out of period adjustments are primarily related to income tax liabilities and ceded loss adjustment expenses.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The following table identifies our significant accounting policies presented in other Notes to these Consolidated Financial Statements, with a reference to the Note where a detailed description can be found:

Note 6. Investments

- Fixed maturity and equity securities
- Other invested assets
- Short-term investments
- Net investment income
- Net realized capital gains (losses)
- Other-than-temporary impairments

Note 7. Lending Activities

- Mortgage and other loans receivable – net of allowance

Note 8. Reinsurance

- Reinsurance assets – net of allowance
- Retroactive reinsurance

Note 9. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

- Deferred policy acquisition costs
- Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs

Note 10. Variable Interest Entities

Note 11. Derivatives and Hedge Accounting

- Derivative assets and liabilities, at fair value

Note 12. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Note 13. Insurance Liabilities

- Liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses
- Discounting of reserves
- Future policy benefits
- Policyholder contract deposits
- Other policyholder funds

Note 14. Variable Life and Annuity Contracts

Note 15. Debt

- Long-term debt

Note 16. Contingencies, Commitments and Guarantees

- Legal contingencies

Note 18. Earnings Per Share

Note 23. Income Taxes

OTHER SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Premiums for short-duration contracts are recorded as written on the inception date of the policy. Premiums are earned primarily on a pro rata basis over the term of the related coverage. Sales of extended services contracts are reflected as premiums written and earned on a pro rata basis over the term of the related coverage. In addition, certain miscellaneous income is included as premiums written and earned. The reserve for unearned premiums includes the portion of premiums written relating to the unexpired terms of coverage. Reinsurance premiums are typically earned over the same period as the underlying policies or risks covered by the contract. As a result, the earnings pattern of a reinsurance contract may extend up to 24 months, reflecting the inception dates of the underlying policies throughout the year.

Reinsurance premiums ceded under prospective reinsurance agreements are recognized as a reduction in revenues over the period the reinsurance coverage is provided in proportion to the risks to which the premiums relate.

Reinsurance premiums for assumed business are estimated based on information received from brokers, ceding companies and reinsureds. Any subsequent differences that arise regarding such estimates are recorded in the periods in which they are determined.

Premiums for long-duration insurance products and life contingent annuities are recognized as revenues when due. Estimates for premiums due but not yet collected are accrued.

Policy fees represent fees recognized from universal life and investment-type products consisting of policy charges for the cost of insurance, policy administration charges, surrender charges and amortization of unearned revenue reserves. Policy fees are recognized as revenues in the period in which they are assessed against policyholders, unless the fees are designed to compensate AIG for services to be provided in the future. Fees deferred as unearned revenue are amortized in relation to the incidence of expected gross profits to be realized over the estimated lives of the contracts, similar to DAC.

Other income includes advisory fee income from the Life and Retirement broker dealer business, as well as legal recoveries of \$11 million, \$27 million and \$44 million from legacy crisis and other matters in 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Other income from our Other Operations category consists of the following:

- Changes in fair value relating to financial assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected.
- Interest income and related expenses, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on bonds with changes in the timing and the amount of expected principal and interest cash flows reflected in the yield, as applicable.
- Dividend income from common and preferred stock and earnings distributions from other investments.
- Changes in the fair value of other securities sold but not yet purchased, futures, hybrid financial instruments, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.
- Income earned on real estate based investments and related realized gains and losses from sales, property level impairments and financing costs.
- Exchange gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions.
- Earnings from private equity funds and hedge fund investments accounted for under the equity method.
- Changes in the fair value of derivatives at AIG Financial Products Corp. and related subsidiaries (collectively AIGFP).

Cash represents cash on hand and non-interest-bearing demand deposits.

Short-term investments consist of interest-bearing cash equivalents, time deposits, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and investments, such as commercial paper, with original maturities within one year from the date of purchase.

Premiums and other receivables – net of allowance include premium balances receivable, amounts due from agents and brokers and policyholders, trade receivables for the Direct Investment book (DIB) and Global Capital Markets (GCM) and other receivables. Trade receivables for GCM include cash collateral posted to derivative counterparties that is not eligible to be netted against derivative liabilities. The allowance for doubtful accounts on premiums and other receivables was \$216 million and \$236 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Other assets consist of sales inducement assets, prepaid expenses, deposits, other deferred charges, real estate, other fixed assets, capitalized software costs, goodwill, intangible assets other than goodwill, restricted cash and derivative assets.

We offer sales inducements which include enhanced crediting rates or bonus payments to contract holders (bonus interest) on certain annuity and investment contract products. Sales inducements provided to the contract holder are recognized in Policyholder contract deposits in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Such amounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the contract using the same methodology and assumptions used to amortize DAC (*see Note 9 herein*). To qualify for such accounting treatment, the bonus interest must be explicitly identified in the contract at inception. We must also demonstrate that such amounts are incremental to amounts we credit on similar contracts without bonus interest, and are higher than the contract's expected ongoing crediting rates for periods after the bonus period. The deferred bonus interest and other deferred sales inducement assets totaled \$752 million and \$738 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The amortization expense associated with these assets is reported within Interest credited to policyholder account balances in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Such amortization expense totaled \$156 million, \$94 million and \$77 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

The cost of buildings and furniture and equipment is depreciated principally on the straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives (maximum of 40 years for buildings and 10 years for furniture and equipment). Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to income as incurred and expenditures for improvements are capitalized and depreciated. We periodically assess the carrying amount of our real estate for purposes of determining any asset impairment. Capitalized software costs, which represent costs directly related to obtaining, developing or upgrading internal use software, are capitalized and amortized using the straight-line method over a period generally not exceeding five years.

Separate accounts represent funds for which investment income and investment gains and losses accrue directly to the policyholders who bear the investment risk. Each account has specific investment objectives and the assets are carried at fair value. The assets of each account are legally segregated and are not subject to claims that arise from any of our other businesses. The liabilities for these accounts are equal to the account assets. Separate accounts may also include deposits for funds held under stable value wrap funding agreements, although the majority of stable value wrap sales are measured based on the notional amount included in assets under management and do not include the receipt of funds. *For a more detailed discussion of separate accounts see Note 14 herein.*

Other liabilities consist of other funds on deposit, other payables, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, securities sold but not yet purchased, derivative liabilities and deferred gains on retroactive reinsurance agreements. We have entered into certain insurance and reinsurance contracts, primarily in our General Insurance companies, that do not contain sufficient insurance risk to be accounted for as insurance or reinsurance. Accordingly, the premiums received on such contracts, after deduction for certain related expenses, are recorded as deposits within Other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Net proceeds of these deposits are invested and generate Net investment income. As amounts are paid, consistent with the underlying contracts, the deposit liability is reduced. Also included in Other liabilities are trade payables for the DIB and GCM, which include option premiums received and payables to counterparties that relate to unrealized gains and losses on futures, forwards, and options and balances due to clearing brokers and exchanges. Trade payables for GCM also include cash collateral received from derivative counterparties that contractually cannot be netted against derivative assets.

Securities sold but not yet purchased represent sales of securities not owned at the time of sale. The obligations arising from such transactions are recorded on a trade-date basis and carried at fair value. Fair values of securities sold but not yet purchased are based on current market prices.

Foreign currency: Financial statement accounts expressed in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars. Functional currency assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars generally using rates of exchange prevailing at the balance sheet date of each respective subsidiary and the related translation adjustments are recorded as a separate component of Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of any related taxes, in Total AIG shareholders' equity. Income statement accounts expressed in functional currencies are translated using average exchange rates during the period. Functional currencies are generally the currencies of the local operating environment. Financial statement accounts expressed in currencies other than the functional currency of a consolidated entity are remeasured into that entity's functional currency resulting in exchange gains or losses recorded in income. The adjustments resulting from translation of financial statements of foreign entities operating in highly inflationary economies are recorded in income.

Non-redeemable noncontrolling interest is the portion of equity (net assets) in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent.

ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ADOPTED DURING 2018

Revenue Recognition

In May 2014, the FASB issued an accounting standard that supersedes most existing revenue recognition guidance. The standard excludes from its scope the accounting for insurance contracts, leases, financial instruments, and certain other agreements that are governed under other GAAP guidance, but could affect the revenue recognition for certain of our other activities.

We adopted the standard using the modified retrospective approach on its required effective date of January 1, 2018. Our analysis of revenues indicated that substantially all of our revenues were from sources excluded from the scope of the standard. For those revenue sources within the scope of the standard, there were no material changes in the timing or measurement of revenues based upon the guidance. As substantially all of our revenue sources were excluded from the scope of the standard, the adoption of the standard did not have a material impact on our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or required disclosures.

Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

In January 2016, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires equity investments that do not follow the equity method of accounting or are not subject to consolidation to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings, while financial liabilities for which fair value option accounting has been elected, changes in fair value due to instrument-specific credit risk are presented separately in other comprehensive income. The standard allows the election to record equity investments without readily determinable fair values at cost, less impairment, adjusted for subsequent observable price changes with changes in the carrying value of the equity investments recorded in earnings. The standard also updates certain fair value disclosure requirements for financial instruments carried at amortized cost.

We adopted the standard on its effective date of January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective approach. The impact of the adoption is primarily related to the reclassification of unrealized gains of equity securities resulting in a net decrease to beginning Accumulated other comprehensive income and a corresponding net increase to beginning Retained earnings of \$824 million.

Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments

In August 2016, the FASB issued an accounting standard that addresses diversity in how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows. The amendments provide clarity on the treatment of eight specifically defined types of cash inflows and outflows.

We adopted the standard retrospectively on its effective date of January 1, 2018. The standard addresses presentation in the statement of cash flows only and did not have a material impact on our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations or required disclosures.

Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets Other than Inventory

In October 2016, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires an entity to recognize the income tax consequences of an intra-entity transfer of an asset other than inventory when the transfer occurs, rather than when the asset is sold to a third party.

We adopted the standard on its effective date of January 1, 2018 using a modified retrospective approach. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or required disclosures.

Restricted Cash

In November 2016, the FASB issued an accounting standard that provides guidance on the presentation of restricted cash in the Statement of Cash Flows. Entities are required to explain the changes during a reporting period in the total of cash, cash equivalents, and amounts generally described as restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows.

We adopted the standard retrospectively on its effective date of January 1, 2018. The standard addresses presentation of restricted cash in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows only and had no impact on our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations or required disclosures.

Gains and Losses from the Derecognition of Nonfinancial Assets

In February 2017, the FASB issued an accounting standard that clarifies the scope of the derecognition guidance for the sale, transfer and derecognition of non-financial assets to noncustomers that aligns with the new revenue recognition principles. The standard also adds new accounting for partial sales of nonfinancial assets (including real estate) that requires an entity to derecognize a nonfinancial asset when it 1) ceases to have a controlling financial interest in the legal entity that holds the asset based on the consolidation model and 2) transfers control of the asset based on the revenue recognition model.

We adopted this standard on its effective date of January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective approach. Based on our evaluation, the adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or required disclosures.

Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension and Postretirement Benefit Cost

In March 2017, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires entities to report the service cost component of net periodic pension and postretirement benefit costs in the same line item as other compensation costs arising from services rendered by the pertinent employees during the period. The other components of net periodic benefit costs are required to be separately presented in the income statement. The amendments also allow only the service cost component to be eligible for capitalization when applicable.

We adopted this standard on its effective date of January 1, 2018. The standard primarily addresses the presentation of the service cost component of net periodic benefit costs in the income statement. AIG's U.S. pension plans are frozen and no longer accrue benefits, which are reflected as service costs. Therefore, the standard did not have a material impact on our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or required disclosures.

Modification of Share-Based Payment Awards

In May 2017, the FASB issued an accounting standard that provides guidance about which changes to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award require an entity to apply modification accounting.

We prospectively adopted this standard on its effective date of January 1, 2018 and the standard did not have a material impact on our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or required disclosures.

Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

In February 2018, the FASB issued an accounting standard that allows the optional reclassification of stranded tax effects within accumulated other comprehensive income to retained earnings that arise due to the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (Tax Act). The amount of the reclassification would reflect the impact of the change in the U.S. federal corporate income tax rate on the gross deferred tax amounts and related valuation allowances, if any, at the date of enactment of the Tax Act and other income tax effects of the Tax Act on items remaining in accumulated other comprehensive income.

We adopted the standard effective January 1, 2018. The impact of the adoption of the standard resulted in an increase to beginning Accumulated other comprehensive income and a corresponding decrease to beginning Retained earnings of \$248 million. *For more information on the adoption of the Tax Act, see Note 23.*

FUTURE APPLICATION OF ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Leases

In February 2016, the FASB issued an accounting standard that will require lessees with lease terms of more than 12 months to recognize a right of use asset and a corresponding lease liability on their balance sheets. For income statement purposes, the FASB retained a dual model, requiring leases to be classified as either operating leases or finance leases. Lessor accounting remained largely the same, with the exception of certain specified changes. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those years, using a modified retrospective approach, and provides for certain practical expedients in transition. During 2018, the FASB issued several amendments and targeted improvements to ease with the application of the standard, including the addition of a transition approach that gives the Company the option of applying the standard at either the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented or the beginning of the period of adoption.

We plan to adopt the standard on its effective date of January 1, 2019, by recognizing a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for leases existing at the date of adoption. We will also elect certain practical expedients that allow us not to reassess existing leases under the new guidance. Based on our analysis, the vast majority of the Company's lease obligation pertained to real estate utilized in the operation of our businesses. Consequently, the primary impact of adoption will result in the recognition of a right of use asset and a lease liability for operating leases pertaining to our real estate portfolio that are expected to represent less than one percent of the Company's Total Assets and Total Liabilities, respectively. We do not expect the standard to have a material effect on our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or required disclosures. See *Note 16, Contingencies, Commitments and Guarantees for additional information.*

Financial Instruments - Credit Losses

In June 2016, the FASB issued an accounting standard that will change how entities account for credit losses for most financial assets, trade receivables and reinsurance receivables. The standard will replace the existing incurred loss impairment model with a new "current expected credit loss model" that generally will result in earlier recognition of credit losses. The standard will apply to financial assets subject to credit losses, including loans measured at amortized cost, reinsurance receivables and certain off-balance sheet credit exposures. Additionally, the impairment of available-for-sale debt securities, including purchased credit deteriorated securities, are subject to the new guidance and will be measured in a similar manner, except that losses will be recognized as allowances rather than reductions in the amortized cost of the securities. The standard will also require additional information to be disclosed in the footnotes.

We plan to adopt the standard on its effective date of January 1, 2020. We are continuing to develop our implementation plan to adopt the standard and are assessing the impact of the standard on our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and required disclosures. While we expect an increase in our allowances for credit losses for the financial instruments within scope of the standard, given the objective of the new standard, the amount of any change will be dependent on our portfolios' composition and quality at the adoption date as well as economic conditions and forecasts at that time.

Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment

In January 2017, the FASB issued an accounting standard that eliminates the requirement to calculate the implied fair value of goodwill, through a hypothetical purchase price allocation, to measure a goodwill impairment charge. Instead, entities will record an impairment charge based on the excess of a reporting unit's carrying amount over its fair value not to exceed the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. An entity should also consider income tax effects from tax deductible goodwill on the carrying amount of the reporting unit when measuring the goodwill impairment loss, if applicable.

The standard is effective on January 1, 2020, with early adoption permitted. We are evaluating the timing of our adoption. Any impact of the standard will be dependent on the market conditions of the reporting units at the time of adoption.

Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities

In March 2017, the FASB issued an accounting standard that shortens the amortization period for certain callable debt securities held at a premium by requiring the premium to be amortized to the earliest call date. The standard does not require an accounting change for securities held at a discount, which continue to be amortized to maturity.

We plan to adopt the standard retrospectively on its effective date of January 1, 2019. We do not expect the standard to have a material impact on our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or required disclosures.

Derivatives and Hedging

In August 2017, the FASB issued an accounting standard that improves and expands hedge accounting for both financial and commodity risks. The provisions of the amendment are intended to better align the accounting with an entity's risk management activities, enhance the transparency on how the economic results are presented in the financial statements and the footnote, and simplify the application of hedge accounting treatment.

The standard is effective on January 1, 2019, with early adoption permitted. We will adopt the standard on its effective date. The standard's impact is not material to our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and required disclosures.

Targeted Improvements to the Accounting for Long-Duration Contracts

In August 2018, the FASB issued an accounting standard update with the objective of making targeted improvements to the existing recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure requirements for long-duration contracts issued by an insurance entity. The standard prescribes significant and comprehensive changes to recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure as summarized below:

- Requires the review and if necessary update of future policy benefit assumptions at least annually for traditional and limited pay long duration contracts, with the recognition and separate presentation of any resulting re-measurement gain or loss (except for discount rate changes as noted below) in the income statement.
- Requires the discount rate assumption to be updated at the end of each reporting period using an upper medium grade (low-credit risk) fixed income instrument yield that maximizes the use of observable market inputs and recognizes the impact of changes to discount rates in other comprehensive income.
- Simplifies the amortization of deferred acquisition costs (DAC) to a constant level basis over the expected term of the related contracts with adjustments for unexpected terminations, but no longer requires an impairment test.
- Requires the measurement of all market risk benefits associated with deposit (or account balance) contracts at fair value through the income statement with the exception of instrument-specific credit risk changes, which will be recognized in other comprehensive income.
- Increased disclosures of disaggregated roll-forwards of policy benefits, account balances, market risk benefits, separate account liabilities and information about significant inputs, judgments and methods used in measurement and changes thereto and impact of those changes.

We plan to adopt the standard on its effective date of January 1, 2021. We are evaluating the method of adoption and impact of the standard on our reported consolidated financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and required disclosures. The adoption of this standard is expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and required disclosures, as well as systems, processes and controls.

3. Segment Information

We report our results of operations consistent with the manner in which our chief operating decision makers review the business to assess performance and allocate resources, as follows:

GENERAL INSURANCE

General Insurance business is presented as two operating segments:

- **North America** — consists of insurance businesses in the United States, Canada and Bermuda. This also includes the results of Validus Reinsurance, Ltd. and Western World Insurance Group, Inc. as of the acquisition date.
- **International** — consists of insurance businesses in Japan, the United Kingdom, Europe, Asia Pacific, Latin America, Puerto Rico, Australia, the Middle East and Africa. This also includes the results of Talbot Holdings, Ltd. as of the acquisition date.

Results are presented before internal reinsurance transactions. North America and International operating segments consist of the following products:

- Commercial Lines — consists of Liability, Financial Lines, Property and Special Risks.
- Personal Insurance — consists of Personal Lines and Accident and Health.

LIFE AND RETIREMENT

Life and Retirement business is presented as four operating segments:

- **Individual Retirement** — consists of fixed annuities, fixed index annuities, variable annuities and retail mutual funds.
- **Group Retirement** — consists of group mutual funds, group fixed annuities, group variable annuities, individual annuity and investment products, financial planning and advisory services.
- **Life Insurance** — primary products in the U.S. include term life and universal life insurance. International operations include distribution of life and health products in the UK and Ireland.
- **Institutional Markets** — consists of stable value wrap products, structured settlement and pension risk transfer annuities, corporate- and bank-owned life insurance and guaranteed investment contracts (GICs).

OTHER OPERATIONS

Other Operations category consists of:

- Income from assets held by AIG Parent and other corporate subsidiaries.
- General operating expenses not attributable to specific reporting segments.
- Interest expense.
- **Blackboard** — a subsidiary focused on delivering commercial insurance solutions using digital technology, data analytics and automation.
- **United Guaranty** — Mortgage insurance protects mortgage lenders and investors against the increased risk of borrower default related to high loan-to-value mortgages. The sale of this business was completed on December 31, 2016.
- **Fuji Life** — consists of term insurance, life insurance, endowment policies and annuities. The sale of this business was completed on April 30, 2017.

LEGACY PORTFOLIO

Legacy Portfolio represents exited or discontinued product lines, policy forms or distribution channels. Effective February 2018, our Bermuda domiciled composite reinsurer, Fortitude Reinsurance Company Ltd. (Fortitude Re), formerly known as DSA Reinsurance Company, Ltd., is included in our Legacy Portfolio.

- **Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines** — Reserves consist of certain structured settlements, pension risk transfer annuities and single premium immediate annuities written prior to April 2012. Also includes exposures to whole life, long-term care and exited accident & health product lines.
- **Legacy General Insurance Run-Off Lines** — Reserves consist of excess workers' compensation, environmental exposures and exposures to other products within General Insurance that are no longer actively marketed. Also includes the remaining reserves in Eaglestone Reinsurance Company (Eaglestone).
- **Legacy Investments** — Includes investment classes that we have placed into run-off including holdings in direct investments as well as investments in global capital markets and global real estate.

On December 31, 2016, we completed the sale of United Guaranty to Arch. *See Note 1 for a further discussion.*

In the second quarter of 2015, a United Guaranty subsidiary and certain of our General Insurance companies entered into a 50 percent quota share reinsurance agreement whereby the United Guaranty subsidiary (1) ceded 50 percent of the risk relating to policies written in 2014 that were current as of January 1, 2015 and (2) ceded 50 percent of the risk relating to all policies written in 2015 and 2016, each in exchange for a 30 percent ceding commission and reimbursements of 50 percent of the losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred on covered policies. Beginning in the third quarter of 2016, the effect of this intercompany reinsurance arrangements is included in the results of Property and Special Risks and Other Operations for all periods presented. Previously, this arrangement was eliminated for purposes of segment reporting. Concurrent with the closing of the sale of United Guaranty, we amended and restated this arrangement and expect the results of this arrangement to continue to be reported in Property and Special Risks.

Investment income of the General Insurance companies is attributed to the North America and International operating segments based on an internal investment income allocation model. The model estimates investable funds based primarily on loss reserves and unearned premiums. Investment income of the Life and Retirement companies is attributed to the Individual Retirement, Group Retirement, Life Insurance and Institutional Markets operating segments as well as the Legacy Life and Retirement Run-Off Lines based on invested assets in segregated product line portfolios; income from invested assets in excess of liabilities is allocated to product lines based on internal capital estimates.

We evaluate segment performance based on adjusted revenues and adjusted pre-tax income (loss). Adjusted revenues and adjusted pre-tax income (loss) are derived by excluding certain items from total revenues and net income (loss) attributable to AIG, respectively. *For the items excluded from adjusted revenues and adjusted pre-tax income (loss) see the table below.*

The following table presents AIG's continuing operations by operating segment:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Total Revenues	Net Investment Income	Interest Expense	Amortization of DAC	Adjusted Pre-Tax Income (Loss)
2018					
General Insurance					
North America	\$ 14,619	\$ 2,305	\$ -	\$ 1,859	\$ (8)
International	15,554	363	-	2,737	(461)
Total General Insurance	30,173	2,668	-	4,596	(469)
Life and Retirement					
Individual Retirement	5,338	3,827	82	630	1,681
Group Retirement	2,891	2,172	42	95	933
Life Insurance	4,007	1,137	25	(50)	330
Institutional Markets	1,900	786	13	5	246
Total Life and Retirement	14,136	7,922	162	680	3,190
Other Operations	636	45	1,066	10	(1,584)
Legacy Portfolio	3,039	2,325	30	105	213
AIG Consolidation and elimination	(171)	(232)	85	-	59
Total AIG Consolidated adjusted revenues and adjusted pre-tax income	\$ 47,813	\$ 12,728	\$ 1,343	\$ 5,391	\$ 1,409
Reconciling items from adjusted pre-tax income to pre-tax income (loss):					
Changes in fair value of securities used to hedge guaranteed living benefits	(128)	(128)	-	-	(154)
Changes in benefit reserves and DAC, VOBA and SIA related to net realized capital gains	-	-	-	(5)	6
Other income (expense) - net	(53)	-	-	-	-
Loss on extinguishment of debt	-	-	-	-	(7)
Net realized capital losses	(254)	(124)	(34)	-	(193)
Income from divested businesses	-	-	-	-	38
Non-operating litigation reserves and settlements	11	-	-	-	(19)
(Unfavorable) favorable prior year development and related amortization changes ceded under retroactive reinsurance agreements	-	-	-	-	(675)
Net loss reserve discount benefit (charge)	-	-	-	-	371
Pension expense related to a one-time lump sum payment to former employees	-	-	-	-	-
Integration and transaction costs associated with acquired businesses	-	-	-	-	(124)
Restructuring and other costs	-	-	-	-	(395)
Revenues and Pre-tax income (loss)	\$ 47,389	\$ 12,476	\$ 1,309	\$ 5,386	\$ 257
2017					
General Insurance					
North America	\$ 14,600	\$ 3,145	\$ 31	\$ 1,305	\$ (232)
International	15,094	523	(9)	2,460	(581)
Total General Insurance	29,694	3,668	22	3,765	(813)
Life and Retirement					
Individual Retirement	5,514	4,013	58	415	2,289
Group Retirement	2,848	2,164	32	84	1,004
Life Insurance	4,056	1,044	13	239	274
Institutional Markets	3,168	595	6	5	264
Total Life and Retirement	15,586	7,816	109	743	3,831
Other Operations	1,413	53	968	(9)	(1,405)
Legacy Portfolio	4,391	2,776	122	76	1,470
AIG Consolidation and elimination	(308)	(280)	(53)	4	75
Total AIG Consolidated adjusted revenues and adjusted pre-tax income	\$ 50,776	\$ 14,033	\$ 1,168	\$ 4,579	\$ 3,158

Reconciling Items from adjusted pre-tax income to**pre-tax income:**

Changes in fair value of securities used to hedge guaranteed living benefits	146	146	-	-	146
Changes in benefit reserves and DAC, VOBA and SIA related to net realized capital gains	-	-	-	(291)	303
Other income (expense) - net	(49)	-	-	-	-
Gain on extinguishment of debt	-	-	-	-	5
Net realized capital losses	(1,380)	-	-	-	(1,380)
Income from divested businesses	-	-	-	-	68
Non-operating litigation reserves and settlements	27	-	-	-	129
(Unfavorable) favorable prior year development and related amortization changes ceded under retroactive reinsurance agreements	-	-	-	-	(303)
Net loss reserve discount benefit (charge)	-	-	-	-	(187)
Pension expense related to a one-time lump sum payment to former employees	-	-	-	-	(60)
Restructuring and other costs	-	-	-	-	(413)
Revenues and Pre-tax income	\$ 49,520	\$ 14,179	\$ 1,168	\$ 4,288	\$ 1,466

2016**General Insurance**

North America	\$ 17,005	\$ 3,041	\$ 28	\$ 1,444	\$ (2,399)
International	16,135	513	-	2,677	348
Total General Insurance	33,140	3,554	28	4,121	(2,051)

Life and Retirement

Individual Retirement	5,758	3,878	50	298	2,269
Group Retirement	2,769	2,146	26	129	931
Life Insurance	3,818	1,035	12	182	(37)
Institutional Markets	1,433	563	4	4	265
Total Life and Retirement	13,778	7,622	92	613	3,428

Other Operations

	2,517	207	978	72	(1,011)

Legacy Portfolio

	5,250	2,913	282	108	1,007

AIG Consolidation and elimination

	(494)	(351)	(120)	(117)	42

Total AIG Consolidated adjusted revenues and adjusted

pre-tax income	\$ 54,191	\$ 13,945	\$ 1,260	\$ 4,797	\$ 1,415

Reconciling Items from adjusted pre-tax income to**pre-tax income (loss):**

Changes in fair value of securities used to hedge guaranteed living benefits	120	120	-	-	120
Changes in benefit reserves and DAC, VOBA and SIA related to net realized capital gains	-	-	-	(276)	195
Other income (expense) - net	(44)	-	-	-	-
Loss on extinguishment of debt	-	-	-	-	(74)
Net realized capital losses	(1,944)	-	-	-	(1,944)
Income from divested businesses	-	-	-	-	545
Non-operating litigation reserves and settlements	44	-	-	-	41
(Unfavorable) favorable prior year development and related amortization changes ceded under retroactive reinsurance agreements	-	-	-	-	42
Net loss reserve discount benefit (charge)	-	-	-	-	427
Pension expense related to a one-time lump sum payment to former employees	-	-	-	-	(147)
Restructuring and other costs	-	-	-	-	(694)
Revenues and Pre-tax income (loss)	\$ 52,367	\$ 14,065	\$ 1,260	\$ 4,521	\$ (74)

* Includes all net realized capital gains and losses except earned income (periodic settlements and changes in settlement accruals) on derivative instruments used for non-qualifying (economic) hedging or for asset replication.

The following table presents AIG's year-end identifiable assets and capital expenditures by legal entity category:

(in millions)	Year-End Identifiable Assets		Capital Expenditures	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
General Insurance companies	\$ 110,007	\$ 114,841	\$ 171	\$ 239
Life and Retirement companies	247,219	289,457	94	88
Other	140,428	105,425	103	156
AIG Consolidation and Elimination	(5,670)	(11,422)	-	-
Total Assets	\$ 491,984	\$ 498,301	\$ 368	\$ 483

The following table presents AIG's consolidated total revenues and real estate and other fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation, by major geographic area:

(in millions)	Total Revenues*			Real Estate and Other Fixed Assets, Net of Accumulated Depreciation		
	2018	2017	2016	2018	2017	2016
North America	\$ 31,003	\$ 34,149	\$ 36,871	\$ 1,479	\$ 1,630	\$ 1,326
International	16,386	15,371	15,496	693	892	1,334
Consolidated	\$ 47,389	\$ 49,520	\$ 52,367	\$ 2,172	\$ 2,522	\$ 2,660

* Revenues are generally reported according to the geographic location of the reporting unit. International revenues consists of revenues from our General Insurance International operating segment.

4. Business Combination

On July 18, 2018, we completed the purchase of a 100 percent voting interest in Validus, a leading provider of reinsurance, primary insurance, and asset management services, for \$5.5 billion in cash. This transaction was made with the intent to strengthen our global General Insurance business by expanding our current product portfolio through additional distribution channels and advancing the tools available to enhance underwriting. The impact of the acquisition on Total revenues, Net income (loss), and Net income (loss) attributable to AIG was \$1.4 billion, \$(206) million, and \$(206) million, respectively, for 2018. Integration and transaction costs associated with the acquisition of Validus were \$101 million for 2018 and are included in General operating and other expenses in our Consolidated Statement of Income.

As part of the purchase, we guaranteed 6,000 issued and outstanding 5.875% Non-Cumulative Preference Shares, Series A (the Series A Preference Shares) and 10,000 issued and outstanding 5.800% Non-Cumulative Preference Shares, Series B (together with the Series A Preference Shares, the Preference Shares). On October 30, 2018, Validus redeemed all of its outstanding Preference Shares at a redemption price of \$26,000 per Preference Share for approximately \$416 million in the aggregate.

The purchase was accounted for under the acquisition method. Accordingly, the total purchase price was allocated to the estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. This allocation resulted in the purchase price exceeding the fair value of net assets acquired, which results in a difference recorded as goodwill. Goodwill generated from the acquisition is attributable to expected synergies from future growth and potential future monetization opportunities. Goodwill related to the purchase of Validus assigned to our General Insurance operating segments was \$1.8 billion for North America and \$157 million for International.

In addition, Validus participates in the market for insurance-linked securities (ILS) primarily through AlphaCat Managers, Ltd (AlphaCat Manager). AlphaCat Manager is an asset manager primarily for third-party investors and in connection with the issuance of ILS invests in AlphaCat funds which are considered variable interest entities (VIEs). ILS are financial instruments for which the values are determined based on insurance losses caused primarily by natural catastrophes such as major earthquakes and hurricanes. We report the investment in AlphaCat funds, which is approximately \$116 million at December 31, 2018, in Other Invested Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The following table summarizes the estimated provisional fair values of major classes of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of July 18, 2018:

<i>(in millions)</i>	July 18, 2018
Identifiable net assets:	
Investments	\$ 6,613
Cash	330
Premiums and other receivables	2,130
Reinsurance assets	1,692
Value of business acquired*	298
Deferred income taxes	63
Other assets, including restricted cash of \$93	1,008
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense	(4,138)
Unearned premiums	(2,083)
Long-term debt	(1,106)
Other liabilities	(913)
Preference shares	(416)
Total identifiable net assets acquired	3,478
Cash consideration paid	5,475
Goodwill recognized from acquisition	\$ 1,997

* Reported in Deferred policy acquisition costs in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The following unaudited summarized pro forma consolidated income statement information assumes that the acquisition of Validus occurred as of January 1, 2017. The pro forma amounts are for comparative purposes only, may not necessarily reflect the results of operations that would have resulted had the acquisition been completed at the beginning of the applicable period and may not be indicative of the results that will be attained in the future.

Years Ended December 31,		2018*	2017*
<i>(in millions)</i>			
Total revenues	\$	48,588	\$ 52,009
Net income (loss)		16	(6,104)
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG		(51)	(6,132)
Income (loss) per common share attributable to AIG:			
Basic:			
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG		(0.06)	(6.59)
Diluted:			
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG		(0.06)	(6.59)

* Pro forma adjustments were made to Validus external reporting results prior to the acquisition date for the deconsolidation of certain asset management entities consistent with AIG's post acquisition accounting, which had no impact on Net income attributable to Validus.

The following table presents details of the identified intangible assets acquired:

<i>(in millions, except years)</i>	Fair Value	Estimated Weighted Average Useful Life
Definite lived intangibles		
Value of distribution network acquired ^{(a)(b)}	\$ 444	15 years
Value of business acquired ^(c)	298	2 years
Indefinite lived intangibles^(a)		
Syndicate capacity	193	
Other	75	
Total	\$ 1,010	

(a) Reported in Other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

(b) Amortization is reported in General operating and other expenses in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss).

(c) Reported in Deferred policy acquisition costs in the Consolidated Balance Sheet and Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss).

5. Fair Value Measurements

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS ON A RECURRING BASIS

We carry certain of our financial instruments at fair value. We define the fair value of a financial instrument as the amount that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We are responsible for the determination of the value of the investments carried at fair value and the supporting methodologies and assumptions.

The degree of judgment used in measuring the fair value of financial instruments generally inversely correlates with the level of observable valuation inputs. We maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Financial instruments with quoted prices in active markets generally have more pricing observability and less judgment is used in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial instruments for which no quoted prices are available have less observability and are measured at fair value using valuation models or other pricing techniques that require more judgment. Pricing observability is affected by a number of factors, including the type of financial instrument, whether the financial instrument is new to the market and not yet established, the characteristics specific to the transaction, liquidity and general market conditions.

Fair Value Hierarchy

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets are measured and classified in accordance with a fair value hierarchy consisting of three “levels” based on the observability of valuation inputs:

- **Level 1:** Fair value measurements based on quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that we have the ability to access for identical assets or liabilities. Market price data generally is obtained from exchange or dealer markets. We do not adjust the quoted price for such instruments.
- **Level 2:** Fair value measurements based on inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.
- **Level 3:** Fair value measurements based on valuation techniques that use significant inputs that are unobservable. Both observable and unobservable inputs may be used to determine the fair values of positions classified in Level 3. The circumstances for using these measurements include those in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. Therefore, we must make certain assumptions about the inputs a hypothetical market participant would use to value that asset or liability.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments carried at fair value. These methodologies are applied to assets and liabilities across the levels discussed above, and it is the observability of the inputs used that determines the appropriate level in the fair value hierarchy for the respective asset or liability.

VALUATION METHODOLOGIES OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE

Incorporation of Credit Risk in Fair Value Measurements

- **Our Own Credit Risk.** Fair value measurements for certain liabilities incorporate our own credit risk by determining the explicit cost for each counterparty to protect against its net credit exposure to us at the balance sheet date by reference to observable AIG CDS or cash bond spreads. We calculate the effect of credit spread changes using discounted cash flow techniques that incorporate current market interest rates. A derivative counterparty's net credit exposure to us is determined based on master netting agreements, when applicable, which take into consideration all derivative positions with us, as well as collateral we post with the counterparty at the balance sheet date. *For a description of how we incorporate our own credit risk in the valuation of embedded derivatives related to certain annuity and life insurance products see Embedded Derivatives within Policyholder Contract Deposits below.*
- **Counterparty Credit Risk.** Fair value measurements for freestanding derivatives incorporate counterparty credit by determining the explicit cost for us to protect against our net credit exposure to each counterparty at the balance sheet date by reference to observable counterparty CDS spreads, when available. When not available, other directly or indirectly observable credit spreads will be used to derive the best estimates of the counterparty spreads. Our net credit exposure to a counterparty is determined based on master netting agreements, which take into consideration all derivative positions with the counterparty, as well as collateral posted by the counterparty at the balance sheet date.

Fair values for fixed maturity securities based on observable market prices for identical or similar instruments implicitly incorporate counterparty credit risk. Fair values for fixed maturity securities based on internal models incorporate counterparty credit risk by using discount rates that take into consideration cash issuance spreads for similar instruments or other observable information.

For fair values measured based on internal models, the cost of credit protection is determined under a discounted present value approach considering the market levels for single name CDS spreads for each specific counterparty, the mid-market value of the net exposure (reflecting the amount of protection required) and the weighted average life of the net exposure. CDS spreads are provided to us by an independent third party. We utilize an interest rate based on the benchmark London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) curve to derive our discount rates.

While this approach does not explicitly consider all potential future behavior of the derivative transactions or potential future changes in valuation inputs, we believe this approach provides a reasonable estimate of the fair value of the assets and liabilities, including consideration of the impact of non-performance risk.

Fixed Maturity Securities

Whenever available, we obtain quoted prices in active markets for identical assets at the balance sheet date to measure fixed maturity securities at fair value. Market price data is generally obtained from dealer markets.

We employ independent third-party valuation service providers to gather, analyze, and interpret market information to derive fair value estimates for individual investments, based upon market-accepted methodologies and assumptions. The methodologies used by these independent third-party valuation service providers are reviewed and understood by management, through periodic discussion with and information provided by the independent third-party valuation service providers. In addition, as discussed further below, control processes are applied to the fair values received from independent third-party valuation service providers to ensure the accuracy of these values.

Valuation service providers typically obtain data about market transactions and other key valuation model inputs from multiple sources and, through the use of market-accepted valuation methodologies, which may utilize matrix pricing, financial models, accompanying model inputs and various assumptions, provide a single fair value measurement for individual securities. The inputs used by the valuation service providers include, but are not limited to, market prices from completed transactions for identical securities and transactions for comparable securities, benchmark yields, interest rate yield curves, credit spreads, prepayment rates, default rates, recovery assumptions, currency rates, quoted prices for similar securities and other market-observable information, as applicable. If fair value is determined using financial models, these models generally take into account, among other things, market observable information as of the measurement date as well as the specific attributes of the security being valued, including its term, interest rate, credit rating, industry sector, and when applicable, collateral quality and other security or issuer-specific information. When market transactions or other market observable data is limited, the extent to which judgment is applied in determining fair value is greatly increased.

We have control processes designed to ensure that the fair values received from independent third-party valuation service providers are accurately recorded, that their data inputs and valuation techniques are appropriate and consistently applied and that the assumptions used appear reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value. We assess the reasonableness of individual security values received from independent third-party valuation service providers through various analytical techniques, and have procedures to escalate related questions internally and to the independent third-party valuation service providers for resolution. To assess the degree of pricing consensus among various valuation service providers for specific asset types, we conduct comparisons of prices received from available sources. We use these comparisons to establish a hierarchy for the fair values received from independent third-party valuation service providers to be used for particular security classes. We also validate prices for selected securities through reviews by members of management who have relevant expertise and who are independent of those charged with executing investing transactions.

When our independent third-party valuation service providers are unable to obtain sufficient market observable information upon which to estimate the fair value for a particular security, fair value is determined either by requesting brokers who are knowledgeable about these securities to provide a price quote, which is generally non-binding, or by employing market accepted valuation models. Broker prices may be based on an income approach, which converts expected future cash flows to a single present value amount, with specific consideration of inputs relevant to particular security types. For structured securities, such inputs may include ratings, collateral types, geographic concentrations, underlying loan vintages, loan delinquencies and defaults, loss severity assumptions, prepayments, and weighted average coupons and maturities. When the volume or level of market activity for a security is limited, certain inputs used to determine fair value may not be observable in the market. Broker prices may also be based on a market approach that considers recent transactions involving identical or similar securities. Fair values provided by brokers are subject to similar control processes to those noted above for fair values from independent third-party valuation service providers, including management reviews. For those corporate debt instruments (for example, private placements) that are not traded in active markets or that are subject to transfer restrictions, valuations reflect illiquidity and non-transferability, based on available market evidence. When observable price quotations are not available, fair value is determined based on discounted cash flow models using discount rates based on credit spreads, yields or price levels of comparable securities, adjusted for illiquidity and structure. Fair values determined internally are also subject to management review to ensure that valuation models and related inputs are reasonable.

The methodology above is relevant for all fixed maturity securities including residential mortgage backed securities (RMBS), commercial mortgage backed securities (CMBS), collateralized debt obligations (CDO), other asset-backed securities (ABS) and fixed maturity securities issued by government sponsored entities and corporate entities.

Equity Securities Traded in Active Markets

Whenever available, we obtain quoted prices in active markets for identical assets at the balance sheet date to measure equity securities at fair value. Market price data is generally obtained from exchange or dealer markets.

Mortgage and Other Loans Receivable

We estimate the fair value of mortgage and other loans receivable that are measured at fair value by using dealer quotations, discounted cash flow analyses and/or internal valuation models. The determination of fair value considers inputs such as interest rate, maturity, the borrower's creditworthiness, collateral, subordination, guarantees, past-due status, yield curves, credit curves, prepayment rates, market pricing for comparable loans and other relevant factors.

Other Invested Assets

We initially estimate the fair value of investments in certain hedge funds, private equity funds and other investment partnerships by reference to the transaction price. Subsequently, we generally obtain the fair value of these investments from net asset value information provided by the general partner or manager of the investments, the financial statements of which are generally audited annually. We consider observable market data and perform certain control procedures to validate the appropriateness of using the net asset value as a fair value measurement. The fair values of other investments carried at fair value, such as direct private equity holdings, are initially determined based on transaction price and are subsequently estimated based on available evidence such as market transactions in similar instruments, other financing transactions of the issuer and other available financial information for the issuer, with adjustments made to reflect illiquidity as appropriate.

Short-term Investments

For short-term investments that are measured at amortized cost, the carrying amounts of these assets approximate fair values because of the relatively short period of time between origination and expected realization, and their limited exposure to credit risk. Securities purchased under agreements to resell (reverse repurchase agreements) are generally treated as collateralized receivables. We report certain receivables arising from securities purchased under agreements to resell as Short-term investments in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. When these receivables are measured at fair value, we use market-observable interest rates to determine fair value.

Separate Account Assets

Separate account assets are composed primarily of registered and unregistered open-end mutual funds that generally trade daily and are measured at fair value in the manner discussed above for equity securities traded in active markets.

Freestanding Derivatives

Derivative assets and liabilities can be exchange-traded or traded over-the-counter (OTC). We generally value exchange-traded derivatives such as futures and options using quoted prices in active markets for identical derivatives at the balance sheet date.

OTC derivatives are valued using market transactions and other market evidence whenever possible, including market-based inputs to models, model calibration to market clearing transactions, broker or dealer quotations or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. When models are used, the selection of a particular model to value an OTC derivative depends on the contractual terms of, and specific risks inherent in the instrument, as well as the availability of pricing information in the market. We generally use similar models to value similar instruments. Valuation models require a variety of inputs, including contractual terms, market prices and rates, yield curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates and correlations of such inputs. For OTC derivatives that trade in liquid markets, such as generic forwards, swaps and options, model inputs can generally be corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means, and model selection does not involve significant management judgment.

For certain OTC derivatives that trade in less liquid markets, where we generally do not have corroborating market evidence to support significant model inputs and cannot verify the model to market transactions, the transaction price may provide the best estimate of fair value. Accordingly, when a pricing model is used to value such an instrument, the model is adjusted so the model value at inception equals the transaction price. We will update valuation inputs in these models only when corroborated by evidence such as similar market transactions, independent third-party valuation service providers and/or broker or dealer quotations, or other empirical market data. When appropriate, valuations are adjusted for various factors such as liquidity, bid/offer spreads and credit considerations. Such adjustments are generally based on available market evidence. In the absence of such evidence, management's best estimate is used.

We value our super senior credit default swap portfolio using prices obtained from vendors and/or counterparties. The valuation of the super senior credit derivatives is complex because of the limited availability of market observable information due to the lack of trading and price transparency in certain structured finance markets. Our valuation methodologies for the super senior CDS portfolio have evolved over time in response to market conditions and the availability of market observable information. We have sought to calibrate the methodologies to available market information and to review the assumptions of the methodologies on a regular basis.

Embedded Derivatives within Policyholder Contract Deposits

Certain variable annuity and equity-indexed annuity and life contracts contain embedded derivatives that we bifurcate from the host contracts and account for separately at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. These embedded derivatives are classified within Policyholder contract deposits. We have concluded these contracts contain either (i) a written option that guarantees a minimum accumulation value at maturity, (ii) a written option that guarantees annual withdrawals regardless of underlying market performance for a specific period or for life, or (iii) equity-indexed written options that meet the criteria of derivatives and must be bifurcated.

The fair value of embedded derivatives contained in certain variable annuity and equity-indexed annuity and life contracts is measured based on policyholder behavior and capital market assumptions related to projected cash flows over the expected lives of the contracts. These discounted cash flow projections primarily include benefits and related fees assessed, when applicable. In some instances, the projected cash flows from fees may exceed projected cash flows related to benefit payments and therefore, at a point in time, the carrying value of the embedded derivative may be in a net asset position. The projected cash flows incorporate best estimate assumptions for policyholder behavior (including mortality, lapses, withdrawals and benefit utilization), along with an explicit risk margin to reflect a market participant's estimates of projected cash flows and policyholder behavior. Estimates of future policyholder behavior assumptions are subjective and based primarily on our historical experience.

Because of the dynamic and complex nature of the projected cash flows with respect to embedded derivatives in our variable annuity contracts, risk neutral valuations are used, which are calibrated to observable interest rate and equity option prices. Estimating the underlying cash flows for these products involves judgments regarding expected market rates of return, market volatility, credit spreads, correlations of certain market variables, fund performance, discount rates and policyholder behavior. The portion of fees attributable to the fair value of expected benefit payments are included within the fair value measurement of these embedded derivatives, and related fees are classified in net realized gain/loss as earned, consistent with other changes in the fair value of these embedded policy derivatives. Any portion of the fees not attributed to the embedded derivatives are excluded from the fair value measurement and classified in policy fees as earned.

With respect to embedded derivatives in our equity-indexed annuity and life contracts, option pricing models are used to estimate fair value, taking into account assumptions for future equity index growth rates, volatility of the equity index, future interest rates, and our ability to adjust the participation rate and the cap on equity-indexed credited rates in light of market conditions and policyholder behavior assumptions.

Projected cash flows are discounted using the interest rate swap curve (swap curve), which is commonly viewed as being consistent with the credit spreads for highly-rated financial institutions (S&P AA-rated or above). A swap curve shows the fixed-rate leg of a non-complex swap against the floating rate (for example, LIBOR) leg of a related tenor. We also incorporate our own risk of non-performance in the valuation of the embedded derivatives associated with variable annuity and equity-indexed annuity and life contracts. The non-performance risk adjustment reflects a market participant's view of our claims-paying ability by incorporating an additional spread to the swap curve used to discount projected benefit cash flows in the valuation of these embedded derivatives. The non-performance risk adjustment is calculated by constructing forward rates based on a weighted average of observable corporate credit indices to approximate the claims-paying ability rating of our Life and Retirement companies.

Long-Term Debt

The fair value of non-structured liabilities is generally determined by using market prices from exchange or dealer markets, when available, or discounting expected cash flows using the appropriate discount rate for the applicable maturity. We determine the fair value of structured liabilities and hybrid financial instruments (where performance is linked to structured interest rates, inflation or currency risks) using the appropriate derivative valuation methodology (described above) given the nature of the embedded risk profile. In addition, adjustments are made to the valuations of both non-structured and structured liabilities to reflect our own creditworthiness based on the methodology described under the caption "Incorporation of Credit Risk in Fair Value Measurements – Our Own Credit Risk" above.

Borrowings under obligations of guaranteed investment agreements (GIAs), which are guaranteed by us, are recorded at fair value using discounted cash flow calculations based on interest rates currently being offered for similar contracts and our current market observable implicit credit spread rates with maturities consistent with those remaining for the contracts being valued. Obligations may be called at various times prior to maturity at the option of the counterparty. Interest rates on these borrowings are primarily fixed, vary by maturity and range up to 7.62 percent.

Other Liabilities

Other liabilities measured at fair value include certain securities sold under agreements to repurchase and certain securities sold but not yet purchased. Liabilities arising from securities sold under agreements to repurchase are generally treated as collateralized borrowings. We estimate the fair value of liabilities arising under these agreements by using market-observable interest rates. This methodology considers such factors as the coupon rate, yield curves and other relevant factors. Fair values for securities sold but not yet purchased are based on current market prices.

ASSETS AND LIABILITIES MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE ON A RECURRING BASIS

The following table presents information about assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and indicates the level of the fair value measurement based on the observability of the inputs used:

December 31, 2018 (in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Counterparty Netting ^(a)	Cash Collateral	Total
Assets:						
Bonds available for sale:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$ 53	\$ 3,207	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,260
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	-	14,001	2,000	-	-	16,001
Non-U.S. governments	69	14,445	11	-	-	14,525
Corporate debt	-	129,836	864	-	-	130,700
RMBS	-	20,178	14,199	-	-	34,377
CMBS	-	11,784	917	-	-	12,701
CDO/ABS	-	8,725	9,102	-	-	17,827
Total bonds available for sale	122	202,176	27,093	-	-	229,391
Other bond securities:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	11	2,654	-	-	-	2,665
Non-U.S. governments	-	45	-	-	-	45
Corporate debt	-	1,671	-	-	-	1,671
RMBS	-	424	1,290	-	-	1,714
CMBS	-	311	77	-	-	388
CDO/ABS	-	454	4,478	-	-	4,932
Total other bond securities	11	5,559	5,845	-	-	11,415
Equity securities^(b)	1,213	13	27	-	-	1,253
Mortgage and other loans receivable	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other invested assets^(c)	-	341	587	-	-	928
Derivative assets:						
Interest rate contracts	2	2,888	-	-	-	2,890
Foreign exchange contracts	-	1,159	5	-	-	1,164
Equity contracts	133	190	75	-	-	398
Credit contracts	-	-	1	-	-	1
Other contracts	-	-	15	-	-	15
Counterparty netting and cash collateral	-	-	-	(1,713)	(1,840)	(3,553)
Total derivative assets	135	4,237	96	(1,713)	(1,840)	915
Short-term investments	2,416	599	-	-	-	3,015
Separate account assets	77,202	4,645	-	-	-	81,847
Other assets	-	-	58	-	-	58
Total	\$ 81,099	\$ 217,570	\$ 33,706	\$ (1,713)	\$ (1,840)	\$ 328,822
Liabilities:						
Policyholder contract deposits	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,116	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,116
Derivative liabilities:						
Interest rate contracts	4	2,004	15	-	-	2,023
Foreign exchange contracts	-	858	-	-	-	858
Equity contracts	12	3	-	-	-	15
Credit contracts	-	8	228	-	-	236
Other contracts	-	-	6	-	-	6
Counterparty netting and cash collateral	-	-	-	(1,713)	(187)	(1,900)
Total derivative liabilities	16	2,873	249	(1,713)	(187)	1,238
Long-term debt	-	2,213	-	-	-	2,213
Other liabilities	16	11	-	-	-	27
Total	\$ 32	\$ 5,097	\$ 4,365	\$ (1,713)	\$ (187)	\$ 7,594

December 31, 2017				Counterparty	Cash		
(in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting ^(a)	Collateral	Total	
Assets:							
Bonds available for sale:							
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$ 201	\$ 2,455	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,656	
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	-	16,240	2,404	-	-	18,644	
Non-U.S. governments	20	15,631	8	-	-	15,659	
Corporate debt	-	133,003	1,173	-	-	134,176	
RMBS	-	21,098	16,136	-	-	37,234	
CMBS	-	13,217	624	-	-	13,841	
CDO/ABS	-	8,131	8,651	-	-	16,782	
Total bonds available for sale	221	209,775	28,996	-	-	238,992	
Other bond securities:							
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	238	2,564	-	-	-	2,802	
Non-U.S. governments	-	57	-	-	-	57	
Corporate debt	-	1,891	18	-	-	1,909	
RMBS	-	421	1,464	-	-	1,885	
CMBS	-	485	74	-	-	559	
CDO/ABS	-	604	4,956	-	-	5,560	
Total other bond securities	238	6,022	6,512	-	-	12,772	
Equity securities available for sale:							
Common stock	1,061	-	-	-	-	1,061	
Preferred stock	18	515	-	-	-	533	
Mutual funds	110	4	-	-	-	114	
Total equity securities available for sale	1,189	519	-	-	-	1,708	
Other equity securities	589	-	-	-	-	589	
Mortgage and other loans receivable	-	-	5	-	-	5	
Other invested assets^(c)	-	1	250	-	-	251	
Derivative assets:							
Interest rate contracts	1	2,170	-	-	-	2,171	
Foreign exchange contracts	-	827	4	-	-	831	
Equity contracts	188	252	82	-	-	522	
Credit contracts	-	-	1	-	-	1	
Other contracts	-	-	20	-	-	20	
Counterparty netting and cash collateral	-	-	-	(1,464)	(1,159)	(2,623)	
Total derivative assets	189	3,249	107	(1,464)	(1,159)	922	
Short-term investments	2,078	537	-	-	-	2,615	
Separate account assets	87,141	5,657	-	-	-	92,798	
Total	\$ 91,645	\$ 225,760	\$ 35,870	\$ (1,464)	\$ (1,159)	\$ 350,652	
Liabilities:							
Policyholder contract deposits	\$ -	\$ 14	\$ 4,136	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,150	
Derivative liabilities:							
Interest rate contracts	2	2,176	22	-	-	2,200	
Foreign exchange contracts	-	1,241	4	-	-	1,245	
Equity contracts	2	19	-	-	-	21	
Credit contracts	-	14	263	-	-	277	
Other contracts	-	-	5	-	-	5	
Counterparty netting and cash collateral	-	-	-	(1,464)	(1,249)	(2,713)	
Total derivative liabilities	4	3,450	294	(1,464)	(1,249)	1,035	
Long-term debt	-	2,888	-	-	-	2,888	
Other liabilities	46	43	-	-	-	89	
Total	\$ 50	\$ 6,395	\$ 4,430	\$ (1,464)	\$ (1,249)	\$ 8,162	

(a) Represents netting of derivative exposures covered by qualifying master netting agreements.

(b) As a result of the adoption of the Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities standard on January 1, 2018 (Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement Standard), equity securities are no longer classified and accounted for as available for sale securities.

(c) Excludes investments that are measured at fair value using the net asset value (NAV) per share (or its equivalent), which totaled \$5.0 billion and \$6.0 billion as of December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

TRANSFERS OF LEVEL 1 AND LEVEL 2 ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Our policy is to record transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 and Level 2 at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value. Assets are transferred out of Level 1 when they are no longer transacted with sufficient frequency and volume in an active market. Conversely, assets are transferred from Level 2 to Level 1 when transaction volume and frequency are indicative of an active market.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, we transferred \$392 million of securities issued by non-U.S. government entities from Level 1 to Level 2, because they are no longer considered actively traded. We had no material transfers of securities issued by non-U.S. government entities from Level 1 to Level 2 during the year ended December 31, 2018. For similar reasons, during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, we transferred \$806 million and \$113 million, respectively, of securities issued by the U.S. government and government-sponsored entities from Level 1 to Level 2. Additionally, we transferred \$126 million of preferred stock from Level 1 to Level 2 during the year ended December 31, 2017. We had no material transfers of preferred stock from Level 1 to Level 2 during the year ended December 31, 2018. There were no material transfers from Level 2 to Level 1 during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

			Net							Changes in
			Realized and							Unrealized Gains
			Unrealized		Purchases,					(Losses) Included
	Fair Value	Gains (Losses)	Other		Sales,	Gross	Gross		Fair Value	in Income on
	Beginning	Included	Comprehensive		Issuances and	Transfers	Transfers		End	Instruments Held
(in millions)	of Year	in Income	Income (Loss)		Settlements, Net	In	Out	Acquisitions	of Year	at End of Year
December 31, 2018										
Assets:										
Bonds available for sale:										
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$ 2,404	\$ -	\$ (152)	\$ (66)	\$ 54	\$ (240)	\$ -	\$ 2,000	\$ -	
Non-U.S. governments	8	(9)	5	(1)	13	(5)	-	11	-	
Corporate debt	1,173	(74)	(2)	(207)	780	(806)	-	864	-	
RMBS	16,136	838	(300)	(2,424)	8	(66)	7	14,199	-	
CMBS	624	23	(29)	207	111	(20)	1	917	-	
CDO/ABS	8,651	40	(42)	(371)	1,783	(1,123)	164	9,102	-	
Total bonds available for sale										
	28,996	818	(520)	(2,862)	2,749	(2,260)	172	27,093	-	
Other bond securities:										
Corporate debt	18	-	-	(18)	-	-	-	-	-	
RMBS	1,464	56	-	(280)	50	-	-	1,290	(10)	
CMBS	74	(2)	-	(5)	10	-	-	77	(5)	
CDO/ABS	4,956	428	-	(905)	-	(9)	8	4,478	208	
Total other bond securities	6,512	482	-	(1,208)	60	(9)	8	5,845	193	
Equity securities ^(a)	-	(2)	-	24	5	-	-	27	-	
Mortgage and other loans receivable										
	5	-	-	(5)	-	-	-	-	-	
Other invested assets	250	47	2	288	-	-	-	587	71	
Other assets	-	-	-	58	-	-	-	58	-	
Total	\$ 35,763	\$ 1,345	\$ (518)	\$ (3,705)	\$ 2,814	\$ (2,269)	\$ 180	\$ 33,610	\$ 264	
			Net							Changes in
			Realized and							Unrealized Gains
			Unrealized		Purchases,					(Losses) Included
	Fair Value	(Gains) Losses	Other		Sales,	Gross	Gross		Fair Value	in Income on
	Beginning	Included	Comprehensive		Issuances and	Transfers	Transfers		End	Instruments Held
(in millions)	of Year	in Income	Income (Loss)		Settlements, Net	In	Out	Acquisitions	of Year	at End of Year
Liabilities:										
Policyholder contract deposits	\$ 4,136	\$ (334)	\$ -	\$ 314	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,116	\$ 495	
Derivative liabilities, net:										
Interest rate contracts	22	(1)	-	(6)	-	-	-	15	1	
Foreign exchange contracts	-	(10)	-	5	-	-	-	(5)	3	
Equity contracts	(82)	(22)	-	27	-	2	-	(75)	(35)	
Credit contracts	262	(31)	-	(4)	-	-	-	227	31	
Other contracts	(15)	(64)	-	70	-	-	-	(9)	62	
Total derivative liabilities, net ^(b)	187	(128)	-	92	-	2	-	153	62	
Long-term debt ^(c)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	\$ 4,323	\$ (462)	\$ -	\$ 406	\$ -	\$ 2	\$ -	\$ 4,269	\$ 555	

	Fair Value	Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Purchases, Sales, Issuances and Settlements, Net	Gross Transfers In	Gross Transfers Out	Fair Value End of Year	Changes in Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income on Instruments Held at End of Year
(in millions)	Beginning of Year							
December 31, 2017								
Assets:								
Bonds available for sale:								
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$ 2,040	\$ 5	\$ 167	\$ 216	\$ 8	\$ (32)	\$ 2,404	\$ -
Non-U.S. governments	17	(9)	9	(9)	-	-	8	-
Corporate debt	1,133	(3)	20	(259)	886	(604)	1,173	-
RMBS	16,906	1,071	942	(2,763)	19	(39)	16,136	-
CMBS	2,040	35	11	(748)	20	(734)	624	-
CDO/ABS	7,835	(19)	155	743	-	(63)	8,651	-
Total bonds available for sale	29,971	1,080	1,304	(2,820)	933	(1,472)	28,996	-
Other bond securities:								
Corporate debt	17	3	-	10	-	(12)	18	1
RMBS	1,605	191	-	(313)	14	(33)	1,464	113
CMBS	155	4	-	24	9	(118)	74	5
CDO/ABS	5,703	841	-	(1,582)	-	(6)	4,956	362
Total other bond securities	7,480	1,039	-	(1,861)	23	(169)	6,512	481
Equity securities available for sale:								
Common stock	-	-	-	1	-	(1)	-	-
Total equity securities available for sale	-	-	-	1	-	(1)	-	-
Other equity securities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mortgage and other loans receivable	11	-	-	(6)	-	-	5	-
Other invested assets	204	14	(6)	39	-	(1)	250	6
Total	\$ 37,666	\$ 2,133	\$ 1,298	\$ (4,647)	\$ 956	\$ (1,643)	\$ 35,763	\$ 487
	Fair Value	Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Purchases, Sales, Issuances and Settlements, Net	Gross Transfers In	Gross Transfers Out	Fair Value End of Year	Changes in Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income on Instruments Held at End of Year
(in millions)	Beginning of Year							
Liabilities:								
Policyholder contract deposits	\$ 3,033	\$ 807	\$ -	\$ 296	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,136	\$ (499)
Derivative liabilities, net:								
Interest rate contracts	38	(5)	-	(11)	-	-	22	5
Foreign exchange contracts	11	(2)	-	(9)	-	-	-	3
Equity contracts	(58)	(41)	-	17	-	-	(82)	35
Credit contracts	329	(62)	-	(5)	-	-	262	61
Other contracts	(11)	(74)	-	73	(3)	-	(15)	77
Total derivatives liabilities, net^(b)	309	(184)	-	65	(3)	-	187	181
Long-term debt^(c)	71	16	-	(87)	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 3,413	\$ 639	\$ -	\$ 274	\$ (3)	\$ -	\$ 4,323	\$ (318)

(a) As a result of the adoption of the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement Standard on January 1, 2018, equity securities are no longer classified and accounted for as available for sale securities.

(b) Total Level 3 derivative exposures have been netted in these tables for presentation purposes only.

(c) Includes guaranteed investment agreements (GIAs), notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable.

Net realized and unrealized gains and losses included in income related to Level 3 assets and liabilities shown above are reported in the Consolidated Statements of Income as follows:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Net Investment Income	Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)	Other Income	Total
December 31, 2018				
Assets:				
Bonds available for sale	\$ 987	\$ (165)	\$ (4)	818
Other bond securities	92	(3)	393	482
Equity securities	(2)	-	-	(2)
Other invested assets	57	-	(10)	47
December 31, 2017				
Assets:				
Bonds available for sale	\$ 1,127	\$ (49)	\$ 2	1,080
Other bond securities	308	-	731	1,039
Other invested assets	9	6	(1)	14
December 31, 2018				
Liabilities:				
Policyholder contract deposits	-	(334)	-	(334)
Derivative liabilities, net	-	5	(133)	(128)
Long-term debt	-	-	-	-
December 31, 2017				
Liabilities:				
Policyholder contract deposits	-	807	-	807
Derivative liabilities, net	-	(17)	(167)	(184)
Long-term debt	-	-	16	16

The following table presents the gross components of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net, shown above for years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 related to Level 3 assets and liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Purchases	Sales	Issuances and Settlements ^(a)	Purchases, Sales, Issuances and Settlements, Net ^(a)
December 31, 2018				
Assets:				
Bonds available for sale:				
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$ 105	\$ (8)	\$ (163)	\$ (66)
Non-U.S. governments	5	-	(6)	(1)
Corporate debt	280	(216)	(271)	(207)
RMBS	715	(20)	(3,119)	(2,424)
CMBS	277	(2)	(68)	207
CDO/ABS	1,865	(1,073)	(1,163)	(371)
Total bonds available for sale	3,247	(1,319)	(4,790)	(2,862)
Other bond securities:				
Corporate debt	-	-	(18)	(18)
RMBS	1	(34)	(247)	(280)
CMBS	-	-	(5)	(5)
CDO/ABS	90	(4)	(991)	(905)
Total other bond securities	91	(38)	(1,261)	(1,208)
Equity securities	49	-	(25)	24
Mortgage and other loans receivable	-	(5)	-	(5)
Other invested assets	350	(29)	(33)	288
Other assets	-	-	58	58
Total assets	\$ 3,737	\$ (1,391)	\$ (6,051)	\$ (3,705)
Liabilities:				
Policyholder contract deposits	\$ -	\$ 533	\$ (219)	\$ 314
Derivative liabilities, net	(52)	80	64	92
Long-term debt ^(b)	-	-	-	-
Total liabilities	\$ (52)	\$ 613	\$ (155)	\$ 406
December 31, 2017				
Assets:				
Bonds available for sale:				
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$ 286	\$ (16)	\$ (54)	\$ 216
Non-U.S. governments	9	(1)	(17)	(9)
Corporate debt	36	(59)	(236)	(259)
RMBS	1,199	(260)	(3,702)	(2,763)
CMBS	75	(146)	(677)	(748)
CDO/ABS	2,099	(243)	(1,113)	743
Total bonds available for sale	3,704	(725)	(5,799)	(2,820)
Other bond securities:				
Corporate debt	11	-	(1)	10
RMBS	167	(218)	(262)	(313)
CMBS	42	(11)	(7)	24
CDO/ABS	9	(65)	(1,526)	(1,582)
Total other bond securities	229	(294)	(1,796)	(1,861)
Equity securities available for sale	13	-	(12)	1
Other equity securities	-	-	-	-
Mortgage and other loans receivable	-	(6)	-	(6)
Other invested assets	107	(46)	(22)	39
Total assets	\$ 4,053	\$ (1,071)	\$ (7,629)	\$ (4,647)
Liabilities:				
Policyholder contract deposits	\$ -	\$ 344	\$ (48)	\$ 296
Derivative liabilities, net	(4)	-	69	65
Long-term debt ^(b)	-	-	(87)	(87)
Total liabilities	\$ (4)	\$ 344	\$ (66)	\$ 274

(a) There were no issuances during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

(b) Includes GIAs, notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable.

Both observable and unobservable inputs may be used to determine the fair values of positions classified in Level 3 in the tables above. As a result, the unrealized gains (losses) on instruments held at December 31, 2018 and 2017 may include changes in fair value that were attributable to both observable (e.g., changes in market interest rates) and unobservable inputs (e.g., changes in unobservable long-dated volatilities).

Transfers of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

We record transfers of assets and liabilities into or out of Level 3 classification at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value. The Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in income (loss) or Other comprehensive income (loss) as shown in the table above excludes \$33 million of net gains and \$64 million of net losses related to assets and liabilities transferred into Level 3 during 2018 and 2017, respectively, and includes \$18 million and \$36 million of net losses related to assets and liabilities transferred out of Level 3 during 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Transfers of Level 3 Assets

During the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, transfers into Level 3 assets primarily included certain investments in private placement corporate debt, RMBS, CMBS and CDO/ABS. Transfers of private placement corporate debt and certain ABS into Level 3 assets were primarily the result of limited market pricing information that required us to determine fair value for these securities based on inputs that are adjusted to better reflect our own assumptions regarding the characteristics of a specific security or associated market liquidity. The transfers of investments in RMBS, CMBS and CDO and certain ABS into Level 3 assets were due to decreases in market transparency and liquidity for individual security types.

During the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, transfers out of Level 3 assets primarily included private placement and other corporate debt, CMBS, RMBS, CDO/ABS and certain investments in municipal securities. Transfers of certain investments in municipal securities, corporate debt, RMBS, CMBS and CDO/ABS out of Level 3 assets were based on consideration of market liquidity as well as related transparency of pricing and associated observable inputs for these investments. Transfers of certain investments in private placement corporate debt and certain ABS out of Level 3 assets were primarily the result of using observable pricing information that reflects the fair value of those securities without the need for adjustment based on our own assumptions regarding the characteristics of a specific security or the current liquidity in the market.

Transfers of Level 3 Liabilities

There were no significant transfers of derivative or other liabilities into or out of Level 3 for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

QUANTITATIVE INFORMATION ABOUT LEVEL 3 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The table below presents information about the significant unobservable inputs used for recurring fair value measurements for certain Level 3 instruments, and includes only those instruments for which information about the inputs is reasonably available to us, such as data from independent third-party valuation service providers and from internal valuation models. Because input information from third-parties with respect to certain Level 3 instruments (primarily CDO/ABS) may not be reasonably available to us, balances shown below may not equal total amounts reported for such Level 3 assets and liabilities:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Fair Value at December 31, 2018	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input ^(b)	Range (Weighted Average)
Assets:				
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$ 1,473	Discounted cash flow	Yield	3.91% - 5.00% (4.46%)
Corporate debt	445	Discounted cash flow	Yield	4.35% - 5.99% (5.17%)
RMBS ^(a)	13,608	Discounted cash flow	Constant prepayment rate	4.58% - 14.00% (9.29%)
			Loss severity	39.66% - 74.40% (57.03%)
			Constant default rate	2.46% - 7.39% (4.92%)
			Yield	3.31% - 5.50% (4.40%)
CDO/ABS ^(a)	5,461	Discounted cash flow	Yield	3.65% - 5.10% (4.37%)
CMBS	447	Discounted cash flow	Yield	3.29% - 6.07% (4.68%)
Liabilities:				
Embedded derivatives within Policyholder contract deposits:				
Guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits (GMWB)	1,943	Discounted cash flow	Equity volatility	6.05% - 47.65%
			Base lapse rate	0.16% - 12.60%
			Dynamic lapse multiplier	20.00% - 180.00%
			Mortality multiplier ^(c)	40.00% - 153.00%
			Utilization	90.00% - 100.00%
			Equity / interest rate correlation	20.00% - 40.00%
Index Annuities	1,778	Discounted cash flow	Lapse rate	0.50% - 40.00%
			Mortality multiplier ^(c)	42.00% - 162.00%
			Option Budget	1.00% - 3.00%
Indexed Life	374	Discounted cash flow	Base lapse rate	0.00% - 13.00%
			Mortality rate	0.00% - 100.00%

<i>(in millions)</i>	Fair Value at December 31, 2017	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input ^(b)	Range (Weighted Average)
Assets:				
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$ 1,620	Discounted cash flow	Yield	3.55% - 4.32% (3.94%)
Corporate debt	1,086	Discounted cash flow	Yield	3.26% - 12.22% (7.74%)
RMBS ^(a)	16,156	Discounted cash flow	Constant prepayment rate	3.97% - 13.42% (8.69%)
			Loss severity	43.15% - 77.15% (60.15%)
			Constant default rate	3.31% - 8.30% (5.80%)
			Yield	2.73% - 5.19% (3.96%)
CDO/ABS ^(a)	5,254	Discounted cash flow	Yield	3.38% - 4.78% (4.08%)
CMBS	487	Discounted cash flow	Yield	2.22% - 7.77% (4.99%)
Liabilities:				
Embedded derivatives within Policyholder contract deposits:				
GMWB	1,994	Discounted cash flow	Equity volatility	6.45% - 51.25%
			Base lapse rate	0.35% - 14.00%
			Dynamic lapse multiplier	30.00% - 170.00%
			Mortality multiplier ^(c)	40.00% - 153.00%
			Utilization	90.00% - 100.00%
			Equity / interest rate correlation	20.00% - 40.00%
Index Annuities	1,603	Discounted cash flow	Lapse rate	0.50% - 40.00%
			Mortality multiplier ^(c)	42.00% - 162.00%
			Option Budget	1.00% - 4.00%
Indexed Life	515	Discounted cash flow	Base lapse rate	2.00% - 19.00%
			Mortality rate	0.00% - 40.00%

(a) Information received from third-party valuation service providers. The ranges of the unobservable inputs for constant prepayment rate, loss severity and constant default rate relate to each of the individual underlying mortgage loans that comprise the entire portfolio of securities in the RMBS and CDO securitization vehicles and not necessarily to the securitization vehicle bonds (tranches) purchased by us. The ranges of these inputs do not directly correlate to changes in the fair values of the tranches purchased by us, because there are other factors relevant to the fair values of specific tranches owned by us including, but not limited to, purchase price, position in the waterfall, senior versus subordinated position and attachment points.

(b) Represents discount rates, estimates and assumptions that we believe would be used by market participants when valuing these assets and liabilities.

(c) Mortality inputs are shown as multipliers of the 2012 Individual Annuity Mortality Basic table.

The ranges of reported inputs for Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions, Corporate debt, RMBS, CDO/ABS, and CMBS valued using a discounted cash flow technique consist of one standard deviation in either direction from the value-weighted average. The preceding table does not give effect to our risk management practices that might offset risks inherent in these Level 3 assets and liabilities.

Sensitivity to Changes in Unobservable Inputs

We consider unobservable inputs to be those for which market data is not available and that are developed using the best information available to us about the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. Relevant inputs vary depending on the nature of the instrument being measured at fair value. The following paragraphs provide a general description of sensitivities of significant unobservable inputs along with interrelationships between and among the significant unobservable inputs and their impact on the fair value measurements. The effect of a change in a particular assumption in the sensitivity analysis below is considered independently of changes in any other assumptions. In practice, simultaneous changes in assumptions may not always have a linear effect on the inputs discussed below. Interrelationships may also exist between observable and unobservable inputs. Such relationships have not been included in the discussion below. For each of the individual relationships described below, the inverse relationship would also generally apply.

Obligations of States, Municipalities and Political Subdivisions

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of certain investments in obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions is yield. In general, increases in the yield would decrease the fair value of investments in obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions.

Corporate Debt

Corporate debt securities included in Level 3 are primarily private placement issuances that are not traded in active markets or that are subject to transfer restrictions. Fair value measurements consider illiquidity and non-transferability. When observable price quotations are not available, fair value is determined based on discounted cash flow models using discount rates based on credit spreads, yields or price levels of publicly-traded debt of the issuer or other comparable securities, considering illiquidity and structure. The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of corporate debt is the yield. The yield is affected by the market movements in credit spreads and U.S. Treasury yields. In addition, the migration in credit quality of a given security generally has a corresponding effect on the fair value measurement of the security. For example, a downward migration of credit quality would increase spreads. Holding U.S. Treasury rates constant, an increase in corporate credit spreads would decrease the fair value of corporate debt.

RMBS and CDO/ABS

The significant unobservable inputs used in fair value measurements of RMBS and certain CDO/ABS valued by third-party valuation service providers are constant prepayment rates (CPR), loss severity, constant default rates (CDR) and yield. A change in the assumptions used for the probability of default will generally be accompanied by a corresponding change in the assumption used for the loss severity and an inverse change in the assumption used for prepayment rates. In general, increases in CPR, loss severity, CDR and yield, in isolation, would result in a decrease in the fair value measurement. Changes in fair value based on variations in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship between the directional change of each input is not usually linear.

CMBS

The significant unobservable input used in fair value measurements for CMBS is the yield. Prepayment assumptions for each mortgage pool are factored into the yield. CMBS generally feature a lower degree of prepayment risk than RMBS because commercial mortgages generally contain a penalty for prepayment. In general, increases in the yield would decrease the fair value of CMBS.

Embedded derivatives within Policyholder contract deposits

Embedded derivatives reported within Policyholder contract deposits include GMWB within variable annuity products and interest crediting rates based on market indices within index annuities, indexed life and guaranteed investment contracts (GICs). For any given contract, assumptions for unobservable inputs vary throughout the period over which cash flows are projected for purposes of valuing the embedded derivative. The following unobservable inputs are used for valuing embedded derivatives measured at fair value:

- Long-term equity volatilities represent equity volatility beyond the period for which observable equity volatilities are available. Increases in assumed volatility will generally increase the fair value of both the projected cash flows from rider fees as well as the projected cash flows related to benefit payments. Therefore, the net change in the fair value of the liability may be either a decrease or an increase, depending on the relative changes in projected rider fees and projected benefit payments.
- Equity / interest rate correlation estimates the relationship between changes in equity returns and interest rates in the economic scenario generator used to value our GMWB embedded derivatives. In general, a higher positive correlation assumes that equity markets and interest rates move in a more correlated fashion, which generally increases the fair value of the liability.
- Base lapse rate assumptions are determined by company experience and are adjusted at the contract level using a dynamic lapse function, which reduces the base lapse rate when the contract is in-the-money (when the contract holder's guaranteed value, as estimated by the company, is worth more than their underlying account value). Lapse rates are also generally assumed to be lower in periods when a surrender charge applies. Increases in assumed lapse rates will generally decrease the fair value of the liability, as fewer policyholders would persist to collect guaranteed withdrawal amounts.
- Mortality rate assumptions, which vary by age and gender, are based on company experience and include a mortality improvement assumption. Increases in assumed mortality rates will decrease the fair value of the liability, while lower mortality rate assumptions will generally increase the fair value of the liability, because guaranteed payments will be made for a longer period of time.
- Utilization assumptions estimate the timing when policyholders with a GMWB will elect to utilize their benefit and begin taking withdrawals. The assumptions may vary by the type of guarantee, tax-qualified status, the contract's withdrawal history and the age of the policyholder. Utilization assumptions are based on company experience, which includes partial withdrawal behavior. Increases in assumed utilization rates will generally increase the fair value of the liability.
- Option budget estimates the expected long-term cost of options used to hedge exposures associated with equity price changes. The level of option budgets determines future costs of the options, which impacts the growth in account value and the valuation of embedded derivatives.

INVESTMENTS IN CERTAIN ENTITIES CARRIED AT FAIR VALUE USING NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE

The following table includes information related to our investments in certain other invested assets, including private equity funds, hedge funds and other alternative investments that calculate net asset value per share (or its equivalent). For these investments, which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, we use the net asset value per share to measure fair value.

		December 31, 2018		December 31, 2017	
		Fair Value Using NAV Per Share (or its equivalent)	Unfunded Commitments	Fair Value Using NAV Per Share (or its equivalent)	Unfunded Commitments
(in millions)	Investment Category Includes				
Investment Category*					
Private equity funds:					
Leveraged buyout	Debt and/or equity investments made as part of a transaction in which assets of mature companies are acquired from the current shareholders, typically with the use of financial leverage	\$ 847	\$ 1,327	\$ 1,243	\$ 706
Real Estate / Infrastructure	Investments in real estate properties and infrastructure positions, including power plants and other energy generating facilities	190	83	210	187
Venture capital	Early-stage, high-potential, growth companies expected to generate a return through an eventual realization event, such as an initial public offering or sale of the company	126	127	134	73
Growth Equity	Funds that make investments in established companies for the purpose of growing their businesses	362	28	215	73
Mezzanine	Funds that make investments in the junior debt and equity securities of leveraged companies	211	75	171	135
Other	Includes distressed funds that invest in securities of companies that are in default or under bankruptcy protection, as well as funds that have multi-strategy, and other strategies	514	307	155	53
Total private equity funds		2,250	1,947	2,128	1,227
Hedge funds:					
Event-driven	Securities of companies undergoing material structural changes, including mergers, acquisitions and other reorganizations	787	-	1,128	-
Long-short	Securities that the manager believes are undervalued, with corresponding short positions to hedge market risk	863	-	1,233	-
Macro	Investments that take long and short positions in financial instruments based on a top-down view of certain economic and capital market conditions	887	-	1,011	-
Distressed	Securities of companies that are in default, under bankruptcy protection or troubled	21	8	266	8
Other	Includes investments held in funds that are less liquid, as well as other strategies which allow for broader allocation between public and private investments	158	1	231	4
Total hedge funds		2,716	9	3,869	12
Total		\$ 4,966	\$ 1,956	\$ 5,997	\$ 1,239

* Beginning in the third quarter of 2018, Growth Equity and Mezzanine private equity fund categories are shown separately. Prior periods were revised to conform to the current period presentation.

Private equity fund investments included above are not redeemable, because distributions from the funds will be received when underlying investments of the funds are liquidated. Private equity funds are generally expected to have 10-year lives at their inception, but these lives may be extended at the fund manager's discretion, typically in one or two-year increments. At December 31, 2018, assuming average original expected lives of 10 years for the funds, 14 percent of the total fair value using net asset value per share (or its equivalent) presented above would have expected remaining lives of three years or less, 43 percent between four and six years and 43 percent between seven and 10 years.

The hedge fund investments included above, which are carried at fair value, are generally redeemable monthly (35 percent), quarterly (32 percent), semi-annually (9 percent) and annually (24 percent), with redemption notices ranging from one day to 180 days. At December 31, 2018, investments representing approximately 51 percent of the total fair value of these hedge fund investments had partial contractual redemption restrictions. These partial redemption restrictions are generally related to one or more investments held in the hedge funds that the fund manager deemed to be illiquid. The majority of these contractual restrictions, which may have been put in place at the fund's inception or thereafter, have pre-defined end dates. The majority of these restrictions are generally expected to be lifted by the end of 2019.

FAIR VALUE OPTION

Under the fair value option, we may elect to measure at fair value financial assets and financial liabilities that are not otherwise required to be carried at fair value. Subsequent changes in fair value for designated items are reported in earnings. We elect the fair value option for certain hybrid securities given the complexity of bifurcating the economic components associated with the embedded derivatives.

For additional information related to embedded derivatives refer to Note 11 herein.

Additionally, we elect the fair value option for certain alternative investments when such investments are eligible for this election. We believe this measurement basis is consistent with the applicable accounting guidance used by the respective investment company funds themselves.

For additional information on securities and other invested assets for which we have elected the fair value option refer to Note 6 herein.

The following table presents the gains or losses recorded related to the eligible instruments for which we elected the fair value option:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	Gain (Loss)		
	2018	2017	2016
Assets:			
Bond and equity securities	\$ 343	\$ 1,646	\$ 447
Alternative investments ^(a)	213	509	28
Other, including Short-term investments	-	1	-
Liabilities:			
Long-term debt ^(b)	(1)	(49)	(9)
Other liabilities	-	(2)	-
Total gain	\$ 555	\$ 2,105	\$ 466

(a) Includes certain hedge funds, private equity funds and other investment partnerships.

(b) Includes GIAs, notes, bonds and mortgages payable.

Interest income and dividend income on assets measured under the fair value option are recognized and included in Net investment income in the Consolidated Statements of Income with the exception of activity within AIG's Other Operations category, which is included in Other income. Interest expense on liabilities measured under the fair value option is reported in Other Income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

For additional information about our policies for recognition, measurement, and disclosure of interest and dividend income see Note 6 herein.

During 2017 and 2016, we recognized gains of \$4 million and \$22 million, respectively, attributable to the observable effect of changes in credit spreads on our own liabilities for which the fair value option was elected. We calculate the effect of these credit spread changes using discounted cash flow techniques that incorporate current market interest rates, our observable credit spreads on these liabilities and other factors that mitigate the risk of nonperformance such as cash collateral posted.

As a result of the adoption of the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement Standard on January 1, 2018, we are required to record unrealized gains and losses attributable to the observable effect of changes in credit spreads on our liabilities for which the fair value option was elected in Other Comprehensive Income. An unrealized gain of \$4 million was recognized in Other Comprehensive Income for year ended December 31, 2018.

The following table presents the difference between fair values and the aggregate contractual principal amounts of mortgage and other loans receivable and long-term debt for which the fair value option was elected:

(in millions)	December 31, 2018			December 31, 2017		
	Fair Value	Outstanding Principal Amount	Difference	Fair Value	Outstanding Principal Amount	Difference
Assets:						
Mortgage and other loans receivable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ -
Liabilities:						
Long-term debt*	\$ 2,213	\$ 1,653	\$ 560	\$ 2,888	\$ 2,280	\$ 608

* Includes GIAs, notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable.

There were no mortgage or other loans receivable for which the fair value option was elected that were 90 days or more past due or in non-accrual status at December 31, 2018 or 2017.

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS ON A NON-RECURRING BASIS

We measure the fair value of certain assets on a non-recurring basis, generally quarterly, annually or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. These assets include cost and equity-method investments, commercial mortgage loans, investments in life settlements, investments in real estate and other fixed assets, goodwill and other intangible assets.

For additional information about how we test various asset classes for impairment see Notes 6 and 7 herein.

Information regarding the estimation of fair value for financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis is discussed below:

- Impairments for Other investments for the period ended December 31, 2016 primarily relate to certain investments in aircraft, the fair values of which are determined based on third-party independent appraisals that use industry specific appraisal standards and methodologies. Impairments for Other investments for the period ended December 31, 2017 primarily relate to commercial mortgage loans, the fair values of which are determined based on independent broker quotations or valuation models using unobservable inputs, as well as the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral or the present value of the expected future cash flows. The rest of the impairments relate to real estate investments, the fair values of which are determined based on third-party independent appraisals or discounted cash-flow models, as well as certain investments in aircraft, the fair values of which are determined based on third-party independent appraisals that use industry-specific appraisal standards and methodologies. Impairments for Other investments for the period ended December 31, 2018 primarily relate to real estate investments as well as commercial mortgage loans, the fair value determination for which is discussed above under the heading Valuation Methodologies of Financial Instruments Measured at Fair Value.
- Impairments of Investments in Life Settlements were measured using their fair values as determined using a discounted cash flow methodology that incorporates the best available market assumptions for mortality as well as market yields based on reported transactions or the anticipated sale price, as appropriate. We sold the remaining portion of our life settlements portfolio in 2017.

The following table presents assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at the time of impairment and the related impairment charges recorded during the periods presented:

(in millions)	Assets at Fair Value				Impairment Charges ^(a)		
	Non-Recurring Basis				December 31,		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	2018	2017	2016
December 31, 2018							
Other investments	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 315	\$ 315	\$ 97	\$ 77	\$ 76
Investments in life settlements	-	-	-	-	-	360	397
Other assets	-	-	11	11	64	157	19
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 326	\$ 326	\$ 161	\$ 594	\$ 492
December 31, 2017							
Other investments	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 55	\$ 55			
Investments in life settlements	-	-	-	-			
Other assets	-	-	-	-			
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 55	\$ 55			

(a) Impairments in 2017 included \$35 million related to Other assets of \$179 million that were sold during the three-month period ended June 30, 2017.

FAIR VALUE INFORMATION ABOUT FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS NOT MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE

Information regarding the estimation of fair value for financial instruments not carried at fair value (excluding insurance contracts and lease contracts) is discussed below:

- **Mortgage and other loans receivable:** Fair values of loans on commercial real estate and other loans receivable are estimated for disclosure purposes using discounted cash flow calculations based on discount rates that we believe market participants would use in determining the price that they would pay for such assets. For certain loans, our current incremental lending rates for similar types of loans are used as the discount rates, because we believe this rate approximates the rates market participants would use. Fair values of residential mortgage loans are generally determined based on market prices, using market based adjustments for credit and servicing as appropriate. The fair values of policy loans are generally estimated based on unpaid principal amount as of each reporting date. No consideration is given to credit risk because policy loans are effectively collateralized by the cash surrender value of the policies.
- **Other invested assets:** The majority of Other invested assets that are not measured at fair value in 2016 represent investments in life settlements. The fair value of investments in life settlements is determined using a discounted cash flow methodology that incorporates the best available market assumptions for longevity as well as market yields based on reported transactions. Due to the individual life nature of each investment in life settlements and the illiquidity of the existing market, significant inputs to the fair value are unobservable. The majority of the Other invested assets that are not measured at fair value in 2017 and 2018 represent time deposits with the original maturity at purchase greater than one year. The fair value of long-term time deposits is determined using the expected discounted future cash flow.
- **Cash and short-term investments:** The carrying amounts of these assets approximate fair values because of the relatively short period of time between origination and expected realization, and their limited exposure to credit risk.
- **Policyholder contract deposits associated with investment-type contracts:** Fair values for policyholder contract deposits associated with investment-type contracts not accounted for at fair value are estimated using discounted cash flow calculations based on interest rates currently being offered for similar contracts with maturities consistent with those of the contracts being valued. When no similar contracts are being offered, the discount rate is the appropriate swap rate (if available) or current risk-free interest rate consistent with the currency in which the cash flows are denominated. To determine fair value, other factors include current policyholder account values and related surrender charges and other assumptions include expectations about policyholder behavior and an appropriate risk margin.
- **Other liabilities:** The majority of Other liabilities that are financial instruments not measured at fair value represent secured financing arrangements, including repurchase agreements. The carrying amounts of these liabilities approximate fair value, because the financing arrangements are short-term and are secured by cash or other liquid collateral.
- **Long-term debt:** Fair values of these obligations were determined by reference to quoted market prices, when available and appropriate, or discounted cash flow calculations based upon our current market-observable implicit-credit-spread rates for similar types of borrowings with maturities consistent with those remaining for the debt being valued.

The following table presents the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our financial instruments not measured at fair value and indicates the level in the fair value hierarchy of the estimated fair value measurement based on the observability of the inputs used:

	Estimated Fair Value				Carrying
(in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Value
December 31, 2018					
Assets:					
Mortgage and other loans receivable	\$ -	\$ 105	\$ 43,522	\$ 43,627	\$ 43,135
Other invested assets	-	731	6	737	737
Short-term investments	-	6,659	-	6,659	6,659
Cash	2,873	-	-	2,873	2,873
Other assets	308	35	-	343	343
Liabilities:					
Policyholder contract deposits associated with investment-type contracts	-	339	121,035	121,374	120,602
Other liabilities	-	1,154	-	1,154	1,154
Long-term debt	-	22,822	8,775	31,597	32,327
December 31, 2017					
Assets:					
Mortgage and other loans receivable	\$ -	\$ 117	\$ 37,644	\$ 37,761	\$ 37,018
Other invested assets	-	590	6	596	593
Short-term investments	-	7,771	-	7,771	7,771
Cash	2,362	-	-	2,362	2,362
Liabilities:					
Policyholder contract deposits associated with investment-type contracts	-	387	121,809	122,196	114,326
Other liabilities	-	4,494	-	4,494	4,494
Long-term debt	-	23,930	4,313	28,243	28,752

6. Investments

FIXED MATURITY AND EQUITY SECURITIES

Bonds held to maturity are carried at amortized cost when we have the ability and positive intent to hold these securities until maturity. When we do not have the ability or positive intent to hold bonds until maturity, these securities are classified as available for sale or are measured at fair value at our election. None of our fixed maturity securities met the criteria for held to maturity classification at December 31, 2018 or 2017.

On January 1, 2018, AIG adopted ASU 2016-01, the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement standard for equity securities which eliminates the available for sale classification and treatment for equity securities. As a result, equity securities that do not follow the equity method of accounting, are measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings.

Prior to the adoption of this standard, unrealized gains and losses from available for sale investments in fixed maturity and equity securities carried at fair value were reported as a separate component of Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred income taxes, in shareholders' equity. Realized and unrealized gains and losses from fixed maturity and equity securities measured at fair value at our election are reflected in Net investment income (for insurance subsidiaries) or Other income (for Other Operations). Investments in fixed maturity and equity securities are recorded on a trade-date basis.

Premiums and discounts arising from the purchase of bonds classified as available for sale are treated as yield adjustments over their estimated holding periods, until maturity, or call date, if applicable. For investments in certain RMBS, CMBS and CDO/ABS, (collectively, structured securities), recognized yields are updated based on current information regarding the timing and amount of expected undiscounted future cash flows. For high credit quality structured securities, effective yields are recalculated based on actual payments received and updated prepayment expectations, and the amortized cost is adjusted to the amount that would have existed had the new effective yield been applied since acquisition with a corresponding charge or credit to net investment income. For structured securities that are not high credit quality, effective yields are recalculated and adjusted prospectively based on changes in expected undiscounted future cash flows. For purchased credit impaired (PCI) securities, at acquisition, the difference between the

undiscounted expected future cash flows and the recorded investment in the securities represents the initial accretable yield, which is to be accreted into net investment income over the securities' remaining lives on an effective level-yield basis. Subsequently, effective yields recognized on PCI securities are recalculated and adjusted prospectively to reflect changes in the contractual benchmark interest rates on variable rate securities and any significant increases in undiscounted expected future cash flows arising due to reasons other than interest rate changes.

SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

The following table presents the amortized cost or cost and fair value of our available for sale securities^(a):

<i>(in millions)</i>	Amortized Cost or Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Other-Than- Temporary Impairments in AOCI ^(b)
December 31, 2018					
Bonds available for sale:					
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$ 3,170	\$ 132	\$ (42)	\$ 3,260	\$ -
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	15,421	701	(121)	16,001	4
Non-U.S. governments	14,376	451	(302)	14,525	-
Corporate debt	130,436	3,911	(3,647)	130,700	4
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized:					
RMBS	31,940	2,754	(317)	34,377	1,155
CMBS	12,673	242	(214)	12,701	31
CDO/ABS	17,764	228	(165)	17,827	17
Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	62,377	3,224	(696)	64,905	1,203
Total bonds available for sale^(c)	\$ 225,780	\$ 8,419	\$ (4,808)	\$ 229,391	\$ 1,211
December 31, 2017					
Bonds available for sale:					
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$ 2,532	\$ 160	\$ (36)	\$ 2,656	\$ -
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	17,377	1,297	(30)	18,644	-
Non-U.S. governments	15,059	717	(117)	15,659	-
Corporate debt	126,310	8,666	(800)	134,176	17
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized:					
RMBS	34,181	3,273	(220)	37,234	1,568
CMBS	13,538	408	(105)	13,841	42
CDO/ABS	16,464	370	(52)	16,782	29
Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	64,183	4,051	(377)	67,857	1,639
Total bonds available for sale^(c)	225,461	14,891	(1,360)	238,992	1,656
Equity securities available for sale:					
Common stock	703	379	(21)	1,061	-
Preferred stock	504	29	-	533	-
Mutual funds	98	16	-	114	-
Total equity securities available for sale	1,305	424	(21)	1,708	-
Total	\$ 226,766	\$ 15,315	\$ (1,381)	\$ 240,700	\$ 1,656

(a) As a result of the adoption of the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement Standard on January 1, 2018, equity securities are no longer classified and accounted for as available for sale securities.

(b) Represents the amount of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Amount includes unrealized gains and losses on impaired securities relating to changes in the fair value of such securities subsequent to the impairment measurement date.

(c) At December 31, 2018 and 2017, bonds available for sale held by us that were below investment grade or not rated totaled \$28.8 billion and \$31.5 billion, respectively.

Securities Available for Sale in a Loss Position

The following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses on our available for sale securities, aggregated by major investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position^(a):

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
<i>(in millions)</i>						
December 31, 2018						
Bonds available for sale:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$ 574	\$ 13	\$ 873	\$ 29	\$ 1,447	\$ 42
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	1,965	51	1,530	70	3,495	121
Non-U.S. governments	3,851	149	2,422	153	6,273	302
Corporate debt	47,364	2,181	20,056	1,466	67,420	3,647
RMBS	5,231	94	5,641	223	10,872	317
CMBS	2,646	47	4,264	167	6,910	214
CDO/ABS	9,169	144	1,324	21	10,493	165
Total bonds available for sale	\$ 70,800	\$ 2,679	\$ 36,110	\$ 2,129	\$ 106,910	\$ 4,808
December 31, 2017						
Bonds available for sale:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$ 770	\$ 23	\$ 332	\$ 13	\$ 1,102	\$ 36
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	586	6	646	24	1,232	30
Non-U.S. governments	3,511	54	857	63	4,368	117
Corporate debt	15,578	453	7,291	347	22,869	800
RMBS	6,212	99	3,790	121	10,002	220
CMBS	3,408	46	1,389	59	4,797	105
CDO/ABS	1,455	24	822	28	2,277	52
Total bonds available for sale	31,520	705	15,127	655	46,647	1,360
Equity securities available for sale:						
Common stock	136	21	-	-	136	21
Mutual funds	1	-	-	-	1	-
Total equity securities available for sale	137	21	-	-	137	21
Total	\$ 31,657	\$ 726	\$ 15,127	\$ 655	\$ 46,784	\$ 1,381

(a) As a result of the adoption of the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement Standard on January 1, 2018, equity securities are no longer classified and accounted for as available for sale securities.

At December 31, 2018, we held 16,876 individual fixed maturity securities that were in an unrealized loss position, of which 5,127 individual fixed maturity securities were in a continuous unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. We did not recognize the unrealized losses in earnings on these fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2018 because we neither intend to sell the securities nor do we believe that it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. For fixed maturity securities with significant declines, we performed fundamental credit analyses on a security-by-security basis, which included consideration of credit enhancements, expected defaults on underlying collateral, review of relevant industry analyst reports and forecasts and other available market data.

Contractual Maturities of Fixed Maturity Securities Available for Sale

The following table presents the amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturity securities available for sale by contractual maturity:

(in millions)	Total Fixed Maturity Securities Available for Sale		Fixed Maturity Securities in a Loss Position Available for Sale	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
December 31, 2018				
Due in one year or less	\$ 9,539	\$ 9,674	\$ 2,322	\$ 2,294
Due after one year through five years	47,400	47,905	17,382	16,844
Due after five years through ten years	42,363	42,045	27,724	26,517
Due after ten years	64,101	64,862	35,319	32,980
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	62,377	64,905	28,971	28,275
Total	\$ 225,780	\$ 229,391	\$ 111,718	\$ 106,910
December 31, 2017				
Due in one year or less	\$ 7,932	\$ 8,071	\$ 1,526	\$ 1,515
Due after one year through five years	47,179	49,093	7,764	7,571
Due after five years through ten years	42,617	43,944	11,559	11,143
Due after ten years	63,550	70,027	9,705	9,342
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	64,183	67,857	17,453	17,076
Total	\$ 225,461	\$ 238,992	\$ 48,007	\$ 46,647

Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain borrowers have the right to call or prepay certain obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

The following table presents the gross realized gains and gross realized losses from sales or maturities of our available for sale securities:

(in millions)	Years Ended December 31,					
	2018		2017		2016	
	Gross Realized Gains	Gross Realized Losses	Gross Realized Gains	Gross Realized Losses	Gross Realized Gains	Gross Realized Losses
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 331	\$ 476	\$ 725	\$ 300	\$ 801	\$ 800
Equity securities	16	-	107	19	1,072	15
Total	\$ 347	\$ 476	\$ 832	\$ 319	\$ 1,873	\$ 815

For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, the aggregate fair value of available for sale securities sold was \$25.1 billion, \$31.3 billion and \$30.2 billion, which resulted in net realized capital gains (losses) of \$(129) million, \$0.5 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively.

OTHER SECURITIES MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE

The following table presents the fair value of other securities measured at fair value based on our election of the fair value option:

	December 31, 2018		December 31, 2017	
	Fair Value	Percent of Total	Fair Value	Percent of Total
<i>(in millions)</i>				
Fixed maturity securities:				
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$ 2,665	21 %	\$ 2,802	21 %
Non-U.S. governments	45	-	57	1
Corporate debt	1,671	13	1,909	14
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized:				
RMBS	1,714	14	1,885	14
CMBS	388	3	559	4
CDO/ABS and other collateralized*	4,932	39	5,560	42
Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	7,034	56	8,004	60
Total fixed maturity securities	11,415	90	12,772	96
Equity securities	1,253	10	589	4
Total	\$ 12,668	100 %	\$ 13,361	100 %

* Includes \$178 million and \$251 million of U.S. government agency backed ABS at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

OTHER INVESTED ASSETS

The following table summarizes the carrying amounts of other invested assets:

December 31,		
<i>(in millions)</i>	2018	2017
Alternative investments ^{(a) (b)}	\$ 8,966	\$ 11,308
Investment real estate ^(c)	8,935	8,258
All other investments	1,440	1,256
Total	\$ 19,341	\$ 20,822

(a) At December 31, 2018, included hedge funds of \$4.2 billion, private equity funds of \$4.3 billion, and affordable housing partnerships of \$438 million. At December 31, 2017, included hedge funds of \$5.8 billion, private equity funds of \$5.0 billion, and affordable housing partnerships of \$543 million.

(b) At December 31, 2018, approximately 74 percent of our hedge fund portfolio is available for redemption in 2019. The remaining 26 percent will be available for redemption between 2020 and 2027.

(c) Net of accumulated depreciation of \$598 million and \$515 million in 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Other Invested Assets Carried at Fair Value

Certain hedge funds, private equity funds, and other investment partnerships for which we have elected the fair value option are reported at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in Net investment income with the exception of investments of AIG's Other Operations, for which such changes are reported in Other income.

Prior to January 1, 2018, other investments in hedge funds, private equity funds and other investment partnerships in which our insurance operations do not hold aggregate interests sufficient to exercise more than minor influence over the respective partnerships were reported at fair value with changes in fair value recognized as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income. These investments were subject to other-than-temporary impairment evaluations. The gross unrealized loss recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive income on such investments was \$45 million at December 31, 2017, the majority of which pertained to investments in private equity funds and hedge funds that have been in continuous unrealized loss positions for less than 12 months. Effective January 1, 2018, upon the adoption of the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement standard, these investments are no longer accounted for as available for sale securities. The new standard requires these investments to be measured at fair value with the change in fair value recognized in earnings. As a result, beginning in 2018, these investments are no longer subject to the other-than-temporary impairment evaluation.

Other Invested Assets – Equity Method Investments

We account for hedge funds, private equity funds, affordable housing partnerships and other investment partnerships using the equity method of accounting unless our interest is so minor that we may have virtually no influence over partnership operating and financial policies, or we have elected the fair value option. Under the equity method of accounting, our carrying amount generally is our share of the net asset value of the funds or the partnerships, and changes in our share of the net asset values are recorded in Net investment income with the exception of investments of AIG's Other Operations, for which such changes are reported in Other income. In applying the equity method of accounting, we consistently use the most recently available financial information provided by the general partner or manager of each of these investments, which is one to three months prior to the end of our reporting period. The financial statements of these investees are generally audited annually.

Summarized Financial Information of Equity Method Investees

The following is the aggregated summarized financial information of our equity method investees, including those for which the fair value option has been elected:

Years Ended December 31,					
(in millions)		2018		2017	
Operating results:					
Total revenues	\$	15,310	\$	13,066	\$ 9,512
Total expenses		(3,200)		(6,835)	(7,361)
Net income	\$	12,110	\$	6,231	\$ 2,151
At December 31,					
(in millions)		2018		2017	
Balance sheet:					
Total assets			\$	96,915	\$ 132,708
Total liabilities			\$	(21,063)	\$ (35,585)

The following table presents the carrying amount and ownership percentage of equity method investments at December 31, 2018 and 2017:

	2018		2017	
	Carrying Value	Ownership Percentage	Carrying Value	Ownership Percentage
(in millions, except percentages)				
Equity method investments	\$ 6,520	Various	\$ 9,050	Various

Summarized financial information for these equity method investees may be presented on a lag, due to the unavailability of information for the investees at our respective balance sheet dates, and is included for the periods in which we held an equity method ownership interest.

OTHER INVESTMENTS

Also included in Other invested assets are real estate held for investment. These investments are reported at cost, less depreciation and are subject to impairment review, as discussed below.

Investments in Life Settlements

Investments in life settlements were accounted for under the investment method. Under the investment method, we recognized our initial investment in life settlements at the transaction price plus all initial direct external costs. Continuing costs to keep the policy in force, primarily life insurance premiums, increased the carrying amount of the investment. We recognized income on individual investments in life settlements upon the death of the insured, at an amount equal to the excess of the investment proceeds over the carrying amount of the investment at that time. These investments were subject to impairment review, as discussed below.

During 2017 and 2016, income recognized on investments in life settlements was \$266 million and \$453 million, respectively, and is included in Net investment income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. We sold the remaining portion of our life settlements portfolio in 2017.

NET INVESTMENT INCOME

Net investment income represents income primarily from the following sources:

- Interest income and related expenses, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts with changes in the timing and the amount of expected principal and interest cash flows reflected in yield, as applicable.
- Dividend income from common and preferred stocks.
- Realized and unrealized gains and losses from investments in other securities and investments for which we elected the fair value option.
- Earnings from alternative investments.
- The difference between the carrying amount of an investment in life settlements and the life insurance proceeds of the underlying life insurance policy recorded in income upon the death of the insured.

The following table presents the components of Net investment income:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Available for sale fixed maturity securities, including short-term investments	\$ 10,323	\$ 10,435	\$ 11,314
Other fixed maturity securities	7	660	331
Equity securities ^(a)	(162)	34	(5)
Interest on mortgage and other loans	1,874	1,661	1,526
Alternative investments ^(b)	655	1,475	693
Real estate	257	144	150
Other investments	15	290	509
Total investment income	12,969	14,699	14,518
Investment expenses	493	520	453
Net investment income	\$ 12,476	\$ 14,179	\$ 14,065

(a) Upon the adoption of the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement Standard on January 1, 2018, the change in fair value of all equity securities is included in Net investment income.

(b) Includes income from hedge funds, private equity funds and affordable housing partnerships. Hedge funds for which we elected the fair value option are recorded as of the balance sheet date. Other hedge funds are generally reported on a one-month lag. Private equity funds are generally reported on a one-quarter lag.

NET REALIZED CAPITAL GAINS AND LOSSES

Net realized capital gains and losses are determined by specific identification. The net realized capital gains and losses are generated primarily from the following sources:

- Sales or full redemptions of available for sale fixed maturity securities, available for sale equity securities, real estate and other alternative investments.
- Reductions to the amortized cost basis of available for sale fixed maturity securities, available for sale equity securities and certain other invested assets for other-than-temporary impairments.
- Impairments on investments in life settlements.
- Changes in fair value of derivatives except for (1) those derivatives at AIGFP and (2) those instruments that are designated as hedging instruments when the change in the fair value of the hedged item is not reported in Net realized capital gains (losses).
- Exchange gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions.

The following table presents the components of Net realized capital losses:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Sales of fixed maturity securities	\$ (145)	\$ 425	\$ 1
Sales of equity securities ^(a)	16	88	1,057
Other-than-temporary impairments:			
Severity	-	(2)	(15)
Change in intent	(87)	(9)	(46)
Foreign currency declines	(15)	(11)	(18)
Issuer-specific credit events	(147)	(234)	(433)
Adverse projected cash flows	(2)	(4)	(47)
Provision for loan losses	(92)	(50)	10
Foreign exchange transactions	(182)	489	(1,226)
Variable annuity embedded derivatives, net of related hedges	304	(1,374)	(1,243)
All other derivatives and hedge accounting	338	(368)	299
Impairments on investments in life settlements	-	(360)	(397)
Loss on sale of private equity funds	(321)	-	-
Other ^(b)	203	30	114
Net realized capital losses	\$ (130)	\$ (1,380)	\$ (1,944)

(a) In 2016, includes realized gains on the sale of a portion of our holdings in People's Insurance Company (Group) of China Limited and PICC Property & Casualty Company Limited (collectively, our PICC Investment).

(b) In 2018, primarily includes \$96 million and \$49 million of realized gains on the sale of shares of OneMain Holdings, Inc. and an investment in Castle Holdings LLC's aircraft assets, respectively. In 2016, primarily includes \$107 million of realized gains due to a purchase price adjustment on the sale of Class B shares of Prudential Financial, Inc. and losses of \$253 million from the sale of a portion of our Life Settlements portfolio.

CHANGE IN UNREALIZED APPRECIATION (DEPRECIATION) OF INVESTMENTS

The following table presents the increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of our available for sale securities and other investments:

	Years Ended December 31,	
(in millions)	2018	2017
Increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments:		
Fixed maturity securities	\$ (9,920)	\$ 4,235
Equity securities ^(a)	-	22
Other investments	(88)	(195)
Total increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments^(b)	\$ (10,008)	\$ 4,062

(a) As a result of the adoption of the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement Standard on January 1, 2018, equity securities are no longer classified and accounted for as available for sale securities.

(b) Excludes net unrealized losses attributable to businesses held for sale.

The following table summarizes the unrealized gains and losses recognized during the reporting period on equity securities still held at the reporting date:

Year Ended December 31, 2018 (in millions)	Equities	Other Invested Assets	Total
Net gains and losses recognized during the period on equity securities	\$ (184)	\$ 342	\$ 158
Less: Net gains and losses recognized during the year on equity securities sold during the year	56	23	79
Unrealized gains and losses recognized during the reporting period on equity securities still held at the reporting date	\$ (240)	\$ 319	\$ 79

EVALUATING INVESTMENTS FOR OTHER-THAN-TEMPORARY IMPAIRMENTS

Fixed Maturity Securities

If we intend to sell a fixed maturity security or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its amortized cost basis and the fair value of the security is below amortized cost, an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to current fair value, with a corresponding charge to realized capital losses. When assessing our intent to sell a fixed maturity security, or whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, management evaluates relevant facts and circumstances including, but not limited to, decisions to reposition our investment portfolio, sales of securities to meet cash flow needs and sales of securities to take advantage of favorable pricing.

For fixed maturity securities for which a credit impairment has occurred, the amortized cost is written down to the estimated recoverable value with a corresponding charge to realized capital losses. The estimated recoverable value is the present value of cash flows expected to be collected, as determined by management. The difference between fair value and amortized cost that is not related to a credit impairment is presented in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of fixed maturity securities on which other-than-temporary credit impairments were recognized (a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income).

When estimating future cash flows for structured fixed maturity securities (e.g., RMBS, CMBS, CDO, ABS) management considers historical performance of underlying assets and available market information as well as bond-specific structural considerations, such as credit enhancement and priority of payment structure of the security. In addition, the process of estimating future cash flows includes, but is not limited to, the following critical inputs, which vary by asset class:

- Current delinquency rates;
- Expected default rates and the timing of such defaults;
- Loss severity and the timing of any recovery; and
- Expected prepayment speeds.

For corporate, municipal and sovereign fixed maturity securities determined to be credit impaired, management considers the fair value as the recoverable value when available information does not indicate that another value is more relevant or reliable. When management identifies information that supports a recoverable value other than the fair value, the determination of a recoverable value considers scenarios specific to the issuer and the security, and may be based upon estimates of outcomes of corporate restructurings, political and macroeconomic factors, stability and financial strength of the issuer, the value of any secondary sources of repayment and the disposition of assets.

We consider severe price declines in our assessment of potential credit impairments. We may also modify our model inputs when we determine that price movements in certain sectors are indicative of factors not captured by the cash flow models.

In periods subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge for available for sale fixed maturity securities that is not foreign exchange related, we prospectively accrete into earnings the difference between the new amortized cost and the expected undiscounted recoverable value over the remaining expected holding period of the security.

Credit Impairments

The following table presents a rollforward of the cumulative credit losses in other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings for available for sale fixed maturity securities:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 526	\$ 1,098	\$ 1,747
Increases due to:			
Credit impairments on new securities subject to impairment losses	59	122	204
Additional credit impairments on previously impaired securities	90	74	212
Reductions due to:			
Credit impaired securities fully disposed for which there was no prior intent or requirement to sell	(145)	(99)	(296)
Accretion on securities previously impaired due to credit*	(530)	(669)	(767)
Divested businesses	-	-	(2)
Balance, end of year	\$ -	\$ 526	\$ 1,098

* Represents both accretion recognized due to changes in cash flows expected to be collected over the remaining expected term of the credit impaired securities and the accretion due to the passage of time.

Equity Securities

On January 1, 2018, AIG adopted the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement standard for equity securities. The new standard requires equity securities to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings each reporting period. As a result of the new standard, equity securities with readily determinable fair values are no longer required to be evaluated for other-than-temporary-impairment.

Prior to the adoption of the Recognition and Measurement standard on January 1, 2018, we evaluated our available for sale equity securities for impairment by considering such securities as candidates for other-than-temporary impairment if they meet any of the following criteria:

- The security has traded at a significant (25 percent or more) discount to cost for an extended period of time (nine consecutive months or longer);
- A discrete credit event has occurred resulting in (i) the issuer defaulting on a material outstanding obligation; (ii) the issuer seeking protection from creditors under the bankruptcy laws or any similar laws intended for court-supervised reorganization of insolvent enterprises; or (iii) the issuer proposing a voluntary reorganization pursuant to which creditors are asked to exchange their claims for cash or securities having a fair value substantially lower than the par value of their claims; or
- We have concluded that we may not realize a full recovery on our investment, regardless of the occurrence of one of the foregoing events.

The determination that an equity security was other-than-temporarily impaired required the judgment of management and consideration of the fundamental condition of the issuer, its near-term prospects and all the relevant facts and circumstances. In addition to the above criteria, all equity securities that have been in a continuous decline in value below cost over 12 months are impaired. We also considered circumstances of a rapid and severe market valuation decline (50 percent or more) discount to cost, in which we could not reasonably assert that the impairment period would be temporary (severity losses).

Other Invested Assets

Our equity method investments in private equity funds, hedge funds and other entities are evaluated for impairment each reporting period. Such evaluation considers market conditions, events and volatility that may impact the recoverability of the underlying investments within these private equity funds and hedge funds and is based on the nature of the underlying investments and specific inherent risks. Such risks may evolve based on the nature of the underlying investments.

Our investments in life settlements were monitored for impairment on a contract-by-contract basis quarterly. An investment in life settlements was considered impaired if the undiscounted cash flows resulting from the expected proceeds would not be sufficient to recover our estimated future carrying amount, which was the current carrying amount for the investment in life settlements plus anticipated undiscounted future premiums and other capitalizable future costs, if any. Impaired investments in life settlements were written down to their estimated fair value which was determined on a discounted cash flow basis, incorporating current market mortality assumptions and market yields or by repricing to the anticipated sale price as appropriate.

In general, fair value estimates for the investments in life settlements were calculated using cash flows based on medical underwriting ratings of the policies from a third-party underwriter, applied to an industry mortality table. Our mortality assumptions were based on an industry table as supplemented with proprietary data on the older age mortality of U.S. insured lives. Mortality improvement factors were applied to these assumptions based on our view of future mortality improvements likely to apply to the U.S. insured lives population. Our mortality assumptions coupled with the mortality improvement rates were used in our estimate of future net cash flows from the investments in life settlements. We sold the remaining portion of our life settlements portfolio in 2017.

Our investments in aircraft assets and real estate are periodically evaluated for recoverability whenever changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may be impaired. When impairment indicators are present, we compare expected investment cash flows to carrying amount. When the expected cash flows are less than the carrying amount, the investments are written down to fair value with a corresponding charge to earnings. We sold the remaining portion of our aircraft assets in 2018.

Purchased Credit Impaired (PCI) Securities

We purchase certain RMBS securities that have experienced deterioration in credit quality since their issuance. We determine whether it is probable at acquisition that we will not collect all contractually required payments for these PCI securities, including both principal and interest. At acquisition, the timing and amount of the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be received on each PCI security is determined based on our best estimate using key assumptions, such as interest rates, default rates and prepayment speeds. At acquisition, the difference between the undiscounted expected future cash flows of the PCI securities and the recorded investment in the securities represents the initial accretable yield, which is accreted into Net investment income over their remaining lives on an effective yield basis. Additionally, the difference between the contractually required payments on the PCI securities and the undiscounted expected future cash flows represents the non-accretable difference at acquisition. The accretable yield and the non-accretable difference will change over time, based on actual payments received and changes in estimates of undiscounted expected future cash flows, which are discussed further below.

On a quarterly basis, the undiscounted expected future cash flows associated with PCI securities are re-evaluated based on updates to key assumptions. Declines in undiscounted expected future cash flows due to further credit deterioration as well as changes in the expected timing of the cash flows can result in the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge, as PCI securities are subject to our policy for evaluating investments for other-than-temporary impairment. Changes to undiscounted expected future cash flows due solely to the changes in the contractual benchmark interest rates on variable rate PCI securities will change the accretable yield prospectively. Significant increases in undiscounted expected future cash flows for reasons other than interest rate changes are recognized prospectively as adjustments to the accretable yield.

The following tables present information on our PCI securities, which are included in bonds available for sale:

<i>(in millions)</i>	At Date of Acquisition
Contractually required payments (principal and interest)	\$ 36,519
Cash flows expected to be collected*	29,980
Recorded investment in acquired securities	20,229

* Represents undiscounted expected cash flows, including both principal and interest.

<i>(in millions)</i>	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Outstanding principal balance	\$ 12,495	\$ 14,718
Amortized cost	8,646	10,492
Fair value	10,280	12,293

The following table presents activity for the accretable yield on PCI securities:

Years Ended December 31, <i>(in millions)</i>	2018	2017
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 7,501	\$ 7,498
Newly purchased PCI securities	33	190
Disposals	(21)	(18)
Accretion	(722)	(797)
Effect of changes in interest rate indices	207	(34)
Net reclassification from (to) non-accretable difference, including effects of prepayments	212	662
Balance, end of year	\$ 7,210	\$ 7,501

PLEDGED INVESTMENTS

Secured Financing and Similar Arrangements

We enter into secured financing transactions whereby certain securities are sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements), in which we transfer securities in exchange for cash, with an agreement by us to repurchase the same or substantially similar securities. Our secured financing transactions also include those that involve the transfer of securities to financial institutions in exchange for cash (securities lending agreements). In all of these secured financing transactions, the securities transferred by us (pledged collateral) may be sold or repledged by the counterparties. These agreements are recorded at their contracted amounts plus accrued interest, other than those that are accounted for at fair value.

Pledged collateral levels are monitored daily and are generally maintained at an agreed-upon percentage of the fair value of the amounts borrowed during the life of the transactions. In the event of a decline in the fair value of the pledged collateral under these secured financing transactions, we may be required to transfer cash or additional securities as pledged collateral under these agreements. At the termination of the transactions, we and our counterparties are obligated to return the amounts borrowed and the securities transferred, respectively.

The following table presents the fair value of securities pledged to counterparties under secured financing transactions, including repurchase and securities lending agreements:

<i>(in millions)</i>	December 31, 2018		December 31, 2017	
Fixed maturity securities available for sale	\$	1,050	\$	2,911
Other bond securities, at fair value	\$	122	\$	1,585

At December 31, 2018 and 2017, amounts borrowed under repurchase and securities lending agreements totaled \$1.2 billion and \$4.5 billion, respectively.

The following table presents the fair value of securities pledged under our repurchase agreements by collateral type and by remaining contractual maturity:

	Remaining Contractual Maturity of the Agreements						
(in millions)	Overnight and Continuous	up to 30 days	31 - 90 days	91 - 364 days	365 days or greater	Total	
December 31, 2018							
Bonds available for sale:							
Non-U.S. governments	\$ 25	\$ 35	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	60	
Corporate debt	51	55	-	-	-	106	
Other bond securities:							
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	11	-	-	-	-	11	
Non-U.S. governments	-	3	-	-	-	3	
Corporate debt	17	38	53	-	-	108	
Total	\$ 104	\$ 131	\$ 53	\$ -	\$ -	288	
December 31, 2017							
Bonds available for sale:							
Non-U.S. governments	\$ -	\$ 7	\$ 19	\$ -	\$ -	26	
Corporate debt	-	13	35	-	-	48	
Other bond securities:							
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	44	-	-	-	-	44	
Non-U.S. governments	-	-	11	-	-	11	
Corporate debt	-	387	1,065	-	-	1,452	
Total	\$ 44	\$ 407	\$ 1,130	\$ -	\$ -	1,581	

The following table presents the fair value of securities pledged under our securities lending agreements by collateral type and by remaining contractual maturity:

	Remaining Contractual Maturity of the Agreements						
(in millions)	Overnight and Continuous	up to 30 days	31 - 90 days	91 - 364 days	365 days or greater		Total
December 31, 2018							
Bonds available for sale:							
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$ -	\$ 50	\$ 130	\$ -	\$ -		180
Non-U.S. governments	-	21	8	-	-		29
Corporate debt	-	330	345	-	-		675
Other bond securities:							
Non-U.S. governments	-	-	-	-	-		-
Corporate debt	-	-	-	-	-		-
Total	\$ -	\$ 401	\$ 483	\$ -	\$ -		884
December 31, 2017							
Bonds available for sale:							
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		-
Non-U.S. governments	-	-	18	-	-		18
Corporate debt	-	588	2,231	-	-		2,819
Other bond securities:							
Non-U.S. governments	-	-	22	-	-		22
Corporate debt	-	-	56	-	-		56
Total	\$ -	\$ 588	\$ 2,327	\$ -	\$ -		2,915

We also enter into agreements in which securities are purchased by us under agreements to resell (reverse repurchase agreements), which are accounted for as secured financing transactions and reported as short-term investments or other assets, depending on their terms. These agreements are recorded at their contracted resale amounts plus accrued interest, other than those that are accounted for at fair value. In all reverse repurchase transactions, we take possession of or obtain a security interest in the related securities, and we have the right to sell or repledge this collateral received.

The following table presents information on the fair value of securities pledged to us under reverse repurchase agreements:

(in millions)	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Securities collateral pledged to us	\$ 426	\$ 2,227
Amount sold or repledged by us	106	46

At December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, amounts loaned under reverse repurchase agreements totaled \$426 million and \$2.2 billion, respectively.

We do not currently offset any secured financing transactions. All such transactions are collateralized and margined daily consistent with market standards and subject to enforceable master netting arrangements with rights of set off.

Insurance – Statutory and Other Deposits

The total carrying value of cash and securities deposited by our insurance subsidiaries under requirements of regulatory authorities or other insurance-related arrangements, including certain annuity-related obligations and certain reinsurance treaties, was \$7.9 billion and \$4.9 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Other Pledges and Restrictions

Certain of our subsidiaries are members of Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLBs) and such membership requires the members to own stock in these FHLBs. We owned an aggregate of \$202 million and \$93 million of stock in FHLBs at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. In addition, our subsidiaries have pledged securities available for sale and residential loans associated with borrowings and funding agreements from FHLBs, with a fair value of \$4.2 billion and \$2.1 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2018 and \$2.7 billion and \$471 million, respectively, at December 31, 2017.

Certain GIAs have provisions that require collateral to be posted or payments to be made by us upon a downgrade of our long-term debt ratings. The actual amount of collateral required to be posted to the counterparties in the event of such downgrades, and the aggregate amount of payments that we could be required to make, depend on market conditions, the fair value of outstanding affected transactions and other factors prevailing at and after the time of the downgrade. The fair value of securities pledged as collateral with respect to these obligations was approximately \$1.6 billion and \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. This collateral primarily consists of securities of the U.S. government and government-sponsored entities and generally cannot be repledged or resold by the counterparties.

Investments held in escrow accounts or otherwise subject to restriction as to their use were \$273 million and \$255 million, comprised of bonds available for sale and short term investments at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

7. Lending Activities

Mortgage and other loans receivable include commercial mortgages, residential mortgages, life insurance policy loans, commercial loans, and other loans and notes receivable. Commercial mortgages, residential mortgages, commercial loans, and other loans and notes receivable are carried at unpaid principal balances less allowance for credit losses and plus or minus adjustments for the accretion or amortization of discount or premium. Interest income on such loans is accrued as earned.

Direct costs of originating commercial mortgages, commercial loans, and other loans and notes receivable, net of nonrefundable points and fees, are deferred and included in the carrying amount of the related receivables. The amount deferred is amortized to income as an adjustment to earnings using the interest method. Premiums and discounts on purchased residential mortgages are also amortized to income as an adjustment to earnings using the interest method.

Life insurance policy loans are carried at unpaid principal balances. There is no allowance for policy loans because these loans serve to reduce the death benefit paid when the death claim is made and the balances are effectively collateralized by the cash surrender value of the policy.

The following table presents the composition of Mortgage and other loans receivable, net:

	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
<i>(in millions)</i>		
Commercial mortgages*	\$ 32,882	\$ 28,596
Residential mortgages	6,532	5,398
Life insurance policy loans	2,147	2,295
Commercial loans, other loans and notes receivable	1,971	1,056
Total mortgage and other loans receivable	43,532	37,345
Allowance for credit losses	(397)	(322)
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net	\$ 43,135	\$ 37,023

* Commercial mortgages primarily represent loans for apartments, offices and retail properties, with exposures in New York and California representing the largest geographic concentrations (aggregating approximately 22 percent and 11 percent, respectively, at December 31, 2018, and 23 percent and 12 percent, respectively, at December 31, 2017).

Nonperforming loans are generally those loans where payment of contractual principal or interest is more than 90 days past due. Nonperforming mortgages were not significant for all periods presented.

CREDIT QUALITY OF COMMERCIAL MORTGAGES

The following table presents debt service coverage ratios and loan-to-value ratios for commercial mortgages:

	Debt Service Coverage Ratios ^(a)					
(in millions)	>1.20X	1.00X - 1.20X	<1.00X		Total	
December 31, 2018						
Loan-to-Value Ratios ^(b)						
Less than 65%	\$ 19,204	\$ 2,543	\$ 250	\$	21,997	
65% to 75%	9,060	300	203		9,563	
76% to 80%	476	20	15		511	
Greater than 80%	596	103	112		811	
Total commercial mortgages	\$ 29,336	\$ 2,966	\$ 580	\$	32,882	
December 31, 2017						
Loan-to-Value Ratios ^(b)						
Less than 65%	\$ 18,000	\$ 1,525	\$ 351	\$	19,876	
65% to 75%	6,038	193	184		6,415	
76% to 80%	569	40	-		609	
Greater than 80%	1,416	206	74		1,696	
Total commercial mortgages	\$ 26,023	\$ 1,964	\$ 609	\$	28,596	

(a) The debt service coverage ratio compares a property's net operating income to its debt service payments, including principal and interest. Our weighted average debt service coverage ratio was 1.9X and 2.1X at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

(b) The loan-to-value ratio compares the current unpaid principal balance of the loan to the estimated fair value of the underlying property collateralizing the loan. Our weighted average loan-to-value ratio was 58 percent and 57 percent at December 31, 2018, and 2017, respectively.

The following table presents the credit quality performance indicators for commercial mortgages:

	Number of	Class						Percent of	
(dollars in millions)	Loans	Apartments	Offices	Retail	Industrial	Hotel	Others	Total ^(c)	Total \$
December 31, 2018									
Credit Quality Performance									
Indicator:									
In good standing	762	\$ 11,190	\$ 9,774	\$ 5,645	\$ 3,074	\$ 2,507	\$ 580	\$ 32,770	100 %
Restructured ^(a)	2	-	96	-	-	16	-	112	-
90 days or less delinquent	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
>90 days delinquent or in process of foreclosure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total ^(b)	764	\$ 11,190	\$ 9,870	\$ 5,645	\$ 3,074	\$ 2,523	\$ 580	\$ 32,882	100 %
Allowance for credit losses:									
Specific		-	2	-	-	1	-	3	- %
General		122	104	51	13	19	6	315	1
Total allowance for credit losses		\$ 122	\$ 106	\$ 51	\$ 13	\$ 20	\$ 6	\$ 318	1 %
December 31, 2017									
Credit Quality Performance									
Indicator:									
In good standing	778	\$ 8,163	\$ 8,585	\$ 5,338	\$ 2,023	\$ 2,373	\$ 1,960	\$ 28,442	99 %
Restructured ^(a)	5	-	115	23	-	16	-	154	1
90 days or less delinquent	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
>90 days delinquent or in process of foreclosure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total ^(b)	783	\$ 8,163	\$ 8,700	\$ 5,361	\$ 2,023	\$ 2,389	\$ 1,960	\$ 28,596	100 %
Allowance for credit losses:									
Specific		\$ -	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ 5	- %
General		72	94	37	6	15	18	242	1
Total allowance for credit losses		\$ 72	\$ 97	\$ 38	\$ 6	\$ 16	\$ 18	\$ 247	1 %

- (a) Loans that have been modified in troubled debt restructurings and are performing according to their restructured terms. *For additional discussion of troubled debt restructurings see below.*
- (b) Does not reflect allowance for credit losses.
- (c) Our commercial mortgage loan portfolio is current as to payments of principal and interest, for both periods presented. There were no significant amounts of nonperforming commercial mortgages (defined as those loans where payment of contractual principal or interest is more than 90 days past due) during any of the periods presented.

METHODOLOGY USED TO ESTIMATE THE ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

Mortgage and other loans receivable are considered impaired when collection of all amounts due under contractual terms is not probable. Impairment is measured using either i) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, ii) the loan's observable market price, if available, or iii) the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Impairment of commercial mortgages is typically determined using the fair value of collateral while impairment of other loans is typically determined using the present value of cash flows or the loan's observable market price. An allowance is typically established for the difference between the impaired value of the loan and its current carrying amount. Additional allowance amounts are established for incurred but not specifically identified impairments, based on statistical models primarily driven by past due status, debt service coverage, loan-to-value ratio, property type and location, loan term, profile of the borrower and of the major property tenants, and loan seasoning. When all or a portion of a loan is deemed uncollectible, the uncollectible portion of the carrying amount of the loan is charged off against the allowance.

Interest income is not accrued when payment of contractual principal and interest is not expected. Any cash received on impaired loans is generally recorded as a reduction of the current carrying amount of the loan. Accrual of interest income is generally resumed when delinquent contractual principal and interest is repaid or when a portion of the delinquent contractual payments are made and the ongoing required contractual payments have been made for an appropriate period.

A significant majority of commercial mortgages in the portfolio are non-recourse loans and, accordingly, the only guarantees are for specific items that are exceptions to the non-recourse provisions. It is therefore extremely rare for us to have cause to enforce the provisions of a guarantee on a commercial real estate or mortgage loan.

The following table presents a rollforward of the changes in the allowance for losses on Mortgage and other loans receivable:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018			2017			2016		
	Commercial Mortgages	Other Loans	Total	Commercial Mortgages	Other Loans	Total	Commercial Mortgages	Other Loans	Total
Allowance, beginning of year	\$ 247	\$ 75	\$ 322	\$ 194	\$ 103	\$ 297	\$ 171	\$ 137	\$ 308
Loans charged off	(17)	(2)	(19)	(22)	(3)	(25)	(13)	(2)	(15)
Recoveries of loans previously charged off	-	1	1	-	1	1	11	-	11
Net charge-offs	(17)	(1)	(18)	(22)	(2)	(24)	(2)	(2)	(4)
Provision for loan losses	88	5	93	75	(26)	49	25	(32)	(7)
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowance, end of year	\$ 318	\$ 79	\$ 397	\$ 247	\$ 75	\$ 322	\$ 194	\$ 103	\$ 297

* Of the total allowance at the end of the year, \$3 million, \$5 million and \$11 million relates to individually assessed credit losses on \$54 million, \$82 million and \$280 million of commercial mortgages as of December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURINGS

We modify loans to optimize their returns and improve their collectability, among other things. When we undertake such a modification with a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulty and the modification involves us granting a concession to the troubled debtor, the modification is a troubled debt restructuring (TDR). We assess whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty based on a variety of factors, including the borrower's current default on any of its outstanding debt, the probability of a default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification, the insufficiency of the borrower's forecasted cash flows to service any of its outstanding debt (including both principal and interest), and the borrower's inability to access alternative third-party financing at an interest rate that would be reflective of current market conditions for a non-troubled debtor. Concessions granted may include extended maturity dates, interest rate changes, principal or interest forgiveness, payment deferrals and easing of loan covenants.

Loans that had been modified in TDRs during the year ended December 31, 2018 have been fully paid off. During the year ended December 31, 2017, loans with a carrying value of \$237 million were modified in troubled debt restructurings.

8. Reinsurance

In the ordinary course of business, our insurance companies may use both treaty and facultative reinsurance to minimize their net loss exposure to any single catastrophic loss event or to an accumulation of losses from a number of smaller events or to provide greater diversification of our businesses. In addition, our general insurance subsidiaries assume reinsurance from other insurance companies. We determine the portion of the incurred but not reported (IBNR) loss that will be recoverable under our reinsurance contracts by reference to the terms of the reinsurance protection purchased. This determination is necessarily based on the estimate of IBNR and accordingly, is subject to the same uncertainties as the estimate of IBNR. Reinsurance assets include the balances due from reinsurance and insurance companies under the terms of our reinsurance agreements for paid and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred, ceded unearned premiums and ceded future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts and benefits paid and unpaid. Amounts related to paid and unpaid losses and benefits and loss expenses with respect to these reinsurance agreements are substantially collateralized. We remain liable to the extent that our reinsurers do not meet their obligation under the reinsurance contracts, and as such, we regularly evaluate the financial condition of our reinsurers and monitor concentration of our credit risk. The estimation of the allowance for doubtful accounts requires judgment for which key inputs typically include historical trends regarding uncollectible balances, disputes and credit events as well as specific reviews of balances in dispute or subject to credit impairment. The allowance for doubtful accounts on reinsurance assets was \$140 million and \$187 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts on reinsurance assets are reflected in Policyholder benefits and losses incurred within the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table provides supplemental information for loss and benefit reserves, gross and net of ceded reinsurance:

At December 31,	2018		2017	
	As Reported	Net of Reinsurance	As Reported	Net of Reinsurance
<i>(in millions)</i>				
Liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ (83,639)	\$ (51,949)	\$ (78,393)	\$ (51,685)
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts	(44,935)	(43,936)	(45,432)	(44,457)
Reserve for unearned premiums	(19,248)	(16,300)	(19,030)	(15,890)
Reinsurance assets ^(a)	35,637		30,823	

(a) Represents gross reinsurance assets, excluding allowances and reinsurance recoverable on paid losses.

SHORT-DURATION REINSURANCE

Short-duration reinsurance is effected under reinsurance treaties and by negotiation on individual risks. Certain of these reinsurance arrangements consist of excess of loss contracts that protect us against losses above stipulated amounts. Ceded premiums are considered prepaid reinsurance premiums and are recognized as a reduction of premiums earned over the contract period in proportion to the protection received. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers on short-duration contracts are estimated in a manner consistent with the claims liabilities associated with the reinsurance and presented as a component of Reinsurance assets. Reinsurance premiums for assumed business are estimated based on information received from brokers, ceding companies and reinsurers. Any subsequent differences arising on such estimates are recorded in the periods in which they are determined. Assumed reinsurance premiums are earned primarily on a pro-rata basis over the terms of the reinsurance contracts and the portion of premiums relating to the unexpired terms of coverage is included in the reserve for unearned premiums. Reinsurance premiums for assumed business are estimated based on information received from brokers, ceding companies and reinsureds. Any subsequent differences arising on such estimates are recorded in the periods in which they are determined. For both ceded and assumed reinsurance, risk transfer requirements must be met for reinsurance accounting to apply. If risk transfer requirements are not met, the contract is accounted for as a deposit, resulting in the recognition of cash flows under the contract through a deposit asset or liability and not as revenue or expense. To meet risk transfer requirements, a reinsurance contract must include both insurance risk, consisting of both underwriting and timing risk, and a reasonable possibility of a significant loss for the assuming entity. Similar risk transfer criteria are used to determine whether directly written insurance contracts should be accounted for as insurance or as a deposit.

The following table presents short-duration insurance premiums written and earned:

(in millions)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Premiums written:			
Direct	\$ 30,335	\$ 30,205	\$ 33,970
Assumed	4,026	3,084	2,824
Ceded	(7,755)	(7,533)	(7,561)
Net	\$ 26,606	\$ 25,756	\$ 29,233
Premiums earned:			
Direct	\$ 31,415	\$ 30,904	\$ 34,869
Assumed	4,593	3,373	2,962
Ceded	(8,163)	(7,902)	(7,284)
Net	\$ 27,845	\$ 26,375	\$ 30,547

For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, reinsurance recoveries, which reduced losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred, amounted to \$9.8 billion, \$1.5 billion and \$2.1 billion, respectively.

Retroactive reinsurance agreements are reinsurance agreements under which our reinsurer agrees to reimburse us as a result of past insurable events. For these agreements, the excess of the amounts ultimately collectible under the agreement over the consideration paid is recognized as a deferred gain liability and amortized into income over the settlement period of the ceded reserves. The amount of the deferral is recalculated each period based on loss payments and updated estimates. If the consideration paid exceeds the ultimate losses collectible under the agreement, the net loss on the agreement is recognized in income immediately. Ceded loss reserves under retroactive agreements were \$13.8 billion and \$13.4 billion, and the deferred gain liability was \$1.8 billion and \$1.6 billion, as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The effect on income from amortization of the deferred gain was \$394 million, \$316 million and \$30 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

In the first quarter of 2017, we entered into an adverse development reinsurance agreement with NICO, under which we transferred to NICO 80 percent of the reserve risk on substantially all of our U.S. Commercial long-tail exposures for accident years 2015 and prior. Under this agreement, we ceded to NICO 80 percent of the losses on subject business paid on or after January 1, 2016 in excess of \$25 billion of net paid losses, up to an aggregate limit of \$25 billion. We account for this transaction as retroactive reinsurance. This transaction resulted in a gain, which under U.S. GAAP retroactive reinsurance accounting is deferred and amortized into income over the settlement period. NICO created a collateral trust account as security for their claim payment obligations to us, into which they deposited the consideration paid under the agreement, and Berkshire Hathaway Inc. has provided a parental guarantee to secure NICO's obligations under the agreement.

LONG-DURATION REINSURANCE

Long-duration reinsurance is effected principally under yearly renewable term treaties. The premiums with respect to these treaties are earned over the contract period in proportion to the protection provided. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers on long-duration contracts are estimated in a manner consistent with the assumptions used for the underlying policy benefits and are presented as a component of Reinsurance assets.

The following table presents premiums for our long-duration life insurance and annuity operations:

Years Ended December 31,			
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Gross premiums	\$ 3,893	\$ 5,338	\$ 4,732
Ceded premiums	(850)	(809)	(789)
Net	\$ 3,043	\$ 4,529	\$ 3,943

Long-duration reinsurance recoveries, which reduced Policyholder benefits and losses incurred, was \$778 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 and approximately \$1.0 billion for both the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

The following table presents long-duration insurance in-force ceded to other insurance companies:

At December 31,			
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Long-duration insurance in force ceded	\$ 228,846	\$ 202,402	\$ 174,363

Long-duration insurance in-force assumed as a percentage of gross long-duration insurance in-force was 0.03 percent at December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016; and premiums assumed represented 10 percent, 5 percent and 3 percent of gross premiums for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

The U.S. Life and Retirement companies manage the capital impact of their statutory reserve requirements, including those resulting from the NAIC Model Regulation "Valuation of Life Insurance Policies" (Regulation XXX) and NAIC Actuarial Guideline 38 (Guideline AXXX), through unaffiliated and affiliated reinsurance transactions. Effective July 1, 2016, one of the U.S. Life and Retirement companies entered into an agreement to cede approximately \$5 billion of statutory reserves for certain whole life and universal life policies to an unaffiliated reinsurer. Effective December 31, 2016, the same life insurance subsidiary recaptured term and universal life reserves subject to Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX, previously ceded to an affiliate, and ceded approximately \$14 billion of such statutory reserves to an unaffiliated reinsurer under an amendment to the July 1, 2016 agreement. Under GAAP, these unaffiliated reinsurance transactions use deposit accounting with a reinsurance risk charge recorded in income, whereas such affiliated transactions are eliminated in consolidation. Under one affiliated reinsurance arrangement, one of the U.S. Life and Retirement companies obtains letters of credit to support statutory recognition of the ceded reinsurance. As of December 31, 2018, this subsidiary had two bilateral letters of credit totaling \$450 million, which were issued on February 7, 2014 and expire on February 7, 2022. The letters of credit are subject to reimbursement by AIG Parent in the event of a drawdown.

For additional information on the use of affiliated reinsurance for Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX reserves see Note 19.

REINSURANCE SECURITY

Our third-party reinsurance arrangements do not relieve us from our direct obligations to our beneficiaries. Thus, a credit exposure exists with respect to both short-duration and long-duration reinsurance ceded to the extent that any reinsurer fails to meet the obligations assumed under any reinsurance agreement. We hold substantial collateral as security under related reinsurance agreements in the form of funds, securities, and/or letters of credit. A provision has been recorded for estimated unrecoverable reinsurance. We believe that no exposure to a single reinsurer represents an inappropriate concentration of credit risk to AIG. Gross reinsurance assets due from reinsurers exceeding 5 percent of our total reinsurance assets were approximately \$18.9 billion and \$18.0 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, of which approximately \$3.7 billion and \$7.6 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, was not secured by collateral.

9. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) represent those costs that are incremental and directly related to the successful acquisition of new or renewal of existing insurance contracts. We defer incremental costs that result directly from, and are essential to, the acquisition or renewal of an insurance contract. Such deferred policy acquisition costs generally include agent or broker commissions and bonuses, premium taxes, and medical and inspection fees that would not have been incurred if the insurance contract had not been acquired or renewed. Each cost is analyzed to assess whether it is fully deferrable. We partially defer costs, including certain commissions, when we do not believe that the entire cost is directly related to the acquisition or renewal of insurance contracts.

We also defer a portion of employee total compensation and payroll-related fringe benefits directly related to time spent performing specific acquisition or renewal activities, including costs associated with the time spent on underwriting, policy issuance and processing, and sales force contract selling. The amounts deferred are derived based on successful efforts for each distribution channel and/or cost center from which the cost originates.

Short-duration insurance contracts: Policy acquisition costs are deferred and amortized over the period in which the related premiums written are earned, generally 12 months. DAC is grouped consistent with the manner in which the insurance contracts are acquired, serviced and measured for profitability and is reviewed for recoverability based on the profitability of the underlying insurance contracts. Investment income is anticipated in assessing the recoverability of DAC. We assess the recoverability of DAC on an annual basis or more frequently if circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. This assessment is performed by comparing recorded net unearned premiums and anticipated investment income on in-force business to the sum of expected losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred, unamortized DAC and maintenance costs. If the sum of these costs exceeds the amount of recorded net unearned premiums and anticipated investment income, the excess is recognized as an offset against the asset established for DAC. This offset is referred to as a premium deficiency charge. Increases in expected losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred can have a significant impact on the likelihood and amount of a premium deficiency charge.

Long-duration insurance contracts: Policy acquisition costs for participating life, traditional life and accident and health insurance products are generally deferred and amortized, with interest, over the premium paying period. The assumptions used to calculate the benefit liabilities and DAC for these traditional products are set when a policy is issued and do not change with changes in actual experience, unless a loss recognition event occurs. These “locked-in” assumptions include mortality, morbidity, persistency, maintenance expenses and investment returns, and include margins for adverse deviation to reflect uncertainty given that actual experience might deviate from these assumptions. A loss recognition event occurs when there is a shortfall between the carrying amount of future policy benefit liabilities, net of DAC, and what the future policy benefit liabilities, net of DAC, would be when applying updated current assumptions. When we determine a loss recognition event has occurred, we first reduce any DAC related to that block of business through amortization of acquisition expense, and after DAC is depleted, we record additional liabilities through a charge to Policyholder benefits and losses incurred. Groupings for loss recognition testing are consistent with our manner of acquiring, servicing and measuring the profitability of the business and applied by product groupings. We perform separate loss recognition tests for traditional life products, payout annuities and long-term care products. Once loss recognition has been recorded for a block of business, the old assumption set is replaced and the assumption set used for the loss recognition would then be subject to the lock-in principle.

Investment-oriented contracts: Certain policy acquisition costs and policy issuance costs related to universal life and investment-type products (collectively, investment-oriented products) are deferred and amortized, with interest, in relation to the incidence of estimated gross profits to be realized over the estimated lives of the contracts. Estimated gross profits include net investment income and spreads, net realized capital gains and losses, fees, surrender charges, expenses, and mortality gains and losses. In each reporting period, current period amortization expense is adjusted to reflect actual gross profits. If estimated gross profits change significantly, DAC is recalculated using the new assumptions, and any resulting adjustment is included in income. If the new assumptions indicate that future estimated gross profits are higher than previously estimated, DAC will be increased resulting in a decrease in amortization expense and increase in income in the current period; if future estimated gross profits are lower than previously estimated, DAC will be decreased resulting in an increase in amortization expense and decrease in income in the current period. Updating such assumptions may result in acceleration of amortization in some products and deceleration of amortization in other products. DAC is grouped consistent with the manner in which the insurance contracts are acquired, serviced and measured for profitability and is reviewed for recoverability based on the current and projected future profitability of the underlying insurance contracts.

To estimate future estimated gross profits for variable annuity products, a long-term annual asset growth assumption is applied to determine the future growth in assets and related asset-based fees. In determining the asset growth rate, the effect of short-term fluctuations in the equity markets is partially mitigated through the use of a “reversion to the mean” methodology whereby short-term asset growth above or below long-term annual rate assumptions impacts the growth assumption applied to the five-year period subsequent to the current balance sheet date. The reversion to the mean methodology allows us to maintain our long-term growth assumptions, while also giving consideration to the effect of actual investment performance. When actual performance significantly deviates from the annual long-term growth assumption, as evidenced by growth assumptions in the five-year reversion to the mean period falling below a certain rate (floor) or above a certain rate (cap) for a sustained period, judgment may be applied to revise or “unlock” the growth rate assumptions to be used for both the five-year reversion to the mean period as well as the long-term annual growth assumption applied to subsequent periods.

Shadow DAC and Shadow Loss Recognition: DAC related to investment-oriented products is also adjusted to reflect the effect of unrealized gains or losses on fixed maturity securities available for sale and prior to 2018, equity securities at fair value on estimated gross profits, with related changes recognized through Other comprehensive income (shadow DAC). The adjustment is made at each balance sheet date, as if the securities had been sold at their stated aggregate fair value and the proceeds reinvested at current yields. Similarly, for long-duration traditional insurance contracts, if the assets supporting the liabilities are in a net unrealized gain position at the balance sheet date, loss recognition testing assumptions are updated to exclude such gains from future cash flows by reflecting the impact of reinvestment rates on future yields. If a future loss is anticipated under this basis, any additional shortfall indicated by loss recognition tests is recognized as a reduction in accumulated other comprehensive income (shadow loss recognition). Similar to other loss recognition on long-duration insurance contracts, such shortfall is first reflected as a reduction in DAC and secondly as an increase in liabilities for future policy benefits. The change in these adjustments, net of tax, is included with the change in net unrealized appreciation of investments that is credited or charged directly to Other comprehensive income.

Internal Replacements of Long-duration and Investment-oriented Products: For some products, policyholders can elect to modify product benefits, features, rights or coverages by exchanging a contract for a new contract or by amendment, endorsement, or rider to a contract, or by the election of a feature or coverage within a contract. These transactions are known as internal replacements. If the modification does not substantially change the contract, we do not change the accounting and amortization of existing DAC and related actuarial balances. If an internal replacement represents a substantial change, the original contract is considered to be extinguished and any related DAC or other policy balances are charged or credited to income, and any new deferrable costs associated with the replacement contract are deferred.

Value of Business Acquired (VOBA) is determined at the time of acquisition and is reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets with DAC. This value is based on the present value of future pre-tax profits discounted at yields applicable at the time of purchase. For participating life, traditional life and accident and health insurance products, VOBA is amortized over the life of the business in a manner similar to that for DAC based on the assumptions at purchase. For investment-oriented products, VOBA is amortized in relation to estimated gross profits and adjusted for the effect of unrealized gains or losses on fixed maturity securities available for sale and prior to 2018, equity securities at fair value in a manner similar to DAC.

The following table presents a rollforward of DAC and VOBA:

Years Ended December 31,		2018	2017	2016
(in millions)				
Balance, beginning of year	\$	10,994	\$ 11,042	\$ 11,115
Acquisitions		298	-	-
Dispositions		-	(35)	(110)
Acquisition costs deferred		5,832	4,820	5,216
Amortization expense		(5,386)	(4,288)	(4,521)
Change related to unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments		1,063	(505)	(259)
Other, including foreign exchange		(107)	(40)	72
Reclassified to Assets held for sale		-	-	(471)
Balance, end of year^(a)	\$	12,694	\$ 10,994	\$ 11,042
Supplemental Information:				
VOBA amortization expense included in DAC amortization	\$	243	\$ 20	\$ 40
VOBA, end of year included in DAC balance ^(b)		438	381	393

(a) Net of reductions in DAC of \$1.0 billion, \$1.3 billion, and \$842 million at December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively, related to the effect of net unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities (shadow DAC).

(b) Includes \$101 million of VOBA from the acquisition of Validus, the majority of which will be amortized within the next 12 to 18 months.

The percentage of the unamortized balance of VOBA at December 31, 2018 expected to be amortized in 2019 through 2023 by year is: 8.6 percent, 7.7 percent, 6.7 percent, 6.3 percent and 6.0 percent, respectively, with 64.8 percent being amortized after five years. These projections are based on current estimates for investment income and spreads, persistency, mortality and morbidity assumptions.

DAC, VOBA and SIA for insurance-oriented and investment-oriented products are reviewed for recoverability, which involves estimating the future profitability of current business. This review involves significant management judgment. If actual profitability is substantially lower than estimated, AIG's DAC, VOBA and SIA may be subject to an impairment charge and AIG's results of operations could be significantly affected in future periods.

10. Variable Interest Entities

A variable interest entity (VIE) is a legal entity that does not have sufficient equity at risk to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or is structured such that equity investors lack the ability to make significant decisions relating to the entity's operations through voting rights or do not substantively participate in the gains and losses of the entity. Consolidation of a VIE by its primary beneficiary is not based on majority voting interest, but is based on other criteria discussed below.

We enter into various arrangements with VIEs in the normal course of business and consolidate the VIEs when we determine we are the primary beneficiary. This analysis includes a review of the VIE's capital structure, related contractual relationships and terms, nature of the VIE's operations and purpose, nature of the VIE's interests issued and our involvement with the entity. When assessing the need to consolidate a VIE, we evaluate the design of the VIE as well as the related risks the entity was designed to expose the variable interest holders to.

The primary beneficiary is the entity that has both (1) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance and (2) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could be potentially significant to the VIE. While also considering these factors, the consolidation conclusion depends on the breadth of our decision-making ability and our ability to influence activities that significantly affect the economic performance of the VIE.

BALANCE SHEET CLASSIFICATION AND EXPOSURE TO LOSS

The following table presents the total assets and total liabilities associated with our variable interests in consolidated VIEs, as classified in the Consolidated Balance Sheets:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Real Estate and Investment Entities ^(d)	Securitization Vehicles ^(e)	Affordable Housing Partnerships	Other	Total
December 31, 2018					
Assets:					
Bonds available for sale	\$ -	\$ 7,662	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,662
Other bond securities	-	3,923	-	2	3,925
Mortgage and other loans receivable	-	3,693	-	-	3,693
Other invested assets	5,212	-	3,142	24	8,378
Other ^(a)	580	1,581	394	70	2,625
Total assets^(b)	\$ 5,792	\$ 16,859	\$ 3,536	\$ 96	\$ 26,283
Liabilities:					
Long-term debt	\$ 2,577	\$ 3,154	\$ 1,834	\$ 4	\$ 7,569
Other ^(c)	227	165	159	24	575
Total liabilities	\$ 2,804	\$ 3,319	\$ 1,993	\$ 28	\$ 8,144
December 31, 2017					
Assets:					
Bonds available for sale	\$ -	\$ 9,632	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,632
Other bond securities	-	4,518	-	3	4,521
Mortgage and other loans receivable	-	2,290	-	-	2,290
Other invested assets	1,365	206	3,087	25	4,683
Other ^(a)	302	1,481	350	85	2,218
Total assets^(b)	\$ 1,667	\$ 18,127	\$ 3,437	\$ 113	\$ 23,344
Liabilities:					
Long-term debt	\$ 680	\$ 1,624	\$ 1,825	\$ 5	\$ 4,134
Other ^(c)	144	244	181	26	595
Total liabilities	\$ 824	\$ 1,868	\$ 2,006	\$ 31	\$ 4,729

(a) Comprised primarily of Short-term investments and Other assets at December 31, 2018 and 2017.

(b) The assets of each VIE can be used only to settle specific obligations of that VIE.

(c) Comprised primarily of Other liabilities at December 31, 2018 and 2017.

(d) At December 31, 2018 and 2017, off-balance sheet exposure primarily consisting of commitments to real estate and investment entities was \$1.4 billion and \$86 million, respectively.

(e) At December 31, 2018 and 2017, \$16.0 billion and \$17.6 billion, respectively, of the total assets of consolidated securitization vehicles were owed to AIG Parent or its subsidiaries.

We calculate our maximum exposure to loss to be (i) the amount invested in the debt or equity of the VIE, (ii) the notional amount of VIE assets or liabilities where we have also provided credit protection to the VIE with the VIE as the referenced obligation, and (iii) other commitments and guarantees to the VIE. Interest holders in VIEs sponsored by us generally have recourse only to the assets and cash flows of the VIEs and do not have recourse to us, except in limited circumstances when we have provided a guarantee to the VIE's interest holders.

The following table presents total assets of unconsolidated VIEs in which we hold a variable interest, as well as our maximum exposure to loss associated with these VIEs:

(in millions)	Total VIE Assets	Maximum Exposure to Loss			
		On-Balance Sheet ^(b)	Off-Balance Sheet		Total
December 31, 2018					
Real estate and investment entities ^(a)	\$ 309,598	\$ 6,820	\$ 2,501	\$	9,321
Affordable housing partnerships	4,116	607	-		607
Other	2,813	284	1,222 ^(c)		1,506
Total	\$ 316,527	\$ 7,711	\$ 3,723	\$	11,434
December 31, 2017					
Real estate and investment entities ^(a)	\$ 380,030	\$ 9,253	\$ 2,043	\$	11,296
Affordable housing partnerships	4,468	725	-		725
Other	2,703	254	1,205 ^(c)		1,459
Total	\$ 387,201	\$ 10,232	\$ 3,248	\$	13,480

(a) Comprised primarily of hedge funds and private equity funds.

(b) At December 31, 2018 and 2017, \$7.4 billion and \$9.8 billion, respectively, of our total unconsolidated VIE assets were recorded as Other invested assets.

(c) These amounts represent our estimate of the maximum exposure to loss under certain insurance policies issued to VIEs if a hypothetical loss occurred to the extent of the full amount of the insured value. Our insurance policies cover defined risks and our estimate of liability is included in our insurance reserves on the balance sheet.

REAL ESTATE AND INVESTMENT ENTITIES

Through our insurance operations and AIG Global Real Estate, we are an investor in various real estate investment entities, some of which are VIEs. These investments are typically with unaffiliated third-party developers via a partnership or limited liability company structure. The VIEs' activities consist of the development or redevelopment of commercial, industrial and residential real estate. Our involvement varies from being a passive equity investor or finance provider to actively managing the activities of the VIEs.

Our insurance operations participate as passive investors in the equity issued by certain third-party-managed hedge and private equity funds that are VIEs. Our insurance operations typically are not involved in the design or establishment of these VIEs, nor do they actively participate in the management of the VIEs.

SECURITIZATION VEHICLES

We created certain VIEs that hold investments, primarily in investment-grade debt securities and loans, and issued beneficial interests in these investments. The majority of these beneficial interests are owned by our insurance operations and we maintain the power to direct the activities of the VIEs that most significantly impact their economic performance and bear the obligation to absorb losses or receive benefits from the entities that could potentially be significant to the entities. Accordingly, we consolidate these entities and those beneficial interests issued to third-parties are reported as Long-term debt. Total assets of consolidated securitization vehicles are \$16.9 billion, of which \$16.0 billion represents amounts owed to Parent or its subsidiaries.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING PARTNERSHIPS

SunAmerica Affordable Housing Partners, Inc. (SAAHP) organized and invested in limited partnerships that develop and operate affordable housing qualifying for federal, state, and historic tax credits, in addition to a few market rate properties across the United States. The operating partnerships are VIEs, whose debt is generally non-recourse in nature, and the general partners of which are mostly unaffiliated third-party developers. We account for our investments in operating partnerships using the equity method of accounting, unless they are required to be consolidated. We consolidate an operating partnership if the general partner is an affiliated entity or we otherwise have the power to direct activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance. The pre-tax income of SAAHP is reported as a component of the Life and Retirement segment.

RMBS, CMBS, OTHER ABS AND CDOs

Primarily through our insurance operations, we are a passive investor in RMBS, CMBS, other ABS and CDOs, the majority of which are issued by domestic special purpose entities. We generally do not sponsor or transfer assets to, or act as the servicer to these asset-backed structures, and were not involved in the design of these entities.

Our maximum exposure in these types of structures is limited to our investment in securities issued by these entities. Based on the nature of our investments and our passive involvement in these types of structures, we have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary of these entities. We have not included these entities in the above tables; however, the fair values of our investments in these structures are reported in Notes 5 and 6 herein.

11. Derivatives and Hedge Accounting

We use derivatives and other financial instruments as part of our financial risk management programs and as part of our investment operations. Interest rate derivatives (such as interest rate swaps) are used to manage interest rate risk associated with embedded derivatives contained in insurance contract liabilities, fixed maturity securities, outstanding medium- and long-term notes as well as other interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities. Foreign exchange derivatives (principally foreign exchange forwards and options) are used to economically mitigate risk associated with non-U.S. dollar denominated debt, net capital exposures, and foreign currency transactions. Equity derivatives are used to mitigate financial risk embedded in certain insurance liabilities. We use credit derivatives to manage our credit exposures. The derivatives are effective economic hedges of the exposures that they are meant to offset. In addition to hedging activities, we also enter into derivative instruments with respect to investment operations, which may include, among other things, CDSs and purchases of investments with embedded derivatives, such as equity-linked notes and convertible bonds.

Interest rate, currency, equity and commodity swaps, credit contracts, swaptions, options and forward transactions are accounted for as derivatives, recorded on a trade-date basis and carried at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses are reflected in income, when appropriate. Aggregate asset or liability positions are netted on the Consolidated Balance Sheets only to the extent permitted by qualifying master netting arrangements in place with each respective counterparty. Cash collateral posted with counterparties in conjunction with transactions supported by qualifying master netting arrangements is reported as a reduction of the corresponding net derivative liability, while cash collateral received in conjunction with transactions supported by qualifying master netting arrangements is reported as a reduction of the corresponding net derivative asset.

Derivatives, with the exception of embedded derivatives, are reported at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in Other assets and Other liabilities. Embedded derivatives are generally presented with the host contract in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. A bifurcated embedded derivative is measured at fair value and accounted for in the same manner as a free standing derivative contract. The corresponding host contract is accounted for according to the accounting guidance applicable for that instrument.

For additional information on embedded derivatives see Notes 5 and 14 herein.

The following table presents the notional amounts of our derivatives and the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets:

	December 31, 2018				December 31, 2017			
	Gross Derivative Assets		Gross Derivative Liabilities		Gross Derivative Assets		Gross Derivative Liabilities	
	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Notional Amount	Fair Value
<i>(in millions)</i>								
Derivatives designated as								
hedging instruments:^(a)								
Interest rate contracts	\$ 10	\$ -	\$ 866	\$ 19	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 838	\$ 15
Foreign exchange contracts	6,357	363	2,536	147	2,823	173	4,783	350
Equity contracts	-	-	-	-	-	-	159	19
Derivatives not designated								
as hedging instruments:^(a)								
Interest rate contracts	42,821	2,890	27,329	2,004	37,751	2,171	26,461	2,185
Foreign exchange contracts	11,134	801	5,434	711	6,305	658	11,093	895
Equity contracts	17,807	398	2,399	15	19,975	522	1,130	2
Credit contracts ^(b)	8	1	1,406	236	4	1	1,365	277
Other contracts ^(c)	39,070	15	58	6	39,829	20	59	5
Total derivatives, gross	\$ 117,207	\$ 4,468	\$ 40,028	\$ 3,138	\$ 106,687	\$ 3,545	\$ 45,888	\$ 3,748
Counterparty netting^(d)		(1,713)		(1,713)		(1,464)		(1,464)
Cash collateral^(e)		(1,840)		(187)		(1,159)		(1,249)
Total derivatives on								
consolidated balance sheets^(f)		\$ 915		\$ 1,238		\$ 922		\$ 1,035

(a) Fair value amounts are shown before the effects of counterparty netting adjustments and offsetting cash collateral.

(b) As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, included CDSs on super senior multi-sector CDOs with a net notional amount of \$592 million and \$685 million (fair value liability of \$224 million and \$254 million), respectively. The net notional amount represents the maximum exposure to loss on the portfolio. As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, there were no super senior corporate debt/CLOs remaining.

(c) Consists primarily of stable value wraps and contracts with multiple underlying exposures.

(d) Represents netting of derivative exposures covered by a qualifying master netting agreement.

(e) Represents cash collateral posted and received that is eligible for netting.

(f) Freestanding derivatives only, excludes embedded derivatives. Derivative instrument assets and liabilities are recorded in Other Assets and Liabilities, respectively. Fair value of assets related to bifurcated embedded derivatives was zero at both December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017. Fair value of liabilities related to bifurcated embedded derivatives was \$4.1 billion and \$4.1 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017. A bifurcated embedded derivative is generally presented with the host contract in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Embedded derivatives are primarily related to guarantee features in variable annuity products, which include equity and interest rate components.

COLLATERAL

We engage in derivative transactions that are not subject to a clearing requirement directly with unaffiliated third parties, in most cases, under International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA) Master Agreements. Many of the ISDA Master Agreements also include Credit Support Annex (CSA) provisions, which provide for collateral postings that may vary at various ratings and threshold levels. We attempt to reduce our risk with certain counterparties by entering into agreements that enable collateral to be obtained from a counterparty on an upfront or contingent basis. We minimize the risk that counterparties might be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations by monitoring counterparty credit exposure and collateral value and generally requiring additional collateral to be posted upon the occurrence of certain events or circumstances. In addition, certain derivative transactions have provisions that require collateral to be posted upon a downgrade of our long-term debt ratings or give the counterparty the right to terminate the transaction. In the case of some of the derivative transactions, upon a downgrade of our long-term debt ratings, as an alternative to posting collateral and subject to certain conditions, we may assign the transaction to an obligor with higher debt ratings or arrange for a substitute guarantee of our obligations by an obligor with higher debt ratings or take other similar action. The actual amount of collateral required to be posted to counterparties in the event of such downgrades, or the aggregate amount of payments that we could be required to make, depends on market conditions, the fair value of outstanding affected transactions and other factors prevailing at and after the time of the downgrade.

Collateral posted by us to third parties for derivative transactions was \$1.7 billion and \$2.9 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. In the case of collateral posted under derivative transactions that are not subject to clearing, this collateral can generally be repledged or resold by the counterparties. Collateral provided to us from third parties for derivative transactions was \$2.1 billion and \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. In the case of collateral provided to us under derivative transactions that are not subject to clearing, we generally can repledge or resell collateral.

OFFSETTING

We have elected to present all derivative receivables and derivative payables, and the related cash collateral received and paid, on a net basis on our Consolidated Balance Sheets when a legally enforceable ISDA Master Agreement exists between us and our derivative counterparty. An ISDA Master Agreement is an agreement governing multiple derivative transactions between two counterparties. The ISDA Master Agreement generally provides for the net settlement of all, or a specified group, of these derivative transactions, as well as transferred collateral, through a single payment, and in a single currency, as applicable. The net settlement provisions apply in the event of a default on, or affecting any, one derivative transaction or a termination event affecting all, or a specified group of, derivative transactions governed by the ISDA Master Agreement.

HEDGE ACCOUNTING

We designated certain derivatives entered into with third parties as fair value hedges of available for sale investment securities held by our insurance subsidiaries. The fair value hedges include foreign currency forwards and cross currency swaps designated as hedges of the change in fair value of foreign currency denominated available for sale securities attributable to changes in foreign exchange rates. We also designated certain interest rate swaps entered into with third parties as fair value hedges of fixed rate GICs attributable to changes in benchmark interest rates.

We use foreign currency denominated debt and cross-currency swaps as hedging instruments in net investment hedge relationships to mitigate the foreign exchange risk associated with our non-U.S. dollar functional currency foreign subsidiaries. For net investment hedge relationships where issued debt is used as a hedging instrument, we assess the hedge effectiveness and measure the amount of ineffectiveness based on changes in spot rates. For net investment hedge relationships that use derivatives as hedging instruments, we assess hedge effectiveness and measure hedge ineffectiveness using changes in forward rates. For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 we recognized gains (losses) of \$34 million, \$(106) million and \$123 million, respectively, included in Change in foreign currency translation adjustment in Other comprehensive income related to the net investment hedge relationships.

A qualitative methodology is utilized to assess hedge effectiveness for net investment hedges, while regression analysis is employed for all other hedges.

The following table presents the gain (loss) recognized in earnings on our derivative instruments in fair value hedging relationships in the Consolidated Statements of Income:

(in millions)	Gains/(Losses) Recognized in Earnings for:		Including Gains/(Losses) Attributable to:		
	Hedging Derivatives ^(a)	Hedged Items	Hedge Ineffectiveness	Excluded Components	Other ^(b)
Year ended December 31, 2018					
Interest rate contracts:					
Realized capital gains/(losses)	\$ (2)	\$ 2	\$ -	\$ -	-
Other income	-	-	-	-	-
Foreign exchange contracts:					
Realized capital gains/(losses)	471	(365)	-	106	-
Other income	-	-	-	-	-
Equity contracts:					
Realized capital gains/(losses)	-	-	-	-	-
Year ended December 31, 2017					
Interest rate contracts:					
Realized capital gains/(losses)	\$ (4)	\$ 4	\$ -	\$ -	-
Other income	-	-	-	-	-
Foreign exchange contracts:					
Realized capital gains/(losses)	(420)	393	-	(26)	-
Other income	-	4	-	-	4
Equity contracts:					
Realized capital gains/(losses)	(47)	42	-	(5)	-
Year ended December 31, 2016					
Interest rate contracts:					
Realized capital gains/(losses)	\$ (7)	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ -	(7)
Other income	-	10	-	-	10
Foreign exchange contracts:					
Realized capital gains/(losses)	294	(335)	-	(41)	-
Other income	-	24	-	-	24
Equity contracts:					
Realized capital gains/(losses)	10	(11)	-	(1)	-

(a) The amounts presented do not include the periodic net coupon settlements of the derivative contract or the coupon income (expense) related to the hedged item.

(b) Represents accretion/amortization of opening fair value of the hedged item at inception of hedge relationship, amortization of basis adjustment on hedged item following the discontinuation of hedge accounting, and the release of debt basis adjustment following the repurchase of issued debt that was part of previously-discontinued fair value hedge relationship.

DERIVATIVES NOT DESIGNATED AS HEDGING INSTRUMENTS

The following table presents the effect of derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments in the Consolidated Statements of Income:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	Gains (Losses) Recognized in Earnings		
	2018	2017	2016
By Derivative Type:			
Interest rate contracts	\$ (509)	\$ 56	\$ (229)
Foreign exchange contracts	543	(277)	293
Equity contracts	(56)	(964)	(902)
Commodity contracts	-	-	-
Credit contracts	32	58	81
Other contracts	65	75	80
Embedded derivatives	629	(449)	(48)
Total	\$ 704	\$ (1,501)	\$ (725)
By Classification:			
Policy fees	\$ 67	\$ 77	\$ 80
Net investment income	(3)	(11)	26
Net realized capital gains (losses)	561	(1,709)	(895)
Other income	81	139	63
Policyholder benefits and claims incurred	(2)	3	1
Total	\$ 704	\$ (1,501)	\$ (725)

CREDIT RISK-RELATED CONTINGENT FEATURES

We estimate that at December 31, 2018, based on our outstanding financial derivative transactions, a downgrade of our long-term senior debt ratings to BBB or BBB– by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a subsidiary of S&P Global Inc., and/or a downgrade to Baa2 or Baa3 by Moody's Investors' Service, Inc. would permit counterparties to make additional collateral calls and permit certain counterparties to elect early termination of contracts, resulting in corresponding collateral postings and termination payments in the total amount of up to approximately \$61 million. The aggregate fair value of our derivatives that were in a net liability position and that contain such credit risk-related contingencies which can be triggered below our long-term senior debt ratings of BBB+ or Baa1 was approximately \$423 million and \$572 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The aggregate fair value of assets posted as collateral under these contracts at December 31, 2018 and 2017, was approximately \$453 million and \$676 million, respectively.

HYBRID SECURITIES WITH EMBEDDED CREDIT DERIVATIVES

We invest in hybrid securities (such as credit-linked notes) with the intent of generating income, and not specifically to acquire exposure to embedded derivative risk. As is the case with our other investments in RMBS, CMBS, CDOs and ABS, our investments in these hybrid securities are exposed to losses only up to the amount of our initial investment in the hybrid security. Other than our initial investment in the hybrid securities, we have no further obligation to make payments on the embedded credit derivatives in the related hybrid securities.

We elect to account for our investments in these hybrid securities with embedded written credit derivatives at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in Net investment income and Other income. Our investments in these hybrid securities are reported as Other bond securities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The fair values of these hybrid securities were \$3.9 billion and \$4.4 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. These securities have par amounts of \$8.5 billion and \$9.1 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and have remaining stated maturity dates that extend to 2052.

12. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the future economic benefits arising from assets acquired in a business combination that are not individually identified and separately recognized. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. At December 31, 2018, the operating segments with goodwill are our General Insurance business – North America and International operating segments, our Life and Retirement business – Life Insurance operating segment and our Other Operations and Legacy Portfolio operating segments. When a business is transferred from one reporting unit to another, as occurred as part of the 2017 segment changes, goodwill from the original operating segment is allocated among reporting units based on the fair value of business transferred, relative to business retained by a reporting unit.

The impairment assessment involves an option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether events or circumstances exist that lead to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of an operating segment is less than its carrying amount. If the qualitative assessment is not performed, or after assessing the totality of the events or circumstances, we determine it is more likely than not that the fair value of an operating segment is less than its carrying amount, the impairment assessment involves a two-step process in which a quantitative assessment for potential impairment is performed.

If the qualitative test is not performed or if the test indicates a potential impairment is present, we estimate the fair value of each operating segment and compare the estimated fair value with the carrying amount of the operating segment, including allocated goodwill. The estimate of an operating segment's fair value involves management judgment and is based on one or a combination of approaches including discounted expected future cash flows, market-based earnings multiples of the unit's peer companies, external appraisals or, in the case of reporting units being considered for sale, third-party indications of fair value, if available. We consider one or more of these estimates when determining the fair value of an operating segment to be used in the impairment test.

If the estimated fair value of an operating segment exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill is not impaired. If the carrying value of an operating segment exceeds its estimated fair value, goodwill associated with that operating segment potentially is impaired. The amount of impairment, if any, is measured as the excess of the carrying value of the goodwill over the implied fair value of the goodwill. The implied fair value of the goodwill is measured as the excess of the fair value of the operating segment over the amounts that would be assigned to the operating segment's assets and liabilities in a hypothetical business combination. An impairment charge is recognized in earnings to the extent of the excess of carrying value over fair value.

Goodwill was not impaired at December 31, 2018 based on the results of the goodwill impairment test.

The following table presents the changes in goodwill by operating segment:

(in millions)	General Insurance		Life Insurance	Other Operations	Legacy Portfolio	Total
	North America	International				
Balance at January 1, 2016:						
Goodwill - gross	\$ 1,884	\$ 2,883	\$ 77	\$ 27	\$ 219	\$ 5,090
Accumulated impairments	(1,264)	(2,136)	-	-	(77)	(3,477)
Net goodwill	620	747	77	27	142	1,613
Increase (decrease) due to:						
Dispositions	(6)	(6)	-	-	-	(12)
Other	-	(70)	-	-	(3)	(73)
Balance at December 31, 2016:						
Goodwill - gross	1,878	2,807	77	27	216	5,005
Accumulated impairments	(1,264)	(2,136)	-	-	(77)	(3,477)
Net goodwill	614	671	77	27	139	1,528
Increase (decrease) due to:						
Acquisitions	-	-	-	4	-	4
Dispositions	(10)	(7)	(6)	-	(2)	(25)
Other	-	74	13	-	-	87
Balance at December 31, 2017:						
Goodwill - gross	1,868	2,874	84	31	214	5,071
Accumulated impairments	(1,264)	(2,136)	-	-	(77)	(3,477)
Net goodwill	604	738	84	31	137	1,594
Increase (decrease) due to:						
Acquisitions*	2,332	157	46	9	-	2,544
Other	(12)	(48)	(5)	9	-	(56)
Balance at December 31, 2018:						
Goodwill - gross	4,188	2,983	125	49	214	7,559
Accumulated impairments	(1,264)	(2,136)	-	-	(77)	(3,477)
Net goodwill	\$ 2,924	\$ 847	\$ 125	\$ 49	\$ 137	\$ 4,082

* Includes goodwill of \$2.0 billion, \$492 million and \$46 million relating to the acquisitions of Validus, Glatfelter and Ellipse, respectively.

The following table presents the changes in other intangible assets and the value of distribution network acquired by operating segment:

Year Ended December 31, 2018 (in millions)	General Insurance		Life Insurance	Other Operations	Legacy Portfolio	Total
	North America	International				
Other intangible assets, beginning of year	\$ 27	\$ 8	\$ 34	\$ 37	\$ -	\$ 106
Increase (decrease) due to:						
Acquisitions	61	207	16	-	-	284
Amortization	(2)	(3)	(4)	(2)	-	(11)
Other	-	-	-	(19)	-	(19)
Other intangible assets, end of year	\$ 86	\$ 212	\$ 46	\$ 16	\$ -	\$ 360
Value of distribution network acquired, beginning of year	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-
Increase (decrease) due to:						
Acquisitions	-	-	-	582	-	582
Amortization	-	-	-	(15)	-	(15)
Other	-	-	-	2	-	2
Value of distribution network acquired, end of year	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 569	\$ -	\$ 569

13. Insurance Liabilities

LIABILITY FOR UNPAID LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES (LOSS RESERVES)

Loss reserves represent the accumulation of estimates of unpaid claims, including estimates for claims incurred but not reported and loss adjustment expenses (IBNR), less applicable discount. We regularly review and update the methods used to determine loss reserve estimates. Any adjustments resulting from this review are reflected currently in pre-tax income. Because these estimates are subject to the outcome of future events, changes in estimates are common given that loss trends vary and time is often required for changes in trends to be recognized and confirmed. Reserve changes that increase previous estimates of ultimate cost are referred to as unfavorable or adverse development or reserve strengthening. Reserve changes that decrease previous estimates of ultimate cost are referred to as favorable development.

Our gross loss reserves before reinsurance and discount are net of contractual deductible recoverable amounts due from policyholders of approximately \$12.3 billion and \$12.6 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. These recoverable amounts are related to certain policies with high deductibles (in excess of high dollar amounts retained by the insured through self-insured retentions, deductibles, retrospective programs, or captive arrangements, each referred to generically as “deductibles”), primarily for U.S. commercial casualty business. With respect to the deductible portion of the claim, we manage and pay the entire claim on behalf of the insured and are reimbursed by the insured for the deductible portion of the claim. Thus, these recoverable amounts represent a credit exposure to us. At December 31, 2018 and 2017, we held collateral of approximately \$9.2 billion and \$9.5 billion, respectively, for these deductible recoverable amounts, consisting primarily of letters of credit and funded trust agreements.

The following table presents the roll-forward of activity in Loss Reserves:

Years Ended December 31,		2018	2017	2016
(in millions)				
Liability for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses, beginning of year	\$	78,393	\$ 77,077	\$ 74,942
Reinsurance recoverable		(26,708)	(15,532)	(14,339)
Net Liability for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses, beginning of year		51,685	61,545	60,603
Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred:				
Current year		22,501	21,079	20,232
Prior years, excluding discount and amortization of deferred gain		1,429	1,565	5,788
Prior years, discount charge (benefit)		(252)	187	(422)
Prior years, amortization of deferred gain on retroactive reinsurance ^(a)		(395)	(284)	-
Total losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred		23,283	22,547	25,598
Losses and loss adjustment expenses paid:				
Current year		(6,235)	(5,323)	(5,825)
Prior years		(19,254)	(16,241)	(16,908)
Total losses and loss adjustment expenses paid		(25,489)	(21,564)	(22,733)
Other changes:				
Foreign exchange effect		(677)	788	(463)
Acquisitions ^(b)		3,284	23	-
Dispositions ^(c)		-	(360)	(1,058)
Retroactive reinsurance adjustment (net of discount) ^(d)		(137)	(11,294)	-
Reclassified to liabilities held for sale ^(e)		-	-	(402)
Total other changes		2,470	(10,843)	(1,923)
Liability for unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses, end of year:				
Net liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses		51,949	51,685	61,545
Reinsurance recoverable		31,690	26,708	15,532
Total	\$	83,639	\$ 78,393	\$ 77,077

(a) Includes \$51 million and \$25 million for the retroactive reinsurance agreement with NICO covering U.S. asbestos exposures for the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

(b) Includes amounts related to the acquisition of Glatfelter in October 2018, Validus in July 2018 and Blackboard U.S. Holdings Inc. in 2017.

(c) Includes amounts related to dispositions through the date of disposition. Includes sale of insurance operations to Fairfax, United Guaranty and Ascot Underwriting Holdings Limited, and Ascot Employees Corporate Member Limited (Ascot).

(d) Includes discount on retroactive insurance in the amount of \$180 million and \$1.5 billion for the periods ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

(e) Represents change in loss reserves included in our sale of certain of our insurance operations and certain assets to Fairfax for the period ended December 31, 2016. Upon consummation of the sale, we retained a portion of these reserves through reinsurance arrangements.

Prior Year Development

During 2018, we recognized adverse prior year loss reserve development of \$1.4 billion before impact of the Adverse Development Cover and the asbestos cession to NICO. The key components of this development were as follows:

- Unfavorable development in US Excess Casualty, driven by the combination of construction defect and construction wrap claims from accident year 2015 and prior where we reacted to significant increases in severity and longer claim reporting patterns, as well as higher than expected loss severity in accident years 2016 and 2017, which led to an increase in estimates for these accident years;
- Unfavorable development in U.S. Financial Lines, primarily from Directors & Officers (D&O) and Employment Practices Liability (EPLI) policies covering Corporate and National Insureds as well as Private and Not-for-Profit insureds. This development was predominantly in accident years 2014-2017 and resulted largely from increases in severity associated with an increase in frequency of class action lawsuits from those years.
- Favorable development in US Commercial Property and Specialty Lines due to reductions in our estimates for 2017 Catastrophes, favorable attritional losses in Commercial Property and favorable Specialty emergence.
- Unfavorable development in US Personal Lines reflecting the adverse development on the 2017 California Wildfires and Hurricane Irma in 2017.
- Unfavorable development in International Financial Lines driven by increased large loss activity in recent accident years, particularly related to directors and officers class action suits against insureds with global exposure.

Our analyses and conclusions about prior year reserves also help inform our judgments about the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratios we selected.

During 2017, we recognized unfavorable prior year loss reserve development of \$1.6 billion. This unfavorable development was primarily a result of the following:

- Unfavorable development in U.S. Casualty lines, driven primarily by increases in underlying severity and greater than expected emerging loss experience in accident year 2016 as well as increased development from claims related to construction defects and construction wrap business (largely from accident years 2006 and prior).
- Unfavorable development in U.S. Financial Lines, primarily from Directors & Officers (D&O) policies covering privately owned and not-for-profit insureds. This development was predominantly in accident year 2016 and resulted largely from increases in bankruptcy-related claims and fiduciary liability claims for large educational institutions.
- Higher than expected losses for UK/Europe Casualty and Financial Lines. We observed a significant increase in large claims activity in our UK/Europe long-tail business, with a large proportion emanating from accident year 2016. In addition, we increased our loss reserves as a result of the decision made by the U.K. Ministry of Justice to reduce the discount rate applied to lump-sum bodily injury payouts, known as the Ogden rate.
- In addition we also observed higher than expected losses in UK/Europe property and special risks business driven by unexpected development on various large claims across the property, aviation, marine, and trade credit segments.

During 2016, we recognized adverse prior year loss reserve development of \$5.8 billion. This unfavorable development was primarily a result of the following:

- Higher than expected losses emerging across several casualty product lines, especially in the recent accident years (generally, 2011 to 2015) driven by increased frequency and severity of claims. This recent accident year loss emergence caused us to increase loss development factors applied across many accident years.
- Loss development factors including workers' compensation tail factors, also increased due to an observed lengthening of loss reporting patterns relative to prior expectations.
- Increases in loss trend assumptions to reflect the latest observed increases in frequency and severity and the impact of these increased loss trends on expected loss ratios.
- Changes in weights we apply to the various actuarial methods to better align with updated trends.

The loss development tables below include loss development data by major lines of business for the last ten accident years. The drivers of prior year development are discussed following each of the loss development tables.

The table below presents the reconciliation of the net liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses in the following tables to Loss Reserves in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for the year ended December 31, 2018:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Net liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses as presented in the disaggregated tables below	Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses included in the disaggregated tables below	Gross liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses
U.S. Workers' Compensation (before discount)	\$ 5,834	\$ 7,038	\$ 12,872
U.S. Excess Casualty	4,715	4,576	9,291
U.S. Other Casualty	4,288	4,661	8,949
U.S. Financial Lines	5,315	1,960	7,275
U.S. Property and Special risks	6,534	2,748	9,282
U.S. Personal Insurance	1,706	1,001	2,707
UK/Europe Casualty and Financial lines	7,022	1,789	8,811
UK/Europe Property and Special risks	2,988	1,251	4,239
UK/Europe and Japan Personal Insurance	2,264	553	2,817
U.S. Run-Off Long Tail Insurance Lines (before discount)	4,835	3,689	8,524
Total	\$ 45,501	\$ 29,266	\$ 74,767
Reconciling Items			
Discount on workers' compensation lines			(3,755)
Other product lines			8,974
Unallocated loss adjustment expenses			3,653
Total Loss Reserves			\$ 83,639

Loss Development Information

The following is information about incurred and paid loss developments as of December 31, 2018, net of reinsurance. The cumulative number of reported claims, the total of IBNR liabilities and expected development on reported loss included within the net incurred loss amounts are presented in the following section.

Reserving Methodology

We use a combination of methods to project ultimate losses for both long-tail and short-tail exposures, which include:

- **Paid Development method:** The Paid Development method estimates ultimate losses by reviewing paid loss patterns and selecting paid ultimate loss development factors. These factors are then applied to paid losses by applying them to accident years, with further expected changes in paid loss. Since the method does not rely on case reserves, it is not directly influenced by changes in the adequacy of case reserves.
- **Incurred Development method:** The Incurred Development method is similar to the Paid Development method, but it uses case incurred losses instead of paid losses. Since this method uses more data (case reserves in addition to paid losses) than the Paid Development method, the incurred development patterns may be less variable than paid development patterns.
- **Expected Loss Ratio method:** The Expected Loss Ratio method multiplies premiums by an expected loss ratio to produce ultimate loss estimates for each accident year. This method may be useful if loss development patterns are inconsistent, losses emerge very slowly, or there is relatively little loss history from which to estimate future losses.
- **Bornhuetter-Ferguson method:** The Bornhuetter-Ferguson method using premiums and paid losses is a combination of the Paid Development method and the Expected Loss Ratio method where the weight given to each method is the reciprocal of the loss development factor. This method normally determines expected loss ratios similar to the method used for the Expected Loss Ratio method. The Bornhuetter-Ferguson method using premiums and incurred losses is similar to the Bornhuetter-Ferguson method using premiums and paid losses except that it uses case-incurred losses.
- **Cape Cod method:** The Cape Cod method is mechanically similar to the Bornhuetter-Ferguson method with the difference being that the Expected Loss Ratio estimates are determined based on a weighting of the loss estimates that come from the Paid/Incurred Development Methods. This method may be more responsive to recent loss trends than the Bornhuetter-Ferguson method.

- **Average Loss method:** The Average Loss method multiplies a projected number of ultimate claims by an estimated ultimate severity average loss for each accident year to produce ultimate loss estimates. Since projections of the ultimate number of claims are often less variable than projections of ultimate loss, this method can provide more reliable results for reserve categories where loss development patterns are inconsistent or too variable to be relied on exclusively.

In updating our loss reserve estimates, we consider and evaluate inputs from many sources, including actual claims data, the performance of prior reserve estimates, observed industry trends, our internal peer review processes, including challenges and recommendations from our Enterprise Risk Management group, as well as the views of third-party actuarial firms. We use these inputs to improve our evaluation techniques, and to analyze and assess the change in estimated ultimate loss for each accident year by product line. Our analyses produce a range of indications from various methods, from which we select our best estimate.

In determining the actual carried loss reserves, we consider both the internal actuarial best estimate and numerous other internal and external factors, including:

- an assessment of economic conditions, including real GDP growth, inflation, employment rates or unemployment duration, stock market volatility and changes in corporate bond spreads;
- changes in the legal, regulatory, judicial and social environment, including changes in road safety, public health and cleanup standards;
- changes in medical cost trends (inflation, intensity and utilization of medical services) and wage inflation trends;
- underlying policy pricing, terms and conditions including attachment points and policy limits;
- change in claims handling philosophy, operating model, processes, and related ongoing enhancements;
- third-party claims reviews that are periodically performed for key classes of claims such as toxic tort, environmental and other complex casualty claims;
- third-party actuarial reviews that are periodically performed for key classes of business;
- input from underwriters on pricing, terms, and conditions and market trends; and
- changes in our reinsurance program, pricing and commutations.

The following factors are relevant to the loss development information Included in the tables below:

- **Table organization:** The tables are organized by accident year and include policies written on an occurrence and claims- made basis. We note that for certain categories of claims (e.g., construction defect claims and environmental claims) and for reinsurance recoverable, losses may sometimes be reclassified to an earlier or later accident year as more information about the date of occurrence becomes available to us. These reclassifications are shown as development in the respective years in the tables below. Financial Lines business is primarily written on a claims-made basis, while the majority of the workers' compensation, excess casualty, other casualty, and run-off property and casualty lines of business are written on an occurrence basis. Primarily, all short-tail lines in Property and Special Risks and Personal Insurance are written on an occurrence basis.
- **Groupings:** We believe our groupings have homogenous risk characteristics with similar development patterns and would generally be subject to similar trends and reflect our reportable segments. The incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses and paid losses in the following tables for the current reporting year are allocated to the line of business and accident years based on an initial allocation methodology and updated for the final allocation in the subsequent reporting year. The difference between the initial and the final allocation does not have a material impact on the loss tables.
- **Reinsurance:** Our reinsurance program varies by exposure type. Historically we have leveraged facultative and treaty reinsurance, both on a pro-rata and excess of loss basis. Our reinsurance program may change from year to year, which may affect the comparability of the data presented in our tables.

- **Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement:** We have provided the impact of the Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement (ADC) in an additional table below our Incurred Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses (ALAE) tables. The impact of the ADC is shown beginning in 2016 given the retroactive date of the contract and coincides with the effective date of the contract. For the lines of business covered by the agreement (U.S. Workers' Compensation, U.S. Excess Casualty, U.S. Other Casualty, U.S. Financial Lines, U.S. Property and Special Risks and U.S. Personal Insurance or collectively, the "Covered Lines"), an attribution of the loss recoveries to the line of business by calendar year and accident year is performed based on the underlying distribution of the losses subject to the agreement. Specifically, the future claim payments for all subject incurred losses were projected into future years based on the same actuarial assumptions underlying the related reserves. Also, refer to the additional table presented after discussion of prior year development by line of business, which reconciles the changes in net ultimates to our overall prior year development and provides the reattribution of loss recoveries for the Covered Lines. The reinsurance terms of the ADC were then used to identify the future claims payments for which 80% will be reimbursed by NICO. At each reporting period, the attribution of the ADC recoveries is performed. The factors that could cause the attribution to lines of business and accident year to change include changes in underlying actuarial assumptions as to timing and amount of future claim payments.
- **Incurred but not reported liabilities (IBNR):** We include development from past reported losses in IBNR.
- **Data excluded from tables:** Information with respect to accident years older than ten years is excluded from the development tables. Unallocated loss adjustment expenses are also excluded.
- **Foreign exchange:** The loss development for operations outside of the U.S. is presented for all accident years using the current exchange rate at December 31, 2018. Although this approach requires restating all prior accident year information, the changes in exchange rates do not impact incurred and paid loss development trends.
- **Acquisitions:** We include acquisitions from all accident years presented in the tables. For purposes of this disclosure, we have applied the retrospective method for the acquired reserves, including incurred and paid claim development histories throughout the relevant tables. It should be noted that historical reserves for the acquired businesses were established by the acquired companies using methods, assumptions and procedures then in effect which may differ from our current reserving bases. Accordingly, it may not be appropriate to extrapolate future redundancies or deficiencies based on the aggregated historical results shown in the triangles.
- **Dispositions:** We exclude dispositions from all accident years presented in the tables.
- **Claim counts:** We consider a reported claim to be one claim for each claimant or feature for each loss occurrence. Claims relating to losses that are 100 percent reinsured are excluded from the reported claims in the tables below. Reported claims for losses from assumed reinsurance contracts are not available and hence not included in the reported claims.
- There are limitations that should be considered on the reported claim count data in the tables below, including:
 - Claim counts are presented only on a reported (not an ultimate) basis;
 - The tables below include lines of business and geographies at a certain aggregated level which may indicate different frequency and severity trends and characteristics, and may not be as meaningful as the claim count information related to the individual products within those lines of business and geographies;
 - Certain lines of business are more likely to be subject to occurrences involving multiple claimants and features, which can distort measures based on the reported claim counts in the table below; and
 - Reported claim counts are not adjusted for ceded reinsurance, which may distort the measure of frequency or severity.

Supplemental Information: The information about incurred and paid loss development for all periods preceding year ended December 31, 2018 and the related historical claims payout percentage disclosure is unaudited and is presented as supplementary information.

The following tables present undiscounted, incurred and paid losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses by accident year, on a net basis after reinsurance, with a separate presentation of the Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement excluding the related amortization of the deferred gain:

U.S. Workers' Compensation

During 2018, we recognized \$51 million of unfavorable prior year development, net of external reinsurance but before ADC cessions.

During 2017, we recognized \$31 million of favorable prior year development, net of external reinsurance but before ADC cessions.

Incurred Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted and Net of Reinsurance

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										2018 Prior Year Development Excluding the Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	December 31, 2018				Total of IBNR Liabilities Net of Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018		Total of IBNR Liabilities Plus Expected Development on Reported Losses	Cumulative Number of Reported Claims	Incurred Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	2018 (Net of Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement)	
	Unaudited															
2009	\$ 3,466	\$ 3,633	\$ 3,608	\$ 3,666	\$ 3,639	\$ 3,616	\$ 3,606	\$ 3,708	\$ 3,714	\$ 3,697	\$ (17)	\$ 421	147,504	\$ (439)	\$ 3,258	\$ (18)
2010		2,706	3,049	3,125	3,148	3,211	3,214	3,286	3,267	3,278	11	446	133,374	(467)	2,811	(21)
2011			2,901	2,953	3,091	3,158	3,113	3,152	3,156	3,177	21	490	124,855	(495)	2,682	(5)
2012				2,382	2,194	2,286	2,260	2,334	2,308	2,259	(49)	418	70,874	(466)	1,793	(48)
2013					1,932	1,880	1,950	2,060	2,032	1,974	(58)	452	46,768	(493)	1,481	(41)
2014						1,729	1,764	1,866	1,862	1,794	(68)	531	39,742	(485)	1,309	46
2015							1,708	1,864	1,866	1,814	(52)	712	35,439	(496)	1,318	216
2016								1,299	1,346	1,318	(28)	625	29,669	-	1,318	625
2017									789	850	61	504	26,005	-	850	504
2018										998		802	18,367	-	998	802
Total										\$ 21,159	\$ (179)			\$ (3,341)	\$ 17,818	
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of																
Reinsurance from the table below										(13,739)	-			-	(13,739)	
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year development																
before accident year 2009, net of reinsurance										4,443	153			(2,688)	1,755	
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development											77					
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss																
development, net of reinsurance										\$ 11,863	\$ 51			\$ (6,029)	\$ 5,834	

Incurred Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted, Net of Reinsurance (including impact of ADC)

Accident Year	Calendar Years Ended December 31, (in millions)			Change in Incurred Loss and ALAE
	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited			
2009	\$ 3,297	\$ 3,298	\$ 3,258	\$ (40)
2010	2,821	2,818	2,811	(7)
2011	2,676	2,677	2,682	5
2012	1,819	1,814	1,793	(21)
2013	1,499	1,494	1,481	(13)
2014	1,311	1,310	1,309	(1)
2015	1,278	1,279	1,318	39
2016	1,299	1,346	1,318	(28)
2017	-	789	850	61
2018	-	-	998	-
Total	\$ 16,000	\$ 16,825	\$ 17,818	\$ (5)
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance from the table below			(13,739)	-
Liabilities for losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses before 2009, net of reinsurance			2,258	(503)
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development			-	10
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance			\$ 5,834	\$ (498)

The following table provides our attribution of our reinsurance recoverable for the ADC only (included in the table above):

Accident Year	Calendar Years Ended December 31, (in millions)			Change in Incurred Loss and ALAE
	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited			
2009	\$ (411)	\$ (416)	\$ (439)	\$ (23)
2010	(465)	(449)	(467)	(18)
2011	(476)	(479)	(495)	(16)
2012	(515)	(494)	(466)	28
2013	(561)	(538)	(493)	45
2014	(555)	(552)	(485)	67
2015	(586)	(587)	(496)	91
2016	-	-	-	-
2017	-	-	-	-
2018	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ (3,569)	\$ (3,515)	\$ (3,341)	\$ 174
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance from the table below			-	-
Liabilities for losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses before 2009, net of reinsurance			(2,032)	(656)
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance			\$ (6,029)	\$ (482)

Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										Paid Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited										
2009	\$ 630	\$ 1,328	\$ 1,756	\$ 2,120	\$ 2,390	\$ 2,621	\$ 2,780	\$ 2,887	\$ 2,968	\$ 3,058	-
2010		550	1,093	1,537	1,855	2,126	2,288	2,426	2,532	2,597	-
2011			519	1,129	1,561	1,884	2,129	2,285	2,388	2,451	-
2012				415	804	1,089	1,272	1,440	1,563	1,632	-
2013					282	619	879	1,067	1,214	1,287	-
2014						231	558	786	930	1,030	-
2015							234	524	725	854	-
2016								147	378	521	-
2017									93	224	-
2018										85	-
Total									\$	13,739	\$ -

Reserving Process and Methodology

U.S. Workers' Compensation is an extremely long-tail line of business, with loss emergence extending for decades. We generally use a combination of loss development, frequency/severity and expected loss ratio methods for workers' compensation.

Many of our primary casualty policies contain risk-sharing features, including high deductibles, self-insured retentions or retrospective rating features, in addition to a traditional insurance component. These risk-sharing programs generally are large and complex, comprising multiple products, years and structures, and are subject to amendment over time. We group guaranteed cost and excess of deductible business separately and then further by state and industry subset to the extent that meaningful differences are determined to exist. We also separately analyze certain subsets of the portfolio that have unique characteristics (e.g., U.S. government sub-contractor accounts and construction wrap-up business). For excess of deductible business, we also segment by size of deductible and whether the claim is handled by AIG or an outside third-party administrator (TPA). The proportion of large deductible business has increased over time, which has slowed the reporting pattern of claims.

For guaranteed cost business, expected loss ratio methods generally are given significant weight only in the most recent accident year. Workers' compensation claims are generally characterized by high frequency, low severity, and relatively consistent loss development from one accident year to the next. We historically have been a leading writer of workers' compensation, and thus have sufficient volume of claims experience to use development methods. We generally segregate California (CA) and New York (NY) businesses from the other states to reflect their different development patterns and changing percentage of the mix by state. The claims development tables above are impacted by two other significant initiatives, which offset each other. In recent years, we instituted claims strategy changes and loss mitigation efforts to accelerate settlements, which we believe results in an overall reduction in claim costs. This strategy resulted in an increase in paid losses along the latest diagonals relative to prior years. In addition, we have been reducing premium volume in recent years and shifting a greater proportion of business to insured risk retention structures such as high deductible policies. These mix and volume changes slowed paid and incurred development since excess of deductible claims will typically take longer to emerge and settle.

Expected loss ratio methods for business written in excess of a deductible may be given significant weight in the most recent five accident years. In the 2016 analysis, we increased our tail factor estimates for states other than NY and CA for guaranteed cost business in recognition of longer medical development patterns that we have been seeing in recent years. We reflected increases in legal costs we have seen across the portfolio, particularly in California.

Additionally, over the years we have written a number of very large accounts which include workers' compensation coverage. These accounts are generally individually priced by our actuaries, and to the extent appropriate, the indicated losses based on the pricing analysis may be used to record the initial estimated loss reserves for these accounts.

Prior Year Development

During 2018, we recognized \$51 million of adverse prior year development in US Workers Compensation business with higher claim development factors at older ages (tail factors) for non-California, non-New York and loss sensitive business in older accident years being offset by favorable emergence in recent years. Accident year 2017 was adversely impacted by a change in ceded reinsurance estimates. For our Defense Base Act (DBA) business, adverse development in recent years was offset by an expansion of the definition of reimbursable War Hazard claims by the US Government.

During 2017, we recognized \$31 million of favorable prior year development in U.S. workers' compensation business, particularly guaranteed cost business in the states of California and New York. Actual loss emergence during the year, particularly for guaranteed cost business in these two states, was significantly less than expected on a reported loss basis. We did recognize some offsetting unfavorable development in our Defense Based Act (DBA) business that covers government contractors in U.S. and non-U.S. military installations, as well as from a Pennsylvania Supreme Court decision that overturned a ruling that provided limitations on payments for certain permanent injuries (the Protz decision).

During 2016, we recognized \$1.9 billion of unfavorable prior year development in primary workers' compensation coverages primarily driven by the risk-sharing programs where we provide coverage in excess of large deductibles. For this excess of large deductible business, in 2016, we observed actual loss emergence and development at significantly greater levels than expected based on our previous experience in particular from losses in excess of \$1 million. Since these policies respond to larger claims, the loss reporting pattern is much longer than observed in guaranteed cost workers' compensation and it takes several years to discern credible changes in the pattern. Furthermore, implementation of claims settlement and loss mitigation strategies over the past several years has made the recent evaluation of data more challenging as historical development patterns may not yet fully reflect these claim and mitigation activities. During 2016, we refined our actuarial methodology by combining data across previously segregated underwriting portfolios to improve our ability to analyze the loss development trends and patterns that had been altered by the mix, claims handling and loss mitigation changes we have made during the last five years. We also developed further segmentations by deductible size and other key parameters, such as claims handled by TPA staff and not our claims department. As a result, we determined that the loss emergence patterns had changed and lengthened significantly from our prior expectation and therefore, we increased our loss development factors.

In addition, for workers' compensation policies with no deductibles (guaranteed cost), we increased our tail factors for the all other states grouping to reflect the latest unfavorable experience in more mature accident years. This change increased the ultimate losses by approximately \$440 million in 2016. We also reflected the increasing cost trends for legal and cost containment services, especially in California, as recent trends in this sector have been unfavorable.

Furthermore, in 2016, the Florida Supreme Court issued two separate rulings that have increased the potential liability for workers' compensation claims in that state by undoing certain aspects of regulations in place since 2003. The Castellanos ruling eliminated statutory caps on claimant attorney fees in certain cases, and the Westphal ruling eliminated the 104-week limitation on temporary total disability benefits. Also in the second quarter, the Florida Court of Appeals issued the Miles decision, declaring unconstitutional certain restrictions on claimant-paid attorney fees. In the second quarter 2016, we increased our workers' compensation reserves by \$100 million to reflect our estimate of the costs of these rulings on prior years' claims.

U.S. Excess Casualty

During 2018, we recognized \$1,274 million of unfavorable prior year development in Excess Casualty, net of external reinsurance but before ADC cessions, driven by higher than expected loss emergence for construction defect and construction wrap claims and increasing loss severity in more recent accident years.

During 2017, we recognized \$254 million of unfavorable prior year development in Excess Casualty, net of external reinsurance but before ADC cessions, driven by higher than expected loss emergence.

Incurred Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted and Net of Reinsurance

Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										December 31, 2018						
Accident Year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018 Prior Year Development Excluding the Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	Total of IBNR Liabilities Plus Expected Development on Reported Losses	Cumulative Number of Reported Claims	Incurred Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	2018 (Net of Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement)	Total of IBNR Liabilities Net of Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement
	Unaudited															
2009	\$ 1,831	\$ 1,897	\$ 1,797	\$ 1,638	\$ 1,457	\$ 1,321	\$ 1,407	\$ 1,518	\$ 1,522	\$ 1,599	\$ 77	\$ 254	3,935	\$ (191)	\$ 1,408	\$ 63
2010		1,863	2,076	2,076	1,771	1,640	1,723	1,719	1,706	1,681	(25)	331	3,687	(255)	1,426	76
2011			1,766	1,807	1,581	1,416	1,521	1,606	1,623	1,726	103	370	3,651	(290)	1,436	80
2012				1,588	1,382	1,226	1,477	1,530	1,481	1,558	77	395	3,549	(304)	1,254	91
2013					1,073	973	1,113	1,258	1,178	1,226	48	397	2,908	(301)	925	96
2014						849	968	1,157	1,135	1,219	84	541	2,381	(424)	795	117
2015							892	1,334	1,320	1,478	158	580	2,185	(464)	1,014	116
2016								790	1,029	1,055	26	703	1,550	-	1,055	703
2017									758	905	147	555	759	-	905	555
2018										555		512	301	-	555	512
Total										\$ 13,002	\$ 695			\$ (2,229)	\$ 10,773	
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of																
Reinsurance from the table below										(6,877)	-			-	(6,877)	
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year development																
before accident year 2009, net of reinsurance										2,205	537			(1,386)	819	
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development											42					
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss																
development, net of reinsurance										\$ 8,330	\$ 1,274			\$ (3,615)	\$ 4,715	

Incurred Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted, Net of Reinsurance (including impact of ADC)

Accident Year	Calendar Years Ended December 31, (in millions)			Change in Incurred Loss and ALAE
	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited			
2009	\$ 1,352	\$ 1,353	\$ 1,408	\$ 55
2010	1,448	1,446	1,426	(20)
2011	1,364	1,367	1,436	69
2012	1,168	1,158	1,254	96
2013	885	869	925	56
2014	784	780	795	15
2015	898	895	1,014	119
2016	790	1,029	1,055	26
2017	-	758	905	147
2018	-	-	555	-
Total	\$ 8,689	\$ 9,655	\$ 10,773	\$ 563
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance from the table below				(6,877)
Liabilities for losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses before 2009, net of reinsurance				628
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development				-
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance				\$ 4,715
				\$ 762

The following table provides our attribution of our reinsurance recoverable for the ADC only (included in the table above):

Accident Year	Calendar Years Ended December 31, (in millions)			Change in Incurred Loss and ALAE
	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited			
2009	\$ (166)	\$ (169)	\$ (191)	\$ (22)
2010	(271)	(260)	(255)	5
2011	(242)	(256)	(290)	(34)
2012	(362)	(323)	(304)	19
2013	(373)	(309)	(301)	8
2014	(373)	(355)	(424)	(69)
2015	(436)	(425)	(464)	(39)
2016	-	-	-	-
2017	-	-	-	-
2018	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ (2,223)	\$ (2,097)	\$ (2,229)	\$ (132)
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance from the table below				-
Liabilities for losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses before 2009, net of reinsurance				(1,040)
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance				(1,386)
				(346)
				(478)

Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance

Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)											Paid Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement
Accident Year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited										
2009	\$ 8	\$ 69	\$ 249	\$ 449	\$ 624	\$ 788	\$ 965	\$ 1,174	\$ 1,212	\$ 1,309	-
2010		10	197	475	654	795	946	1,052	1,217	1,265	-
2011			5	63	225	386	716	921	1,069	1,214	-
2012				3	106	288	495	649	887	1,022	-
2013					15	104	204	382	546	661	-
2014						3	68	202	397	531	-
2015							9	192	361	668	-
2016								14	66	178	-
2017									1	29	-
2018										-	-
Total										\$ 6,877	\$ -

Reserving Process and Methodology

U.S. Excess Casualty policies tend to attach at a high layer above underlying policies, which causes the loss development pattern to be lagged significantly. Many of the claims notified to the excess layers are closed without payment because the claims never reach our layer as a result of high deductibles and other underlying coverages, while the claims that reach our layer and close with payment can be large and highly variable in terms of reported timing and amount. For a portion of this business, the underlying primary policies are issued by other insurance companies, which can limit our access to relevant information to help inform our judgments as the loss events evolve and mature.

We generally use a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for excess casualty product lines. We segment our analysis between automobile-related claims and non-automobile claims, due to the shorter-tail nature of the automobile claims. We then further segment the non-automobile claims for certain latent exposures such as construction defects and mass torts where losses have unique emergence patterns. Mass tort claims in particular may develop over an extended period of time and impact multiple accident years when they emerge. The more standard types of claims are then separately analyzed based on attachment point bands, to recognize that the impact of the level of the attachment point can significantly impact the delay in loss reporting and development. In our analyses, losses capped at \$10 million were first analyzed using traditional loss development and expected loss ratio methods and then this estimate was used to derive the expected loss estimate for losses above \$10 million reflecting the expected relationships between the layers, reflecting the attachment point and limit.

Expected loss ratio methods are generally used for at least the three latest accident years, due to the relatively low credibility of the reported losses. The loss experience is generally reviewed separately by attachment point. The expected loss ratios used for recent accident years are based on the projected ultimate loss ratios for older years adjusted for rate changes and loss trend.

Prior Year Development

During 2018, we recognized \$1,274 million of adverse development driven largely by construction defect and construction wrap claims where actual emergence was significantly worse than expected and our updated analysis significantly increased the severity assumptions and lengthened the claim reporting pattern to recognize the significant deterioration seen in recent calendar periods. We also increased the expected loss ratio assumptions in recent accident years to reflect the high initial reported loss ratios for those years and the incidence of several unusually large claims.

During 2017, we recognized \$254 million of unfavorable prior year development driven in large part by emerging loss experience in accident year 2016 where frequency and severity to date has exceeded initial expectations and is coinciding with increased loss severity in the underlying primary auto and general liability segments. In addition, we experienced increased development from claims related to construction defects and construction wrap business. The majority of this experience came from accident years 2006 and prior.

During 2016, we recognized \$1.1 billion of unfavorable prior year development driven by continued higher than expected loss emergence due to increased frequency and severity in recent accident years for both automobile and general liability claims. Approximately \$250 million of the unfavorable development is attributable to a cohort of commercial automobile claims identified in 2015 which continued to increase in severity in 2016 beyond what was observed or reasonably expected in 2015. The most significant increases in incurred losses were for accident years 2011 and subsequent. In particular, the frequency and severity of loss events for accident years 2011 and subsequent showed a significant step change from accident years 2010 and prior. We therefore gave limited credibility to accident year 2010 and prior in selecting our expected loss ratios for 2011 and subsequent accident years due to this shift in loss patterns that is now more evident and credible after examining 2016 data. As a result of the continued adverse emergence, we have increased our loss trend assumptions for general liability and automobile and increased our expected loss ratios for the most recent four accident years.

U.S. Other Casualty

U.S Other Casualty includes general liability, commercial auto, medical malpractice, and various other casualty lines of business.

In 2018, we recognized \$127 million of favorable prior year development in Other Casualty, net of external reinsurance but before ADC cessions, primarily as a result of favorable loss emergence in accident years 2011-2016.

In 2017, we recognized \$216 million of unfavorable prior year development in Other Casualty, net of external reinsurance but before ADC cessions, primarily as a result of increased loss severity in recent accident years.

Incurred Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted and Net of Reinsurance

Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										December 31, 2018							
Accident Year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018 Prior Year Development Excluding the Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	Total of IBNR Liabilities Plus Expected Development on Reported Losses	Cumulative Number of Reported Claims	Incurred Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	2018 (Net of Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement)	Total of IBNR Liabilities Net of Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	
	Unaudited																
2009	\$ 2,416	\$ 2,517	\$ 2,586	\$ 2,582	\$ 2,697	\$ 2,818	\$ 2,880	\$ 2,863	\$ 2,855	\$ 2,884	\$ 29	\$ 166	90,390	\$ (111)	\$ 2,773	\$ 55	
2010		2,132	2,109	2,243	2,192	2,341	2,384	2,503	2,494	2,504	10	248	96,333	(155)	2,349	93	
2011			2,052	2,222	2,321	2,458	2,601	2,639	2,596	2,533	(63)	161	75,432	(103)	2,430	58	
2012				2,012	2,162	2,218	2,229	2,371	2,430	2,363	(67)	243	42,226	(168)	2,195	75	
2013					1,662	1,739	1,918	2,152	2,183	2,159	(24)	316	37,105	(235)	1,924	81	
2014						1,756	1,729	1,973	2,017	1,920	(97)	351	35,222	(276)	1,644	75	
2015							1,340	1,778	1,847	1,749	(98)	388	32,422	(313)	1,436	75	
2016								1,348	1,352	1,330	(22)	627	25,409	-	1,330	627	
2017									616	649	33	423	18,223	-	649	423	
2018										813		703	11,372	-	813	703	
Total										\$ 18,904	\$ (299)			\$ (1,361)	\$ 17,543		
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of																	
Reinsurance from the table below										(14,044)	-			-	(14,044)		
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year development																	
before accident year 2009, net of reinsurance										1,556	129			(767)	789		
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development											43						
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss																	
development, net of reinsurance										\$ 6,416	\$ (127)			\$ (2,128)	\$ 4,288		

Incurred Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted, Net of Reinsurance (including impact of ADC)

Accident Year	Calendar Years Ended December 31, (in millions)			Change in Incurred Loss and ALAE
	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited			
2009	\$ 2,714	\$ 2,712	\$ 2,773	\$ 61
2010	2,363	2,361	2,349	(12)
2011	2,417	2,409	2,430	21
2012	2,208	2,220	2,195	(25)
2013	1,952	1,958	1,924	(34)
2014	1,677	1,686	1,644	(42)
2015	1,377	1,391	1,436	45
2016	1,348	1,352	1,330	(22)
2017	-	616	649	33
2018	-	-	813	-
Total	\$ 16,056	\$ 16,705	\$ 17,543	\$ 25
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance from the table below				(14,044)
Liabilities for losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses before 2009, net of reinsurance				812
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development				-
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance				\$ 4,288

The following table provides our attribution of our reinsurance recoverable for the ADC only (included in the table above):

Accident Year	Calendar Years Ended December 31, (in millions)			Change in Incurred Loss and ALAE
	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited			
2009	\$ (149)	\$ (143)	\$ (111)	\$ 32
2010	(140)	(133)	(155)	(22)
2011	(222)	(187)	(103)	84
2012	(163)	(210)	(168)	42
2013	(200)	(225)	(235)	(10)
2014	(296)	(331)	(276)	55
2015	(401)	(456)	(313)	143
2016	-	-	-	-
2017	-	-	-	-
2018	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ (1,571)	\$ (1,685)	\$ (1,361)	\$ 324
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance from the table below				-
Liabilities for losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses before 2009, net of reinsurance				(616)
				(767)
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance				\$ (2,128)
				\$ 173

Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										Paid Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited										
2009	\$ 393	\$ 842	\$ 1,253	\$ 1,650	\$ 2,002	\$ 2,241	\$ 2,386	\$ 2,607	\$ 2,664	\$ 2,687	-
2010		295	661	985	1,358	1,640	1,824	1,972	2,087	2,196	-
2011			235	726	1,109	1,488	1,822	2,048	2,220	2,303	-
2012				413	743	1,048	1,395	1,690	1,882	2,023	-
2013					169	592	956	1,243	1,483	1,687	-
2014						210	621	871	1,157	1,398	-
2015							111	321	783	1,103	-
2016								77	299	491	-
2017									51	113	-
2018										43	-
Total									\$	14,044	\$ -

Reserving Process and Methodology

U.S. Other Casualty includes general liability, automobile liability, environmental, medical malpractice, and other casualty lines of business. These lines of business are all long-tail in nature and while somewhat diverse in terms of exposures, these lines are often subject to similar trends. These lines are often significantly impacted by the underwriting cycle and external judicial trends. Many of our policies contain risk-sharing features, including high deductibles, self-insured retentions or retrospective rating features, in addition to a traditional insurance component. These risk-sharing programs generally are large and complex, comprising multiple products, years and structures, and are subject to amendment over time.

We generally use a combination of loss development methods, frequency/severity and expected loss ratio methods for primary general liability or products liability product lines. We also supplement the standard actuarial techniques by using evaluations of the ultimate losses on unusual claims or claim accumulations by external specialists on those subsets of claims. The segmentation of the data reflects state differences, industry groups, deductible/non-deductible programs and type of claim.

We segment our analysis by line of business and key coverage structures (claims-made vs. occurrence, large deductible policies, retrospective-rated policies, captives, etc.). Additionally, certain subsets, such as construction defect for general liability, auto liability policies for trucking business, hospital policies for medical malpractice and underground storage tanks for environmental are generally reviewed separately from business in other subsets. We continually refine our loss reserving techniques for the domestic primary casualty product lines and adopt further segmentations based on our analysis of the differing emerging loss patterns for certain subsets of insureds. Due to the long-tail nature of general liability business, and the many subsets that are reviewed individually, there is less credibility given to the reported losses and increased reliance on expected loss ratio methods for recent accident years.

For certain product lines with sufficient loss volume, loss development methods may be given significant weight for all but the most recent one or two accident years. For smaller or more volatile subsets of business and excess of a large deductible business, loss development methods may be given limited weight for the five or more recent accident years. Expected loss ratio methods are used for the more recent accident years for these subsets. The loss experience for primary general liability business is generally reviewed at a level that is believed to provide the most appropriate data for reserve analysis. For other subsets, such as environmental, we utilize a combination of claim analysts' loss projections and actuarial methods to estimate ultimate losses.

Expected loss ratio methods are generally given significant weight only in the most recent accident year, except for excess of large deductible business, in which expected loss ratio methods may receive weight for several of the most recent accident years. In recent years, the impact of the increase in the frequency of severe claims was projected in the accident years where it was most prevalent. The resulting increase in ultimate loss projections and loss ratios for those years impacted subsequent years through loss development factors and prior expected loss ratio assumptions.

Prior Year Development

Primary General Liability

In 2018, we increased our ultimate loss estimates for prior accident years by \$214 million mainly due to Construction Casualty business, particularly construction defect (CD) claims. Our updated analyses for the construction casualty business reacted to increased severity of claims for both CD and non-CD claims and lengthened the claim reporting pattern for CD claims.

In 2017, we increased our ultimate loss estimates for prior accident years by \$330 million. This was driven by reported loss development being greater than expected as a result of increased loss severity. We revised our loss trend assumptions which also contributed to increased estimates for the more recent accident years. For older accident years, we experienced increased loss development from construction defect claims and construction wrap business.

In 2016, we increased our ultimate loss estimates for prior accident years by \$754 million. We increased our assumptions about loss development and expected loss ratios based on the adverse actual versus expected loss emergence driven by increases in severity, especially in the risk-sharing excess of deductible programs. In addition, our segmentation separately evaluated key structural drivers recently identified in the data. As a result, we noted the unfavorable development that was driven by construction defect claims which continue to increase in severity and which exhibit continued higher development at later ages than previously observed. We also identified and separately analyzed in 2016 certain mass tort claims and increased reserves for such claims due to their much longer claim emergence and loss development patterns than previously observed.

Primary Commercial Auto Liability

In 2018, we reduced our ultimate loss estimates for prior accident years by \$142 million mainly due to favorable emergence in recent accident years. Our updated analyses for the auto business reacted to this experience in older years as loss trends have stabilized in the more recent years.

In 2017, we increased our ultimate loss estimates for prior accident years by \$42 million. A majority of this development related to accident year 2016 where reported loss experience has been emerging greater than expected, driven by an increase in the frequency of large claims. We have experienced severity trends in recent accident years that have been at much higher levels than what has been reflected in the historic data, although we did see some signs of abatement during the second half of the year.

In 2016, we continued to observe increases in both the frequency and severity of claims occurring in accident years since the recent U.S. economic downturn. These claims have significantly outpaced both our accident year loss ratio assumptions made in 2015 and the pricing rate increases implemented during the same period. As a result, we recognized \$352 million of unfavorable development during 2016 as we increased the expected loss ratios for recent accident years to reflect continued market deterioration in the trends observed in 2016 and the higher reported losses in very recent accident years.

Medical Malpractice

During 2018, we recognized favorable loss development of approximately \$158 million as loss emergence was less than expected in older years due to several large cases settling for less than we expected. Severity in recent years continues to be higher than historical norms.

During 2017, we recognized favorable loss development of \$23 million. Reported loss development was less than expected in aggregate; although we did continue to see higher loss severity in the more recent accident years. Premium volume has declined significantly over the last several years and certain segments such as physicians and surgeons, medical products, and nursing homes business (in certain jurisdictions) have been exited entirely.

During 2016, we recognized \$428 million of unfavorable development in U.S. Other Casualty medical malpractice comprising primary and excess hospitals and nursing homes coverages. This was in reaction to a continued increase in the frequency of unusually large claims in these classes that drove the adverse actual versus expected loss emergence observed in 2016. Based on the observed adverse emergence and its sustained levels over the last several years, we increased our expected incurred losses and loss ratios for accident years 2011 and subsequent to reflect the distinct step change in the loss ratios from accident years 2010 and prior.

Other Lines

During 2018, we recognized favorable loss development of approximately \$41 million largely due to our environmental impairment liability business where loss activity was better than expected.

During 2017, we recognized favorable loss development of \$133 million. The key drivers of this activity were favorable development on loss-sensitive casualty business, environmental impairment liability business, extra-contractual obligations, and business internally reinsured from other business units.

U.S. Financial Lines

During 2018, we recognized \$298 million of unfavorable prior year development in U.S. Financial Lines, net of external reinsurance but before ADC cessions, due to adverse experience in the Directors and Officers (D&O) subset of business

During 2017, we recognized \$345 million of unfavorable prior year development in U.S. Financial Lines, net of external reinsurance but before ADC cessions, primarily due to adverse experience in the Directors and Officers (D&O) subset of business.

The mix of business has been changing in recent years as we write more cyber and mergers and acquisitions business, which generally report claims faster.

Incurred Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted and Net of Reinsurance

Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)											December 31, 2018						
Accident Year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018 Prior Year Development Excluding the Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	Total of IBNR Liabilities Plus Expected Development on Reported Losses	Cumulative Number of Reported Claims	Incurred Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	2018 (Net of Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement)	Total of IBNR Liabilities Net of Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	
	Unaudited																
2009	\$ 1,693	\$ 1,780	\$ 1,845	\$ 1,904	\$ 2,097	\$ 2,189	\$ 2,183	\$ 2,273	\$ 2,310	\$ 2,322	\$ 12	\$ 62	22,621	\$ (32)	\$ 2,290	\$ 30	
2010		1,552	1,509	1,406	1,366	1,370	1,472	1,514	1,541	1,540	(1)	49	20,182	(37)	1,503	12	
2011			1,816	1,734	1,902	1,902	1,935	1,965	1,997	1,991	(6)	36	20,078	(26)	1,965	10	
2012				1,572	1,739	1,777	1,892	1,986	1,987	2,011	24	150	20,122	(90)	1,921	60	
2013					1,767	1,691	1,643	1,597	1,541	1,488	(53)	133	19,042	(89)	1,399	44	
2014						1,767	1,736	1,849	1,898	1,932	34	309	17,330	(207)	1,725	102	
2015							1,705	1,744	1,719	1,759	40	433	15,873	(326)	1,433	107	
2016								1,593	1,841	1,967	126	688	15,734	-	1,967	688	
2017									1,560	1,662	102	1,017	14,702	-	1,662	1,017	
2018										1,637		1,402	12,936	-	1,637	1,402	
Total										\$ 18,309	\$ 278			\$ (807)	\$ 17,502		
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of																	
Reinsurance from the table below										(12,253)	-			-	(12,253)		
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year development																	
before accident year 2009, net of reinsurance										79	(1)			(13)	66		
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development											21						
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss																	
development, net of reinsurance										\$ 6,135	\$ 298			\$ (820)	\$ 5,315		

Incurred Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted, Net of Reinsurance (including impact of ADC)

Accident Year	Calendar Years Ended December 31, (in millions)			Change in Incurred Loss and ALAE
	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited			
2009	\$ 2,277	\$ 2,284	\$ 2,290	\$ 6
2010	1,505	1,510	1,503	(7)
2011	1,941	1,947	1,965	18
2012	1,904	1,904	1,921	17
2013	1,426	1,415	1,399	(16)
2014	1,690	1,700	1,725	25
2015	1,411	1,406	1,433	27
2016	1,593	1,841	1,967	126
2017	-	1,560	1,662	102
2018	-	-	1,637	-
Total	\$ 13,747	\$ 15,567	\$ 17,502	\$ 298
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance from the table below				(12,253)
Liabilities for losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses before 2009, net of reinsurance				36
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development				-
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance				\$ 5,315
				\$ 355

The following table provides our attribution of our reinsurance recoverable for the ADC only (included in the table above):

Accident Year	Calendar Years Ended December 31, (in millions)			Change in Incurred Loss and ALAE
	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited			
2009	\$ 4	\$ (26)	\$ (32)	\$ (6)
2010	(9)	(31)	(37)	(6)
2011	(24)	(50)	(26)	24
2012	(82)	(83)	(90)	(7)
2013	(171)	(126)	(89)	37
2014	(159)	(198)	(207)	(9)
2015	(333)	(313)	(326)	(13)
2016	-	-	-	-
2017	-	-	-	-
2018	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ (774)	\$ (827)	\$ (807)	\$ 20
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance from the table below				-
Liabilities for losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses before 2009, net of reinsurance				(42)
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance				(13)
				29
				\$ (820)
				\$ 49

Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										Paid Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited										
2009	\$ 129	\$ 499	\$ 887	\$ 1,273	\$ 1,614	\$ 1,838	\$ 1,964	\$ 2,056	\$ 2,092	\$ 2,129	-
2010		31	285	566	800	1,017	1,180	1,280	1,361	1,401	-
2011			165	494	886	1,210	1,528	1,732	1,862	1,888	-
2012				76	406	815	1,252	1,497	1,625	1,689	-
2013					43	333	686	945	1,142	1,238	-
2014						66	371	853	1,159	1,386	-
2015							66	393	792	1,051	-
2016								76	500	986	-
2017									66	397	-
2018										88	-
Total									\$	12,253	\$ -

Reserving Process and Methodology

U.S. Financial Lines business includes D&O, Errors and Omissions (E&O), Employment Practices Liability Insurance (EPLI) and various professional liability subsets of business, as well as the fidelity book of business. This includes cyber coverage and mergers and acquisitions coverage, which have been a growing and evolving portion of this portfolio. These product lines are predominantly claims-made in nature, losses are characterized by low frequency and high severity, and results are often significantly impacted by external economic conditions.

Our analysis is segmented by major coverages, such as D&O, E&O, etc. and then further segmented by major industry groups (e.g. corporate accounts, national accounts, financial institutions, private/not-for-profit, etc.). We also separately review primary business from excess business for certain product lines.

We generally use a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for D&O, E&O, EPLI, and professional liability. These product lines generally are offered on a claims-made basis, and losses are characterized by low frequency and high severity. In general, expected loss ratio methods are given more weight in the more recent accident years, and loss development methods are given more weight in more mature accident years. The loss development factors for the different segments differ significantly in some cases, based on specific coverage characteristics and other factors such as industry group, attachment points, and limits offered. Individual claims projections for accident years ended over eighteen months prior are also used in the analysis.

Frequency/severity methods are generally not used in isolation for these product lines as the overall losses are driven by large losses more than by claim frequency. Severity trends have varied significantly from accident year to accident year and care is required in analyzing these trends by claim type. We also give weight to claim department ground-up projections of ultimate loss on a claim-by-claim basis as these may be more predictive of ultimate loss values, especially for older accident years.

We generally use loss development methods for fidelity exposures for all but the latest accident year. We also use claim department projections of the ultimate value of each reported claim to supplement and inform the standard actuarial approaches and some weight is given to this method in the more recent accident years. For surety exposures, we generally use the same method as for short-tail classes whereby frequency/severity methods, loss development methods, and IBNR factor methods are used alone or in combination to set reserves.

Expected loss ratio methods are also given weight for the more recent accident years. IBNR factor methods are used, when the nature of losses is low frequency/high severity. The IBNR factors, when applied to earned premium, generate the ultimate expected losses (or other exposure measure) yet to be reported. The factors are determined based on prior accident quarters' loss costs adjusted to reflect current cost levels and the historical emergence of those loss costs. The factors are continually reevaluated to reflect emerging claim experience, rate changes or other factors that could affect the adequacy of the IBNR factor being employed.

Prior Year Development

During 2018, we recognized \$298 million of unfavorable prior year development particularly across accident years 2014-2017. The largest share of the unfavorable development came from D&O and EPLI for Corporate and National accounts and resulted largely from increases in severity as the costs of security class actions increased. Excess D&O also contributed adverse development due to similar causes.

During 2017, we recognized \$345 million of unfavorable prior year development particularly in accident year 2016. The largest share of the unfavorable development came from D&O for privately owned and not-for-profit insureds and resulted largely from increases in bankruptcy-related claims and fiduciary liability claims for large educational institutions. Other segments of the portfolio contributed largely offsetting favorable and unfavorable development; notably, development was unfavorable for excess D&O and employment practices liability while development was favorable for fidelity and D&O for corporate and national accounts.

During 2016, we recognized \$306 million of unfavorable prior year development as we reacted to the unfavorable actual versus expected in 2016 driven by higher than expected settlements on several large claims from the financial crisis for accident years 2006 to 2010, as well as unfavorable emergence of errors and omissions losses relative to historical expectations. In addition to the unfavorable emergence, we also updated our loss development factor assumptions, expected loss ratio assumptions and the weights given to the various methods in recent accident years.

U.S. Property and Special Risks

During 2018, we recognized \$497 million of favorable prior year development in U.S. Property and Special Risks, net of external reinsurance but before ADC cessions, mainly due to favorable development from the 2017 Catastrophes.

During 2017, we recognized \$115 million of unfavorable prior year development in U.S. Property and Special Risks, net of external reinsurance but before ADC cessions, mainly due to unfavorable development from the commercial auto portion of the Program business unit, which offers multiline policies to small and mid-sized insureds.

Incurred Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted and Net of Reinsurance

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)									2018 Prior Year Development Excluding the Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	December 31, 2018				Total of IBNR Liabilities Plus Expected Development on Reported Losses	Cumulative Number of Reported Claims	Incurred Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	2018 (Net of Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement)	Total of IBNR Liabilities Net of Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018									
	Unaudited																		
2009	\$ 2,239	\$ 1,986	\$ 1,959	\$ 1,961	\$ 1,920	\$ 1,900	\$ 1,887	\$ 1,894	\$ 1,896	\$ 1,896	\$ -	22	47,707	\$ (11)	\$ 1,885	\$ 11			
2010		2,786	2,533	2,489	2,561	2,578	2,558	2,567	2,579	2,569	(10)	68	47,645	(18)	2,551	50			
2011			3,855	3,713	3,638	3,628	3,612	3,652	3,651	3,645	(6)	56	49,597	(15)	3,630	41			
2012				4,191	4,302	4,278	4,234	4,346	4,343	4,325	(18)	95	48,782	(19)	4,306	76			
2013					2,526	2,545	2,402	2,449	2,463	2,465	2	73	50,165	(27)	2,438	46			
2014						2,931	2,684	2,746	2,738	2,756	18	140	60,612	(64)	2,692	76			
2015							3,116	2,970	2,916	2,894	(22)	221	58,936	(87)	2,807	134			
2016								3,168	3,193	3,117	(76)	366	53,727	-	3,117	366			
2017									5,246	4,796	(450)	866	77,335	-	4,796	866			
2018										3,712		1,609	56,580	-	3,712	1,609			
Total										\$ 32,175	\$ (562)			\$ (241)	31,934				
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of																			
Reinsurance from the table below										(25,689)	-			-	(25,689)				
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year development before accident year 2009, net of reinsurance										362	39			(73)	289				
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development											26								
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance																			
										\$ 6,848	\$ (497)			\$ (314)	6,534				

Incurred Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted, Net of Reinsurance (including impact of ADC)

Accident Year	Calendar Years Ended December 31, (in millions)			Change in Incurred Loss and ALAE
	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited			
2009	\$ 1,882	\$ 1,883	\$ 1,885	\$ 2
2010	2,558	2,561	2,551	(10)
2011	3,632	3,632	3,630	(2)
2012	4,319	4,318	4,306	(12)
2013	2,422	2,425	2,438	13
2014	2,679	2,677	2,692	15
2015	2,829	2,818	2,807	(11)
2016	3,168	3,193	3,117	(76)
2017	-	5,246	4,796	(450)
2018	-	-	3,712	-
Total	\$ 23,489	\$ 28,753	\$ 31,934	\$ (531)
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance from the table below				(25,689)
Liabilities for losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses before 2009, net of reinsurance		244	289	45
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development			-	58
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance				\$ 6,534
				\$ (428)

The following table provides our attribution of our reinsurance recoverable for the ADC only (included in the table above):

Accident Year	Calendar Years Ended December 31, (in millions)			Change in Incurred Loss and ALAE
	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited			
2009	\$ (12)	\$ (13)	\$ (11)	\$ 2
2010	(9)	(18)	(18)	-
2011	(20)	(19)	(15)	4
2012	(27)	(25)	(19)	6
2013	(27)	(38)	(27)	11
2014	(67)	(61)	(64)	(3)
2015	(141)	(98)	(87)	11
2016	-	-	-	-
2017	-	-	-	-
2018	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ (303)	\$ (272)	\$ (241)	\$ 31
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance from the table below				-
Liabilities for losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses before 2009, net of reinsurance				(79)
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance				(73)
				6
				\$ (314)
				\$ 37

Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										Paid Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited										
2009	\$ 551	\$ 1,160	\$ 1,442	\$ 1,626	\$ 1,731	\$ 1,793	\$ 1,816	\$ 1,838	\$ 1,853	\$ 1,857	-
2010		757	1,574	1,911	2,113	2,247	2,371	2,440	2,476	2,492	-
2011			1,021	2,336	2,920	3,183	3,384	3,481	3,529	3,560	-
2012				842	2,716	3,420	3,785	4,001	4,137	4,170	-
2013					736	1,578	1,855	2,056	2,203	2,317	-
2014						901	1,748	2,083	2,296	2,434	-
2015							1,045	1,866	2,228	2,484	-
2016								1,018	2,039	2,367	-
2017									1,356	2,941	-
2018										1,067	-
Total									\$	25,689	\$ -

Reserving Process and Methodology

U.S. Property products include commercial, industrial and energy-related property insurance products and services that cover exposures to manmade and natural disasters, including business interruption. U.S. Special Risk products include aerospace, environmental, political risk, trade credit, surety and marine insurance, and program business for various small and medium sized enterprises insurance lines. The program segments include both property and casualty exposures.

We primarily segment our analysis by line of business. Additionally, we separately review various subsets, including hull, cargo, and liability for marine business, aviation and satellite for aerospace business, and various other specific programs and product lines.

Frequency/severity methods, loss development methods, and IBNR factor methods are used alone or in combination to set reserves for short-tail classes such as U.S. Property.

IBNR factor methods are used when the nature of losses is low frequency/high severity. The IBNR factors, when applied to earned premium, generate the ultimate expected losses (or other exposure measure) yet to be reported. The factors are determined based on prior accident quarters' loss costs adjusted to reflect current cost levels and the historical emergence of those loss costs. The factors are continually reevaluated to reflect emerging claim experience, rate changes or other factors that could affect the adequacy of the IBNR factor being employed.

We generally use a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for aviation exposures. Aviation claims are not very long-tail in nature; however, they are driven by claim severity. Thus a combination of both development and expected loss ratio methods is used for all but the latest accident year to determine the loss reserves. Frequency/severity methods are not employed due to the high severity nature of the claims and different mix of claims from year to year.

We generally use loss development methods for fidelity exposures for all but the latest accident year. We also use claim department projections of the ultimate value of each reported claim to supplement and inform the standard actuarial approaches and some weight is given to this method in the more recent accident years. The claims staff also provides specific estimates to assist in the setting of reserves for natural catastrophe losses.

For program business, we use methods which vary by line of business. For property classes, we use methods similar to those noted above. For liability classes, we use methods similar to those described in the casualty sections detailed above.

Expected loss ratio methods are used to determine the loss reserves for the latest accident year. We also use ground-up claim projections provided by our claims staff to assist in developing the appropriate reserve.

Prior Year Development

During 2018, we recognized \$497 million of favorable prior year development in U.S. Property and Special Risks driven largely by favorable development on the 2017 Catastrophes as well as favorable emergence on non-Catastrophe Commercial Property, and Program and Specialty classes.

During 2017, we recognized \$115 million of unfavorable prior year development primarily driven by commercial auto business in the program business unit. A significant portion of this development came from accident year 2016 with much of it related to programs that have been terminated over the past year. We also experienced some individual severe loss experience, also mostly related to accident year 2016 in other lines of business including aviation, surety, and marine; however, this was largely offset by favorable development in commercial property.

During 2016, we recognized \$396 million of unfavorable prior year development driven by our U.S. program business where we recognized \$350 million of unfavorable prior year development due to higher than expected claim emergence in certain automobile, habitation and professional liability programs that resulted in our increasing expected loss ratios and loss development factors for several program subsets.

U.S. Personal Insurance

During 2018, we recognized \$255 million of unfavorable prior year development in U.S. Personal Insurance, net of external reinsurance but before ADC cessions, mainly due to catastrophe development from accident year 2017.

During 2017, we recognized \$16 million of unfavorable prior year development in U.S. Personal Insurance, net of external reinsurance but before ADC cessions, mainly due to homeowners business in accident year 2016. This was partially offset by favorable development in accident and health business.

Incurred Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted and Net of Reinsurance

Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)											December 31, 2018						
Accident Year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018 Prior Year Development Excluding the Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	Total of IBNR Liabilities Plus Expected Development on Reported Losses	Cumulative Number of Reported Claims	Incurred Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	2018 (Net of Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement)	Total of IBNR Liabilities Net of Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement	
	Unaudited																
2009	\$ 1,763	\$ 1,697	\$ 1,649	\$ 1,663	\$ 1,664	\$ 1,664	\$ 1,668	\$ 1,667	\$ 1,671	\$ 1,672	\$ 1	\$ 3	376,026	\$ (1)	\$ 1,671	\$ 2	
2010		1,843	1,809	1,819	1,819	1,820	1,819	1,817	1,817	1,815	(2)	1	422,639	(1)	1,814	-	
2011			1,886	1,908	1,896	1,891	1,890	1,886	1,881	1,879	(2)	1	412,893	(1)	1,878	-	
2012				2,208	2,128	2,109	2,083	2,077	2,094	2,095	1	2	403,738	(2)	2,093	-	
2013					1,887	1,816	1,803	1,782	1,780	1,776	(4)	3	334,879	(2)	1,774	1	
2014						1,552	1,562	1,572	1,572	1,583	11	20	274,205	(12)	1,571	8	
2015							1,511	1,498	1,494	1,483	(11)	13	259,543	(11)	1,472	2	
2016								1,536	1,533	1,533	-	38	244,935	-	1,533	38	
2017									2,028	2,287	259	359	213,741	-	2,287	359	
2018										2,188		723	73,321	-	2,188	723	
Total										\$ 18,311	\$ 253			\$ (30)	18,281		
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of																	
Reinsurance from the table below										(16,500)	-			-	(16,500)		
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year development																	
before accident year 2009, net of reinsurance										(73)	2			(2)	(75)		
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development																	
											-						
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss																	
development, net of reinsurance										\$ 1,738	\$ 255			\$ (32)	1,706		

Incurred Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted, Net of Reinsurance (including impact of ADC)

Accident Year	Calendar Years Ended December 31, (in millions)			Change in Incurred Loss and ALAE
	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited			
2009	\$ 1,670	\$ 1,671	\$ 1,671	\$ -
2010	1,816	1,816	1,814	(2)
2011	1,881	1,880	1,878	(2)
2012	2,088	2,091	2,093	2
2013	1,774	1,774	1,774	-
2014	1,564	1,564	1,571	7
2015	1,476	1,475	1,472	(3)
2016	1,536	1,533	1,533	-
2017	-	2,028	2,287	259
2018	-	-	2,188	-
Total	\$ 13,805	\$ 15,832	\$ 18,281	\$ 261
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance from the table below				(16,500)
Liabilities for losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses before 2009, net of reinsurance				(77)
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development				(75)
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance				-
				1
				264

The following table provides our attribution of our reinsurance recoverable for the ADC only (included in the table above):

Accident Year	Calendar Years Ended December 31, (in millions)			Change in Incurred Loss and ALAE
	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited			
2009	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ (1)	\$ (1)
2010	(1)	(1)	(1)	-
2011	(5)	(1)	(1)	-
2012	11	(3)	(2)	1
2013	(8)	(6)	(2)	4
2014	(8)	(8)	(12)	(4)
2015	(22)	(19)	(11)	8
2016	-	-	-	-
2017	-	-	-	-
2018	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ (30)	\$ (38)	\$ (30)	\$ 8
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance from the table below				-
Liabilities for losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses before 2009, net of reinsurance				(2)
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss development, net of reinsurance				\$ (32)
				\$ 8

Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										Paid Impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited										
2009	\$ 1,129	\$ 1,563	\$ 1,567	\$ 1,618	\$ 1,639	\$ 1,650	\$ 1,658	\$ 1,663	\$ 1,664	\$ 1,667	-
2010		1,205	1,669	1,736	1,772	1,794	1,803	1,808	1,810	1,812	-
2011			1,204	1,752	1,814	1,840	1,860	1,869	1,873	1,874	-
2012				1,238	1,936	1,996	2,035	2,065	2,079	2,085	-
2013					1,109	1,634	1,705	1,744	1,759	1,766	-
2014						959	1,380	1,463	1,507	1,536	-
2015							931	1,320	1,411	1,439	-
2016								857	1,344	1,422	-
2017									941	1,672	-
2018										1,227	-
Total										\$ 16,500	\$ -

Reserving Process and Methodology

U.S. Personal Insurance consists of accident and health and personal lines. Accident and health products include voluntary and sponsor-paid personal accident and supplemental health products for individuals, employees, associations and other organizations as well as a broad range of travel insurance products and services for leisure and business travelers. Personal lines include automobile and homeowners' insurance, extended warranty, and consumer specialty products, such as identity theft and credit card protection. Personal lines also provides insurance for high net worth individuals offered through AIG Private Client Group, including auto, homeowners, umbrella, yacht, fine art and collections insurance. Personal lines are generally short-tail in nature.

We primarily segment our analysis by line of business and may separately review various sub-segments, such as specific accident and health products and property damage versus liability for personal lines products.

Frequency/severity methods, loss development methods, and IBNR factor methods are used alone or in combination to set reserves for short-tail product lines such as personal property.

Frequency/severity and loss development methods are utilized for domestic personal auto product lines.

For these classes of business, reliance is placed on frequency/severity methods as claim counts emerge quickly for personal auto. Frequency/severity methods allow for more immediate analysis of resulting loss trends and comparisons to industry and other diagnostic metrics.

In general, development for U.S. Personal Insurance classes has been very stable, with only modest changes in the initial selected loss ratios for this business.

Prior Year Development

During 2018, we recognized \$255 million of adverse prior year development in US Personal Insurance driven largely by development on the California Wildfires and Hurricane Irma in 2017.

During 2017, we recognized \$16 million of unfavorable prior year development in U.S. Personal Insurance mainly due to homeowners business in accident year 2016, particularly from catastrophe activity. This was partially offset by favorable development in accident and health business.

During 2016, we recognized \$32 million of favorable development mainly driven by accident and health business.

UK/Europe Casualty and Financial Lines

During 2018, we recognized \$58 million of unfavorable prior year development in Europe Casualty and Financial Lines driven by greater frequency of large losses than expected.

During 2017, we recognized \$507 million of unfavorable prior year development in UK/Europe Casualty and Financial Lines, net of external reinsurance, driven by continued higher than expected loss emergence.

Incurred Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted and Net of Reinsurance*

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										2018 Prior Year Development	December 31, 2018	
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018		Expected Development on Reported Losses	Cumulative Number of Reported Claims
	Unaudited												
2009	\$ 1,825	\$ 1,741	\$ 1,721	\$ 1,786	\$ 1,822	\$ 1,833	\$ 1,841	\$ 1,923	\$ 1,957	\$ 1,952	\$ (5)	86	237,608
2010		1,421	1,376	1,383	1,403	1,330	1,365	1,330	1,399	1,404	5	66	275,528
2011			1,361	1,307	1,375	1,409	1,493	1,494	1,540	1,557	17	71	265,751
2012				1,183	1,153	1,108	1,172	1,209	1,185	1,245	60	78	219,045
2013					1,190	1,241	1,211	1,182	1,237	1,223	(14)	116	186,848
2014						1,262	1,247	1,279	1,289	1,242	(47)	252	177,454
2015							1,321	1,484	1,514	1,514	-	453	191,382
2016								1,538	1,686	1,754	68	565	227,036
2017									1,558	1,530	(28)	871	241,031
2018										1,518		1,118	212,169
Total										\$ 14,939	\$ 56		
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of													
Reinsurance from the table below										(8,529)	-		
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year development													
before accident year 2009, net of reinsurance										612	1		
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development											1		
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss													
development, net of reinsurance										\$ 7,022	\$ 58		

* The losses reported in the table are not covered by the Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement.

Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance*

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)											2018
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			
	Unaudited											
2009	\$ 180	\$ 430	\$ 689	\$ 924	\$ 1,088	\$ 1,220	\$ 1,383	\$ 1,519	\$ 1,621	\$		
2010		139	395	606	775	909	1,003	1,069	1,124			
2011			133	362	543	779	923	1,063	1,177			
2012				115	320	464	642	774	864			
2013					100	363	525	676	823			
2014						83	290	480	620			
2015							83	285	501			
2016								145	427			
2017									108			
2018												
Total										\$		

* The losses reported in the table are not covered by the Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement.

Reserving Process and Methodology

UK/Europe is our largest non-U.S. region for Liability and Financial Lines. UK/Europe Casualty and Financial Lines is composed of third-party coverages including general liability, auto liability, D&O, professional liability and various other lines of business throughout both the UK and Continental Europe. These lines of business are all long-tail in nature and while somewhat diverse in terms of exposures, these lines are often subject to similar trends. These lines are impacted by the underwriting cycle and external judicial trends. The largest share of business is in the UK, but significant business is also written in other European countries such as Germany, France, and Italy.

We primarily segment our analysis by country and line of business. Additionally, we separately review various product lines, including excess versus primary casualty, commercial versus financial institutions management liability, and other specific programs and subsets of business. We maintain a database of detailed historical premium and loss transactions in original currency for business written outside of the U.S. which allows our actuaries to determine loss reserves without foreign exchange distorting development.

We generally use a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods. For countries and lines of business with sufficient loss volume, loss development methods may be given significant weight for all but the most recent accident years. For smaller countries and more volatile product lines, loss development methods are typically given limited weight for recent accident years. Further, we may rely on larger data subsets in determining the loss development factors and *a priori* loss ratio assumptions.

In general, the loss development for long-tail lines in UK/Europe has been more stable than the development in U.S. long-tail lines, although some underlying drivers have affected the results in a similar manner (e.g. the impact of the financial crisis in accident years 2008 and 2009).

Prior Year Development

During 2018, we recognized \$58 million of unfavorable prior year development in UK/Europe Casualty and Financial Lines driven by increased large loss activity in recent accident years, particularly related to directors and officers class action suits against insureds with global exposure; and increased severity in excess casualty.

During 2017 we recognized \$507 million of unfavorable prior year development in UK/Europe casualty and Financial Lines. We observed a significant increase in large claims activity across multiple segments, notably excess casualty business and D&O and professional liability coverages for financial institutions. This experience was spread across multiple accident years but had the largest impact on accident year 2016 and accident years 2008 and prior. We increased loss development assumptions for Financial Lines business in consideration of the increased loss activity experienced in older accident years and increased expected loss ratios for more recent accident years. For Casualty lines, we increased loadings for large losses, particularly in the more recent accident years. In addition, we increased our loss reserves as a result of the decision made by the U.K. Ministry of Justice to reduce the discount rate applied to lump-sum bodily injury payouts, known as the Ogden rate.

During 2016, we recognized \$320 million of unfavorable prior year development. Within UK/Europe Financial Lines, we recognized \$232 million of unfavorable development primarily from the D&O line in UK and Continental Europe as result of the unfavorable actual versus expected loss emergence in 2016 due to increased frequency and severity resulting from increasing litigation. In UK/Europe Casualty we recognized \$123 million of unfavorable development primarily from the unexpected emergence of several large excess casualty claims. The actual versus expected loss emergence for UK/Europe Casualty and Financial Lines was more than expected.

UK/Europe Property and Special Risks

During 2018, we recognized \$22 million of favorable prior year development in the UK/Europe Property and Special Risks segment, net of external reinsurance.

During 2017, we recognized \$157 million of unfavorable prior year development in the UK/Europe Property and Special Risks segment, net of external reinsurance, driven primarily by unexpected development on large claims, primarily from accident years 2015 and 2016.

Incurred Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted and Net of Reinsurance*

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										December 31, 2018		
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018 Prior Year Development	Total of IBNR Liabilities Plus Expected Development on Reported Losses	Cumulative Number of Reported Claims
	Unaudited												
2009	\$ 1,244	\$ 1,090	\$ 1,060	\$ 1,009	\$ 992	\$ 986	\$ 971	\$ 973	\$ 970	\$ 950	\$ (20)	4	46,280
2010		1,679	1,544	1,388	1,327	1,304	1,283	1,280	1,281	1,282	1	1	50,111
2011			1,416	1,339	1,225	1,191	1,161	1,150	1,151	1,145	(6)	8	50,560
2012				1,323	1,226	1,161	1,137	1,118	1,128	1,117	(11)	3	45,379
2013					1,460	1,450	1,340	1,320	1,310	1,304	(6)	(3)	44,711
2014						1,564	1,578	1,566	1,553	1,564	11	27	53,009
2015							1,729	1,685	1,698	1,676	(22)	40	58,471
2016								1,644	1,698	1,738	40	58	59,513
2017									1,923	1,913	(10)	148	54,052
2018										1,564		570	34,370
Total										\$ 14,253	\$ (23)		
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of													
Reinsurance from the table below													
										(11,305)	-		
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year development													
before accident year 2009, net of reinsurance													
										40	3		
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development													
											(2)		
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss													
development, net of reinsurance													
										\$ 2,988	\$ (22)		

* The losses reported in the table are not covered by the Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement.

Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance*

	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										
Accident Year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited										
2009	\$ 272	\$ 624	\$ 780	\$ 854	\$ 896	\$ 910	\$ 927	\$ 931	\$ 933	\$ 935	
2010		413	900	1,077	1,168	1,207	1,236	1,245	1,252	1,258	
2011			339	778	969	1,045	1,068	1,085	1,094	1,101	
2012				280	725	917	985	1,031	1,057	1,066	
2013					334	839	1,079	1,158	1,209	1,229	
2014						336	972	1,270	1,345	1,385	
2015							402	1,035	1,335	1,449	
2016								475	1,178	1,442	
2017									396	1,098	
2018										342	
Total										\$ 11,305	

* The losses reported in the table are not covered by the Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement.

Reserving Process and Methodology

UK/Europe Property products include commercial, industrial and energy-related property insurance products and services that cover exposures to manmade and natural disasters, including business interruption. UK/Europe Special Risk products include aerospace, environmental, political risk, trade credit, surety and marine insurance, and various small and medium sized enterprises insurance lines.

We primarily segment our analysis by line of business. Additionally, we separately review various subsets, including hull, cargo, and liability for marine business, aviation and satellite for aerospace business, and various other specific programs and product lines.

Frequency/severity methods, loss development methods, and IBNR factor methods are used alone or in combination to set reserves for short-tail classes such as UK/Europe Property.

IBNR factor methods are used when the nature of losses is low frequency/high severity. The IBNR factors, when applied to earned premium, generate the ultimate expected losses (or other exposure measure) yet to be reported. The factors are determined based on prior accident quarters' loss costs adjusted to reflect current cost levels and the historical emergence of those loss costs. The factors are continually reevaluated to reflect emerging claim experience, rate changes or other factors that could affect the adequacy of the IBNR factor being employed.

We generally use a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for aviation exposures. Aviation claims are not very long-tail in nature; however, they are driven by claim severity. Thus a combination of both development and expected loss ratio methods is used for all but the latest accident year to determine the loss reserves. Frequency/severity methods are not employed due to the high severity nature of the claims and different mix of claims from year to year.

We generally use loss development methods for fidelity exposures for all but the latest accident year. We also use claim department projections of the ultimate value of each reported claim to supplement and inform the standard actuarial approaches and some weight is given to this method in the more recent accident years. The claims staff also provides specific estimates to assist in the setting of reserves for natural catastrophe losses.

Expected loss ratio methods are used to determine the loss reserves for the latest accident year. We also use ground-up claim projections provided by our claims staff to assist in developing the appropriate reserve.

Prior Year Development

During 2018, we recognized \$22 million of favorable prior year development in the Europe Property and Special Risks segment driven by favorable development across most accident years with some adverse development in accident years 2014 and 2016.

During 2017, we recognized \$157 million of unfavorable prior year development, primarily from accident years 2015 and 2016. This was largely driven by large individual claim development in the property, aviation, marine, and trade credit lines of business.

During 2016, we recognized \$11 million of unfavorable prior year development, primarily from the property segment.

UK/Europe and Japan Personal Insurance

During 2018, we recognized \$116 million of favorable prior year development in UK/Europe and Japan Personal Insurance, net of external reinsurance.

During 2017, we recognized \$58 million of favorable prior year development in UK/Europe and Japan Personal Insurance, net of external reinsurance, mainly due to accident and health business.

Incurred Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted and Net of Reinsurance*

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										December 31, 2018		
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018 Prior Year Development	Total of IBNR Liabilities Plus Expected Development on Reported Losses	Cumulative Number of Reported Claims
	Unaudited												
2009	\$ 3,037	\$ 2,979	\$ 2,973	\$ 2,992	\$ 2,980	\$ 2,976	\$ 2,971	\$ 2,972	\$ 2,973	\$ 2,971	\$ (2)	2	1,606,155
2010		2,945	2,993	2,994	2,982	2,983	2,977	3,005	3,009	3,007	(2)	5	1,819,899
2011			3,241	3,301	3,267	3,269	3,258	3,262	3,261	3,261	-	5	1,777,851
2012				2,856	2,838	2,819	2,804	2,813	2,814	2,811	(3)	8	1,730,053
2013					2,705	2,706	2,671	2,672	2,669	2,663	(6)	12	1,736,129
2014						2,668	2,677	2,660	2,651	2,643	(8)	19	1,792,742
2015							2,738	2,714	2,702	2,694	(8)	38	1,771,419
2016								2,690	2,656	2,643	(13)	83	1,791,483
2017									2,631	2,548	(83)	170	1,691,824
2018										3,089		641	1,636,511
Total										\$ 28,330	\$ (125)		
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of													
Reinsurance from the table below										(26,111)	-		
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year development													
before accident year 2009, net of reinsurance										45	9		
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development													
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss													
development, net of reinsurance										\$ 2,264	\$ (116)		

* The losses reported in the table are not covered by the Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement.

Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance*

	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										
Accident Year	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
	Unaudited										
2009	\$ 1,714	\$ 2,491	\$ 2,732	\$ 2,840	\$ 2,894	\$ 2,923	\$ 2,939	\$ 2,951	\$ 2,957	\$ 2,959	
2010		1,690	2,480	2,723	2,833	2,889	2,924	2,943	2,954	2,961	
2011			1,971	2,766	2,999	3,111	3,174	3,203	3,219	3,228	
2012				1,596	2,335	2,562	2,668	2,724	2,754	2,770	
2013					1,483	2,217	2,432	2,537	2,593	2,623	
2014						1,457	2,194	2,413	2,523	2,579	
2015							1,478	2,235	2,456	2,574	
2016								1,478	2,199	2,416	
2017									1,446	2,156	
2018										1,845	
Total										\$ 26,111	

* The losses reported in the table are not covered by the Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement.

Reserving Process and Methodology

UK/Europe and Japan Personal Insurance lines consist of accident and health and personal lines. Accident and health products include voluntary and sponsor-paid personal accident and supplemental health products for individuals, employees, associations and other organizations as well as a broad range of travel insurance products and services for leisure and business travelers. Personal lines include automobile and homeowners' insurance, extended warranty, and consumer specialty products, such as identity theft and credit card protection. Personal lines are generally short-tail in nature.

We primarily segment our analysis by line of business (and by country for UK/Europe and Japan business) and may separately review various sub-segments, such as specific accident and health products and property damage versus liability for other personal lines products.

Frequency/severity methods, loss development methods, and IBNR factor methods are used alone or in combination to set reserves for short-tail product lines such as personal property.

Frequency/severity and loss development methods are utilized for domestic personal auto product lines.

For these classes of business, reliance is placed on frequency/severity methods as claim counts emerge quickly for personal auto. Frequency/severity methods allow for more immediate analysis of resulting loss trends and comparisons to industry and other diagnostic metrics.

In general, development for UK/Europe and Japan Personal Insurance classes has been very stable, with only modest changes in the initial selected loss ratios for this business.

Prior Year Development

During 2018, we recognized \$116 million of favorable prior year development in UK/Europe and Japan Personal Insurance due to favorable emergence on catastrophes, accident and health business, and personal auto business.

During 2017 and 2016, we recognized \$58 million and \$82 million of favorable development, respectively, mainly driven by the accident and health business.

U.S. Run-Off Long Tail Insurance Lines

During 2018, the U.S. Run-Off Long Tail Insurance Lines experienced favorable prior year development of \$4 million, net of external reinsurance.

During 2017, the U.S. Run-Off Long Tail Insurance Lines experienced favorable prior year development of \$30 million, net of external reinsurance, driven primarily by favorable asbestos development.

Incurred Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Undiscounted and Net of Reinsurance*

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										December 31, 2018		
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018 Prior Year Development	Total of IBNR Liabilities Plus Expected Development on Reported Losses	Cumulative Number of Reported Claims
	Unaudited												
2009	\$ 553	\$ 532	\$ 544	\$ 579	\$ 635	\$ 602	\$ 599	\$ 580	\$ 576	\$ 584	\$ 8	\$ 76	16,251
2010		640	528	534	557	585	582	611	565	559	(6)	52	8,530
2011			534	542	576	641	676	685	700	687	(13)	83	7,816
2012				629	678	741	786	751	752	702	(50)	49	4,070
2013					482	533	589	570	528	511	(17)	51	2,547
2014						379	475	453	459	383	(76)	76	2,346
2015							439	523	550	503	(47)	85	2,357
2016								294	285	274	(11)	53	1,646
2017									197	238	41	147	640
2018										76		35	282
Total										\$ 4,517	\$ (171)		
Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of													
Reinsurance from the table below													
										(3,256)	-		
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year development													
before accident year 2009, net of reinsurance													
										3,574	154		
Unallocated loss adjustment expense prior year development													
											13		
Liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses and prior year loss													
development, net of reinsurance													
										\$ 4,835	\$ (4)		

* The losses reported in the table are not covered by the Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement.

Cumulative Paid Losses and Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses, Net of Reinsurance*

Accident Year	Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)										2018
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		
	Unaudited										
2009	\$ 42	\$ 134	\$ 231	\$ 286	\$ 368	\$ 409	\$ 429	\$ 447	\$ 461	\$	472
2010		57	149	243	321	404	435	455	464		473
2011			21	140	259	385	449	532	549		559
2012				86	194	286	414	481	498		525
2013					87	154	261	321	368		390
2014						21	96	185	233		262
2015							35	132	238		320
2016								53	140		163
2017									13		59
2018											33
Total										\$	3,256

* The losses reported in the table are not covered by the Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement.

Reserving Process and Methodology

U.S. Run-Off Long Tail Insurance lines include run-off lines for asbestos and environmental (1986 and prior), excess workers' compensation, and other casualty coverages consisting of environmental impairment liability and related coverages, medical malpractice, workers' compensation, and general liability. In some cases, the exposures in the more recent years have declined since the portfolio is in run-off.

Asbestos and Environmental (1986 and prior)

Asbestos coverage has been excluded from AIG policies commencing in 1985. Most of AIG's asbestos claims exposures are ceded to National Indemnity Company (NICO) under a retroactive reinsurance arrangement entered into in 2011. Many of other asbestos-related exposures are very long-tailed in nature and with exposures dating back 30 years or more. We consider a number of factors and recent experience in addition to the results of both external and internal analyses, to estimate asbestos and pre-1986 environmental loss reserves. We primarily base our determination of these loss reserves on a combination of ground-up and top-down analyses of historical claims and available insurance coverages. Nonetheless, we believe that significant uncertainty remains as to our ultimate liability for asbestos and environmental claims, which is due to several factors, including:

- the long latency period between asbestos exposure and disease manifestation, and pollution events occurring undetected over many years, leading to the potential for involvement of multiple policy periods for individual claims;
- claims filed under the non-aggregate premises or operations section of general liability policies;
- the number of insureds seeking bankruptcy protection and the effect of prepackaged bankruptcies;
- diverging legal interpretations; and
- the difficulty in estimating the allocation of remediation cost among various parties with respect to environmental claims.

Loss reserves for asbestos and environmental claims cannot be estimated using conventional reserving techniques such as those that rely on historical accident year loss development factors. The methods used to determine asbestos and environmental loss estimates and to establish the resulting reserves are continually reviewed and updated by management.

Excess Workers' Compensation

Excess workers' compensation has an extremely long tail and is one of the most challenging lines of business from a reserving perspective, particularly when the excess coverage is provided above a self-insured retention layer. The class is highly sensitive to small changes in assumptions (for example — in the rate of medical inflation or the longevity of injured workers) which can have a significant effect on the ultimate reserve cost estimate.

Excess Workers' Compensation business was written over qualified self-insurance by various divisions beginning in the 1980's. In 1992, this business was consolidated into one division where it continued writing business up until 2011, when it was effectively put into runoff. In this book of business, the claims are not handled (or administered) by AIG General Insurance claims personnel, but are administered by the client's designated TPA. However, AIG General Insurance claims personnel maintain an oversight role over these TPAs and claims.

Loss and loss adjustment expense liability estimates for excess workers' compensation exposures are subject to additional uncertainties, due to the following:

- claim settlement time is longer than most other casualty lines, due to the lifetime benefits that can be expected to payout on certain claims;
- coverage statutes that vary by state; and
- future medical inflation costs are difficult to estimate

For this business, a combination of traditional methods (paid and incurred loss development) and non-traditional methods (individual claim annuity model, report year incurred loss development, and pure IBNR count/severity methods) are used to estimate loss and loss expense liability estimates. Loss data is segmented so as to reflect the anomalies in the historical data due to the various loss mitigation initiatives employed over the last several years.

Other Casualty Run-Off

As noted above, other legacy exposures include environmental impairment liability and related coverages, medical malpractice, workers' compensation, and general liability. Depending on the individual class or lines of business reviewed, either traditional methods (i.e. paid loss development, incurred loss development), non-traditional methods (such as paid survival ratio or IBNR-to-case ratio methods) or a combination of the two are used. In addition, for some of the environmental impairment liability related coverage, approaches based on individual claim department estimates for large remediation sites are extensively included as part of the overall actuarial estimates for environmental impairment liability related exposures.

Prior Year Development

During 2018, the U.S. Run-Off Long Tail Insurance Lines recognized \$4 million of favorable prior year development with adverse development on pre-1986 pollution business offset by favorable development in Excess Auto Liability, Environmental, and Healthcare Lines.

During 2017, the U.S. Run-Off long tail insurance lines recognized \$30 million of favorable prior year development.

During 2016, the U.S. Run-Off long tail insurance lines recognized \$390 million of unfavorable prior year development.

Asbestos and Environmental (1986 and prior)

In 2018, we recognized no change on the retained portion of asbestos claims. The development on the portion of the asbestos business ceded to NICO was unfavorable by \$96 million on a gross basis, but had no net impact. For environmental, we recognized \$150 million in unfavorable prior year development as a result of adverse claim emergence and top-down actuarial analyses performed during the year.

In 2017, we recognized favorable net prior year development of \$37 million on the retained portion of asbestos claims. This was primarily due to additional reinsurance recoveries identified for this portfolio. The development on the portion of the asbestos business ceded to NICO was unfavorable by \$50 million on a gross basis, but had no net impact. For environmental, we recognized \$22 million in unfavorable prior year development in consideration of activity related to several large clean-up sites and related accounts as well as a result of top-down actuarial analyses performed during the year. As part of this analysis, we increased our estimates of unallocated loss adjustment expense reserves for such claims, which were partially offset by a decrease in indemnity reserves.

In 2016, we increased gross undiscounted asbestos incurred losses by \$106 million and decreased net undiscounted asbestos incurred losses by \$20 million. The gross undiscounted change reflects an increase in estimates related to our accounts retroceded to NICO. The favorable development of the net incurred losses was largely a result of higher estimated external reinsurance recoveries on our retained asbestos exposures. For environmental, we increased incurred losses by \$211 million primarily due to unfavorable development on several large clean-up sites and related accounts as well as a result of top down actuarial analyses performed during the year.

Excess Workers' Compensation

We did not recognize any material development in this segment during 2018, 2017 or 2016. The proactive management of settlement negotiations and other claims mitigation strategies minimized the volatility observed during this period.

Other Casualty Run-Off

During 2018, prior year development was favorable by \$154 million driven by favorable emergence on runoff excess trucking, Environmental and Healthcare segments and other runoff lines.

In 2017, the net prior year development for the remaining legacy was favorable by \$15 million. We experienced favorable development on runoff medical malpractice and environmental impairment liability business, which was partially offset by net unfavorable development on other casualty segments.

We increased the reserves for these coverages by \$190 million during 2016 to reflect updated assumptions about future loss development. The increase was driven by runoff public entity business where we reacted to the adverse emergence over the last year by increasing our loss development factors to reflect the portfolio's experience especially in the loss tail instead of relying on the overall excess casualty loss development factors.

The table below presents the reconciliation of change in net ultimates from tables above to prior year development for the year ended December 31, 2018:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Change in Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses Net Ultimate ^(a)	Re-Attribution of ADC Recovery ^(b)	Amortization of Deferred Gain at Inception	Prior Year Development
U.S. Workers' Compensation	\$ (498)	\$ 589	\$ (73)	\$ 18
U.S. Excess Casualty	762	(370)	(56)	336
U.S. Other Casualty	58	(26)	(51)	(19)
U.S. Financial Lines	355	(109)	(37)	209
U.S. Property and Special risks	(401)	(69)	(13)	(483)
U.S. Personal Insurance	264	(14)	(2)	248
UK/Europe Casualty and Financial lines	63	-	-	63
UK/Europe Property and Special risks	(24)	-	-	(24)
UK/Europe and Japan Personal Insurance	(116)	-	-	(116)
U.S. Run-Off Long Tail Insurance Lines	(4)	-	-	(4)
Other product lines	136	(1)	(1)	134
Subtotal, adjusted pre-tax basis	\$ 595	\$ -	\$ (233)	\$ 362

Remove benefit of Retroactive Reinsurance

Amortization of deferred gain at inception	233
Prior year development ceded under the Asbestos LPT	96
Prior year development ceded under the ADC	738
Total, prior years, excluding discount and amortization of deferred gain	\$ 1,429

(a) Change in net ultimate loss and LAE excludes the portion of prior year development for which we have ceded to the Asbestos Loss Portfolio Transfer (LPT) and the Adverse Development Cover (ADC), both of which are provided by NICO and are considered retroactive reinsurance under US GAAP. Amounts shown exclude \$30 million of pre-acquisition prior year development from Validus. Validus' pre-acquisition development is included in the 10-year triangles shown above but is not included in AIG's financial results.

(b) Reattribution of the ADC recovery takes place annually as we model the future payments on the subject reserves covered by the ADC to determine when the aggregate payments will exceed the attachment. ADC recoverables are then reallocated by line based on payments expected to be made after attachment point is exceeded.

Prior Year Development before 2009

The previous development tables include only accident years 2009 to 2018. The following table summarizes (favorable) unfavorable development, of incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses for accident year 2008 and prior by operating segment and major class of business:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
2008 and prior accident year development, excluding the impact of Adverse Development Reinsurance Agreement, by major class of business and driver of development:			
U.S. Workers' compensation (before discount)	\$ 153	\$ (7)	\$ 1,164
U.S. Excess casualty	537	164	72
U.S. Other casualty	129	(8)	398
U.S. Financial lines	(1)	(34)	54
U.S. Property and Special risks	39	11	6
U.S. Personal Insurance	2	9	(16)
UK/Europe Casualty and Financial lines	1	169	(9)
UK/Europe Property and Special risks	3	(6)	(1)
UK/Europe and Japan Personal Insurance	9	3	(4)
U.S. Run-Off Long Tail Insurance lines (before discount)	154	(44)	372
All Other including unallocated loss adjustment expenses	137	178	(7)
Total prior year unfavorable development	\$ 1,163	\$ 435	\$ 2,029

Claims Payout Patterns

The following table presents the historical average annual percentage claims payout on an accident year basis at the same level of disaggregation as presented in the claims development table.

Average Annual Percentage Payout of Incurred Losses by Age, Net of Reinsurance (Unaudited)										
Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
U.S. Workers' compensation	13.9 %	17.3 %	12.4 %	8.9 %	7.3 %	5.0 %	3.7 %	2.7 %	2.1 %	2.4 %
U.S. Excess casualty	0.5	6.4	11.3	13.9	12.1	11.2	8.7	10.5	2.6	6.1
U.S. Other casualty	9.6	15.8	15.7	15.0	12.1	8.4	5.9	5.8	2.2	0.8
U.S. Financial lines	4.3	17.8	21.4	16.8	13.6	8.7	5.4	3.5	2.1	1.6
U.S. Property and Special risks	29.5	33.6	13.3	8.3	5.4	3.7	1.5	1.1	0.7	0.2
U.S. Personal Insurance	58.6	28.9	3.8	2.1	1.3	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
UK/Europe Casualty and Financial lines	8.1	16.0	13.5	12.6	10.0	8.1	7.2	5.0	3.5	3.0
UK/Europe Property and Special risks	25.7	38.6	16.8	6.5	3.3	1.8	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.2
UK/Europe and Japan Personal Insurance	56.9	26.9	8.0	3.9	2.0	1.0	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.1
U.S. Run-Off Long Tail Insurance lines	13.0	18.7	17.2	14.3	10.8	6.3	3.4	2.0	2.0	1.9

DISCOUNTING OF LOSS RESERVES

At December 31, 2018, the loss reserves reflect a net loss reserve discount of \$2.0 billion, including tabular and non-tabular calculations based upon the following assumptions:

Certain asbestos claims are discounted when allowed by the regulator and when payments are fixed and determinable, based on the investment yields of the companies and the payout pattern for the claims. At December 31, 2016, the discount for asbestos reserves was amortized.

The tabular workers' compensation discount is calculated based on a 3.5 percent interest rate and the mortality rate used in the 2007 U.S. Life Table.

The non-tabular workers' compensation discount is calculated separately for companies domiciled in New York and Pennsylvania, and follows the statutory regulations (prescribed or permitted) for each state. For New York companies, the discount is based on a 5 percent interest rate and the companies' own payout patterns. In 2012, for Pennsylvania companies, the statute has specified discount factors for accident years 2001 and prior, which are based on a 6 percent interest rate and an industry payout pattern. For accident years 2002 and subsequent, the discount is based on the payout patterns and investment yields of the companies.

In 2013, our Pennsylvania regulator approved use of a consistent discount rate (U.S. Treasury rate plus a liquidity premium) to all of our workers' compensation reserves in our Pennsylvania-domiciled companies, as well as our use of updated payout patterns specific to our primary and excess workers compensation portfolios.

The discount consists of \$603 million of tabular discount and \$1.4 billion of non-tabular discount for workers' compensation for 2018. During the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 the benefit/(charge) from changes in discount of \$371 million, (\$187) million and \$422 million, respectively, were recorded as part of the policyholder benefits and losses incurred in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income.

The following table presents the components of the loss reserve discount discussed above:

	December 31, 2018			December 31, 2017		
	North America Commercial Insurance	Legacy Portfolio	Total	North America Commercial Insurance	Legacy Portfolio	Total
<i>(in millions)</i>						
U.S. workers' compensation	\$ 2,782	\$ 973	\$ 3,755	\$ 2,465	\$ 918	\$ 3,383
Retroactive reinsurance	(1,720)	-	(1,720)	(1,539)	-	(1,539)
Total reserve discount*	\$ 1,062	\$ 973	\$ 2,035	\$ 926	\$ 918	\$ 1,844

* Excludes \$163 million and \$173 million of discount related to certain long tail liabilities in the United Kingdom at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

The following table presents the net loss reserve discount benefit (charge):

Years Ended December 31,	2018			2017			2016		
	North America Commercial Insurance	Legacy Portfolio	Total	North America Commercial Insurance	Legacy Portfolio	Total	North America Commercial Insurance	Legacy Portfolio	Total
<i>(in millions)</i>									
Current accident year	\$ 119	\$ -	\$ 119	\$ 114	\$ -	\$ 114	\$ 177	\$ -	\$ 177
Accretion and other adjustments to prior year discount	(108)	(58)	(166)	(186)	(44)	(230)	287	64	351
Effect of interest rate changes	305	113	418	(46)	(25)	(71)	(58)	(48)	(106)
Net reserve discount benefit (charge)	316	55	371	(118)	(69)	(187)	406	16	422
Change in discount on loss reserves ceded under retroactive reinsurance	(180)	-	(180)	(1,539)	-	(1,539)	-	-	-
Net change in total reserve discount*	136	55	191	(1,657)	(69)	(1,726)	406	16	422

* Excludes \$(9) million and \$8 million of discount related to certain long tail liabilities in the United Kingdom at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

During 2018, effective interest rates increased due to an increase in the forward yield curve component of the discount rates reflecting an incline in U.S. Treasury rates along with the changes in payout pattern assumptions. This resulted in an increase in the loss reserve discount by \$418 million in 2018.

During 2017 and 2016, effective interest rates declined due to a decrease in the forward yield curve component of the discount rates reflecting a decline in U.S. Treasury rates along with the changes in payout pattern assumptions. This resulted in a decrease in the loss reserve discount by \$71 million in 2017 and \$106 million in 2016.

FUTURE POLICY BENEFITS

Future policy benefits primarily include reserves for traditional life and annuity payout contracts, which represent an estimate of the present value of future benefits less the present value of future net premiums. Included in Future policy benefits are liabilities for annuities issued in structured settlement arrangements whereby a claimant has agreed to settle a general insurance claim in exchange for fixed payments over a fixed determinable period of time with a life contingency feature. In addition, reserves for contracts in loss recognition are adjusted to reflect the effect of unrealized gains on fixed maturity securities available for sale and prior to 2018, equity securities at fair value, with related changes recognized through Other comprehensive income.

Future policy benefits also include certain guaranteed benefits of variable annuity products that are not considered embedded derivatives, primarily guaranteed minimum death benefits.

For additional information on guaranteed minimum death benefits see Note 14.

The liability for long-duration future policy benefits has been established including assumptions for interest rates which vary by year of issuance and product, and range from approximately 0.1 percent to 14.6 percent. Mortality and surrender rate assumptions are generally based on actual experience when the liability is established.

POLICYHOLDER CONTRACT DEPOSITS

The liability for Policyholder contract deposits is primarily recorded at accumulated value (deposits received and net transfers from separate accounts, plus accrued interest credited at rates ranging from 0.3 percent to 10.0 percent at December 31, 2018, less withdrawals and assessed fees). Deposits collected on investment-oriented products are not reflected as revenues, because they are recorded directly to Policyholder contract deposits upon receipt. Amounts assessed against the contract holders for mortality, administrative, and other services are included in revenues.

In addition to liabilities for universal life, fixed annuities, fixed options within variable annuities, annuities without life contingencies, funding agreements and GICs, policyholder contract deposits also include our liability for (a) certain guaranteed benefits and indexed features accounted for as embedded derivatives at fair value, (b) annuities issued in a structured settlement arrangement with no life contingency and (c) certain contracts we have elected to account for at fair value.

For additional information on guaranteed benefits accounted for as embedded derivatives see Note 14 herein.

For universal life policies with secondary guarantees, we recognize certain liabilities in addition to policyholder account balances. For universal life policies with secondary guarantees, as well as other universal life policies for which profits followed by losses are expected at contract inception, a liability is recognized based on a benefit ratio of (a) the present value of total expected payments, in excess of the account value, over the life of the contract, divided by (b) the present value of total expected assessments over the life of the contract. For universal life policies without secondary guarantees, for which profits followed by losses are first expected after contract inception, we establish a liability, in addition to policyholder account balances, so that expected future losses are recognized in proportion to the emergence of profits in the earlier (profitable) years. Universal life account balances as well as these additional liabilities related to universal life products are reported within Policyholder contract deposits in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. These additional liabilities are also adjusted to reflect the effect of unrealized gains or losses on fixed maturity securities available for sale and prior to 2018, equity securities at fair value on accumulated assessments, with related changes recognized through Other comprehensive income.

Under a funding agreement-backed notes issuance program, an unaffiliated, non-consolidated statutory trust issues medium-term notes to investors, which are secured by GICs issued to the trust by one of our Life and Retirement companies through our Institutional Markets business.

The following table presents Policyholder contract deposits by product line:

At December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017
Policyholder contract deposits:		
Fixed Annuities	\$ 49,695	\$ 49,979
Group Retirement	41,212	40,422
Life Insurance	12,829	12,448
Variable and Index Annuities	24,378	19,690
Institutional Markets	9,497	8,077
Legacy Portfolio	4,651	4,986
Total Policyholder contract deposits	\$ 142,262	\$ 135,602

OTHER POLICYHOLDER FUNDS

Other policyholder funds include unearned revenue reserves (URR). URR consist of front-end loads on investment-oriented contracts, representing those policy loads that are non-level and typically higher in initial policy years than in later policy years. URR for investment-oriented contracts are generally deferred and amortized, with interest, in relation to the incidence of estimated gross profits (EGPs) to be realized over the estimated lives of the contracts and are subject to the same adjustments due to changes in the assumptions underlying EGPs as DAC. Amortization of URR is recorded in Policy fees. Similar to Shadow DAC, URR related to investment-oriented products is also adjusted to reflect the effect of unrealized gains or losses on fixed maturity securities available for sale and also, prior to 2018, equity securities at fair value on estimated gross profits, with related changes recognized through Other comprehensive income (shadow URR).

Other policyholder funds also include provisions for future dividends to participating policyholders, accrued in accordance with all applicable regulatory or contractual provisions. Participating life business represented approximately 1.7 percent of gross insurance in force at December 31, 2018 and 2.4 percent of gross domestic premiums and other considerations in 2018. The amount of annual dividends to be paid is approved locally by the boards of directors of the Life and Retirement companies. Provisions for future dividend payments are computed by jurisdiction, reflecting local regulations. The portions of current and prior net income and of current unrealized appreciation of investments that can inure to our benefit are restricted in some cases by the insurance contracts and by the local insurance regulations of the jurisdictions in which the policies are in force.

Certain products are subject to experience adjustments. These include group life and group medical products, credit life contracts, accident and health insurance contracts/riders attached to life policies and, to a limited extent, reinsurance agreements with other direct insurers. Ultimate premiums from these contracts are estimated and recognized as revenue with the unearned portions of the premiums recorded as liabilities in Other policyholder funds. Experience adjustments vary according to the type of contract and the territory in which the policy is in force and are subject to local regulatory guidance.

14. Variable Life and Annuity Contracts

We report variable contracts within the separate accounts when investment income and investment gains and losses accrue directly to, and investment risk is borne by, the contract holder and the separate account meets additional accounting criteria to qualify for separate account treatment. The assets supporting the variable portion of variable annuity and variable universal life contracts that qualify for separate account treatment are carried at fair value and reported as Separate account assets, with an equivalent summary total reported as Separate account liabilities.

Policy values for variable products and investment contracts are expressed in terms of investment units. Each unit is linked to an asset portfolio. The value of a unit increases or decreases based on the value of the linked asset portfolio. The current liability at any time is the sum of the current unit value of all investment units in the separate accounts, plus any liabilities for guaranteed minimum death benefits (GMDB) or guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits (GMWB) included in Future policy benefits or Policyholder contract deposits, respectively.

Amounts assessed against the contract holders for mortality, administrative and other services are included in revenue. Net investment income, net investment gains and losses, changes in fair value of assets, and policyholder account deposits and withdrawals related to separate accounts are excluded from the Consolidated Statements of Income, Comprehensive Income (Loss) and Cash Flows.

Variable annuity contracts may include certain contractually guaranteed benefits to the contract holder. These guaranteed features include GMDB that are payable in the event of death, and living benefits that are payable in the event of annuitization, or, in other instances, at specified dates during the accumulation period. Living benefits primarily include GMWB. A variable annuity contract may include more than one type of guaranteed benefit feature; for example, it may have both a GMDB and a GMWB. However, a policyholder can only receive payout from one guaranteed feature on a contract containing a death benefit and a living benefit, i.e. the features are mutually exclusive (except a surviving spouse who has a rider to potentially collect both a GMDB upon their spouse's death and a GMWB during their lifetime). A policyholder cannot purchase more than one living benefit on one contract. The net amount at risk for each feature is calculated irrespective of the existence of other features; as a result, the net amount at risk for each feature is not additive to that of other features.

Account balances of variable annuity contracts with guarantees were invested in separate account investment options as follows:

At December 31,		
<i>(in millions)</i>	2018	2017
Equity funds	\$ 43,059	\$ 48,594
Bond funds	7,231	7,793
Balanced funds	24,100	27,656
Money market funds	787	730
Total	\$ 75,177	\$ 84,773

GMDB

Depending on the contract, the GMDB feature may provide a death benefit of either (a) total deposits made to the contract less any partial withdrawals plus a minimum return (and in rare instances, no minimum return) or (b) the highest contract value attained, typically on any anniversary date minus any subsequent withdrawals following the contract anniversary. GMDB is our most widely offered benefit.

The liability for GMDB, which is recorded in Future policy benefits, represents the expected value of benefits in excess of the projected account value, with the excess recognized ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected assessments, through Policyholder benefits and losses incurred. The net amount at risk for GMDB represents the amount of benefits in excess of account value if death claims were filed on all contracts on the balance sheet date.

The following table presents details concerning our GMDB exposures, by benefit type:

At December 31,	2018		2017	
	Net Deposits Plus a Minimum Return	Highest Contract Value Attained	Net Deposits Plus a Minimum Return	Highest Contract Value Attained
<i>(dollars in billions)</i>				
Account value	\$ 89	\$ 15	\$ 99	\$ 17
Net amount at risk	1	1	1	-
Average attained age of contract holders by product	63	68	63	68
Range of guaranteed minimum return rates	0-4.5%		0%-4.5%	

The following summarizes GMDB liability related to variable annuity contracts, excluding assumed reinsurance:

Years Ended December 31,	2018	2017	2016
<i>(in millions)</i>			
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 352	\$ 402	\$ 491
Reserve increase (decrease)	93	(14)	(32)
Benefits paid	(43)	(42)	(57)
Changes in reserves related to unrealized appreciation of investments	(5)	6	-
Balance, end of year	\$ 397	\$ 352	\$ 402

Assumptions used to determine the GMDB liability include interest rates, which vary by year of issuance and products; mortality rates, which are based upon actual experience modified to allow for variations in policy form; lapse rates, which are based upon actual experience modified to allow for variations in policy form; investment returns, using assumptions from a randomly generated model; and asset growth assumptions, which include a reversion to the mean methodology, similar to that applied for DAC.

We regularly evaluate estimates used to determine the GMDB liability and adjust the additional liability balance, with a related charge or credit to Policyholder benefits and losses incurred, if actual experience or other evidence suggests that earlier assumptions should be revised.

GMWB

Certain of our variable annuity contracts contain optional GMWB benefits and, to a lesser extent, guaranteed minimum accumulation benefits, which are not currently offered. With a GMWB, the contract holder can monetize the excess of the guaranteed amount over the account value of the contract only through a series of withdrawals that do not exceed a specific percentage per year of the guaranteed amount. If, after the series of withdrawals, the account value is exhausted, the contract holder will receive a series of annuity payments equal to the remaining guaranteed amount, and, for lifetime GMWB products, the annuity payments continue as long as the covered person(s) is living.

The liabilities for GMWB, which are recorded in Policyholder contract deposits, are accounted for as embedded derivatives measured at fair value, with changes in the fair value of the liabilities recorded in Other net realized capital gains (losses). The fair value of these embedded derivatives was a net liability of \$1.9 billion and \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

For a discussion of the fair value measurement of guaranteed benefits that are accounted for as embedded derivatives see Note 5.

We had account values subject to GMWB that totaled \$41 billion and \$45 billion at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The net amount at risk for GMWB represents the present value of minimum guaranteed withdrawal payments, in accordance with contract terms, in excess of account value, assuming no lapses. The net amount at risk related to the GMWB guarantees was \$215 million and \$450 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. We use derivative instruments and other financial instruments to mitigate a portion of our exposure that arises from GMWB benefits.

15. Debt

Our long-term debt is denominated in various currencies, with both fixed and variable interest rates. Long-term debt is carried at the principal amount borrowed, including unamortized discounts, hedge accounting valuation adjustments and fair value adjustments, when applicable.

The following table lists our total debt outstanding at December 31, 2018 and 2017. The interest rates presented in the following table are the range of contractual rates in effect at December 31, 2018, including fixed and variable-rates:

At December 31, 2018 (in millions)	Range of Interest Rate(s)	Maturity Date(s)	Balance at December 31, 2018	Balance at December 31, 2017
Debt issued or guaranteed by AIG:				
AIG general borrowings:				
Notes and bonds payable	0% - 8.13%	2019 - 2097	\$ 20,853	\$ 20,339
Junior subordinated debt	4.88% - 8.63%	2037 - 2058	1,548	841
AIG Japan Holdings Kabushiki Kaisha	0.28% - 0.44%	2020 - 2021	331	334
Validus notes and bonds payable	8.88%	2040	359	-
AIGLH notes and bonds payable	6.63% - 7.50%	2025 - 2029	282	281
AIGLH junior subordinated debt	7.57% - 8.50%	2030 - 2046	361	361
Total AIG general borrowings			23,734	22,156
AIG borrowings supported by assets:^(a)				
MIP notes payable			-	356
Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable	2.69% - 2.75%	2046 - 2047	21	21
GIAs, at fair value	0.5% - 7.62%	2019 - 2053	2,164	2,707
Notes and bonds payable, at fair value	0.5% - 9.97%	2030 - 2040	49	181
Total AIG borrowings supported by assets			2,234	3,265
Total debt issued or guaranteed by AIG			25,968	25,421
Debt not guaranteed by AIG:				
Other subsidiaries notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable	2.68% - 4.73%	2019 - 2024	168	190
Debt of consolidated investments ^(b)	0% - 10.3%	2019 - 2067	8,404	6,029
Total debt not guaranteed by AIG			8,572	6,219
Total long term debt			\$ 34,540	\$ 31,640

(a) AIG Parent guarantees all such debt, except for MIP notes payable and Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable, which are direct obligations of AIG Parent. Collateral posted to third parties was \$1.5 billion and \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. This collateral primarily consists of securities of the U.S. government and government sponsored entities and generally cannot be repledged or resold by the counterparties.

(b) At December 31, 2018, includes debt of consolidated investment vehicles related to real estate investments of \$3.7 billion, affordable housing partnership investments of \$1.8 billion and other securitization vehicles of \$2.9 billion. At December 31, 2017, includes debt of consolidated investment vehicles related to real estate investments of \$2.5 billion, affordable housing partnership investments of \$1.8 billion and other securitization vehicles of \$1.7 billion.

The following table presents maturities of long-term debt (including unamortized original issue discount, hedge accounting valuation adjustments and fair value adjustments, when applicable), excluding \$8.4 billion of debt of consolidated investments:

December 31, 2018 (in millions)	Year Ending						
	Total	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Thereafter
Debt issued or guaranteed by AIG:							
AIG general borrowings:							
Notes and bonds payable	\$ 20,853	\$ 999	\$ 1,344	\$ 1,497	\$ 1,509	\$ 1,599	\$ 13,905
Junior subordinated debt	1,548	-	-	-	-	-	1,548
AIG Japan Holdings Kabushiki Kaisha	331	-	114	217	-	-	-
Validus notes and bonds payable	359	-	-	-	-	-	359
AIGLH notes and bonds payable	282	-	-	-	-	-	282
AIGLH junior subordinated debt	361	-	-	-	-	-	361
Total AIG general borrowings	23,734	999	1,458	1,714	1,509	1,599	16,455
AIG borrowings supported by assets:							
MIP notes payable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable	21	-	-	-	-	-	21
GIAs, at fair value	2,164	272	31	157	48	115	1,541
Notes and bonds payable, at fair value	49	-	-	-	-	-	49
Total AIG borrowings supported by assets	2,234	272	31	157	48	115	1,611
Total debt issued or guaranteed by AIG	25,968	1,271	1,489	1,871	1,557	1,714	18,066
Other subsidiaries notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable	168	117	1	42	1	1	6
Total	\$ 26,136	\$ 1,388	\$ 1,490	\$ 1,913	\$ 1,558	\$ 1,715	\$ 18,072

Uncollateralized and collateralized notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable consisted of the following:

At December 31, 2018 (in millions)	Uncollateralized Notes/Bonds/Loans Payable		Collateralized Loans and Mortgages Payable		Total
AIG general borrowings	\$	331	\$	-	\$ 331
Other subsidiaries notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable*		2		166	168
Total	\$	333	\$	166	\$ 499

* AIG does not guarantee any of these borrowings.

AIGLH JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

In connection with our acquisition of AIG Life Holdings, Inc. (AIGLH) in 2001, we entered into arrangements with AIGLH with respect to outstanding AIGLH capital securities. In 1996, AIGLH issued capital securities through a trust to institutional investors and funded the trust with AIGLH junior subordinated debentures issued to the trust with the same terms as the capital securities.

On July 11, 2013, the AIGLH junior subordinated debentures were distributed to holders of the capital securities, the capital securities were cancelled and the trusts were dissolved. At December 31, 2018, the junior subordinated debentures outstanding consisted of \$113 million of 8.5 percent junior subordinated debentures due July 2030, \$211 million of 8.125 percent junior subordinated debentures due March 2046 and \$37 million of 7.57 percent junior subordinated debentures due December 2045, each guaranteed by AIG Parent.

CREDIT FACILITIES

We maintain a committed, revolving syndicated credit facility (the Facility) as a potential source of liquidity for general corporate purposes. The Facility provides for aggregate commitments by the bank syndicate to provide unsecured revolving loans and/or standby letters of credit of up to \$4.5 billion without any limits on the type of borrowings and is scheduled to expire in June 2022.

At December 31, 2018 (in millions)	Size		Available Amount		Expiration	Effective Date
Syndicated Credit Facility	\$	4,500	\$	4,500	June 2022	6/27/2017

16. Contingencies, Commitments and Guarantees

In the normal course of business, various contingent liabilities and commitments are entered into by AIG and our subsidiaries. In addition, AIG Parent guarantees various obligations of certain subsidiaries.

Although AIG cannot currently quantify its ultimate liability for unresolved litigation and investigation matters, including those referred to below, it is possible that such liability could have a material adverse effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition or its consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows for an individual reporting period.

LEGAL CONTINGENCIES

Overview. In the normal course of business, AIG and our subsidiaries are, like others in the insurance and financial services industries in general, subject to regulatory and government investigations and actions, and litigation and other forms of dispute resolution in a large number of proceedings pending in various domestic and foreign jurisdictions. Certain of these matters involve potentially significant risk of loss due to potential for significant jury awards and settlements, punitive damages or other penalties. Many of these matters are also highly complex and seek recovery on behalf of a class or similarly large number of plaintiffs. It is therefore inherently difficult to predict the size or scope of potential future losses arising from these matters. In our insurance and reinsurance operations, litigation and arbitration concerning the scope of coverage under insurance and reinsurance contracts, and litigation and arbitration in which our subsidiaries defend or indemnify their insureds under insurance contracts, are generally considered in the establishment of our loss reserves. Separate and apart from the foregoing matters involving insurance and reinsurance coverage, AIG, our subsidiaries and their respective officers and directors are subject to a variety of additional types of legal proceedings brought by holders of AIG securities, customers, employees and others, alleging, among other things, breach of contractual or fiduciary duties, bad faith and violations of federal and state statutes and regulations. With respect to these other categories of matters not arising out of claims for insurance or reinsurance coverage, we establish reserves for loss contingencies when it is probable that a loss will be incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. In many instances, we are unable to determine whether a loss is probable or to reasonably estimate the amount of such a loss and, therefore, the potential future losses arising from legal proceedings may exceed the amount of liabilities that we have recorded in our financial statements covering these matters. While such potential future charges could be material, based on information currently known to management, management does not believe, other than may be discussed below, that any such charges are likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operation.

Additionally, from time to time, various regulatory and governmental agencies review the transactions and practices of AIG and our subsidiaries in connection with industry-wide and other inquiries into, among other matters, the business practices of current and former operating insurance subsidiaries. We have cooperated, and will continue to cooperate, in producing documents and other information in response to such requests.

Tax Litigation.

We are party to pending tax litigation before the Southern District of New York. *For additional information see Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*

COMMITMENTS

We lease office space and equipment in various locations across jurisdictions in which the Company operates. Rent expense was \$283 million, \$269 million and \$331 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

The following table presents the future minimum lease payments under operating leases at December 31, 2018:

<i>(in millions)</i>		
2019	\$	249
2020		185
2021		121
2022		87
2023		63
Remaining years after 2023		182
Total	\$	887

OTHER COMMITMENTS

In the normal course of business, we enter into commitments to invest in limited partnerships, private equity funds and hedge funds and to purchase and develop real estate in the U.S. and abroad. These commitments totaled \$6.0 billion at December 31, 2018.

GUARANTEES

Subsidiaries

We have issued unconditional guarantees with respect to the prompt payment, when due, of all present and future payment obligations and liabilities of AIGFP and of AIG Markets arising from transactions entered into by AIG Markets.

In connection with AIGFP's business activities, AIGFP has issued, in a limited number of transactions, standby letters of credit or similar facilities to equity investors of structured leasing transactions in an amount equal to the termination value owing to the equity investor by the lessee in the event of a lessee default (the equity termination value). The total amount outstanding at December 31, 2018 was \$85 million. In those transactions, AIGFP has agreed to pay such amount if the lessee fails to pay. The amount payable by AIGFP is, in certain cases, partially offset by amounts payable under other instruments typically equal to the present value of scheduled payments to be made by AIGFP. In the event that AIGFP is required to make a payment to the equity investor, the lessee is unconditionally obligated to reimburse AIGFP. To the extent that the equity investor is paid the equity termination value from the standby letter of credit and/or other sources, including payments by the lessee, AIGFP takes an assignment of the equity investor's rights under the lease of the underlying property. Because the obligations of the lessee under the lease transactions are generally economically defeased, lessee bankruptcy is the most likely circumstance in which AIGFP would be required to pay without reimbursement.

AIG Parent files a consolidated federal income tax return with certain subsidiaries and acts as an agent for the consolidated tax group when making payments to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). AIG Parent and its subsidiaries have adopted, pursuant to a written agreement, a method of allocating consolidated federal income taxes. Under an Amended and Restated Tax Payment Allocation Agreement dated June 6, 2011 between AIG Parent and one of its Bermuda-domiciled insurance subsidiaries, AIG Life of Bermuda, Ltd. (AIGB), AIG Parent has agreed to indemnify AIGB for any tax liability (including interest and penalties) resulting from adjustments made by the IRS or other appropriate authorities to taxable income, special deductions or credits in connection with investments made by AIGB in certain affiliated entities.

Asset Dispositions

We are subject to financial guarantees and indemnity arrangements in connection with the completed sales of businesses pursuant to our asset disposition plan. The various arrangements may be triggered by, among other things, declines in asset values, the occurrence of specified business contingencies, the realization of contingent liabilities, developments in litigation or breaches of representations, warranties or covenants provided by us. These arrangements are typically subject to various time limitations, defined by the contract or by operation of law, such as statutes of limitation. In some cases, the maximum potential obligation is subject to contractual limitations, while in other cases such limitations are not specified or are not applicable.

We are unable to develop a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential payout under certain of these arrangements. Overall, we believe that it is unlikely we will have to make any material payments related to completed sales under these arrangements, and no material liabilities related to these arrangements have been recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Other

- *For additional discussion on commitments and guarantees associated with VIEs see Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*
- *For additional disclosures about derivatives see Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*
- *For additional disclosures about guarantees of outstanding debt of AIG Life Holdings, Inc. (AIGLH), see Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*

17. Equity

SHARES OUTSTANDING

The following table presents a rollforward of outstanding shares:

	Common Stock Issued	Treasury Stock	Common Stock Outstanding
Year Ended December 31, 2016			
Shares, beginning of year	1,906,671,492	(712,754,875)	1,193,916,617
Shares issued	-	2,069,110	2,069,110
Shares repurchased	-	(200,649,886)	(200,649,886)
Shares, end of year	1,906,671,492	(911,335,651)	995,335,841
Year Ended December 31, 2017			
Shares, beginning of year	1,906,671,492	(911,335,651)	995,335,841
Shares issued	-	3,386,462	3,386,462
Shares repurchased	-	(99,677,646)	(99,677,646)
Shares, end of year	1,906,671,492	(1,007,626,835)	899,044,657
Year Ended December 31, 2018			
Shares, beginning of year	1,906,671,492	(1,007,626,835)	899,044,657
Shares issued	-	4,091,922	4,091,922
Shares repurchased	-	(36,527,150)	(36,527,150)
Shares, end of year	1,906,671,492	(1,040,062,063)	866,609,429

DIVIDENDS

Dividends are payable on AIG Common Stock only when, as and if declared by our Board of Directors in its discretion, from funds legally available for this purpose. In considering whether to pay a dividend on or purchase shares of AIG Common Stock, our Board of Directors considers a number of factors, including, but not limited to: the capital resources available to support our insurance operations and business strategies, AIG's funding capacity and capital resources in comparison to internal benchmarks, expectations for capital generation, rating agency expectations for capital, regulatory standards for capital and capital distributions, and such other factors as our Board of Directors may deem relevant.

The following table presents declaration date, record date, payment date and dividends paid per share on AIG Common Stock:

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividends Paid Per Share
October 31, 2018	December 12, 2018	December 26, 2018	\$ 0.32
August 2, 2018	September 17, 2018	September 28, 2018	0.32
May 2, 2018	June 14, 2018	June 28, 2018	0.32
February 8, 2018	March 15, 2018	March 29, 2018	0.32
November 2, 2017	December 8, 2017	December 22, 2017	0.32
August 2, 2017	September 15, 2017	September 29, 2017	0.32
May 3, 2017	June 14, 2017	June 28, 2017	0.32
February 14, 2017	March 15, 2017	March 29, 2017	0.32
November 2, 2016	December 8, 2016	December 22, 2016	0.32
August 2, 2016	September 15, 2016	September 29, 2016	0.32
May 2, 2016	June 13, 2016	June 27, 2016	0.32
February 11, 2016	March 14, 2016	March 28, 2016	0.32

REPURCHASE OF AIG COMMON STOCK

The following table presents repurchases of AIG Common Stock and warrants to purchase shares of AIG Common Stock:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Aggregate repurchases of common stock	\$ 1,739	\$ 6,275	\$ 11,460
Total number of common shares repurchased	37	100	201
Aggregate repurchases of warrants	\$ 11	\$ 3	\$ 309
Total number of warrants repurchased*	1	-	17

* In 2017, we repurchased 185,000 warrants to purchase shares of AIG Common Stock.

Our Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of shares of AIG Common Stock and warrants to purchase shares of AIG Common Stock through a series of actions. On May 3, 2017, our Board of Directors authorized an increase of \$2.5 billion to its previous share repurchase authorization. As of December 31, 2018, approximately \$512 million remained under our share repurchase authorization. Shares may be repurchased from time to time in the open market, private purchases, through forward, derivative, accelerated repurchase or automatic repurchase transactions or otherwise (including through the purchase of warrants). Certain of our share repurchases have been and may from time to time be effected through Exchange Act Rule 10b5-1 repurchase plans.

The timing of any future repurchases will depend on market conditions, our business and strategic plans, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and other factors.

ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following table presents a rollforward of Accumulated other comprehensive income:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) of Fixed Maturity Securities on Which Other-Than- Temporary Credit Impairments Were Taken	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) of All Other Investments	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Retirement Plan Liabilities Adjustment	Fair Value of Liabilities Under Fair Value Option Attributable to Changes in Own Credit Risk	Total
Balance, January 1, 2016, net of tax	\$ 696	\$ 5,566	\$ (2,879)	\$ (846)	\$ -	\$ 2,537
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments	(326)	931	-	-	-	605
Change in deferred policy acquisition costs adjustment and other	(19)	286	-	-	-	267
Change in future policy benefits	-	(676)	-	-	-	(676)
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	-	-	93	-	-	93
Change in net actuarial loss	-	-	-	(151)	-	(151)
Change in prior service credit	-	-	-	(22)	-	(22)
Change in deferred tax asset	75	298	157	47	-	577
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(270)	839	250	(126)	-	693
Noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance, December 31, 2016, net of tax	\$ 426	\$ 6,405	\$ (2,629)	\$ (972)	\$ -	\$ 3,230
Change in unrealized appreciation of investments	394	3,668	-	-	-	4,062
Change in deferred policy acquisition costs adjustment and other*	23	(1,282)	-	-	-	(1,259)
Change in future policy benefits	-	(1,102)	-	-	-	(1,102)
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	-	-	547	-	-	547
Change in net actuarial gain	-	-	-	110	-	110
Change in prior service cost	-	-	-	9	-	9
Change in deferred tax asset (liability)	(50)	4	(8)	(78)	-	(132)
Total other comprehensive income	367	1,288	539	41	-	2,235
Noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance, December 31, 2017, net of tax	\$ 793	\$ 7,693	\$ (2,090)	\$ (931)	\$ -	\$ 5,465
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principles	169	(285)	(284)	(183)	7	(576)
Change in unrealized depreciation of investments	(1,320)	(8,688)	-	-	-	(10,008)
Change in deferred policy acquisition costs adjustment and other	(57)	1,300	-	-	-	1,243
Change in future policy benefits	-	1,711	-	-	-	1,711
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	-	-	(314)	-	-	(314)
Change in net actuarial loss	-	-	-	(23)	-	(23)
Change in prior service credit	-	-	-	(4)	-	(4)
Change in deferred tax asset (liability)	377	702	(35)	55	-	1,099
Change in fair value of liabilities under fair value option attributable to changes in own credit risk	-	-	-	-	3	3
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(1,000)	(4,975)	(349)	28	3	(6,293)
Noncontrolling interests	-	7	2	-	-	9
Balance, December 31, 2018, net of tax	\$ (38)	\$ 2,426	\$ (2,725)	\$ (1,086)	\$ 10	\$ (1,413)

* Includes net unrealized gains attributable to businesses held for sale.

The following table presents the other comprehensive income (loss) reclassification adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016:

<i>(in millions)</i>	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) of Fixed Maturity Securities on Which Other-Than- Temporary Credit Impairments Were Taken	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) of All Other Investments	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Retirement Plan Liabilities Adjustment	Fair Value of Liabilities Under Fair Value Option Attributable to Changes in Own Credit Risk	Total
December 31, 2016						
Unrealized change arising during period	\$ (222)	\$ 1,769	\$ 93	\$ (344)	\$ -	\$ 1,296
Less: Reclassification adjustments included in net income	123	1,228	-	(171)	-	1,180
Total other comprehensive income (loss), before income tax benefit	(345)	541	93	(173)	-	116
Less: Income tax benefit	(75)	(298)	(157)	(47)	-	(577)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax benefit	\$ (270)	\$ 839	\$ 250	\$ (126)	\$ -	\$ 693
December 31, 2017						
Unrealized change arising during period	\$ 467	\$ 2,052	\$ 547	\$ 24	\$ -	\$ 3,090
Less: Reclassification adjustments included in net income	50	768	-	(95)	-	723
Total other comprehensive income, before income tax expense	417	1,284	547	119	-	2,367
Less: Income tax expense (benefit)	50	(4)	8	78	-	132
Total other comprehensive income, net of income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 367	\$ 1,288	\$ 539	\$ 41	\$ -	\$ 2,235
December 31, 2018						
Unrealized change arising during period	\$ (1,372)	\$ (5,811)	\$ (314)	\$ (61)	\$ 3	\$ (7,555)
Less: Reclassification adjustments included in net income	5	(134)	-	(34)	-	(163)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), before income tax benefit	(1,377)	(5,677)	(314)	(27)	3	(7,392)
Less: Income tax expense (benefit)	(377)	(702)	35	(55)	-	(1,099)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax expense (benefit)	\$ (1,000)	\$ (4,975)	\$ (349)	\$ 28	\$ 3	\$ (6,293)

The following table presents the effect of the reclassification of significant items out of Accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in the Consolidated Statements of Income:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income			Affected Line Item in the Consolidated Statements of Income
	2018	2017	2016	
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of fixed maturity securities on which other-than-temporary credit impairments were taken				
Investments	\$ 5	\$ 50	\$ 123	Other realized capital gains
Total	5	50	123	
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of all other investments				
Investments	(134)	463	935	Other realized capital gains
Deferred acquisition costs adjustment	-	305	293	Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs
Future policy benefits	-	-	-	Policyholder benefits and losses incurred
Total	(134)	768	1,228	
Change in retirement plan liabilities adjustment				
Prior-service credit	1	5	15	*
Actuarial losses	(35)	(100)	(186)	*
Total	(34)	(95)	(171)	
Total reclassifications for the year	\$ (163)	\$ 723	\$ 1,180	

* These Accumulated other comprehensive income components are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost. See Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

18. Earnings Per Share (EPS)

The basic EPS computation is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, adjusted to reflect all stock dividends and stock splits. The diluted EPS computation is based on those shares used in the basic EPS computation plus shares that would have been outstanding assuming issuance of common shares for all dilutive potential common shares outstanding and adjusted to reflect all stock dividends and stock splits.

The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted EPS:

Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions, except per share data)		2018	2017	2016
Numerator for EPS:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	103	\$ (6,060)	\$ (259)
Less: Net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests		67	28	500
Income (loss) attributable to AIG common shareholders from continuing operations		36	(6,088)	(759)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense		(42)	4	(90)
Net loss attributable to AIG common shareholders	\$	(6)	\$ (6,084)	\$ (849)
Denominator for EPS:				
Weighted average shares outstanding — basic		898,405,537	930,561,286	1,091,085,131
Dilutive shares		11,735,705	-	-
Weighted average shares outstanding — diluted ^{(a)(b)}		910,141,242	930,561,286	1,091,085,131
Income (loss) per common share attributable to AIG:				
Basic:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.04	\$ (6.54)	\$ (0.70)
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	(0.05)	-	(0.08)
Loss attributable to AIG	\$	(0.01)	\$ (6.54)	\$ (0.78)
Diluted:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.04	\$ (6.54)	\$ (0.70)
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	(0.05)	-	(0.08)
Loss attributable to AIG	\$	(0.01)	\$ (6.54)	\$ (0.78)

(a) Shares in the diluted EPS calculation represent basic shares for 2017 and 2016 due to the net losses in those periods. The shares excluded from the calculation were 22,412,682 shares and 30,326,772 shares for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

(b) Dilutive shares included our share-based employee compensation plans and a weighted average portion of the warrants issued to AIG shareholders as part of AIG's recapitalization in January 2011. The number of shares excluded from diluted shares outstanding were 19.6 million, 1.7 million and 0.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively, because the effect of including those shares in the calculation would have been anti-dilutive.

19. Statutory Financial Data and Restrictions

The following table presents statutory net income (loss) and capital and surplus for our General Insurance companies and our Life and Retirement companies in accordance with statutory accounting practices:

<i>(in millions)</i>	2018	2017	2016
Years Ended December 31,			
Statutory net income (loss)^{(a)(b)}:			
General Insurance companies:			
Domestic	\$ (1,138)	\$ (978)	\$ 260
Foreign	(348)	(318)	(1,326)
Total General Insurance companies	\$ (1,486)	\$ (1,296)	\$ (1,066)
Life and Retirement companies:			
Domestic	\$ 673	\$ 1,066	\$ 2,252
Foreign	(596)	21	47
Total Life and Retirement companies	\$ 77	\$ 1,087	\$ 2,299
At December 31,			
Statutory capital and surplus^{(a)(b)}:			
General Insurance companies:			
Domestic	\$ 18,172	\$ 20,938	
Foreign	16,447	11,721	
Total General Insurance companies	\$ 34,619	\$ 32,659	
Life and Retirement companies:			
Domestic	\$ 9,477	\$ 12,149	
Foreign	1,744	446	
Total Life and Retirement companies	\$ 11,221	\$ 12,595	
Aggregate minimum required statutory capital and surplus:			
General Insurance companies:			
Domestic	\$ 4,307	\$ 5,134	
Foreign	9,065	6,301	
Total General Insurance companies	\$ 13,372	\$ 11,435	
Life and Retirement companies:			
Domestic	\$ 3,197	\$ 3,146	
Foreign	1,338	121	
Total Life and Retirement companies	\$ 4,535	\$ 3,267	

(a) Excludes discontinued operations and other divested businesses. Statutory capital and surplus and net income (loss) with respect to foreign operations are as of November 30.

(b) In aggregate, the 2017 General Insurance companies and Life and Retirement companies statutory net income increased by \$207 million and the 2017 General Insurance companies and Life and Retirement companies statutory capital and surplus decreased by \$273 million, compared to the amounts previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, due to finalization of statutory filings.

Our insurance subsidiaries file financial statements prepared in accordance with statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by domestic and foreign insurance regulatory authorities. The principal differences between statutory financial statements and financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP for domestic companies are that statutory financial statements do not reflect DAC, some bond portfolios may be carried at amortized cost, investment impairments are determined in accordance with statutory accounting practices, assets and liabilities are presented net of reinsurance, policyholder liabilities are generally valued using more conservative assumptions and certain assets are non-admitted.

For domestic insurance subsidiaries, aggregate minimum required statutory capital and surplus is based on the greater of the RBC level that would trigger regulatory action or minimum requirements per state insurance regulation. Capital and surplus requirements of our foreign subsidiaries differ from those prescribed in the U.S., and can vary significantly by jurisdiction. At both December 31, 2018 and 2017, all domestic and foreign insurance subsidiaries individually exceeded the minimum required statutory capital and surplus requirements and all domestic insurance subsidiaries individually exceeded RBC minimum required levels.

At December 31, 2018 and 2017, our domestic insurance subsidiaries used the following permitted practices that resulted in reported statutory surplus or risk-based capital that is significantly different from the statutory surplus or risk based capital that would have been reported had NAIC statutory accounting practices or the prescribed regulatory accounting practices of their respective state regulator been followed in all respects:

- In 2015, a domestic life insurance subsidiary domiciled in Texas adopted a permitted statutory accounting practice to report derivatives used to hedge interest rate risk on product-related embedded derivatives at amortized cost instead of fair value. In 2018, the permitted practice was expanded to include additional derivative instruments utilized for the same purpose and to also include an additional domestic life insurance subsidiary domiciled in Texas. This permitted practice resulted in an increase in the statutory surplus of our subsidiaries of \$438 million and \$407 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.
- As described in Note 13, our domestic property and casualty insurance subsidiaries domiciled in New York, Pennsylvania and Delaware discount non-tabular workers' compensation reserves based on applicable prescribed or approved regulations, or in the case of our Delaware subsidiary, based on a permitted practice. This practice did not have a material impact on our statutory surplus, statutory net income (loss) or risk-based capital.

The NAIC Model Regulation "Valuation of Life Insurance Policies" (Regulation XXX) requires U.S. life insurers to establish additional statutory reserves for term life insurance policies with long-term premium guarantees and universal life policies with secondary guarantees (ULSGs). In addition, NAIC Actuarial Guideline 38 (Guideline AXXX) clarifies the application of Regulation XXX as to these guarantees, including certain ULSGs.

Domestic life insurance subsidiaries manage the capital impact of statutory reserve requirements under Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX through unaffiliated and affiliated reinsurance transactions. The affiliated life insurers providing reinsurance capacity for such transactions are fully licensed insurance companies and are not formed under captive insurance laws. One of these affiliated reinsurance arrangements, under which certain Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX reserves related to new and in-force business were ceded to an affiliated U.S. life insurer, was recaptured effective December 31, 2016 and these reserves were ceded to an unaffiliated reinsurer.

Under the other intercompany reinsurance arrangement, certain Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX reserves related to a closed block of in-force business are ceded to an affiliated off-shore life insurer, which is licensed as a class E insurer under Bermuda law. Bermuda law permits the off-shore life insurer to record an asset that effectively reduces the statutory reserves for the assumed reinsurance to the level that would be required under U.S. GAAP. Letters of credit are used to support the credit for reinsurance provided by the affiliated off-shore life insurer.

For additional information regarding these letters of credit see Note 8.

SUBSIDIARY DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

Payments of dividends to us by our insurance subsidiaries are subject to certain restrictions imposed by regulatory authorities. With respect to our domestic insurance subsidiaries, the payment of any dividend requires formal notice to the insurance department in which the particular insurance subsidiary is domiciled. For example, unless permitted by the Superintendent of Financial Services, property casualty companies domiciled in New York generally may not pay dividends to shareholders that, in any 12-month period, exceed the lesser of 10 percent of such company's statutory policyholders' surplus or 100 percent of its "adjusted net investment income," for the previous year, as defined. Generally, less severe restrictions applicable to both property casualty and life insurance companies exist in most of the other states in which our insurance subsidiaries are domiciled. Under the laws of many states, an insurer may pay a dividend without prior approval of the insurance regulator when the amount of the dividend is below certain regulatory thresholds. Other foreign jurisdictions may restrict the ability of our foreign insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends. Various other regulatory restrictions also limit cash loans and advances to us by our subsidiaries.

Largely as a result of these restrictions, approximately \$41.6 billion of the statutory capital and surplus of our consolidated insurance subsidiaries were restricted from transfer to AIG Parent without prior approval of state insurance regulators at December 31, 2018.

To our knowledge, no AIG insurance company is currently on any regulatory or similar "watch list" with regard to solvency.

PARENT COMPANY DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

At December 31, 2018, our ability to pay dividends is not subject to any significant contractual restrictions, but remains subject to regulatory restrictions.

For additional information about our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders see Note 17 herein.

20. Share-Based Compensation Plans

The following table presents our total share-based compensation expense:

Years Ended December 31,				
(in millions)				
	2018	2017	2016	
Share-based compensation expense - pre-tax ^(a)	\$ 337	\$ 353	\$ 237	
Share-based compensation expense - after tax ^(b)	266	229	154	

(a) We recognized \$48 million, \$141 million and \$105 million for immediately vested stock-settled awards issued to retirement eligible employees in 2018, 2017 and 2016, on their respective grant dates. It is our policy to reverse compensation expense for forfeited awards when they occur.

(b) We also recognized \$9 million of excess tax benefits due to share settlements occurring in 2018.

EMPLOYEE PLANS

The Company sponsors several stock compensation programs under the AIG Long Term Incentive Plan and its predecessor plan, the AIG 2013 Long Term Incentive Plan (each as applicable, the LTIP), which are governed by the AIG 2013 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Omnibus Plan). Our share-settled awards are settled with previously acquired shares held in AIG's treasury.

AIG 2013 Omnibus Incentive Plan

The Omnibus Plan, which replaced the AIG 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (2010 Plan), was adopted at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and provides for the grants of share-based awards to our employees and non-employee directors. The total number of shares that may be granted under the Omnibus Plan (the reserve) is the sum of 1) 45 million shares of AIG Common Stock, plus 2) the number of authorized shares that remained available for issuance under the 2010 Plan when the Omnibus Plan became effective, plus 3) the number of shares of AIG Common Stock relating to outstanding awards under the 2010 Plan at the time the Omnibus Plan became effective that subsequently are forfeited, expired, terminated or otherwise lapse or are settled in cash. Each share-based unit granted under the Omnibus Plan reduces the number of shares available for future grants by one share. However, shares with respect to awards that are forfeited, expired or settled for cash, and shares withheld for taxes on awards (other than options and stock appreciation rights awards) are returned to the reserve.

During 2018, performance share units (PSUs), restricted stock units (RSUs), stock options and deferred stock units (DSUs) (collectively, units) were granted under the Omnibus Plan and 37,211,710 shares are available for future grants as of December 31, 2018. Units are issued to employees as part of our long-term incentive program, generally in March of any given year, and are also issued for off-cycle grants, which are made from time to time during the year generally as sign-on awards to new hires or as a result of a change in employee status.

AIG Long Term Incentive Plan

LTIP Awards

The LTIP provides for an annual award to certain employees, including our senior executive officers and other highly compensated employees that may be comprised of PSUs, RSUs and/or stock options.

The number of PSUs issued on the grant date (the target) provides the opportunity for the LTIP participant to receive shares of AIG Common Stock based on AIG achieving specified performance goals at the end of a three-year performance period. These performance goals are pre-established by AIG's Compensation and Management Resources Committee (CMRC) for each annual grant and may differ from year to year. The actual number of PSUs earned can vary from zero to 200 percent of the target for the 2017 and 2018 awards or zero to 150 percent of the target for the 2014 through 2016 awards, depending on AIG's performance relative to a specified peer group or against pre-established financial goals, as applicable.

RSUs and stock options are earned based solely on continued service by the participant.

Vesting occurs on January 1 of the year immediately following the end of the three-year performance period. For awards granted prior to 2017, vesting occurs in three equal installments beginning on January 1 of the year immediately following the end of a performance period and January 1 of each of the next two years. Recipients must be employed at each vesting date to be entitled to share delivery, except upon the occurrence of an accelerated vesting event, such as an involuntary termination without cause, disability, retirement eligibility or death during the vesting period.

LTI awards granted in 2015 and thereafter accrue dividend equivalent units (DEUs) in the form of additional PSUs and/or RSUs whenever a cash dividend is declared on shares of AIG Common Stock; the DEUs are subject to the same vesting terms and conditions as the underlying unit.

Unit Valuation

The fair value of time-vesting RSUs as well as PSUs that are earned based on certain company-specific metrics was based on the closing price of AIG Common Stock on the grant date; while the fair value of PSUs that are earned based on AIG's relative total shareholder return (TSR) was determined on the grant date using a Monte Carlo simulation.

The following table presents the assumptions used to estimate the fair value of PSUs that vest based on AIG's TSR:

	2017	2016
Expected dividend yield ^(a)	2.37 %	2.17 %
Expected volatility ^(b)	17.58 %	24.55 %
Risk-free interest rate ^(c)	2.00 %	1.30 %

(a) The dividend yield is the projected annualized AIG dividend yield estimated by Bloomberg Professional service as of the valuation date.

(b) The expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the stock price for the 360 most recent trading days prior to the valuation date estimated by Bloomberg Professional service.

(c) The risk-free interest rate is the continuously compounded interest rate for the term between the valuation date and the end of the performance period that is assumed to be constant and equal to the interpolated value between the closest data points on the U.S. dollar LIBOR-swap curve as of the valuation date.

Modification of LTI awards

During the fourth quarter of 2017, the Company modified the LTI awards by issuing time-vesting RSUs and canceling some performance based units. The modification applied to most recipients who participate in the 2015, 2016 and 2017 LTI awards, excluding the Company's senior executives. The newly granted RSUs vest in installments over a period of up to three years. We incurred incremental compensation expense of \$142 million as a result of these actions. We recognized \$71 million in 2017, \$48 million in 2018 and the remainder will be recognized through December 2020.

The following table summarizes outstanding share-settled LTI awards^(a):

As of or for the Year	Number of Units					Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value				
	2018 LTI	2017 LTI	2016 LTI	2015 LTI	2014 LTI	2018 LTI	2017 LTI	2016 LTI	2015 LTI	2014 LTI
Ended December 31, 2018^(b)										
Unvested, beginning of year	-	2,173,115	1,467,745	1,291,788	823,311	\$ -	\$ 62.78	\$ 60.90	\$ 60.02	\$ 48.81
Granted	4,640,722	43,792	-	-	-	55.47	58.52	-	-	-
Vested ^(c)	(1,205,653)	(530,388)	(728,576)	(266,184)	(793,955)	55.85	63.67	60.28	57.87	48.81
Forfeited	(113,041)	(106,524)	(98,509)	(753,272)	(29,356)	55.92	63.13	62.13	54.46	48.84
Unvested, end of year^(d)	3,322,028	1,579,995	640,660	272,332	-	\$ 55.32	\$ 62.32	\$ 61.55	\$ 60.51	\$ -

(a) Excludes stock options and DSUs, which are discussed under Stock Options and Non-Employee Plan, respectively.

(b) Except for the 2014 LTI and 2015 LTI awards, PSUs represent target amount granted, and does not reflect potential increases or decreases that could result from the final outcome of the performance goals for the respective awards, which is determined in the quarter after the applicable performance period ends.

(c) Also reflects units that vest as a result of an accelerated vesting event that occurred prior to the specified vesting date.

(d) At December 31, 2018, the total unrecognized compensation cost for outstanding RSUs and PSUs was \$215 million and the weighted-average and expected period of years over which that cost is expected to be recognized are 0.96 year and 2 years.

Stock Options

Stock options were issued in 2018 as part of the 2018 LTI awards and in 2017 and 2018 to certain newly hired senior executives. The fair value of the options was estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes model for the time-vesting options, and a Monte Carlo simulation for the hurdle-vesting options using the assumptions noted in the following table.

The following weighted-average assumptions were used for stock options granted:

	2018	2017
Expected annual dividend yield ^(a)	2.32 %	2.03 %
Expected volatility ^(b)	23.29 %	20.96 %
Risk-free interest rate ^(c)	2.83 %	1.94 %
Expected term ^(d)	4.50 - 6.47 years	4.5 years

(a) The dividend yield is the projected annualized AIG dividend yield estimated by Bloomberg Professional service as of the valuation date.

(b) The expected volatility is based on the implied volatility of 24 months stock option estimated by the Bloomberg Professional service as of the valuation date.

(c) The risk-free interest rate is the continuously compounded interest rate for the term between the valuation date and the expiration date that is assumed to be constant and equal to the interpolated value between the closet data points on the U.S. dollar LIBOR-swap curve as of the valuation date.

(d) The contractual terms are 7 and 10 years.

The following table provides a rollforward of stock option activity:

As of or for the Year Ended December 31, 2018	Units	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Values (in millions)
Outstanding, beginning of year	2,500,000	\$ 62.90	6.48	
Granted	2,752,098	55.38		
Exercised	-	-		
Forfeited or expired	(46,299)	55.94		
Outstanding, end of year	5,205,799	\$ 58.99	7.38	\$ -
Exercisable, end of year	486,229	\$ 59.90	4.07	\$ -

The weighted average grant-date fair value of stock options granted during 2018 was \$11.08. As of December 31, 2018, we recognized \$26.4 million of expense, while \$24 million was unrecognized and is expected to be amortized up to 2.75 years.

Other RSU Grants

We granted 1,385,929 off-cycle time-vesting RSUs in 2018 primarily pertaining to replacement awards granted to Validus employees. We recognized \$43.3 million of expense related to these RSU grants in 2018. Total unrecognized compensation cost related to these grants was \$57 million and the weighted-average and expected period of years over which that cost is expected to be recognized are 1.14 years and 4.25 years at December 31, 2018.

NON-EMPLOYEE PLAN

Our non-employee directors, who serve on our Board of Directors, receive share-based compensation in the form of fully vested DSUs with delivery deferred until retirement from the Board. DSUs granted in 2018, 2017 and 2016 accrue DEUs equal to the amount of any regular quarterly dividend that would have been paid by AIG if the shares of AIG Common Stock underlying the DSUs had been outstanding. In 2018, 2017 and 2016, we granted to non-employee directors 39,092, 32,067 and 41,974 DSUs, respectively, under the 2013 Plan, and recognized expense of \$2.1 million, \$2.0 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

21. Employee Benefits

PENSION PLANS

We offer various defined benefit plans to eligible employees.

The U.S. AIG Retirement Plan (the qualified plan) is a noncontributory defined benefit plan that is subject to the provisions of ERISA. U.S. salaried employees who are employed by a participating company on or before December 1, 2014 and who have completed 12 months of continuous service are eligible to participate in the plan. Effective April 1, 2012, the qualified plan was converted to a cash balance formula comprised of pay credits based on six percent of a plan participant's annual compensation (subject to IRS limitations) and annual interest credits. Employees can take their vested benefits when they leave AIG as a lump sum or an annuity option after completing at least three years of service. Employees satisfying certain age and service requirements (i.e., grandfathered employees) remain covered under the average pay formula that was in effect prior to the conversion to the cash balance formula. The final average pay formula is based upon a percentage of final average compensation multiplied by years of credited service, up to 44 years. Grandfathered employees will receive the higher of the benefit under the cash balance formula or the final average pay formula at retirement. Non-U.S. defined benefit plans generally are either based on the employee's years of credited service and compensation in the years preceding retirement or on points accumulated based on the employee's job grade and other factors during each year of service.

In the U.S. we also sponsor non-qualified unfunded defined benefit plans, such as the AIG Non-Qualified Retirement Income Plan (AIG NQRIP) for certain employees, including key executives, designed to supplement pension benefits provided by the qualified plan. The AIG NQRIP provides a benefit equal to the reduction in benefits under the qualified plan as a result of federal tax limitations on compensation and benefits payable.

Plan Freeze

Effective January 1, 2016, the U.S. defined benefit pension plans were frozen. Consequently, these plans are closed to new participants and current participants no longer earn benefits. However, interest credits continue to accrue on the existing cash balance accounts and participants are continuing to accrue years of service for purposes of vesting and early retirement eligibility and subsidies as they continue to be employed by AIG.

POSTRETIREMENT PLANS

We also provide postretirement medical care and life insurance benefits in the U.S. and in certain non-U.S. countries. Eligibility in the various plans generally is based upon completion of a specified period of eligible service and attaining a specified age. Overseas, benefits vary by geographic location.

U.S. postretirement medical and life insurance benefits are based upon the employee attaining the age of 55 and having a minimum of ten years of service. Eligible employees who have medical coverage can enroll in retiree medical upon termination of employment. Medical benefits are contributory, while the life insurance benefits generally are non-contributory. Retiree medical contributions vary from none for pre-1989 retirees to actual premium payments reduced by certain subsidies for post-1992 retirees. These contributions are subject to adjustment annually. Other cost sharing features of the medical plan include deductibles, coinsurance and Medicare coordination. Effective April 1, 2012, the retiree medical employer subsidy for the AIG postretirement plan was eliminated for employees who were not grandfathered. Additionally, new employees hired after December 31, 2012 are not eligible for retiree life insurance.

The following table presents the funded status of the plans reconciled to the amount reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The measurement date for most of the non-U.S. defined benefit pension and postretirement plans is November 30, consistent with the fiscal year end of the sponsoring companies. For all other plans, measurement occurs as of December 31.

As of or for the Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	Pension				Postretirement			
	U.S. Plans*		Non-U.S. Plans*		U.S. Plans		Non-U.S. Plans	
	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017
Change in projected benefit obligation:								
Benefit obligation, beginning of year	\$ 5,091	\$ 4,948	\$ 1,202	\$ 1,246	\$ 190	\$ 196	\$ 63	\$ 80
Service cost	5	11	22	29	1	2	1	3
Interest cost	162	166	16	16	6	6	2	3
Actuarial (gain) loss	(383)	372	(28)	(29)	(10)	-	(14)	(2)
Benefits paid:								
AIG assets	(16)	(19)	(9)	(10)	(15)	(13)	(1)	(1)
Plan assets	(306)	(161)	(32)	(26)	-	-	-	-
Plan amendment	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	(6)
Curtailments	-	-	-	(7)	-	-	-	-
Settlements	-	(226)	(5)	(12)	-	-	-	-
Foreign exchange effect	-	-	(31)	37	-	-	(1)	1
Other	-	-	-	(42)	-	(1)	-	(15)
Projected benefit obligation, end of year	\$ 4,553	\$ 5,091	\$ 1,138	\$ 1,202	\$ 172	\$ 190	\$ 50	\$ 63
Change in plan assets:								
Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year	\$ 4,350	\$ 3,843	\$ 875	\$ 803	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Actual return on plan assets, net of expenses	(204)	584	6	67	-	-	-	-
AIG contributions	16	329	51	60	15	13	1	1
Benefits paid:								
AIG assets	(16)	(19)	(9)	(10)	(15)	(13)	(1)	(1)
Plan assets	(306)	(161)	(32)	(26)	-	-	-	-
Settlements	-	(226)	(5)	(12)	-	-	-	-
Foreign exchange effect	-	-	(25)	19	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	(26)	-	-	-	-
Fair value of plan assets, end of year	\$ 3,840	\$ 4,350	\$ 861	\$ 875	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Funded status, end of year	\$ (713)	\$ (741)	\$ (277)	\$ (327)	\$ (172)	\$ (190)	\$ (50)	\$ (63)
Amounts recognized in the balance sheet:								
Assets	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 72	\$ 68	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Liabilities	(713)	(741)	(349)	(395)	(172)	(190)	(50)	(63)
Total amounts recognized	\$ (713)	\$ (741)	\$ (277)	\$ (327)	\$ (172)	\$ (190)	\$ (50)	\$ (63)
Pre-tax amounts recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive income:								
Net gain (loss)	\$ (1,450)	\$ (1,373)	\$ (149)	\$ (170)	\$ 26	\$ 17	\$ 3	\$ (11)
Prior service (cost) credit	-	-	(23)	(22)	-	1	3	5
Total amounts recognized	\$ (1,450)	\$ (1,373)	\$ (172)	\$ (192)	\$ 26	\$ 18	\$ 6	\$ (6)

* Includes non-qualified unfunded plans of which the aggregate projected benefit obligation was \$250 million and \$272 million for the U.S. at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and \$201 million and \$211 million for the non-U.S. at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

The following table presents the accumulated benefit obligations for U.S. and non-U.S. pension benefit plans:

At December 31, (in millions)	2018		2017	
U.S. pension benefit plans	\$	4,553	\$	5,091
Non-U.S. pension benefit plans	\$	1,125	\$	1,188

Defined benefit plan obligations in which the projected benefit obligation was in excess of the related plan assets and the accumulated benefit obligation was in excess of the related plan assets were as follows:

At December 31, (in millions)	PBO Exceeds Fair Value of Plan Assets				ABO Exceeds Fair Value of Plan Assets			
	U.S. Plans		Non-U.S. Plans		U.S. Plans		Non-U.S. Plans	
	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 4,553	\$ 5,091	\$ 994	\$ 1,054	\$ 4,553	\$ 5,091	\$ 943	\$ 991
Accumulated benefit obligation	4,553	5,091	932	979	4,553	5,091	932	979
Fair value of plan assets	3,840	4,350	594	596	3,840	4,350	594	596

The following table presents the components of net periodic benefit cost with respect to pensions and other postretirement benefits:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	Pension						Postretirement					
	U.S. Plans			Non-U.S. Plans			U.S. Plans			Non-U.S. Plans		
	2018	2017	2016	2018	2017	2016	2018	2017	2016	2018	2017	2016
Components of net periodic benefit cost:												
Service cost*	\$ 5	\$ 11	\$ 19	\$ 22	\$ 29	\$ 31	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 1	\$ 3	\$ 3
Interest cost	162	166	181	16	16	21	6	6	7	2	3	3
Expected return on assets	(283)	(266)	(292)	(25)	(24)	(26)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)	-	-	-	2	-	-	(1)	(1)	(12)	(2)	(1)	-
Amortization of net (gain) loss	28	26	25	7	12	7	-	(1)	(1)	1	1	1
Net periodic benefit cost (credit)	(88)	(63)	(67)	22	33	33	6	6	(4)	2	6	7
Curtailment gain	-	-	-	-	(6)	(6)	-	-	(1)	-	(2)	-
Settlement charges	-	60	149	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net benefit cost (credit)	\$ (88)	\$ (3)	\$ 82	\$ 22	\$ 28	\$ 29	\$ 6	\$ 6	(5)	\$ 2	\$ 4	\$ 7
Total recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)												
comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (77)	\$ 32	\$ (82)	\$ 20	\$ 87	\$ (101)	\$ 9	\$ (2)	(7)	\$ 12	\$ 9	\$ 1
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income (loss)												
income (loss)	\$ 11	\$ 35	\$ (164)	\$ (2)	\$ 59	\$ (130)	\$ 3	\$ (8)	(2)	\$ 10	\$ 5	(6)

* Reflects administrative fees for the U.S. pension plans.

The estimated net loss and prior service cost that will be amortized from Accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year are \$38 million and \$2 million, respectively, for our combined defined benefit pension plans. For the defined benefit postretirement plans, the estimated amortization from Accumulated other comprehensive income for net gain and prior service credit that will be amortized into net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year is a \$3 million credit in the aggregate.

As of 2016, interest cost for pension and postretirement benefits for our U.S. plans and largest non-U.S. plans is measured by applying the specific spot rates along the yield curve to the plans' corresponding discounted cash flows that comprise the obligation (the Spot Rate Approach). This method provides a more precise measurement of interest cost by aligning the timing of the plans' discounted cash flows to the corresponding spot rates on the yield curve. Previously, interest cost was measured utilizing a single weighted-average discount rate derived from the yield curve used to measure the benefit obligations.

A 100 basis point increase in the discount rate or expected long-term rate of return would decrease the 2018 pension expense by approximately \$5 million and \$45 million, respectively, with all other items remaining the same. Conversely, a 100 basis point decrease in the discount rate or expected long-term rate of return would increase the 2018 pension expense by approximately \$24 million and \$45 million, respectively, with all other items remaining the same.

ASSUMPTIONS

The following table summarizes the weighted average assumptions used to determine the benefit obligations:

	Pension		Postretirement	
	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans ^(a)	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans ^(a)
December 31, 2018				
Discount rate	4.22 %	1.71 %	4.17 %	4.12 %
Rate of compensation increase	N/A ^(b)	2.27 %	N/A	3.00 %
December 31, 2017				
Discount rate	3.61 %	1.60 %	3.53 %	3.59 %
Rate of compensation increase	N/A ^(b)	2.27 %	N/A	3.00 %

(a) The non-U.S. plans reflect those assumptions that were most appropriate for the local economic environments of each of the subsidiaries providing such benefits.

(b) Compensation increases are no longer applicable due to the plan freeze that became effective January 1, 2016.

The following table summarizes assumed health care cost trend rates for the U.S. plans:

At December 31,	2018	2017
Following year:		
Medical (before age 65)	5.93%	6.12%
Medical (age 65 and older)	5.00%	5.00%
Ultimate rate to which cost increase is assumed to decline	4.50%	4.50%
Year in which the ultimate trend rate is reached:		
Medical (before age 65)	2038	2038
Medical (age 65 and older)	2038	2038

A one percent point change in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate would have the following effect on our postretirement benefit obligations:

At December 31, <i>(in millions)</i>	One Percent Increase		One Percent Decrease	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
U.S. plans	\$ 4	\$ 4	\$ (3)	\$ (4)
Non-U.S. plans	\$ 10	\$ 14	\$ (8)	\$ (10)

Our postretirement plans provide benefits primarily in the form of defined employer contributions rather than defined employer benefits. Changes in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate have a minimal impact for U.S. plans because for post-1992 retirees, benefits are fixed dollar amounts based on service at retirement. Our non-U.S. postretirement plans are not subject to caps.

The following table presents the weighted average assumptions used to determine the net periodic benefit costs:

	Pension		Postretirement	
	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans*	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans*
For the Year Ended December 31, 2018				
Discount rate	3.61 %	1.60 %	3.53 %	3.59 %
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	2.27%	N/A	3.00%
Expected return on assets	6.75%	2.78%	N/A	N/A
For the Year Ended December 31, 2017				
Discount rate	4.15 %	1.50 %	4.01 %	3.95 %
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	2.50 %	N/A	3.38 %
Expected return on assets	7.00 %	2.92 %	N/A	N/A
For the Year Ended December 31, 2016				
Discount rate	4.33 %	2.17 %	4.21 %	4.09 %
Rate of compensation increase	N/A %	2.64 %	N/A	3.43 %
Expected return on assets	7.00 %	3.28 %	N/A	N/A

* The non-U.S. plans reflect those assumptions that were most appropriate for the local economic environments of the subsidiaries providing such benefits.

Discount Rate Methodology

The projected benefit cash flows under the U.S. AIG Retirement Plan were discounted using the spot rates derived from the Mercer U.S. Pension Discount Yield Curve at December 31, 2018 and 2017, which resulted in a single discount rate that would produce the same liability at the respective measurement dates. The discount rates were 4.22 percent at December 31, 2018 and 3.61 percent at December 31, 2017. The methodology was consistently applied for the respective years in determining the discount rates for the other U.S. pension plans.

In general, the discount rates for the non-U.S. plans were developed using a similar methodology to the U.S. AIG Retirement plan, by using country-specific Mercer Yield Curves.

The projected benefit obligation for AIG's Japan pension plans represents approximately 52 percent and 50 percent of the total projected benefit obligations for our non-U.S. pension plans at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The weighted average discount rate of 0.72 percent and 0.66 percent at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, was selected by reference to the Mercer Yield Curve for Japan.

Plan Assets

The investment strategy with respect to assets relating to our U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans is designed to achieve investment returns that will provide for the benefit obligations of the plans over the long term, limit the risk of short-term funding shortfalls and maintain liquidity sufficient to address cash needs. Accordingly, the asset allocation strategy is designed to maximize the investment rate of return while managing various risk factors, including, but not limited to, volatility relative to the benefit obligations, liquidity, diversification and concentration, and incorporates the risk/return profile applicable to each asset class.

There were no shares of AIG Common Stock included in the U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans assets at December 31, 2018 or 2017.

U.S. Pension Plan

The assets of the qualified plan are monitored by the AIG U.S. Investment Committee and actively managed by the investment managers, which involves allocating the plan's assets among approved asset classes within ranges as permitted by the strategic allocation. The long-term strategic asset allocation historically has been reviewed and revised approximately every three years. The investment strategy is focused on de-risking the Plan via regular monitoring through liability driven investing and the glide path approach, where the glide path defines the target allocation for the "Return-Seeking" portion of the portfolio (i.e., growth assets) based on the funded ratio. Under this approach, the allocation to growth assets is reduced and the allocation to liability-hedging assets is increased as the Plan's funded ratio increases in accordance with the defined glide path.

The following table presents the asset allocation percentage by major asset class for the U.S. qualified plan and the target allocation for 2019 based on the plan's funded status at December 31, 2018:

At December 31,	Target 2019	Actual 2018	Actual 2017
Asset class:			
Equity securities	26 %	25 %	45 %
Fixed maturity securities	60 %	47 %	36 %
Other investments	14 %	28 %	19 %
Total	100 %	100 %	100 %

The expected long-term rate of return for the plan was 6.75 percent and 7.0 percent for 2018 and 2017, respectively. The expected rate of return is an aggregation of expected returns within each asset class category, weighted for the investment mix of the assets. The combination of the expected asset return and any contributions made by us are expected to maintain the plan's ability to meet all required benefit obligations. The expected asset return for each asset class was developed based on an approach that considers key fundamental drivers of the asset class returns in addition to historical returns, current market conditions, asset volatility and the expectations for future market returns.

Non-U.S. Pension Plans

The assets of the non-U.S. pension plans are held in various trusts in multiple countries and are invested primarily in equities and fixed maturity securities to maximize the long-term return on assets for a given level of risk.

The following table presents the asset allocation percentage by major asset class for non-U.S. pension plans and the target allocation:

At December 31,	Target 2019	Actual 2018	Actual 2017
Asset class:			
Equity securities	30 %	35 %	49 %
Fixed maturity securities	51 %	37 %	32 %
Other investments	18 %	17 %	13 %
Cash and cash equivalents	1 %	11 %	6 %
Total	100 %	100 %	100 %

The assets of AIG's Japan pension plans represent approximately 59 percent and 56 percent of total non-U.S. assets at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The expected long term rate of return was 2.22 percent and 2.43 percent, for 2018 and 2017, respectively, and is evaluated by the Japanese Pension Investment Committee on a quarterly and annual basis along with various investment managers, and is revised to achieve the optimal allocation to meet targeted funding levels if necessary. In addition, the funding policy is revised in accordance with local regulation every five years.

The expected weighted average long-term rate of return for all our non-U.S. pension plans was 2.78 percent and 2.92 percent for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. It is an aggregation of expected returns within each asset class that was generally developed based on the building block approach that considers historical returns, current market conditions, asset volatility and the expectations for future market returns.

ASSETS MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE

The following table presents information about our plan assets and indicates the level of the fair value measurement based on the observability of the inputs used. The inputs and methodology used in determining the fair value of these assets are consistent with those used to measure our assets as discussed in Note 5 herein.

(in millions)	U.S. Plans				Non-U.S. Plans			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
At December 31, 2018								
Assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 501	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 501	\$ 97	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 97
Equity securities:								
U.S. ^(a)	240	-	-	240	-	-	-	-
International ^(b)	137	-	-	137	218	84	-	302
Fixed maturity securities:								
U.S. investment grade ^(c)	-	1,463	13	1,476	-	-	-	-
International investment grade ^(c)	-	157	-	157	-	130	-	130
U.S. and international high yield ^(d)	-	96	-	96	-	185	-	185
Mortgage and other asset-backed securities ^(e)	-	36	-	36	-	-	-	-
Other fixed maturity securities	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
Other investment types^(g):								
Futures	27	-	-	27	-	-	-	-
Direct private equity ^(f)	-	-	14	14	-	-	-	-
Insurance contracts	-	18	-	18	-	-	145	145
Total	\$ 905	\$ 1,770	\$ 27	\$ 2,702	\$ 315	\$ 401	\$ 145	\$ 861
At December 31, 2017								
Assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 397	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 397	\$ 51	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 51
Equity securities:								
U.S. ^(a)	1,300	-	-	1,300	-	-	-	-
International ^(b)	265	-	-	265	362	64	-	426
Fixed maturity securities:								
U.S. investment grade ^(c)	-	1,006	12	1,018	-	-	-	-
International investment grade ^(c)	-	204	-	204	-	97	-	97
U.S. and international high yield ^(d)	-	212	-	212	-	171	-	171
Mortgage and other asset-backed securities ^(e)	-	142	-	142	-	-	-	-
Other fixed maturity securities	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	16
Other investment types^(g):								
Direct private equity ^(f)	-	-	15	15	-	-	-	-
Insurance contracts	-	20	-	20	-	-	113	113
Total	\$ 1,962	\$ 1,584	\$ 27	\$ 3,573	\$ 413	\$ 348	\$ 113	\$ 874

(a) Includes passive and active U.S. equity strategies.

(b) Includes passive and active international equity strategies.

(c) Includes investments in U.S. and non-U.S. government issued bonds, U.S. government agency or sponsored agency bonds, and investment grade corporate bonds.

(d) Consists primarily of investments in securities or debt obligations that have a rating below investment grade.

(e) Represents investments in collateralized loan obligations. As of December 31, 2017, the plan held additional asset-backed securities.

(f) Comprised of private capital financing including private debt and private equity securities.

(g) Excludes investments that are measured at fair value using the NAV per share (or its equivalent), which totaled \$1,138 million and \$777 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in these securities. Based on our investment strategy, we had no significant concentrations of risks at December 31, 2018.

The U.S. pension plan holds a group annuity contract with U.S. Life, one of our subsidiaries, which totaled \$18 million and \$20 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Changes in Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents changes in our U.S. and non-U.S. Level 3 plan assets measured at fair value:

													Changes in
	Balance		Net							Balance			Unrealized Gains
	Beginning		Realized and					Transfers	Transfers	at End			(Losses) on
At December 31, 2018			Unrealized										Instruments Held
(in millions)	of year		Gains (Losses)	Purchases	Sales	Issuances	Settlements	In	Out	of year			at End of year
U.S. Plan Assets:													
Fixed maturity securities													
U.S. investment grade	\$ 12	\$	(2)	\$ 5	\$ (3)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ 13	\$		1
Direct private equity	15		(2)	3	(2)	-	-	-	-	14			(1)
Total	\$ 27	\$	(4)	\$ 8	\$ (5)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ 27	\$		-
Non-U.S. Plan Assets:													
Insurance contracts	113		31	1	-	-	-	-	-	145			-
Total	\$ 113	\$	31	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 145	\$		-

													Changes in
	Balance		Net							Balance			Unrealized Gains
	Beginning		Realized and					Transfers	Transfers	at End			(Losses) on
At December 31, 2017			Unrealized										Instruments Held
(in millions)	of year		Gains (Losses)	Purchases	Sales	Issuances	Settlements	In	Out	of year			at End of year
U.S. Plan Assets:													
Fixed maturity securities													
U.S. investment grade	\$ 2	\$	-	\$ 17	\$ (7)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12	\$		2
Direct private equity	24		(3)	1	(7)	-	-	-	-	15			(1)
Total	\$ 26	\$	(3)	\$ 18	\$ (14)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 27	\$		1
Non-U.S. Plan Assets:													
Insurance contracts	108		4	1	-	-	-	-	-	113			-
Total	\$ 108	\$	4	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 113	\$		-

Transfers of Level 1 and Level 2 Assets

Our policy is to record transfers of assets between Level 1 and Level 2 at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value. Assets are transferred out of Level 1 when they are no longer transacted with sufficient frequency and volume in an active market. Conversely, assets are transferred from Level 2 to Level 1 when transaction volume and frequency are indicative of an active market. We had no material transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

Transfers of Level 3 Assets

We record transfers of assets into or out of Level 3 at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value. During the year ended December 31, 2018, we had no material transfers in or out of Level 3.

EXPECTED CASH FLOWS

Funding for the qualified plan ranges from the minimum amount required by ERISA to the maximum amount that would be deductible for U.S. tax purposes. Contributed amounts in excess of the minimum amounts are deemed voluntary. Amounts in excess of the maximum amount would be subject to an excise tax and may not be deductible under the Internal Revenue Code. There are no minimum required cash contributions in 2018 for the AIG Retirement Plan. The non-qualified and postretirement plans' benefit payments are deductible when paid to participants.

Our annual pension contribution in 2019 is expected to be approximately \$79 million for our U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans. This estimate is subject to change, since contribution decisions are affected by various factors including our liquidity, market performance and management's discretion.

The expected future benefit payments, net of participants' contributions, with respect to the defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans, are as follows:

(in millions)	Pension		Postretirement	
	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans	U.S. Plans	Non-U.S. Plans
2019	\$ 274	\$ 77	\$ 13	\$ 1
2020	270	42	13	1
2021	281	41	13	1
2022	279	41	13	1
2023	276	42	13	2
2024-2028	1,361	245	56	10

DEFINED CONTRIBUTION PLANS

We sponsor several defined contribution plans for U.S. employees that provide for pre-tax salary reduction contributions by employees. The most significant plan is the AIG Incentive Savings Plan, for which the matching contribution is 100 percent of the first six percent of a participant's contributions, subject to the IRS-imposed limitations. Effective January 1, 2016, participants in the AIG Incentive Savings Plan receive an additional fully vested, non-elective, non-discretionary contribution equal to three percent of the participant's annual base compensation for the plan year, paid each pay period regardless of whether the participant currently contributes to the plan, and subject to the IRS-imposed limitations. Our pre-tax expenses associated with these plans were \$210 million, \$209 million and \$236 million in 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

22. Ownership

A Schedule 13G/A filed on February 14, 2019 reports aggregate ownership of 47,237,956 shares, or approximately 5.5 percent (based on the AIG Common Stock outstanding) of AIG Common Stock as of December 31, 2018, by Capital Research Global Investors, a division of Capital Research and Management Company.

A Schedule 13G/A filed on February 11, 2019 reports aggregate ownership of 67,664,554 shares, or approximately 7.8 percent (based on the AIG Common Stock outstanding) of AIG Common Stock as of December 31, 2018, by The Vanguard Group, Inc. and various subsidiaries thereof.

A Schedule 13G/A filed on February 11, 2019 reports aggregate ownership of 64,768,700 shares, or approximately 7.5 percent (based on the AIG Common Stock outstanding) of AIG Common Stock as of December 31, 2018, by Blackrock, Inc. and various subsidiaries thereof.

The calculation of ownership interest for purposes of the AIG Tax Asset Protection Plan and Article 13 of our Restated Certificate of Incorporation is different than beneficial ownership for Schedule 13G.

23. Income Taxes

U.S. TAX REFORM OVERVIEW

On December 22, 2017, the U.S. enacted Public Law 115-97, known informally as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the Tax Act). The Tax Act reduced the statutory rate of U.S. federal corporate income tax to 21 percent and enacted numerous other changes impacting AIG and the insurance industry.

During December 2017, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin 118 (SAB 118), which provided guidance on accounting for the tax effects of the Tax Act. SAB 118 addressed situations where accounting for certain income tax effects of the Tax Act under ASC 740 may be incomplete upon issuance of an entity's financial statements and provides a one-year measurement period from the enactment date to complete the accounting under ASC 740. In accordance with SAB 118, a company was required to reflect the following:

- Income tax effects of those aspects of the Tax Act for which accounting under ASC 740 is complete
- Provisional estimate of income tax effects of the Tax Act to the extent accounting is incomplete but a reasonable estimate is determinable
- If a provisional estimate cannot be determined, ASC 740 should still be applied on the basis of tax law provisions that were in effect immediately before the enactment of the Tax Act.

At December 31, 2017, we originally recorded a provisional estimate of income tax effects of the Tax Act of \$6.7 billion, including a tax charge of \$6.7 billion attributable to the reduction in the U.S. corporate income tax rate and tax benefit of \$38 million related to the deemed repatriation tax. Our provisional estimate of \$6.7 billion was based in part on a reasonable estimate of the effects of the statutory income tax rate reduction on existing deferred tax balances and of certain provisions of the Tax Act. We filed our 2017 consolidated U.S. income tax return and have completed our review of the primary impact of the Tax Act provisions on our deferred taxes. As a result, we consider the accounting for the effects of the rate change on deferred tax balances to be complete and no material measurement period changes were recorded for this item. As further guidance is issued by the U.S. tax authority, any resulting changes in our estimates will be treated in accordance with the relevant accounting guidance.

The Tax Act includes provisions for Global Intangible Low-Taxed Income (GILTI) under which taxes on foreign income are imposed on the excess of a deemed return on tangible assets of certain foreign subsidiaries and for Base Erosion and Anti-Abuse Tax (BEAT) under which taxes are imposed on certain base eroding payments to affiliated foreign companies. There are substantial uncertainties in the interpretation of BEAT and GILTI and while certain formal guidance was issued by the U.S. tax authority, there are still aspects of the Tax Act that remain unclear and additional guidance is expected in 2019. Such guidance may result in changes to the interpretations and assumptions we made and actions we may take, which may impact amounts recorded with respect to international provisions of the Tax Act, possibly materially. Consistent with accounting guidance, we treat BEAT as a period tax charge in the period the tax is incurred and have made an accounting policy election to treat GILTI taxes in a similar manner.

Tax effects for which a reasonable estimate can be determined

Deemed Repatriation Tax

The Tax Act requires companies to pay a one-time transition tax, net of tax credits, related to applicable foreign taxes paid, on previously untaxed current and accumulated earnings and profits of certain of our foreign subsidiaries. We were able to reasonably estimate the deemed repatriation tax and originally recorded a provisional estimated tax benefit of \$38 million at December 31, 2017. We have completed our review of post-1986 earnings and profits of our foreign affiliates. Incorporating additional IRS guidance issued with respect to the deemed repatriation tax, as well as the relevant basis adjustments, we recognized a measurement period tax charge of \$62 million. The effect of the deemed repatriation tax, which has been determined to be complete, resulted in a liability of \$24 million.

Other Provisions

The Tax Act modified computations of insurance reserves for both life and general insurance companies. For life insurance companies, tax reserves are now computed with reference to NAIC reserves. For general insurance companies, the Tax Act extends the discount period for certain long-tail lines of business from 10 years to 24 years and increases the discount rate, replacing the applicable federal rate for a higher-yield corporate bond rate, and eliminates the election allowing companies to use their historical loss payment patterns for loss reserve discounting. Adjustments related to the differences in insurance reserves balances computed under the old tax law versus the Tax Act have to be taken into income over eight years by both life and general insurance companies. Accordingly, these changes give rise to new deferred tax liabilities. At December 31, 2017, we recorded a provisional estimate of \$1.9 billion with respect to such deferred tax liabilities. This increase in deferred tax liabilities was offset by an increase in the deferred tax asset related to insurance reserves as a result of applying the new provisions of the Tax Act.

As of December 31, 2018, we have completed our review of the tax reserve computations for both life and general insurance companies, and recorded offsetting decreases of \$1.4 billion to both our deferred tax liabilities and deferred tax assets.

Provisions Impacting Projections of Taxable Income and Valuation Allowance Considerations

During 2018, we completed our review of the impact of the Tax Act on our forecasts of taxable income, made certain assumptions related to interpretation of relevant new rules, and incorporated guidance issued by the U.S. tax authority. While the prescribed SAB 118 measurement period has ended, there are still certain aspects of the Tax Act that remain unclear, including the complex interplay of the new tax rules with the rules governing the utilization of our tax attributes, and formal guidance from the U.S. tax authority is still pending. We will continue to review the impact of any additional guidance issued by the U.S. tax authority on our valuation allowance analysis in accordance with the relevant accounting guidance. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2018, we consider the determination of the need for a valuation allowance related to the Tax Act to be complete based on our analysis of existing tax law and relevant tax guidance, and no measurement period adjustment was recorded.

Tax effects for which no estimate can be determined

At December 31, 2017, our accounting for the following elements of the Tax Act was incomplete and we continued accounting for them in accordance with ASC 740 on the basis of the tax laws in effect before enactment of the Tax Act.

The Tax Act may affect the results in certain investments and partnerships in which we are a non-controlling interest owner. At December 31, 2017, the information needed to determine a provisional estimate was not available (such as for interest deduction limitations in those entities and the changed definition of a U.S. Shareholder), and accordingly, no provisional estimates were recorded. We have since completed our review of these investments and partnerships. We consider the accounting for this item to be complete and no measurement period change was recorded.

At December 31, 2017, due to minimal formal guidance issued by state and local jurisdictions, provisional estimates were not recorded for the impact of any state and local corporate income tax implications of the Tax Act. Guidance from state and local jurisdictions has varied and most have not formally passed law specific to the treatment of the Tax Act. While we have not identified any material impact at this point in time, we continue to review any guidance issued by those states that have passed tax legislation related to the Tax Act and continue to work through the state and local corporate income tax implications of the Tax Act. We expect further guidance throughout 2019, and the impact, if any, will be recorded when the related guidance is issued. We consider the accounting for this item to be complete and no measurement period adjustment was recorded.

Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

In February 2018, the FASB issued an accounting standard that allows the optional reclassification of stranded tax effects within Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) that arise due to the enactment of the Tax Act to retained earnings. We elected to early adopt the standard for the three-month period ended March 31, 2018. As a result of adopting this standard, we reclassified \$248 million from AOCI to retained earnings. The amount reclassified includes stranded effects related to the change in the U.S. federal corporate income tax rate on the gross temporary differences and related valuation allowances.

We use an item-by-item approach to release the stranded or disproportionate income tax effects in AOCI related to our available-for-sale securities. Under this approach, a portion of the disproportionate tax effects is assigned to each individual security lot at the date the amount becomes lodged. When the individual securities are sold, mature, or are otherwise impaired on an other-than-temporary basis, the assigned portion of the disproportionate tax effect is reclassified from AOCI to income from continuing operations.

U.S. Tax Reform – SAB 118 Measurement Period Completion

As of December 31, 2018, we have completed our accounting for the tax effects of the Tax Act. Although the prescribed measurement period has ended, there are aspects of the Tax Act that remain unclear and additional guidance from the U.S. tax authority is pending. As further guidance is issued by the U.S. tax authority, any resulting changes in our estimates will be treated in accordance with the relevant accounting guidance.

EFFECTIVE TAX RATE

The following table presents income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) by U.S. and foreign location in which such pre-tax income (loss) was earned or incurred:

Years Ended December 31,				
(in millions)				
	2018	2017	2016	
U.S.	\$ (12)	\$ 1,940	\$ 1,041	
Foreign	269	(474)	(1,115)	
Total	\$ 257	\$ 1,466	\$ (74)	

The following table presents the income tax expense (benefit) attributable to pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations:

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Foreign and U.S. components of actual income tax expense:			
U.S.:			
Current	\$ 134	\$ 427	\$ 140
Deferred	(175)	6,865	(270)
Foreign:			
Current	202	209	436
Deferred	(7)	25	(121)
Total	\$ 154	\$ 7,526	\$ 185

Our actual income tax (benefit) expense differs from the statutory U.S. federal amount computed by applying the federal income tax rate due to the following:

Years Ended December 31, (dollars in millions)	2018			2017			2016		
	Pre-Tax Income (Loss)	Tax Expense/ (Benefit)	Percent of Pre-Tax Income (Loss)	Pre-Tax Income (Loss)	Tax Expense/ (Benefit)	Percent of Pre-Tax Income (Loss)	Pre-Tax Income	Tax Expense/ (Benefit)	Percent of Pre-Tax Income
U.S. federal income tax at statutory rate	\$ 255	\$ 54	21.0 %	\$ 1,476	\$ 517	35.0 %	\$ (159)	\$ (56)	35.0 %
Adjustments:									
Tax exempt interest		(37)	(14.5)		(111)	(7.5)		(178)	111.9
Uncertain tax positions		176	69.0		660	44.7		268	(168.6)
Reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income		(72)	(28.2)		(184)	(12.5)		(132)	83.0
Dispositions of Subsidiaries		-	-		17	1.2		118	(74.2)
Tax Attribute Restoration		-	-		-	-		(164)	103.1
Non-controlling Interest		(1)	(0.4)		(7)	(0.5)		(81)	50.9
Non-deductible transfer pricing charges		29	11.4		35	2.4		102	(64.2)
Dividends received deduction		(38)	(14.8)		(90)	(6.1)		(75)	47.2
Effect of foreign operations		44	17.3		69	4.7		234	(147.2)
Share-based compensation payments excess tax deduction		(13)	(5.1)		(40)	(2.7)		-	-
State income taxes		10	3.9		(9)	(0.6)		23	(14.5)
Impact of Tax Act		62	24.3		6,687	453.0		-	-
Global intangible low-taxed income		21	8.2		-	-		-	-
Other		(102)	(40.0)		(58)	(3.9)		13	(8.2)
Effect of discontinued operations		40	15.7		3	0.2		35	(22.0)
Valuation allowance:									
Continuing operations		21	8.2		43	2.9		83	(52.2)
Consolidated total amounts	255	194	76.0	1,476	7,532	510.3	(159)	190	(119.5)
Amounts attributable to discontinued operations	(2)	40	NM	10	6	60.0	(85)	5	(5.9)
Amounts attributable to continuing operations	\$ 257	\$ 154	59.9 %	\$ 1,466	\$ 7,526	513.4 %	\$ (74)	\$ 185	(250.0)%

For the year ended December 31, 2018, the effective tax rate on income from continuing operations was 59.9 percent. The effective tax rate on income from continuing operations differs from the statutory tax rate of 21 percent primarily due to a \$62 million measurement period adjustment related to the deemed repatriation tax, \$48 million net charge primarily related to the accrual of IRS interest (including interest related to uncertain tax positions), \$44 million associated with the effect of foreign operations, \$29 million of non-deductible transfer pricing charges, \$21 million of additional U.S. taxes imposed on income of our foreign subsidiaries under international provisions of the Tax Act, and \$21 million of valuation allowance activity related to certain foreign subsidiaries and state jurisdictions, partially offset by tax benefits of \$75 million associated with tax exempt income, and \$72 million of reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income to income from continuing operations related to the disposal of available for sale securities. Effect of foreign operations is primarily related to income and losses in our foreign operations taxed at statutory tax rates different than 21 percent and foreign income subject to U.S. taxation.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the effective tax rate on income from continuing operations was not meaningful. The effective tax rate differs from the 2017 statutory tax rate of 35 percent primarily due to tax charges of \$6.7 billion associated with the enactment of the Tax Act discussed above, \$660 million of tax charges and related interest associated with increases in uncertain tax positions primarily related to cross border financing transactions and other open tax issues, \$69 million associated with the effect of foreign operations, and \$35 million of non-deductible transfer pricing charges, partially offset by tax benefits of \$201 million of tax exempt income, \$184 million of reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income to income from continuing operations related to the disposal of available for sale securities, and \$40 million of excess tax deductions related to share based compensation payments recorded through the income statement in accordance with relevant accounting literature. Effect of foreign operations is primarily related to losses incurred in our European operations taxed at a statutory tax rate lower than 35 percent and other foreign taxes.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, the effective tax rate on loss from continuing operations was not meaningful. The effective tax rate on loss from continuing operations differs from the statutory tax rate of 35 percent primarily due to tax charges of \$234 million associated with effect of foreign operations, \$216 million of tax charges and related interest associated with increases in uncertain tax positions related to cross border financing transactions, \$118 million related to disposition of subsidiaries, \$102 million related to non-deductible transfer pricing charges, and \$83 million related to increases in the deferred tax asset valuation allowances associated with U.S. federal and certain foreign jurisdictions, partially offset by tax benefits of \$253 million of tax exempt income, \$164 million associated with a portion of the U.S. Life Insurance companies capital loss carryforwards previously treated as expired that was restored and utilized, \$116 million related to the impact of an agreement reached with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) related to certain tax issues under audit, and \$132 million of reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income to income from continuing operations related to the disposal of available for sale securities. Effect of foreign operations is primarily related to foreign exchange losses incurred by our foreign subsidiaries related to the weakening of the British pound following the Brexit vote taxed at a statutory tax rate lower than 35 percent.

As a result of the Tax Act, the majority of accumulated foreign earnings that were previously untaxed were subject to a one-time deemed repatriation tax. Going forward, certain foreign earnings of our foreign affiliates will be exempt from U.S. tax upon repatriation. Notwithstanding the changes, U.S. tax on foreign exchange gain or loss and certain non-U.S. withholding taxes will continue to be applicable upon future repatriations of foreign earnings.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, we consider our foreign earnings with respect to certain operations in Canada, South Africa, the Far East, Latin America, Bermuda as well as the European, Asia Pacific and Middle East regions to be indefinitely reinvested. These earnings relate to ongoing operations and have been reinvested in active business operations. While, following the enactment of the Tax Act, distributions from foreign affiliates are, generally, not subject to U.S. income tax, such distributions may be subject to non-U.S. withholding taxes. A deferred tax liability of approximately \$100 million to \$150 million related to such withholding taxes has not been recorded for those foreign subsidiaries whose earnings are considered to be indefinitely reinvested. Deferred taxes, if necessary, have been provided on earnings of non-U.S. affiliates whose earnings are not indefinitely reinvested.

The following table presents the components of the net deferred tax assets (liabilities):

December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017
Deferred tax assets:		
Losses and tax credit carryforwards	\$ 11,792	\$ 11,931
Basis differences on investments	2,038	2,133
Life policy reserves	2,200	1,996
Accruals not currently deductible, and other	608	532
Investments in foreign subsidiaries	173	159
Loss reserve discount	272	526
Loan loss and other reserves	-	34
Unearned premium reserve reduction	504	566
Fixed assets and intangible assets	531	442
Other	962	731
Employee benefits	604	601
Total deferred tax assets	19,684	19,651
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(2,342)	(2,313)
Unrealized gains related to available for sale debt securities	(490)	(2,151)
Loan loss and other reserves	(20)	-
Total deferred tax liabilities	(2,852)	(4,464)
Net deferred tax assets before valuation allowance	16,832	15,187
Valuation allowance	(1,780)	(1,374)
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$ 15,052	\$ 13,813

The following table presents our U.S. consolidated income tax group tax losses and credits carryforwards as of December 31, 2018.

December 31, 2018 (in millions)	Gross	Tax Effected	Expiration Periods
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 36,268	\$ 7,616	2028 - 2037
Capital loss carryforwards	82	17	2023
Foreign tax credit carryforwards		3,516	2019 - 2023
Other carryforwards		814	Various
Total AIG U.S. consolidated income tax group tax losses and credits carryforwards on a tax return basis		11,963	
Unrecognized tax benefit		(1,733)	
Total AIG U.S. consolidated income tax group tax losses and credits carryforwards on a U.S. GAAP basis*		\$ 10,230	

* Includes other carryforwards, i.e. general business credits of \$30 million and refundable Alternative Minimum Tax Credits of \$39 million on a U.S. GAAP basis.

We have U.S. federal consolidated net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$10.2 billion. The carryforward periods for our foreign tax credits begin to expire in 2019. As detailed in the Assessment of Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance section of this footnote, we determined that it is more likely than not that our U.S. federal consolidated tax attribute carryforwards will be realized prior to their expiration.

ASSESSMENT OF DEFERRED TAX ASSET VALUATION ALLOWANCE

The evaluation of the recoverability of our deferred tax asset and the need for a valuation allowance requires us to weigh all positive and negative evidence to reach a conclusion that it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The weight given to the evidence is commensurate with the extent to which it can be objectively verified. The more negative evidence that exists, the more positive evidence is necessary and the more difficult it is to support a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not needed.

Our framework for assessing the recoverability of the deferred tax asset requires us to consider all available evidence, including:

- the nature, frequency, and amount of cumulative financial reporting income and losses in recent years;
- the sustainability of recent operating profitability of our subsidiaries;
- the predictability of future operating profitability of the character necessary to realize the net deferred tax asset;
- the carryforward period for the net operating loss, capital loss and foreign tax credit carryforwards, including the effect of reversing taxable temporary differences; and
- prudent and feasible actions and tax planning strategies that would be implemented, if necessary, to protect against the loss of the deferred tax asset.

In performing our assessment of the recoverability of the deferred tax asset under this framework, we consider tax laws governing the utilization of the net operating loss, capital loss and foreign tax credit carryforwards in each applicable jurisdiction. Under U.S. tax law, a company generally must use its net operating loss carryforwards before it can use its foreign tax credit carryforwards, even though the carryforward period for the foreign tax credit is shorter than for the net operating loss. Our U.S. federal consolidated income tax group includes both life companies and non-life companies. While the U.S. taxable income of our non-life companies can be offset by our net operating loss carryforwards, only a portion (no more than 35 percent) of the U.S. taxable income of our life companies can be offset by those net operating loss carryforwards. The remaining tax liability of our life companies can be offset by the foreign tax credit carryforwards. Accordingly, we utilize both the net operating loss and foreign tax credit carryforwards concurrently which enables us to realize our tax attributes prior to expiration. As of December 31, 2018, based on all available evidence, it is more likely than not that the U.S. net operating loss and foreign tax credit carryforwards will be utilized prior to expiration and, thus, no valuation allowance has been established.

Estimates of future taxable income, including income generated from prudent and feasible actions and tax planning strategies and any changes to interpretations and assumptions related to the impact of the Tax Act could change in the near term, perhaps materially, which may require us to consider any potential impact to our assessment of the recoverability of the deferred tax asset. Such potential impact could be material to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations for an individual reporting period.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, recent changes in market conditions, including rising interest rates, impacted the unrealized tax gains and losses in the U.S. Life Insurance Companies' available for sale securities portfolio, resulting in a deferred tax asset related to net unrealized tax capital losses. The deferred tax asset relates to the unrealized losses for which the carryforward period has not yet begun, and as such, when assessing its recoverability, we consider our ability and intent to hold the underlying securities to recovery. As of December 31, 2018, based on all available evidence, we concluded that a valuation allowance should be established on a portion of the deferred tax asset related to unrealized losses that are not more-likely-than-not to be realized. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we established \$290 million of valuation allowance associated with the unrealized tax losses in the U.S. Life Insurance Companies' available for sale securities portfolio, all of which was allocated to other comprehensive income.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, recent changes in market conditions, including rising interest rates, impacted the unrealized tax gains and losses in the U.S. Non-Life Companies' available for sale securities portfolio, resulting in a decrease to the deferred tax liability related to net unrealized tax capital gains. As of December 31, 2018, we continue to be in an overall unrealized tax gain position with respect to the U.S. Non-Life Companies' available for sale securities portfolio and thus concluded no valuation allowance is necessary in the U.S. Non-Life Companies' available for sale securities portfolio.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, we recognized a net increase of \$21 million in our deferred tax asset valuation allowance associated with certain foreign subsidiaries and state jurisdictions, primarily attributable to current year activity.

The following table presents the net deferred tax assets (liabilities) at December 31, 2018 and 2017 on a U.S. GAAP basis:

December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017
Net U.S. consolidated return group deferred tax assets	\$ 15,479	\$ 15,603
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities) in accumulated other comprehensive income	(510)	(2,070)
Valuation allowance	(405)	(86)
Subtotal	14,564	13,447
Net foreign, state and local deferred tax assets	2,031	1,874
Valuation allowance	(1,374)	(1,288)
Subtotal	657	586
Subtotal - Net U.S., foreign, state and local deferred tax assets	15,221	14,033
Net foreign, state and local deferred tax liabilities	(169)	(220)
Total AIG net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$ 15,052	\$ 13,813

DEFERRED TAX ASSET VALUATION ALLOWANCE OF U.S. CONSOLIDATED FEDERAL INCOME TAX GROUP

At December 31, 2018 and 2017, our U.S. consolidated income tax group had net deferred tax assets after valuation allowance of \$14.6 billion and \$13.4 billion, respectively. At December 31, 2018 and 2017, our U.S. consolidated income tax group had valuation allowances of \$405 million and \$86 million, respectively.

DEFERRED TAX ASSET — FOREIGN, STATE AND LOCAL

At December 31, 2018 and 2017, we had net deferred tax assets (liabilities) of \$488 million and \$366 million, respectively, related to foreign subsidiaries, state and local tax jurisdictions, and certain domestic subsidiaries that file separate tax returns.

At December 31, 2018 and 2017, we had deferred tax asset valuation allowances of \$1.4 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively, related to foreign subsidiaries, state and local tax jurisdictions, and certain domestic subsidiaries that file separate tax returns. We maintained these valuation allowances following our conclusion that we could not demonstrate that it was more likely than not that the related deferred tax assets will be realized. This was primarily due to factors such as cumulative losses in recent years and the inability to demonstrate profits within the specific jurisdictions over the relevant carryforward periods.

TAX EXAMINATIONS AND LITIGATION

We file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return with our eligible U.S. subsidiaries. Income earned by subsidiaries operating outside the U.S. is taxed, and income tax expense is recorded, based on applicable U.S. and foreign law.

The statute of limitations for all tax years prior to 2000 has expired for our consolidated federal income tax return. We are currently under examination for the tax years 2000 through 2013.

On March 20, 2008, we received a Statutory Notice of Deficiency (Notice) from the IRS for years 1997 to 1999. The Notice asserted that we owe additional taxes and penalties for these years primarily due to the disallowance of foreign tax credits associated with cross-border financing transactions. The transactions that are the subject of the Notice extend beyond the period covered by the Notice, and the IRS has administratively challenged the later periods. The IRS has also administratively challenged other cross-border transactions in later years. We have paid the assessed tax plus interest and penalties for 1997 to 1999. On February 26, 2009, we filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (Southern District) seeking a refund of approximately \$306 million in taxes, interest and penalties paid with respect to the 1997 taxable year. We allege that the IRS improperly disallowed foreign tax credits and that our taxable income should be reduced as a result of the 2005 restatement of our consolidated financial statements.

We also filed an administrative refund claim on September 9, 2010 for our 1998 and 1999 tax years.

On August 1, 2012, we filed a motion for partial summary judgment related to the disallowance of foreign tax credits associated with cross border financing transactions in the Southern District of New York. The Southern District of New York denied our summary judgment motion and upon AIG's appeal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (the Second Circuit) affirmed the denial. AIG's petition for certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court from the decision of the Second Circuit was denied on March 7, 2016. As a result, the case has been remanded back to the Southern District of New York for a jury trial.

In January 2018, the parties reached non-binding agreements in principle on issues presented in the dispute and are currently reviewing the computations reflecting the settlement terms. The resolution is not final and is subject to various reviews. The litigation has been stayed pending the outcome of the review process. We can provide no assurance regarding the outcome of any such litigation or whether binding compromised settlements with the parties will ultimately be reached. We currently believe that we have adequate reserves for the potential liabilities that may result from these matters.

ACCOUNTING FOR UNCERTAINTY IN INCOME TAXES

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the total amounts of gross unrecognized tax benefits:

Years Ended December 31,			
(in millions)			
	2018	2017	2016
Gross unrecognized tax benefits, beginning of year	\$ 4,707	\$ 4,530	\$ 4,331
Increases in tax positions for prior years	14	210	235
Decreases in tax positions for prior years	(6)	(33)	(39)
Increases in tax positions for current year	-	-	3
Lapse in statute of limitations	-	-	-
Settlements	(6)	-	-
Activity of discontinued operations	-	-	-
Gross unrecognized tax benefits, end of year	\$ 4,709	\$ 4,707	\$ 4,530

At December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, our unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, were \$4.7 billion, \$4.7 billion and \$4.5 billion, respectively. The activity for the year ended December 31, 2018 is not material. The activity for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, includes increases for amounts associated with cross border financing transactions and the impact of settlement discussions with the IRS related to certain other open tax issues unrelated to the cross border financing transactions. With respect to cross border financing transactions, the increases are the result of consideration of court decisions upholding the disallowance of foreign tax credits claimed by other corporate entities not affiliated with AIG. In addition, the year ended December 31, 2017 reflects the agreement reached in the fourth quarter of 2017 by the parties in the cross border financing dispute to pursue a potential settlement.

At December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, our unrecognized tax benefits related to tax positions that, if recognized, would not affect the effective tax rate because they relate to such factors as the timing, rather than the permissibility, of the deduction were \$38 million, \$28 million and \$66 million, respectively. Accordingly, at December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, the amounts of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective tax rate were \$4.7 billion, \$4.7 billion and \$4.4 billion, respectively.

Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are recognized in income tax expense. At December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, we had accrued liabilities of \$2.2 billion, \$2.0 billion, and \$1.2 billion, respectively, for the payment of interest (net of the federal benefit) and penalties. For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, we accrued expense of \$190 million, \$776 million and \$26 million, respectively, for the payment of interest and penalties. The activity for the period ended December 31, 2017, is primarily related to a decrease in the expected federal benefit of interest due to the U.S. corporate tax rate reduction and to an increase in interest and penalties associated with cross border financing transactions.

We believe it is reasonably possible that our unrecognized tax benefits could decrease within the next 12 months by as much as \$3.9 billion, principally as a result of potential resolutions or settlements of prior years' tax items. The prior years' tax items include unrecognized tax benefits related to the deductibility of certain expenses and matters related to cross border financing transactions.

Listed below are the tax years that remain subject to examination by major tax jurisdictions:

At December 31, 2018	Open Tax Years
Major Tax Jurisdiction	
United States	2000-2017
Australia	2014-2017
France	2017-2018
Japan	2012-2017
Korea	2013-2017
Singapore	2014-2017
United Kingdom	2017-2018

24. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)

(dollars in millions, except per share data)	Three Months Ended							
	March 31,		June 30,		September 30,		December 31,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017
Total revenues	\$ 11,712	\$ 12,632	\$ 11,631	\$ 12,502	\$ 11,486	\$ 11,751	\$ 12,560	\$ 12,635
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes [*]	1,227	1,727	1,252	1,667	(1,527)	(2,803)	(695)	875
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	(1)	-	-	8	(39)	(1)	(2)	(3)
Net income (loss)	949	1,211	931	1,118	(1,259)	(1,713)	(560)	(6,672)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	11	26	(6)	(12)	-	26	62	(12)
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG[*]	\$ 938	\$ 1,185	\$ 937	\$ 1,130	\$ (1,259)	\$ (1,739)	\$ (622)	\$ (6,660)
Income (loss) per common share attributable to AIG:								
Basic:								
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1.03	\$ 1.21	\$ 1.04	\$ 1.21	\$ (1.37)	\$ (1.91)	\$ (0.70)	\$ (7.33)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.04)	\$ -	\$ (0.70)	\$ -
Diluted:								
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1.01	\$ 1.18	\$ 1.02	\$ 1.18	\$ (1.37)	\$ (1.91)	\$ (0.70)	\$ (7.33)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.04)	\$ -	\$ (0.70)	\$ -
Weighted average shares outstanding:								
Basic	907,951,597	980,777,243	903,215,488	925,751,084	895,237,359	908,667,044	887,508,718	908,115,499
Diluted	925,266,577	1,005,315,030	916,572,481	948,248,771	895,237,359	908,667,044	887,508,718	908,115,499
Noteworthy quarterly items - (income) expense:								
Other-than-temporary impairments	87	68	36	67	35	88	93	37
Net (gain) loss on sale of divested businesses	(8)	100	(25)	60	(2)	13	(3)	(241)
Federal and foreign valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	30	(13)	7	(8)	5	24	(21)	40
Net (gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	4	(1)	5	(4)	1	1	(3)	(1)
Prior year unfavorable (favorable) development	(40)	61	(26)	391	949	901	546	212
Restructuring and other costs	24	181	200	47	35	31	136	154
Impact of Tax Act	-	-	-	-	62	-	-	6,687

* For the three-month period ended December 31, 2018, our results include out of period adjustments relating to prior periods that decreased Net income attributable to AIG by \$87 million, and decreased Income from continuing operations before income taxes by \$132 million. The out of period adjustments for the three-month period are primarily related to decreases in Premiums and decreases in Net realized capital gains and losses. We determined that these adjustments were not material to the current quarter or to any previously reported quarterly financial statements. Had these adjustments been recorded in their appropriate periods, Net income attributable to AIG for the three-month period ended September 30, 2018 would have decreased by \$40 million with no impact to the three-month periods ended June 30, 2018 and March 31, 2018, respectively.

25. Information Provided in Connection with Outstanding Debt

The following Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements reflect the results of AIG Life Holdings, Inc. (AIGLH), a holding company and a wholly owned subsidiary of AIG. AIG provides a full and unconditional guarantee of all outstanding debt of AIGLH.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

<i>(in millions)</i>	American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor)	Validus Holdings, Ltd.	AIGLH	Other Subsidiaries	Reclassifications and Eliminations	Consolidated AIG
December 31, 2018						
Assets:						
Short-term investments ^(a)	\$ 1,141	\$ 2	\$ -	\$ 10,329	\$ (1,798)	\$ 9,674
Other investments ^(b)	3,377	-	-	301,158	-	304,535
Total investments	4,518	2	-	311,487	(1,798)	314,209
Cash	2	9	9	2,853	-	2,873
Loans to subsidiaries ^(c)	34,963	-	-	615	(35,578)	-
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries ^(c)	33,300	4,029	26,321	-	(63,650)	-
Other assets, including deferred income taxes ^(d)	15,389	1,798	124	159,430	(1,839)	174,902
Total assets	\$ 88,172	\$ 5,838	\$ 26,454	\$ 474,385	\$ (102,865)	\$ 491,984
Liabilities:						
Insurance liabilities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 293,652	\$ -	\$ 293,652
Long-term debt	22,422	359	643	11,116	-	34,540
Other liabilities, including intercompany balances ^(b)	8,774	228	144	100,974	(3,637)	106,483
Loans from subsidiaries ^(c)	615	-	-	34,963	(35,578)	-
Total liabilities	31,811	587	787	440,705	(39,215)	434,675
Total AIG shareholders' equity	56,361	5,251	25,667	32,732	(63,650)	56,361
Non-redeemable noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	948	-	948
Total equity	56,361	5,251	25,667	33,680	(63,650)	57,309
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 88,172	\$ 5,838	\$ 26,454	\$ 474,385	\$ (102,865)	\$ 491,984
December 31, 2017						
Assets:						
Short-term investments ^(a)	\$ 2,541	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,559	\$ (3,714)	\$ 10,386
Other investments ^(b)	6,004	-	-	305,902	-	311,906
Total investments	8,545	-	-	317,461	(3,714)	322,292
Cash	3	-	20	2,339	-	2,362
Loans to subsidiaries ^(c)	35,004	-	-	517	(35,521)	-
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries ^(c)	40,135	-	30,359	-	(70,494)	-
Other assets, including deferred income taxes ^(d)	16,016	-	170	159,594	(2,133)	173,647
Total assets	\$ 99,703	\$ -	\$ 30,549	\$ 479,911	\$ (111,862)	\$ 498,301
Liabilities:						
Insurance liabilities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 282,105	\$ -	\$ 282,105
Long-term debt	21,557	-	642	9,441	-	31,640
Other liabilities, including intercompany balances ^(b)	12,458	-	143	112,275	(6,028)	118,848
Loans from subsidiaries ^(c)	517	-	-	35,004	(35,521)	-
Total liabilities	34,532	-	785	438,825	(41,549)	432,593
Total AIG shareholders' equity	65,171	-	29,764	40,549	(70,313)	65,171
Non-redeemable noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	537	-	537
Total equity	65,171	-	29,764	41,086	(70,313)	65,708
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 99,703	\$ -	\$ 30,549	\$ 479,911	\$ (111,862)	\$ 498,301

(a) At December 31, 2018, includes restricted cash of \$124 million and \$18 million for American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) and Other Subsidiaries, respectively. At December 31, 2017, includes restricted cash of \$4 million and \$54 million for American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) and Other Subsidiaries, respectively.

(b) Includes intercompany derivative positions, which are reported at fair value before credit valuation adjustment.

(c) Eliminated in consolidation.

(d) At December 31, 2018, includes restricted cash of \$1 million and \$342 million for American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) and Other Subsidiaries, respectively. At December 31, 2017, includes restricted cash of \$1 million and \$316 million for American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) and Other Subsidiaries, respectively.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)

<i>(in millions)</i>	American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor)	Validus Holdings, Ltd.	AIGLH	Other Subsidiaries	Reclassifications and Eliminations	Consolidated AIG
Year Ended December 31, 2018						
Revenues:						
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries *	\$ (580)	\$ (240)	\$ 2,847	\$ -	\$ (2,027)	\$ -
Other income	938	23	1	46,506	(79)	47,389
Total revenues	358	(217)	2,848	46,506	(2,106)	47,389
Expenses:						
Interest expense	954	18	50	302	(15)	1,309
Loss on extinguishment of debt	-	-	-	7	-	7
Other expenses	803	27	3	45,048	(65)	45,816
Total expenses	1,757	45	53	45,357	(80)	47,132
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)	(1,399)	(262)	2,795	1,149	(2,026)	257
Income tax expense (benefit)	(1,433)	-	39	1,548	-	154
Income (loss) from continuing operations	34	(262)	2,756	(399)	(2,026)	103
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	(40)	-	-	(2)	-	(42)
Net income (loss)	(6)	(262)	2,756	(401)	(2,026)	61
Less:						
Net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	67	-	67
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$ (6)	\$ (262)	\$ 2,756	\$ (468)	\$ (2,026)	\$ (6)
Year Ended December 31, 2017						
Revenues:						
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries *	\$ (149)	\$ -	\$ 1,978	\$ -	\$ (1,829)	\$ -
Other income	891	-	-	48,802	(173)	49,520
Total revenues	742	-	1,978	48,802	(2,002)	49,520
Expenses:						
Interest expense	949	-	50	176	(7)	1,168
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	2	-	-	(7)	-	(5)
Other expenses	952	-	2	46,116	(179)	46,891
Total expenses	1,903	-	52	46,285	(186)	48,054
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)	(1,161)	-	1,926	2,517	(1,816)	1,466
Income tax expense (benefit)	4,922	-	(3)	2,607	-	7,526
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(6,083)	-	1,929	(90)	(1,816)	(6,060)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	(1)	-	-	5	-	4
Net income (loss)	(6,084)	-	1,929	(85)	(1,816)	(6,056)
Less:						
Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	28	-	28
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$ (6,084)	\$ -	\$ 1,929	\$ (113)	\$ (1,816)	\$ (6,084)

<i>(in millions)</i>	American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor)	Validus Holdings, Ltd.	AIGLH	Other Subsidiaries	Reclassifications and Eliminations	Consolidated AIG
Year Ended December 31, 2016						
Revenues:						
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries *	\$ (1,269)	\$ -	\$ (197)	\$ -	\$ 1,466	\$ -
Other income	516	-	5	52,875	(1,029)	52,367
Total revenues	(753)	-	(192)	52,875	437	52,367
Expenses:						
Interest expense	988	-	51	227	(6)	1,260
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	77	-	-	(3)	-	74
Other expenses	295	-	16	51,819	(1,023)	51,107
Total expenses	1,360	-	67	52,043	(1,029)	52,441
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)	(2,113)	-	(259)	832	1,466	(74)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(1,301)	-	(21)	1,507	-	185
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(812)	-	(238)	(675)	1,466	(259)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	(37)	-	-	(53)	-	(90)
Net income (loss)	(849)	-	(238)	(728)	1,466	(349)
Less:						
Net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	500	-	500
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$ (849)	\$ -	\$ (238)	\$ (1,228)	\$ 1,466	\$ (849)

* Eliminated in consolidation.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

<i>(in millions)</i>	American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor)	Validus Holdings, Ltd.	AIGLH	Other Subsidiaries	Reclassifications and Eliminations	Consolidated AIG
Year Ended December 31, 2018						
Net income (loss)	\$ (6)	\$ (262)	\$ 2,756	\$ (401)	\$ (2,026)	\$ 61
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(6,302)	-	2,508	12,298	(14,797)	(6,293)
Comprehensive income (loss)	(6,308)	(262)	5,264	11,897	(16,823)	(6,232)
Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	76	-	76
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$ (6,308)	\$ (262)	\$ 5,264	\$ 11,821	\$ (16,823)	\$ (6,308)
Year Ended December 31, 2017						
Net income (loss)	\$ (6,084)	\$ -	\$ 1,929	\$ (85)	\$ (1,816)	\$ (6,056)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	2,235	-	7,851	17,857	(25,708)	2,235
Comprehensive income (loss)	(3,849)	-	9,780	17,772	(27,524)	(3,821)
Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	28	-	28
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$ (3,849)	\$ -	\$ 9,780	\$ 17,744	\$ (27,524)	\$ (3,849)
Year Ended December 31, 2016						
Net income (loss)	\$ (849)	\$ -	\$ (238)	\$ (728)	\$ 1,466	\$ (349)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	693	-	4,080	52,153	(56,233)	693
Comprehensive income (loss)	(156)	-	3,842	51,425	(54,767)	344
Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	500	-	500
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$ (156)	\$ -	\$ 3,842	\$ 50,925	\$ (54,767)	\$ (156)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

<i>(in millions)</i>	American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor)	Validus Holdings, Ltd.	AIGLH	Other Subsidiaries	Reclassifications and Eliminations	Consolidated AIG
Year Ended December 31, 2018						
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ 1,256	\$ 656	\$ 2,445	\$ 1,651	\$ (5,947)	\$ 61
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Sales of investments	5,587	13	-	59,918	(3,206)	62,312
Sales of divested businesses, net	-	-	-	10	-	10
Purchase of investments	(1,980)	-	-	(59,778)	3,206	(58,552)
Loans to subsidiaries – net	868	-	-	(90)	(778)	-
Contributions from (to) subsidiaries - net	1	-	-	-	(1)	-
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash and restricted cash acquired	(5,475)	112	-	(354)	-	(5,717)
Net change in short-term investments	1,533	-	-	(9)	-	1,524
Other, net	(73)	-	-	273	-	200
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	461	125	-	(30)	(779)	(223)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Issuance of long-term debt	2,470	-	-	2,264	-	4,734
Repayments of long-term debt	(1,493)	(350)	-	(1,829)	-	(3,672)
Purchase of common stock	(1,739)	-	-	-	-	(1,739)
Intercompany loans - net	90	-	-	(868)	778	-
Cash dividends paid	(1,138)	(6)	(2,456)	(3,485)	5,947	(1,138)
Other, net	212	(416)	-	2,812	1	2,609
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(1,598)	(772)	(2,456)	(1,106)	6,726	794
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and restricted cash	-	-	-	(11)	-	(11)
Change in cash and restricted cash	119	9	(11)	504	-	621
Cash and restricted cash at beginning of year	8	-	20	2,709	-	2,737
Cash and restricted cash at end of year	\$ 127	\$ 9	\$ 9	\$ 3,213	\$ -	\$ 3,358
Year Ended December 31, 2017						
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ 36	\$ -	\$ 1,413	\$ (6,659)	\$ (2,608)	\$ (7,818)
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Sales of investments	5,821	-	-	74,477	(3,758)	76,540
Sales of divested businesses, net	40	-	-	752	-	792
Purchase of investments	(2,465)	-	-	(64,539)	3,758	(63,246)
Loans to subsidiaries – net	199	-	-	63	(262)	-
Contributions from (to) subsidiaries - net	2,446	-	-	-	(2,446)	-
Net change in short-term investments	1,994	-	-	104	-	2,098
Other, net	(183)	-	(5)	(1,955)	-	(2,143)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	7,852	-	(5)	8,902	(2,708)	14,041
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Issuance of long-term debt	1,505	-	-	1,851	-	3,356
Repayments of long-term debt	(1,724)	-	-	(1,974)	-	(3,698)
Purchase of common stock	(6,275)	-	-	-	-	(6,275)
Intercompany loans - net	(63)	-	-	(199)	262	-
Cash dividends paid	(1,172)	-	(1,422)	(1,186)	2,608	(1,172)
Other, net	(154)	-	-	(200)	2,446	2,092
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(7,883)	-	(1,422)	(1,708)	5,316	(5,697)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and restricted cash	-	-	-	(29)	-	(29)
Change in cash and restricted cash	5	-	(14)	506	-	497
Cash and restricted cash at beginning of year	3	-	34	2,070	-	2,107
Change in cash of businesses held for sale	-	-	-	133	-	133
Cash and restricted cash at end of year	\$ 8	\$ -	\$ 20	\$ 2,709	\$ -	\$ 2,737

<i>(in millions)</i>	American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor)	Validus Holdings, Ltd.	AIGLH	Other Subsidiaries	Reclassifications and Eliminations	Consolidated AIG
Year Ended December 31, 2016						
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ 2,112	\$ -	\$ 1,707	\$ 3,634	\$ (3,951)	\$ 3,502
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Sales of investments	5,769	-	-	80,453	(11,685)	74,537
Sales of divested businesses, net	2,160	-	-	649	-	2,809
Purchase of investments	(1,002)	-	-	(80,668)	11,685	(69,985)
Loans to subsidiaries – net	1,525	-	-	(3)	(1,522)	-
Contributions from (to) subsidiaries - net	1,637	-	-	-	(1,637)	-
Net change in short-term investments	(1,170)	-	-	(1,919)	-	(3,089)
Other, net	(141)	-	-	(879)	-	(1,020)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	8,778	-	-	(2,367)	(3,159)	3,252
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Issuance of long-term debt	3,831	-	-	2,123	-	5,954
Repayments of long-term debt	(1,996)	-	(63)	(2,023)	-	(4,082)
Purchase of common stock	(11,460)	-	-	-	-	(11,460)
Intercompany loans - net	3	-	(3)	(1,522)	1,522	-
Cash dividends paid	(1,372)	-	(1,723)	(2,228)	3,951	(1,372)
Other, net	(309)	-	-	2,799	1,637	4,127
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(11,303)	-	(1,789)	(851)	7,110	(6,833)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and restricted cash	-	-	-	55	-	55
Change in cash and restricted cash	(413)	-	(82)	471	-	(24)
Cash and restricted cash at beginning of year	416	-	116	1,706	-	2,238
Change in cash of businesses held for sale	-	-	-	(107)	-	(107)
Cash and restricted cash at end of year	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ 34	\$ 2,070	\$ -	\$ 2,107

SUPPLEMENTARY DISCLOSURE OF CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING CASH FLOW INFORMATION

<i>(in millions)</i>	American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor)	Validus Holdings, Ltd.	AIGLH	Other Subsidiaries	Reclassifications and Eliminations	Consolidated AIG
Year Ended December 31, 2018						
Cash	\$ 2	\$ 9	\$ 9	\$ 2,853	\$ -	\$ 2,873
Restricted cash included in Short-term investments	124	-	-	18	-	142
Restricted cash included in Other assets	1	-	-	342	-	343
Total cash and restricted cash shown in the Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows	\$ 127	\$ 9	\$ 9	\$ 3,213	\$ -	\$ 3,358
Cash (paid) received during the year ended						
December 31, 2018 for:						
Interest:						
Third party	\$ (914)	\$ (17)	\$ (48)	\$ (333)	\$ -	\$ (1,312)
Intercompany	1	-	(1)	-	-	-
Taxes:						
Income tax authorities	\$ (32)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (122)	\$ -	\$ (154)
Intercompany	895	-	-	(895)	-	-
Year Ended December 31, 2017						
Cash	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ 20	\$ 2,339	\$ -	\$ 2,362
Restricted cash included in Short-term investments	4	-	-	54	-	58
Restricted cash included in Other assets	1	-	-	316	-	317
Total cash and restricted cash shown in the Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows	\$ 8	\$ -	\$ 20	\$ 2,709	\$ -	\$ 2,737
Cash (paid) received during the year ended						
December 31, 2017 for:						
Interest:						
Third party	\$ (948)	\$ -	\$ (48)	\$ (286)	\$ -	\$ (1,282)
Intercompany	-	-	(1)	1	-	-
Taxes:						
Income tax authorities	\$ (329)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (215)	\$ -	\$ (544)
Intercompany	614	-	-	(614)	-	-
Year Ended December 31, 2016						
Cash	\$ 2	\$ -	\$ 34	\$ 1,832	\$ -	\$ 1,868
Restricted cash included in Short-term investments	-	-	-	46	-	46
Restricted cash included in Other assets	1	-	-	192	-	193
Total cash and restricted cash shown in the Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ 34	\$ 2,070	\$ -	\$ 2,107
Cash (paid) received during the year ended						
December 31, 2016 for:						
Interest:						
Third party	\$ (975)	\$ -	\$ (52)	\$ (304)	\$ -	\$ (1,331)
Intercompany	2	-	-	(2)	-	-
Taxes:						
Income tax authorities	\$ (15)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (478)	\$ -	\$ (493)
Intercompany	479	-	-	(479)	-	-

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC. (AS GUARANTOR) SUPPLEMENTARY DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH ACTIVITIES:

Years Ended December 31, <i>(in millions)</i>	2018	2017	2016
Intercompany non-cash financing and investing activities:			
Capital contributions	\$ 2,369	\$ 259	\$ 3,245
Dividends received in the form of securities	745	735	5,234
Return of capital	2,706	26	-
Fixed maturity securities received in exchange for equity securities	-	-	440
Non-cash financing/investing activities:			
Non-cash consideration received from sale of United Guaranty	-	-	1,101

26. Subsequent Events**DIVIDENDS DECLARED AND INCREASE IN SHARE REPURCHASE AUTHORIZATION**

On February 13, 2019, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend on AIG Common Stock of \$0.32 per share, payable on March 29, 2019 to shareholders of record on March 15, 2019.

On February 13, 2019, our Board of Directors authorized an additional increase to its previous repurchase authorization of AIG Common Stock of \$1.5 billion, resulting in an aggregate remaining authorization on such date of approximately \$2.0 billion.

Part II

ITEM 9 | Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

ITEM 9A | Controls and Procedures

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In connection with the preparation of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, an evaluation, which excluded the impact of the acquisitions of Validus Holdings and Glatfelter Insurance Group (collectively, the Acquired Businesses), was carried out by AIG management, with the participation of AIG's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act), as of December 31, 2018. Based on this evaluation, AIG's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2018.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management of AIG is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. AIG's internal control over financial reporting is a process, under the supervision of AIG's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of AIG's financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

AIG management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018 based on the criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

AIG management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2018, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on the criteria articulated in the 2013 *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We excluded the Acquired Businesses from our assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018 because they were acquired by the Company in purchase business combinations during 2018. The Acquired Businesses combined represented approximately 2 percent of consolidated assets at December 31, 2018 and approximately 3 percent of consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2018.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Other than integrating the Acquired Businesses, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2018 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part III

ITEM 10 | [Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance](#)

All information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of this Form 10-K is incorporated by reference from the definitive proxy statement for AIG's 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A.

ITEM 11 | [Executive Compensation](#)

See Item 10 herein.

ITEM 12 | [Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters](#)

See Item 10 herein.

ITEM 13 | [Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence](#)

See Item 10 herein.

ITEM 14 | [Principal Accounting Fees and Services](#)

See Item 10 herein.

Part IV

ITEM 15 | [Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules](#)

(a) Financial Statements and Schedules. *See accompanying Index to Financial Statements.*

(b) Exhibits. *See accompanying Exhibit Index.*

ITEM 16 | [Form 10-K Summary](#)

None.

Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Description	Location
2	Plan of acquisition, reorganization, arrangement, liquidation or succession	
	(1) Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of August 15, 2016 between American International Group, Inc. and Arch Capital Group Ltd.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 16, 2016 (File No. 1-8787).
	(2) First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 29, 2016 between American International Group, Inc. and Arch Capital Group Ltd.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.51 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (File No. 1-8787).
	(3) Agreement and Plan of Merger, by and among AIG, Venus Holdings Limited and Validus Holdings, Ltd., dated January 21, 2018	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 22, 2018 (File No. 1-8787).
3	Articles of incorporation and by-laws	
3(i)	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of AIG	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 28, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
3(ii)	AIG By-laws, amended November 16, 2015	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 16, 2015 (File No. 1-8787).
4	Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures	Certain instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt securities of AIG and its subsidiaries are omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K. AIG hereby undertakes to furnish to the Commission, upon request, copies of any such instruments.
	(1) Warrant Agreement (including Form of Warrant), dated as of January 6, 2011, between AIG and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Warrant Agent	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 7, 2011 (File No. 1-8787).
	(2) Tax Asset Protection Plan, dated as of March 9, 2011, between AIG and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Rights Agent, including as Exhibit A the forms of Rights Certificate and of Election to Exercise	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 9, 2011 (File No. 1-8787).
	(3) Amendment No. 1, dated as of January 8, 2014, to Tax Asset Protection Plan, between AIG and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Rights Agent	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 8, 2014 (File No. 1-8787).
	(4) Amendment No. 2, dated as of December 14, 2016, to Tax Asset Protection Plan, between AIG and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Rights Agent	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 14, 2016 (File No. 1-8787).
9	Voting Trust Agreement	None.
10	Material contracts	
	(1) AIG Amended and Restated Executive Severance Plan*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 26, 2008 (File No. 1-8787).
	(2) AIG Amended and Restated 2007 Stock Incentive Plan*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.62 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 1-8787).
	(3) AIG Amended and Restated Form of Non-Employee Director Deferred Stock Units Award Agreement*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.69 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (File No. 1-8787).

(4) Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 27, 2017, among AIG, the subsidiary borrowers party thereto, the lenders party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and each Several L/C Agent party thereto	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 27, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(5) American International Group, Inc. 2010 Stock Incentive Plan*	Incorporated by reference to AIG's Definitive Proxy Statement, dated April 12, 2010 (Filed No. 1-8787).
(6) AIG Amended Form of 2010 Stock Incentive Plan DSU Award Agreement*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (File No. 1-8787).
(7) Release and Restrictive Covenant Agreement between AIG and Peter Hancock*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 8, 2010 (File No. 1-8787).
(8) Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Agreement between AIG and Peter Hancock, dated February 8, 2010*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 8, 2010 (File No. 1-8787).
(9) Letter Agreement, dated August 14, 2013, between AIG and Kevin Hogan*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 (File No. 1-8787).
(10) Non-Solicitation and Non-Disclosure Agreement, dated August 14, 2013, between AIG and Kevin Hogan*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 (File No. 1-8787).
(11) Introductory Bonus Agreement, dated August 14, 2013, between AIG and Kevin Hogan*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 (File No. 1-8787).
(12) Executive Officer Form of Release and Restrictive Covenant Agreement*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2016 (File No. 1-8787).
(13) AIG Non-Qualified Retirement Income Plan (as amended)*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2015 (File No. 1-8787).
(14) Master Transaction Agreement, dated as of April 19, 2011, by and among American Home Assurance Company, Chartis Casualty Company (f/k/a American International South Insurance Company), Chartis Property Casualty Company (f/k/a AIG Casualty Company), Commerce and Industry Insurance Company, Granite State Insurance Company, Illinois National Insurance Co., National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa., New Hampshire Insurance Company, The Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania, Chartis Select Insurance Company (f/k/a AIG Excess Liability Insurance Company Ltd.), Chartis Specialty Insurance Company (f/k/a American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company), Landmark Insurance Company, Lexington Insurance Company, AIU Insurance Company, American International Reinsurance Company, Ltd. and American Home Assurance Company, National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa., New Hampshire Insurance Company and Chartis Overseas Limited acting as members of the Chartis Overseas Association as respects business written or assumed by or from affiliated companies of Chartis Inc. (collectively, the Reinsureds), Eaglestone Reinsurance Company and National Indemnity Company	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 (File No. 1-8787).

(15) AIG 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan (as amended)*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 (File No. 1-8787).
(16) Form of 2015 Performance Share Units Award Agreement*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 (File No. 1-8787).
(17) AIG Clawback Policy*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 27, 2013 (File No. 1-8787).
(18) AIG 2013 Short-Term Incentive Plan*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 (File No. 1-8787).
(19) Form of 2013 Short-Term Incentive Plan Award Letter*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 27, 2013 (File No. 1-8787).
(20) AIG Annual Short-Term Incentive Plan (as amended)*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 on AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (File No. 1-8787).
(21) AIG 2013 Omnibus Incentive Plan*	Incorporated by reference to Appendix B in AIG's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, dated April 4, 2013 (File No. 1-8787).
(22) AIG 2012 Executive Severance Plan (as amended)*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2016 (File No. 1-8787).
(23) Form of AIG 2013 Omnibus Incentive Plan Non-Employee Director DSU Award Agreement*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (File No. 1-8787).
(24) Aggregate Excess of Loss Reinsurance Agreement, dated January 20, 2017, by and between AIG Assurance Company, AIG Property Casualty Company, AIG Specialty Insurance Company, AIU Insurance Company, American Home Assurance Company, Commerce and Industry Insurance Company, Granite State Insurance Company, Illinois National Insurance Co., Lexington Insurance Company, National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa., New Hampshire Insurance Company and The Insurance Company Of The State Of Pennsylvania and National Indemnity Company (portions of this exhibit have been redacted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment).	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 14, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(25) Trust Agreement, dated January 20, 2017, by and among National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa., National Indemnity Company, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (portions of this exhibit have been redacted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment).	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 14, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(26) Parental Guarantee Agreement, dated January 20, 2017, by Berkshire Hathaway Inc. in favor of National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 14, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(27) AIG Long Term Incentive Plan*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 17, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).

(28) Form of AIG Long Term Incentive Award Agreement*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 17, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(29) Letter Agreement between American International Group, Inc. and Peter D. Hancock, dated March 17, 2017*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 17, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(30) Letter Agreement, dated November 3, 2010, between AIG and Siddhartha Sankaran*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(31) Non-Competition, Non-Solicitation and Non-Disclosure Agreement, dated November 5, 2010, between AIG and Siddhartha Sankaran*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(32) Letter Agreement, dated July 22, 2015, between AIG and Douglas A. Dachille*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(33) Non-Solicitation and Non-Disclosure Agreement, dated July 22, 2015, between AIG and Douglas A. Dachille*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(34) Letter Agreement, dated May 14, 2017, between American International Group, Inc. and Brian Duperreault*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 15, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(35) Form of Stock Option Award Agreement, between American International Group, Inc. and Brian Duperreault*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 15, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(36) Letter Agreement, dated July 3, 2017, between American International Group, Inc. and Peter Zaffino*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 6, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(37) Non-Solicitation and Non-Disclosure Agreement, dated July 5, 2017, between American International Group, Inc. and Peter Zaffino*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 6, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(38) Form of Stock Option Award Agreement, between American International Group, Inc. and Peter Zaffino*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 6, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(39) Form of Long Term Incentive Stock Option Award Agreement*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 (File No. 1-8787).
(40) AIG Long Term Incentive Plan (as amended)*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2018 (File No. 1-8787).
(41) Description of Non-Management Director Compensation*	Incorporated by reference to "Compensation of Directors" in AIG's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, dated March 27, 2018 (File No. 1-8787).
(42) AIG Long Term Incentive Plan (as amended and restated)*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2018 (File No. 1-8787).
(43) Release and Restrictive Covenant Agreement between AIG and Siddhartha Sankaran, dated December 7, 2018*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 7, 2018 (File No. 1-8787).

	(44) Letter Agreement, dated May 10, 2018, between AIG and Mark Lyons*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K/A, Amendment No. 1, filed with the SEC on December 14, 2018 (File No. 1-8787).
	(45) Non-Solicitation and Non-Disclosure Agreement, dated May 13, 2018, between AIG and Mark Lyons*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K/A, Amendment No. 1, filed with the SEC on December 14, 2018 (File No. 1-8787).
21	Subsidiaries of Registrant	Filed herewith.
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Filed herewith.
24	Powers of attorney	Included on signature page and filed herewith.
31	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications	Filed herewith.
32	Section 1350 Certifications**	Filed herewith.
99.02	Securities Registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act	Filed herewith.
101	Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income for the three years ended December 31, 2018, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Equity for the three years ended December 31, 2018, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three years ended December 31, 2018, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three years ended December 31, 2018 and (vi) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.	Filed herewith.

* This exhibit is a management contract or a compensatory plan or arrangement.

** This information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 15th of February, 2019.

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

By /S/ BRIAN DUPERREULT
(Brian Duperreault, President and Chief Executive Officer)

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Brian Duperreault and Mark D. Lyons, and each of them severally, his or her true and lawful attorney-in-fact, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, to sign in his or her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to do any and all things and execute any and all instruments that such attorney may deem necessary or advisable under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and any rules, regulations and requirements of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with this Annual Report on Form 10-K and any and all amendments hereto, as fully for all intents and purposes as he or she might or could do in person, and hereby ratifies and confirms all said attorneys-in-fact and agents, each acting alone, and his or her substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 15th of February, 2019.

SIGNATURE	TITLE
/S/ BRIAN DUPERREAUULT (Brian Duperreault)	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)
/S/ MARK D. LYONS (Mark D. Lyons)	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
/S/ JONATHAN WISMER (Jonathan Wismer)	Senior Vice President – Deputy Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)
/S/ W. DON CORNWELL (W. Don Cornwell)	Director
/S/ JOHN H. FITZPATRICK (John H. Fitzpatrick)	Director
/S/ WILLIAM G. JURGENSEN (William G. Jurgensen)	Director
/S/ CHRISTOPHER S. LYNCH (Christopher S. Lynch)	Director
/S/ HENRY S. MILLER (Henry S. Miller)	Director
/S/ LINDA A. MILLS (Linda A. Mills)	Director
/S/ THOMAS F. MOTAMED (Thomas F. Motamed)	Director
/S/ SUZANNE NORA JOHNSON (Suzanne Nora Johnson)	Director
/S/ RONALD A. RITTENMEYER (Ronald A. Rittenmeyer)	Director
/S/ DOUGLAS M. STEENLAND (Douglas M. Steenland)	Director
/S/ THERESA M. STONE (Theresa M. Stone)	Director

Summary of Investments — Other than Investments in Related Parties

Schedule I

At December 31, 2018	Cost ^(a)		Fair Value	Amount at which shown in the Balance Sheet
(in millions)				
Fixed maturities:				
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$	5,835	\$	\$
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions		15,421		5,925
Non-U.S. governments		14,421		16,001
Public utilities		14,421		14,570
All other corporate debt securities		17,431		14,570
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized		17,431		17,628
Total fixed maturity securities		114,676		114,743
Equity securities and mutual funds:		69,411		71,939
Common stock:		237,195		240,806
Public utilities		1		1
Banks, trust and insurance companies		784		1
Industrial, miscellaneous and all other		320		784
Total common stock		1,105		320
Preferred stock		13		13
Mutual funds		135		135
Total equity securities and mutual funds^(b)		1,253		1,253
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of allowance		43,135		43,135
Other invested assets		19,938		19,341
Short-term investments, at cost (approximates fair value)		9,674		9,674
Derivative assets^(c)		916		916
Total investments	\$	312,111	\$	\$
				315,125

(a) Original cost of fixed maturities is reduced by other-than-temporary impairment charges and by repayments and adjusted for amortization of premiums or accretion of discounts.

(b) As a result of the adoption of the Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement Standard on January 1, 2018, equity securities are no longer classified and accounted for as available for sale securities.

(c) The balance is reported in Other assets.

Condensed Financial Information of Registrant

Balance Sheets — Parent Company Only

Schedule II

December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017
Assets:		
Short-term investments ^(a)	\$ 1,141	\$ 2,541
Other investments	3,377	6,004
Total investments	4,518	8,545
Cash	2	3
Loans to subsidiaries ^(b)	34,963	35,004
Due from affiliates - net ^(b)	1,206	1,585
Intercompany tax receivable ^(b)	3,053	3,058
Deferred income taxes	10,747	11,030
Investments in consolidated subsidiaries ^(b)	33,300	40,135
Other assets ^(c)	383	343
Total assets	\$ 88,172	\$ 99,703
Liabilities:		
Due to affiliate ^(b)	\$ 2,329	\$ 4,340
Intercompany tax payable ^(b)	2,954	4,577
Deferred tax liabilities	-	-
Notes and bonds payable	20,853	20,339
Junior subordinated debt	1,548	841
MIP notes payable	-	356
Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable	21	21
Loans from subsidiaries ^(b)	615	517
Other liabilities (includes intercompany derivative liabilities of \$105 in 2018 and \$63 in 2017)	3,491	3,541
Total liabilities	31,811	34,532
AIG Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock	4,766	4,766
Treasury stock	(49,144)	(47,595)
Additional paid-in capital	81,268	81,078
Retained earnings	20,884	21,457
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(1,413)	5,465
Total AIG shareholders' equity	56,361	65,171
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 88,172	\$ 99,703

(a) At December 31, 2018 and 2017, included restricted cash of \$124 million and \$4 million, respectively.

(b) Eliminated in consolidation.

(c) At December 31, 2018 and 2017, included restricted cash of \$1 million and \$1 million, respectively.

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Information of Registrant.

Condensed Financial Information of Registrant (Continued)

Statements of Income — Parent Company Only

Schedule II

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Revenues:			
Equity in undistributed net income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries ^(a)	\$ (5,160)	\$ (2,375)	\$ (8,633)
Dividend income from consolidated subsidiaries ^(a)	4,580	2,226	7,364
Interest income ^(b)	961	656	411
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(49)	46	2
Other income	26	189	103
Expenses:			
Interest expense	954	949	988
Net loss on extinguishment of debt	-	2	77
Net (gain) loss on sale of divested businesses ^(c)	3	30	(690)
Other expenses	800	922	985
Loss from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)	(1,399)	(1,161)	(2,113)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(1,433)	4,922	(1,301)
Net income (loss)	34	(6,083)	(812)
Loss from discontinued operations	(40)	(1)	(37)
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG Parent Company	\$ (6)	\$ (6,084)	\$ (849)

(a) Eliminated in consolidation.

(b) Includes interest income on intercompany borrowings of \$840 million, \$512 million and \$294 million on December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively, eliminated in consolidation.

(c) Primarily includes pre-tax gain of \$697 million on the sale of United Guaranty on December 31, 2016.

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Information of Registrant.

Condensed Financial Information of Registrant (Continued)

Statements of Comprehensive Income — Parent Company Only

Schedule II

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Net income	\$ (6)	\$ (6,084)	\$ (849)
Other comprehensive income	(6,302)	2,235	693
Total comprehensive loss attributable to AIG	\$ (6,308)	\$ (3,849)	\$ (156)

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Information of Registrant.

Condensed Financial Information of Registrant (Continued)

Statements of Cash Flows — Parent Company Only

Schedule II

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 1,256	\$ 36	\$ 2,112
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Sales and maturities of investments	5,587	5,714	5,598
Sales of divested businesses	-	40	2,160
Purchase of investments	(1,980)	(2,465)	(1,002)
Net change in short-term investments	1,533	1,994	(1,170)
Contributions to subsidiaries - net	1	2,446	1,637
Acquisition of business	(5,475)	-	-
Mortgage and other loan receivables - originations and purchases	-	-	(85)
Payments received on mortgages and other loan receivables	-	107	171
Loans to subsidiaries - net	868	199	1,525
Other, net	(73)	(183)	(56)
Net cash provided by investing activities	461	7,852	8,778
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Issuance of long-term debt	2,470	1,505	3,831
Repayment of long-term debt	(1,493)	(1,724)	(1,996)
Cash dividends paid	(1,138)	(1,172)	(1,372)
Loans from subsidiaries - net	90	(63)	3
Purchase of Common Stock	(1,739)	(6,275)	(11,460)
Other, net	212	(154)	(309)
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,598)	(7,883)	(11,303)
Change in cash and restricted cash	119	5	(413)
Cash and restricted cash at beginning of year	8	3	416
Cash and restricted cash at end of year	\$ 127	\$ 8	\$ 3

Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information:

(in millions)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Cash	\$ 2	3	2
Restricted cash included in Short-term investments	124	\$ 4	\$ -
Restricted cash included in Other assets	1	1	1
Total cash and restricted cash shown in Statements of Cash Flows — Parent Company Only	\$ 127	\$ 8	\$ 3

Cash (paid) received during the period for:

Interest:

Third party	\$ (914)	\$ (948)	(975)
Intercompany	1	-	2

Taxes:

Income tax authorities	(32)	(329)	(15)
Intercompany	895	614	479

Intercompany non-cash financing and investing activities:

Capital contributions	2,369	259	3,245
Return of capital	2,706	26	-
Dividends received in the form of securities	745	735	5,234
Fixed maturity securities received in exchange for equity securities	-	-	440

Non-cash financing/investing activities

Non-cash consideration received from sale of United Guaranty	-	-	1,101

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Information of Registrant.

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

American International Group, Inc.'s (the Registrant) investments in consolidated subsidiaries are stated at cost plus equity in undistributed income of consolidated subsidiaries. The accompanying condensed financial statements of the Registrant should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of American International Group, Inc. and subsidiaries included in the Registrant's 2018 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018 (Annual Report on Form 10-K) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 15, 2019.

The Registrant includes in its Statement of Income dividends from its subsidiaries and equity in undistributed income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries, which represents the net income (loss) of each of its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

The five-year debt maturity schedule is incorporated by reference from Note 15 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Registrant files a consolidated federal income tax return with certain subsidiaries and acts as an agent for the consolidated tax group when making payments to the Internal Revenue Service. The Registrant and its subsidiaries have adopted, pursuant to a written agreement, a method of allocating consolidated Federal income taxes. Amounts allocated to the subsidiaries under the written agreement are included in Due from affiliates in the accompanying Condensed Balance Sheets.

Income taxes in the accompanying Condensed Balance Sheets are composed of the Registrant's current and deferred tax assets, the consolidated group's current income tax receivable and deferred taxes related to tax attribute carryforwards of AIG's U.S. consolidated income tax group.

For additional information see Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The consolidated U.S. deferred tax asset for net operating loss, capital loss and tax credit carryforwards are recorded by the Parent Company, which files the consolidated U.S. Federal income tax return, and are not allocated to its subsidiaries. Generally, as, and if, the consolidated net operating losses and other tax attribute carryforwards are utilized, the intercompany tax balance will be settled with the subsidiaries.

Supplementary Insurance Information

Schedule III

At December 31, 2018 and 2017

Segment (in millions)		Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	Liability for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses, Future Policy Benefits	Unearned Premiums	Policy and Contract Claims
2018					
General Insurance companies	\$	2,890	\$ 113,696	\$ 19,235	\$ 14
Life and Retirement companies		9,804	13,431	13	843
Other ^(a)		-	1,447	-	7
	\$	12,694	\$ 128,574	\$ 19,248	\$ 864
2017					
General Insurance companies	\$	2,587	\$ 73,530	\$ 18,795	\$ -
Life and Retirement companies		8,407	44,615	-	851
Other ^(a)		-	5,680	235	9
	\$	10,994	\$ 123,825	\$ 19,030	\$ 860

For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016

Segment (in millions)		Premiums and Policy Fees	Net Investment Income	Losses and Loss Expenses Incurred, Benefits	Amortization of Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	Other Operating Expenses	Net Premiums Written ^(b)
2018							
General Insurance	\$	27,505	\$ 2,668	\$ 20,824	\$ 4,596	\$ 5,222	\$ 26,407
Life and Retirement		5,261	7,922	7,692	680	2,412	-
Other Operations ^(a)		39	(439)	357	5	-	199
Legacy Operations		600	2,325	2,293	105	-	-
	\$	33,405	\$ 12,476	\$ 31,166	\$ 5,386	\$ 7,634	\$ 26,606
2017							
General Insurance	\$	26,026	\$ 3,668	\$ 21,642	\$ 3,765	\$ 5,100	\$ 25,438
Life and Retirement		6,844	7,816	8,607	743	2,296	-
Other Operations ^(a)		712	(81)	1,076	(296)	-	314
Legacy Operations		727	2,776	2,239	76	-	4
	\$	34,309	\$ 14,179	\$ 33,564	\$ 4,288	\$ 7,396	\$ 25,756
2016							
General Insurance	\$	29,586	\$ 3,554	\$ 25,103	\$ 4,121	\$ 5,967	\$ 28,393
Life and Retirement		4,878	7,622	6,945	613	2,700	-
Other Operations ^(a)		1,845	(24)	743	(321)	-	819
Legacy Operations		816	2,913	3,351	108	-	21
	\$	37,125	\$ 14,065	\$ 36,142	\$ 4,521	\$ 8,667	\$ 29,233

(a) Includes consolidation and elimination entries.

(b) Balances reflect the segment changes discussed in Note 3 – Segment Information to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Reinsurance

Schedule IV

At December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 and for the years then ended

<i>(in millions)</i>	Gross Amount	Ceded to Other Companies	Assumed from Other Companies	Net Amount	Percent of Amount Assumed to Net
2018					
Long-duration insurance in force	\$ 1,094,774	\$ 228,846	\$ 300	\$ 866,228	- %
Premiums:					
General Insurance companies	\$ 30,335	\$ 7,755	\$ 4,026	\$ 26,606	15.1 %
Life and Retirement companies	3,488	850	405	3,043	13.3
Other	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 33,823	\$ 8,605	\$ 4,431	\$ 29,649	14.9 %
2017					
Long-duration insurance in force	\$ 1,061,095	\$ 202,402	\$ 321	\$ 859,014	- %
Premiums:					
General Insurance companies	\$ 30,205	\$ 7,533	\$ 3,084	\$ 25,756	12.0 %
Life and Retirement companies	5,053	809	285	4,529	6.3
Other	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 35,258	\$ 8,342	\$ 3,369	\$ 30,285	11.1 %
2016					
Long-duration insurance in force	\$ 1,025,653	\$ 174,363	\$ 339	\$ 851,629	- %
Premiums:					
General Insurance companies	\$ 33,970	\$ 7,561	\$ 2,824	\$ 29,233	9.7 %
Life and Retirement companies	4,609	789	123	3,943	3.1
Other	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 38,579	\$ 8,350	\$ 2,947	\$ 33,176	8.9 %

Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Schedule V

For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016

(in millions)	Balance, Beginning of year	Additions		Reclassified to Assets of Businesses Held for Sale	Divested Businesses	Other Changes*	Balance, End of year
		Charged to Costs and Expenses	Charge Offs				
2018							
Allowance for mortgage and other loans receivable	\$ 322	\$ 93	\$ (19)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 397
Allowance for premiums and insurances balances receivable	236	2	(20)	-	-	(2)	216
Allowance for reinsurance assets	187	(8)	(45)	8	-	(2)	140
Federal and foreign valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	1,374	21	-	82	-	302	1,779
2017							
Allowance for mortgage and other loans receivable	\$ 297	\$ 49	\$ (25)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 322
Allowance for premiums and insurances balances receivable	262	36	(58)	-	-	(8)	236
Allowance for reinsurance assets	207	33	(50)	-	-	(3)	187
Federal and foreign valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	2,831	43	-	-	-	(1,500)	1,374
2016							
Allowance for mortgage and other loans receivable	\$ 308	\$ (7)	\$ (15)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 297
Allowance for premiums and insurances balances receivable	333	26	(88)	-	(2)	(7)	262
Allowance for reinsurance assets	272	(23)	(34)	-	(8)	-	207
Federal and foreign valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	3,012	83	-	-	-	(264)	2,831

* Includes recoveries of amounts previously charged off and reclassifications to/from other accounts.

Subsidiaries of Registrant

Exhibit 21

As of December 31, 2018	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization	Percentage of Voting Securities held by Immediate Parent	(1)
American International Group, Inc.	Delaware		(2)
AIG Capital Corporation	Delaware	100	
AIG Global Asset Management Holdings Corp.	Delaware	100	
AIG Asset Management (Europe) Limited	England and Wales	100	
AIG Asset Management (U.S.), LLC	Delaware	100	
AIG Global Real Estate Investment Corp.	Delaware	100	
AIGGRE Europe Real Estate Fund I GP S.a r.l.	Luxembourg	100	
AIGGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund I GP, LLC	Delaware	100	
AIGGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund I, LP	Delaware	100	
AIGGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund II GP, LLC	Delaware	100	
Mt. Mansfield Company, Inc.	Vermont	100	
AIG Employee Services, Inc.	Delaware	100	
AIG Federal Savings Bank	The United States	100	
AIG Financial Products Corp.	Delaware	100	
AIG Matched Funding Corp.	Delaware	100	
AIG-FP Pinestead Holdings Corp.	Delaware	100	
AIG Life Insurance Company (Switzerland) Ltd	Switzerland	100	
AIG Markets, Inc.	Delaware	100	
AIG Property Casualty Inc.	Delaware	100	
AIG Claims, Inc.	Delaware	100	
AIG PC Global Services, Inc.	Delaware	100	
AIG Property Casualty International, LLC	Delaware	100	
AIG Egypt Insurance Company S.A.E.	Egypt	95.08	
AIG Insurance Management Services, Inc.	Vermont	100	
Grand Isle SAC Limited	Bermuda	100	
AIG International Holdings GmbH	Switzerland	100	
AIG APAC HOLDINGS PTE. LTD.	Singapore	100	
AIG Asia Pacific Insurance Pte. Ltd.	Singapore	100	
AIG Australia Limited	Australia	100	
AIG Insurance Hong Kong Limited	Hong Kong	100	
AIG Insurance New Zealand Limited	New Zealand	100	
AIG Korea Inc.	Korea, Republic of	100	
AIG Malaysia Insurance Berhad	Malaysia	100	
AIG Philippines Insurance, Inc.	Philippines	100	
AIG Re-Takaful (L) Berhad	Malaysia	100	
AIG Vietnam Insurance Company Limited	Vietnam	100	
PT AIG Insurance Indonesia	Indonesia	74.45	(3)
Thai CIT Holding Company Limited	Thailand	49	
AIG Insurance (Thailand) Public Company Limited	Thailand	51	(4)
AIG Canada Holdings Inc.	Canada	100	
AIG Insurance Company of Canada	Canada	100	
AIG Europe Holdings S.a.r.l.	Luxembourg	100	
AIG Advisors S.r.l.	Italy	100	
AIG Europe S.A.	Luxembourg	100	
AIG Global Reinsurance Operations	Belgium	100	
Avondhu Limited	Jersey	100	
AIG Holdings Europe Limited	England and Wales	100	
AIG Israel Insurance Company Ltd	Israel	100	
AIG Life Limited	England	100	
Group Risk Services Limited	England	100	
Group Risk Technologies Limited	England	100	
American International Group UK Limited	England	100	
AIG Europe (Services) Limited	England	100	
Laya Healthcare Limited	Ireland	100	
AIG Investments UK Limited	England and Wales	100	
AIG Japan Holdings Kabushiki Kaisha	Japan	100	
AIG General Insurance Co., Ltd.	Japan	100	
American Home Assurance Co., Ltd.	Japan	100	

As of December 31, 2018	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization	Percentage of Voting Securities held by Immediate Parent	
AIG Latin America Investments, S.L.	Spain	100	(1)
AIG Brazil Holding I, LLC	Delaware	100	
AIG Seguros Brasil S.A.	Brazil	90.56	(5)
AIG Resseguros Brasil S.A.	Brazil	100	
AIG Insurance Company-Puerto Rico	Puerto Rico	100	
AIG Latin America I.I.	Puerto Rico	100	
AIG Seguros Mexico, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico	100	
American International Overseas Limited	Bermuda	100	
Chartis Takaful Enaya B.S.C. (c)	Bahrain	100	
American International Underwriters del Ecuador-Holding S.A.	Ecuador	100	
AIG-Metropolitana Cia. de Seguros y Reaseguros S.A.	Ecuador	32.06	(6)
AIG MEA Holdings Limited	United Arab Emirates	100	
AIG CIS Investments, LLC	Russian Federation	99.99	
AIG Insurance Company, JSC	Russian Federation	100	
AIG Insurance Limited	Sri Lanka	100	
AIG Lebanon SAL	Lebanon	100	
AIG MEA Limited	United Arab Emirates	100	
AIG Kenya Insurance Company Limited	Kenya	66.67	
AIG Uganda Limited	Uganda	100	
Private Joint-Stock Company AIG Ukraine Insurance Company	Ukraine	100	
Johannesburg Insurance Holdings (Proprietary) Limited	South Africa	100	
AIG Life South Africa Limited	South Africa	100	
AIG South Africa Limited	South Africa	100	
AIG Travel, Inc.	Delaware	100	
AIG Travel Assist, Inc.	Delaware	100	
AIG Travel Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd.	Singapore	100	
AIG Travel Assist Malaysia Sdn. Bhd.	Malaysia	100	
AIG Travel EMEA Limited	England and Wales	100	
Travel Guard Group Canada, Inc./Groupe Garde Voyage du Canada, Inc.	Canada	100	
Travel Guard Group, Inc.	Wisconsin	100	
American International Reinsurance Company, Ltd.	Bermuda	100	
Validus Holdings, Ltd.	Bermuda	100	
Talbot Holdings Ltd.	Bermuda	100	
Talbot Underwriting Holdings Ltd.	England and Wales	100	
Talbot Underwriting Ltd.	England and Wales	100	
Validus Reinsurance, Ltd.	Bermuda	100	
Validus Holdings (UK) Ltd.	England and Wales	100	
Validus Reinsurance (Switzerland) Ltd	Switzerland	100	
Validus Ventures Ltd.	Bermuda	100	
AlphaCat Managers Ltd.	Bermuda	100	
AIG Property Casualty U.S., Inc.	Delaware	100	
AIG Aerospace Insurance Services, Inc.	Georgia	100	
AIG Assurance Company	Illinois	100	
AIG Property Casualty Company	Pennsylvania	100	
AIG Specialty Insurance Company	Illinois	100	
AIG WarrantyGuard, Inc.	Delaware	100	
AIU Insurance Company	New York	100	
American Home Assurance Company	New York	100	
AIGGRE EOLA LLC	Delaware	100	
Jefferson Eola Venture LLC	Delaware	50	
American Home Assurance Company Escritorio de Representacao no Brasil Ltda.	Brazil	100	
AIG Insurance Company China Limited	China	100	
Commerce and Industry Insurance Company	New York	100	
Eaglestone Reinsurance Company	Pennsylvania	100	
Arthur J. Glatfelter Agency, Inc.	Pennsylvania	100	
Volunteer Firemen's Insurance Services, Inc.	Pennsylvania	100	
Granite State Insurance Company	Illinois	100	
Illinois National Insurance Co.	Illinois	100	
Lexington Insurance Company	Delaware	100	
Pine Street Real Estate Holdings Corp.	New Hampshire	100	
National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa.	Pennsylvania	100	
American International Overseas Association	Bermuda	78	(7)

As of December 31, 2018	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization	Percentage of Voting Securities held by Immediate Parent	(1)
American International Realty Corp.	Delaware	100	
National Union Fire Insurance Company of Vermont	Vermont	100	
New Hampshire Insurance Company	Illinois	100	
Risk Specialists Companies Insurance Agency, Inc.	Massachusetts	100	
Service Net Warranty, LLC	Delaware	100	
The Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania	Illinois	100	
Western World Insurance Group, Inc.	Delaware	100	
Crop Risk Services, Inc.	Illinois	100	
Western World Insurance Company	New Hampshire	100	
Stratford Insurance Company	New Hampshire	100	
Tudor Insurance Company	New Hampshire	100	
AIG Technologies, Inc.	New Hampshire	100	
AIG Shared Services Corporation	New York	100	
AM Holdings LLC	Delaware	100	
American Security Life Insurance Company Limited	Liechtenstein	100	
Blackboard U.S. Holdings, Inc.	Delaware	100	
Blackboard Customer Care Insurance Services, LLC	Delaware	100	
Blackboard Services, LLC	Delaware	100	
Blackboard Specialty Insurance Company	Delaware	100	
Blackboard Insurance Company	Delaware	100	
Fortitude Group Holdings, LLC	Delaware	80.10	
Fortitude Life & Annuity Solutions, Inc.	Delaware	100	
Fortitude Reinsurance Company Ltd.	Bermuda	100	
MG Reinsurance Limited	Vermont	100	
SAFG Retirement Services, Inc.	Delaware	100	
AIG Life Holdings, Inc.	Texas	100	
AGC Life Insurance Company	Missouri	100	
AIG Life of Bermuda, Ltd.	Bermuda	100	
American General Life Insurance Company	Texas	100	
SA Affordable Housing, LLC	Delaware	100	
SunAmerica Affordable Housing Partners, Inc.	California	100	
SunAmerica Asset Management, LLC	Delaware	100	
AIG Capital Services, Inc.	Delaware	100	
The United States Life Insurance Company in the City of New York	New York	100	
The Variable Annuity Life Insurance Company	Texas	100	
VALIC Financial Advisors, Inc.	Texas	100	
Valic Retirement Services Company	Texas	100	

(1) Percentages include directors' qualifying shares.

(2) Substantially all subsidiaries listed are consolidated in the accompanying financial statements. Certain subsidiaries have been omitted from the tabulation. The omitted subsidiaries, when considered in the aggregate, do not constitute a significant subsidiary.

(3) Also owned 25.55 percent by PT Tiara Citra Cemerlang.

(4) Also owned 48.99 percent by AIG Asia Pacific Insurance Pte. Ltd.

(5) Also owned 9.44 percent by AIG Brazil Holding II, LLC.

(6) Also owned 19.72 percent by AIG Latin America Investments, S.L.

(7) Also owned 12 percent by New Hampshire Insurance Company and 10 percent by American Home Assurance Company.

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-3 (No.333-223282) and Form S-8 (No.333-31346, No.333-68640, No.333-101640, No.333-148148, No.333-168679, No.333-188634 and No.333-219180) of American International Group, Inc. of our report dated February 15, 2019 relating to the financial statements, financial statement schedules and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in the Annual Report on Form 10-K.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

New York, New York
February 15, 2019

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Brian Duperreault, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of American International Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 15, 2019

/S/ BRIAN DUPERREULT

Brian Duperreault
President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Mark D. Lyons, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of American International Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 15, 2019

/S/ MARK D. LYONS

Mark D. Lyons
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION

In connection with this Annual Report on Form 10-K of American International Group, Inc. (the “Company”) for the year ended December 31, 2018, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, Brian Duperreault, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: February 15, 2019

/S/ BRIAN DUPERREULT

Brian Duperreault
President and Chief Executive Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and is not being filed as part of the Report or as a separate disclosure document.

CERTIFICATION

In connection with this Annual Report on Form 10-K of American International Group, Inc. (the "Company") for the year ended December 31, 2018, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Mark D. Lyons, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that to my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: February 15, 2019

/S/ MARK D. LYONS

Mark D. Lyons

Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and is not being filed as part of the Report or as a separate disclosure document.

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(B) OF THE ACT:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, Par Value \$2.50 Per Share	New York Stock Exchange
Warrants (expiring January 19, 2021)	New York Stock Exchange
5.75% Series A-2 Junior Subordinated Debentures	New York Stock Exchange
4.875% Series A-3 Junior Subordinated Debentures	New York Stock Exchange
Stock Purchase Rights	New York Stock Exchange

Comment on Regulation G

Throughout this Annual Report, AIG presents its financial condition and results of operations in the way it believes will be most meaningful and representative of its business results. Some of the measurements AIG uses are “non-GAAP financial measures” under Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations. GAAP is the acronym for “generally accepted accounting principles” in the United States. The non-GAAP financial measures AIG presents may not be comparable to similarly-named measures reported by other companies. The reconciliations of such measures to the most comparable GAAP measures in accordance with Regulation G are included within this Annual Report, AIG’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 (included herein) or in the Fourth Quarter 2018 Financial Supplement available in the Investors section of AIG’s website, www.aig.com.

Core and Life and Retirement Adjusted Attributed Equity is an attribution of total AIG Adjusted Shareholders’ Equity to these segments based on our internal capital model, which incorporates the segments’ respective risk profiles. Adjusted Attributed Equity represents our best estimates based on current facts and circumstances and will change over time.

Core and Life and Retirement Return on Equity — Adjusted After-tax Income (Adjusted Return on Attributed Equity)

is used to show the rate of return on Adjusted Attributed Equity. Adjusted Return on Attributed Equity is derived by dividing actual or annualized Adjusted After-tax Income by Average Adjusted Attributed Equity.

Adjusted After-tax Income Attributable to Core and Life and Retirement is derived by subtracting attributed interest expense and income tax expense from Adjusted Pre-tax Income. Attributed debt and the related interest expense is calculated based on our internal capital model. Tax expense or benefit is calculated based on an internal attribution methodology that considers among other things the taxing jurisdiction in which the segments conduct business, as well as the deductibility of expenses in those jurisdictions.

Premiums and deposits includes direct and assumed amounts received and earned on traditional life insurance policies, group benefit policies and life-contingent payout annuities, as well as deposits received on universal life, investment-type annuity contracts, Federal Home Loan Bank funding agreements and mutual funds.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information

This Annual Report may include, and officers and representatives of AIG may from time to time make and discuss, projections, goals, assumptions and statements that may constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These projections, goals, assumptions and statements are not historical facts but instead represent only a belief regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside AIG’s control. These projections, goals, assumptions and statements include statements preceded by, followed by or including words such as “will,” “believe,” “anticipate,” “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “focused on achieving,” “view,” “target,” “goal” or “estimate.” These projections, goals, assumptions and statements may relate to future actions, prospective services or products, future performance or results of current and anticipated services or products, sales efforts, expenses, the outcome of contingencies such as legal proceedings, anticipated organizational, business or regulatory changes, anticipated sales, monetization and/or acquisitions of businesses or assets, or successful integration of acquired businesses, management succession and retention plans, exposure to risk, trends in operations and financial results.

It is possible that AIG’s actual results and financial condition will differ, possibly materially, from the results and financial condition indicated in these projections, goals, assumptions and statements. Factors that could cause AIG’s actual results to differ, possibly materially, from those in the specific projections, goals, assumptions and statements include:

- changes in market and industry conditions;
- the occurrence of catastrophic events, both natural and man-made;
- AIG’s ability to successfully reorganize its businesses and execute on its initiatives to improve its underwriting capabilities and reinsurance programs, as well as improve profitability, without negatively impacting client relationships or its competitive position;
- AIG’s ability to successfully dispose of, monetize and/or acquire businesses or assets or successfully integrate acquired businesses;
- actions by credit rating agencies;
- changes in judgments concerning insurance underwriting and insurance liabilities;
- changes in judgments concerning potential cost saving opportunities;
- the impact of potential information technology, cybersecurity or data security breaches, including as a result of cyber-attacks or security vulnerabilities;
- disruptions in the availability of AIG’s electronic data systems or those of third parties;
- the effectiveness of AIG’s strategies to recruit and retain key personnel and its ability to implement effective succession plans;
- negative impacts on customers, business partners and other stakeholders;
- AIG’s ability to successfully manage Legacy portfolios;
- concentrations in AIG’s investment portfolios;
- the requirements, which may change from time to time, of the global regulatory framework to which AIG is subject;
- significant legal, regulatory or governmental proceedings;
- changes in judgments concerning the recognition of deferred tax assets and goodwill impairment; and
- such other factors discussed in Part II, Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in AIG’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018.

AIG is not under any obligation (and expressly disclaims any obligation) to update or alter any projections, goals, assumptions or other statements, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Non-GAAP Reconciliation

Reconciliations of Adjusted Return on Equity

(\$ in millions)

	Twelve Months Ended December 31,			
	2018	2017	2016	
Core:				
Adjusted pre-tax income	\$ 1,196	\$ 1,688	\$ 408	
Interest expense (benefit) on attributed financial debt	\$ (10)	\$ (159)	\$ (122)	
Adjusted pre-tax income including attributed interest expenses	\$ 1,206	\$ 1,847	\$ 530	
Income tax expense	\$ 281	\$ 466	\$ 120	
Adjusted after-tax income	\$ 925	\$ 1,381	\$ 410	
Ending adjusted attributed equity	\$ 38,735	\$ 39,931	\$ 47,651	
Average adjusted attributed equity	\$ 40,394	\$ 43,826	\$ 51,319	
Adjusted return on attributed equity	2.3 %	3.2 %	0.8 %	

Life and Retirement:

Adjusted pre-tax income	\$ 3,190	\$ 3,831	\$ 3,428	
Interest expense on attributed financial debt	\$ 107	\$ 23	\$ 92	
Adjusted pre-tax income including attributed interest expenses	\$ 3,083	\$ 3,808	\$ 3,336	
Income tax expense	\$ 610	\$ 1,242	\$ 1,041	
Adjusted after-tax income	\$ 2,473	\$ 2,566	\$ 2,295	
Ending adjusted attributed equity	\$ 19,695	\$ 20,304	\$ 20,547	
Average adjusted attributed equity	\$ 19,664	\$ 20,687	\$ 21,269	
Adjusted return on attributed equity	12.6 %	12.4 %	10.8 %	

Reconciliations of Premiums and Deposits

(\$ in millions)

	Twelve Months Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Life and Retirement:			
Premiums	\$ 2,592	\$ 4,046	\$ 2,288
Deposits	\$ 27,846	\$ 22,681	\$ 26,294
Other	\$ 768	\$ 731	\$ 722
Total premiums and deposits	\$ 31,206	\$ 27,458	\$ 29,304

Shareholder Information

Corporate Headquarters

American International Group, Inc.
175 Water Street
New York, NY 10038
(212) 770-7000

Stock Market Listing

New York Stock Exchange
NYSE common stock trading symbol: AIG

SEC Certifications

The certifications by the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of AIG, required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, were filed as exhibits to AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018, and are included herein.

NYSE Certification

The Chief Executive Officer of AIG made an unqualified certification to the NYSE with respect to AIG's compliance with the NYSE Corporate Governance Listing Standards in July 2018.

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

The 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders will be held on Tuesday, May 21, 2019, at 11:00 a.m., at 175 Water Street, New York, NY.

Shareholder Assistance

Visit the AIG corporate website at www.aig.com.

Requests for copies of the Annual Report to Shareholders and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018, should be directed to:

Investor Relations

American International Group, Inc.
175 Water Street
New York, NY 10038
(212) 770-6293

Transfer Agent and Registrar

EQ Shareowner Services
PO Box 64854
St. Paul, MN 55164-0854
(888) 899-8293
shareowneronline.com

Courier Service Address

EQ Shareowner Services
1110 Centre Pointe Curve, Suite 101
Mendota Heights, MN 55120-4100

Duplicate Mailings/Householding

A shareholder who receives multiple copies of AIG's proxy materials and Annual Report may eliminate duplicate report mailings by contacting AIG's transfer agent.



MIX
Paper from
responsible sources
FSC® C020268

American International Group, Inc.

175 Water Street
New York, NY 10038

www.aig.com

